This is a reproduction of a library book that was digitized by Google as part of an ongoing effort to preserve the information in books and make it universally accessible.





https://books.google.com

VINDICATION

OFTHE

Rev. Mr. WESLEY's Last Minutes:

OCCASIONED BY

A circular, printed Letter,
Inviting principal Persons,

Both Clergy and Laity,

AS WELL OF THE

Diffenters as of the established Church,

Who disapprove of those Minutes,

To oppose them in a Body, as a dreadful Heresy:

AND DESIGNED

To remove Prejudice, check Rafhnes, promote Forbeaumer, defend the Charafter of an eminent Minister of Christ, and prevent some important scriptural Truths from being hastily branded as heretical.

In FIVE LETTERS,

To the Hon, and Rev. Author of the Circular Letter.

By a Lover of Quietness and Liberty of Conscience.

"If God is not partial in the Distribution of his pardoning Grace to any particular Church, Sett, or Opinion, why doth the narrow Heart of Man pursue with" or authout " malice those who prefiunc to differ from him?" Serm. of the Hon. and Rev. Mr. Shirley.

BRISTOL:

Printed by W. PINE, in Wine-Street, 1771. (5)

A Copy of the circular, printed Letter, which has given occasion to this Vindication; to which is annexed a copy of the Rev. Mr. Wesley's Minutes.

SIR,

Whereas Mr. Wesley's Conference is to be held at Bristol, on Tuesday the 6. August next, it is proposed by LADY HUNTINGDON and many other Christian Friends (real Protestants) to have a Meeting at Bristol, at the same time, of such principal Persons both Clergy & Laity who disapprove of the underwritten Minutes; and as the same are thought injurious to the very Fundamental Principles of Christianity, it is further proposed, that they go in a body to the said conserence, and insist upon a formal Recantation of the said Minutes; and in case of a refusal, that they sign and publish their Protest against them. Your presence, SIR, on this occasion is particularly requested: But if it should not suit your convenience to be there, it is defired that you will transmit your sentiments on the subject to such person as you think proper to produce them. It is submitted to you, whether it would not be right, in the opposition to be made to such a dreadful Heresy, to recommend it to as many of your Christian Friends, as well of the Diffenters, as of the established Church, as you can prevail on to be there, the cause being of so public a nature.

I.am SIR, Your obedient Servant,

WALTER SHIRLEY.

A 2. P. S. Your

P. S. Your answer is defired, directed to the Countes of Huntingdon, or the Rev. Mr. Shirley, or John LLoyd Esq; in BATH; or Mr. James Ireland Merchant BRISTOL; or to Thomas Powis Esq; at Berwick near SHREWS-BURY; or to Richard Hill Esq; at Hawkstone near Whitchurch Shropshire. Lodgings will be provided. Enquire at Mr. Ireland's Bristol.

EXTRACT from the MINUTES of some tate CONVERSATIONS, between the Rev. Mr. Wesley, and others, at a public Conserence, held in London, August 7, 1770, and printed by W. PINE, BRISTOL.

Take heed to your Dostrine.

We faid in 1744, "We have leaned too much toward Calvinism." Wherein?

- 1. With regard to Man's Faithfulnefs. Our Lord himself taughtus to use the expression. And we ought never to be ashamed of it. We ought sleadily to affert, on his authority, that if a man is not faithful in the unrighteous mammon, God will not give him the true riches.
- 2. With regard to working for life. This also our Lord has express commanded us. Labour ERGAZESTHE, literally work for the meat that endureth to everlasting life. And in fact every Believer, till he comes to glory, works for as well as from life.
- 3. We have received it as a maxim, that "a man is to do nothing, in order to justification:" Nothing can be more false. Whoever desires to find savor with God, should ceose from evil and learn to do well. Whoever repents, should

do works meet for repentance. And if this is not in order to find favor, what does he do them for?

Review the whole affair.

1. Who of us is now accepted of God? He that now believes in Christ, with a loving obedient heart.

2. But who among those that never heard of Christ?

He that feareth God, and worketh righteoufness according to the light he has.

- 3. Is this the fame with, "He that is fincere"? Nearly, if not quite.
- 4. Is not this "Salvation by Works"?
 Not by the Merit of works, but by works, as a Condition.
- 5. What have we then been disputing about for these thirty years?

 I am asraid about words.
- 6. As to Merit itself, of which we have been fo dreadfully afraid: We are rewarded, according to our works, yea because of our works. Howedoes this differ from for the sake of our works? And how differs this from secundum merita operum? As our works deserve? Gan you split this. hair? I doubt, I cannot.
- 7. The grand objection to one of the preceding propositions, is drawn from matter of fact.
 God does in fact justify those, who by their owns confession, neither feared God nor wrought right.
 A 3. trousness.

teoulness. Is this an exception to the general rule?

It is a doubt, if God makes any exception at all. But how are we fure, that the person in question never did fear God and work righteoufness? His own faying so is not proof: For we know, how all that are convinced of fin, undervalue themselves in every respect.

8. Does not talking of a justified or a fanchified State, tend to millead men? Almost naturally leading them to trust, in what was done in one moment? Whereas we are every hour and every moment pleasing or displeasing to God, according to our works? According to the whole of our inward tempers, and our outward behaviour.

FIRST



ADVERTISEMENT.

THE date of the following Letters shews, that they were wrote before the late Conference: being designed to be publicly read at that time. But not being sent soon enough to the Printer, they were not finished till the Conference was ended. It was then doubted. Whether it would not be needles to publish them? But it seemed to be needful flill, 1. To remove the ill impressions which had been made by the Circular Letter, both in England, Scotland and Ireland: And 2. To give some check to the Antinomianisin, which is full spreading throughout the three kingdoms.



FIRST LETTER.

Hon. and Rev. Sir,

BEFORE a Judge passes sentence upon a person accused of thest, he hears what his neighbours have to say for his character. Mr. Wesley, I grant, is accused of what is worse than thest, dreadful herefy; and I know that whosoever maintains a dreaful herefy is a dreadful heretic, and that the church of Rome shews no mercy to such: but may not real Protessants indulge with the privilege of a selon one whom they so lately respected as a brother? And may not I, an old friend and acquaintance of his, be permitted to speak a word in his savour, before he is branded in the sozehead, as he

has already been in the back?

This step, I fear, will cost me my reputation (if I have any) and involve me in the fame condemnation with him whose cause, together with that of truth, I design to plead: but when humanity prompts, when gratitude calls, when friendship excites, when reason invites, when justice demands, when truth requires and conscience summons; he does not deserve the name of a Christian friend, who, for any confideration, hefitates to vindicate what he efteems truth, and to stand by an aggrieved friend, brother, and father. Were I not, Sir, on such an occasion as this, to step out of my beloved obscurity, you might deservedly reproach me as a dastardly wretch: nay, you have already done it in general terms in your excellent fermon on the fear of man. "How often, fay you, do men fneakingly forfake " their friends, instead of gloriously supporting them agaiust

" against a powerful adversary, even when their cause is just, for reasons nastily prudential, for fear of giving umbrage to a superior party or interest."

These generous words of your's, Rev. Sir, together with the leave you give both church-men and diffenters, to direct to you their answers to your circular letter, are my excuse for intruding upon you by this epistle, and my apology for begging your candid attention, while I attempt to convince you that my friend's principles and minutes are not heretical: in order to this I shall lay before you, and the principal persons both clergy and laity, whom you have from all parts of England and Wales convened at Bristol by printed letters,

I. A general view of the Rev. Mr. Wesley's

doctrine:

II. An account of the commendable design of his minutes:

III. A vindication of the propositions which they contain, by arguments taken from scripture, reason, and experience; and by quotations from eminent calvinist divines who have said the same things in different words.

And suppose you, yourself, Sir, in particular should appear to be a strong affertor of the doctrines which you call a dreadful heresy in Mr. W. I hope you will not refuse me leave to conclude by expostulating with you upon your conduct in this affair, and recommending to you, and our other Christian friends, the sorbearance which you recommend to others in the words of my motto. Why doth the narrow heart of man pursue with malice, or rashness, those who presume to differ from him? Yea, and what is most extraordinary, those who agree with him in all essential points?

I. When, in an intricate case, a prudent Judge is afraid to pass an unjust sentence, he inquires, as I observed, into the general conduct of the person accused, and by that means frequently finds out the sruth which he investigates. As that method may

be of service in the present case; permit me, Sir, to lay before you a general view of Mr. W.'s doctrine.

(1.) For above these sixteen years I have heard him frequently in his chapels, and sometimes in my church; I have familiarly conversed and corresponded with him, and have often perused his numerous works in verse and prose: and I can struly say, that during all that time I have heard him, upon every proper occasion, steadily maintain the total fall of man in Adam, and his utter inability to recover himself, or take any one step towards his recovery, without the grace of God preventing him that he may have a good will, and working with him when he

has that good will.

The deepest expressions that ever struck my ears; on the melancholy subject of our natural depravity and helpleffness, are those which dropped from his . lips: and I have ever observed that he constantly ascribes to divine grace, not only the good works and holy tempers of believers, but all the good thoughts of upright Heathers, and the good defires of those professors whom he fees begin in the Spirit and end in the flesh; when, to my great surprise, some of those who accuse him of "robbing God of the " glory of his grace, and afcribing too much to man's power," directly or indirectly maintain, that Demas and his fellow apostates never had any grace; and that if once they went on far in the ways of God, it was merely by the force of fallen nature; a sentiment which Mr. W. looks upon as diametrically opposite to the humbling affertion of our Lord, Without me ye can do nothing, and which he can no more admit than the rankest pelagianism.

(2.) I must likewise testify that he faithfully points out Christ as the only way of salvation; and strongly recommends faith as the only means of receiving him, and all the benefits of his righteous life and meritorious death: and truth obliges me to declare, that he frequently expresses his detestation of

of the errors of modern Pharifees, who laugh at original fin, fet up the powers of fallen man, cry down the operations of God's Spirit, deny the abso-Intenecessity of the blood and righteousness of Christ, and refuse him the glory of all the good that may be found in Jew or Gentile. And you will not without difficulty, Sir, find in England, and perhaps in all the world, a minister who hath borne more frequent testimonies, éither from the pulpit or the preis, against those dangerous errors. All his works confirm my affertion, effecially his fermons on original fin, and falvation by faith, and his mafterly refutation of Dr. Taylor, the wifest Arian, Pelagian, and Socinian of our age. Nor am I afraid to have this testimony confronted with his minutes, being fully perfuaded that, when they are candidly explained, they rather confirm than overthrow it.

His preaching of the fall and the recovery is attended with a peculiar advantage, it is close and experimental: he not only points out the truth of those doctrines, but presses his hearers to cry to God that they may feel their weight upon their heart. Some open those great truths very clearly, but let their congregations rest, like the stony ground hearers, in the first emotions of forrow and joy which the word frequently excites. Not 6 Mr. Wosley; he will have true penitents feel the plague of their own hearts, travel, be heavy laden, and receive the fentence of death in themselves according to the glorious ministration of condemnation: and according to the ministration of the spirit which exceeds in glory, he infifts upon true believers knowing for themselves, that Jefus hath power on earth to forgive fins, and afterts that they tafte the good word of God and the powers of the world to come, and that they are made partakers of the Holy Ghost and the divine nature; the Sperit itfelf bearing witness with their spirit that they are the children of God.

(3.) The next fundamental doctrine in Christianity, is that of holiness of heart and life; and no one can accuse here Mr. W. of leaning to the Anti-

nomias

memian delusion, which makes void the law through. a speculative and barren faith: on the contrary, he appears to be peculiarly set for the desence of practical religion: for, instead of representing Christ as the minister of sin with ranters, to the great grief and offence of many, he sets him forth as a compleat Saviour from sin. Not satisfied to preach holiness begun, he preaches sinished holiness, and calls believers to such a degree of heart-purifying faith, as may enable them continually to triumph in Christ, as being made to them of God santisfication as well as

, righteoufnefs.

It is, I grant, his misfortune (if indeed it is one) to preach a fuller falvation than most professors expect to enjoy here; for he afferts that Jesus can make clean the infide, as well as the outfide, of his vessels . unto honour; that he hath power on earth to fave his people from their fins, and that his blood cleanfes from all fin, from the guilt and defilement both of original and actual corruption. He is bold enough to declare with St. John, that if we fay we have no fin, either by nature or practice, we deceive ourselves and the truth is not in us; but if we confess our fins, God is faithful and just to forgive us our his, and to CLEANSE us from all unrighteoufness. He is legal enough not to be ashamed of these words of Moses, The Lord thy God will circumcife thine heart, and the heart of thy feed, to love the Lord t. y God with all thine heart, and with all thy foul, that thou mayest live. And he dares to believe that the Lord can perform the words which he spoke by Ezekiel: I will sprinkle clean water upon you, and you shall be clean; from ALL your filthiness, and from ALL your idols will I tleanse you. A new heart also will I give you; I will take away the stony heart out of your flesh, and I will give an heart of flesh: and I will put my spirit within you, and cause you to walk in my flatutes; and ye shall keep my judgments and do them. I will also fave you from ALL your uncleannesses." Hence it is that he constantly exhorts his hearers to grow in grace, and in the knowledge of the Savieur; till by a strong and lively faith. they

they can continually reckon themselves to be dead indeed unto fin, but alive unto God through Jefus Christ our Lord: he tells them that he who committeeth fin us the servant of fin .- That our old man is crucified with Christ that the body of fin might be destroyed, that henceforth we should not serve fin .- That if the Son shall make them free they shall be free indeed .- And that, although the law of the spirit of life in Christ Jesus will 'not deliver them from the innocent infirmities incident to flesh and blood, it will nevertheless make them free from the law of fin and death, and enable them to fay with holy triumph, How shall we that are dead to fin live any longer therein? In a word, he thinks that God can so shed abroad his love in our hearts by the Holy Ghoft given unto us, as to fanchfy us wholly, foul, body and spirit; and enable us to rejoice evermore, pray without ceasing, and in every thing give thanks. And he is perfuaded that he who can do far exceeding abundantly above all that we can ask or think, is able to fill us with the perfect love which easts out fear; that we, being delivered out of the hands of our enemies, may have the mind that was in Chris, be righteous as the MAN Jelius was righteous, walk as he also walked; and be in our measure as he was in the world, he as the stock of the tree of righteoufness and we as the branches, having our fruit from him unto holinefs, and ferving God without fear in true righteoufness all the days of our life.

This he fometimes calls full fanctification, the state of fathers in Christ, or the storious liberty of the children of God; sometimes a being strengthened, stablished, and settled; or being rooted and serounded in love: but most commonly he calls it Christian persettion; a word which, though used by the apost tes in the same sense, cannot be used by him without raising the pity or indignation of one half of the religious world; some making it the subject of their pious sneers, and godly lampoons; while others tell you roundly "they abhor it above every thing in

the creation."

. Tantæ

Tantane animis coelestibus irac! On account of this doctrine it is that he is traduced as a Pharifee, a Papist, an Anti-christ; some of his oppofers taking it for granted that he makes void the priestly office of Christ, by affirming that his blood can fo compleatly wash us here from our fins, that at death we shall be found of him in peace, without spot, wrinkle, or any such thing; while others, to colour their opposition to the many scriptures which he brings to support this unfashionable doctrine, give it out that he only wants the old man so refined in all his tempers, and regulated in all his outward behaviour as to appear perfect in the stefh: or, in other terms, that he fets up pharifaic felf, instead of Christ compleatly formed in us as the full hope of glory. But I must (for one) do him the justice to say he is misapprehended, and that what he calls perfection, is nothing but the rich cluster of all the spiritual blessings promised to believers in the gospel; and, among the rest, a continual sense of the virtue of Christ's atoning and purifying blood, preventing both old guilt from returning, and new guilt from fastening, upon the conscience; together with the deepest consciousness of our helpleffness and nothingness in our best estate, the most indearing discoveries of the Redeemer's love, and the most humbling and yet ravishing views of his glorious fullness; witness these lines which con-

Confound o'er-power me with thy grace;
I would be by myself abhor'd:
(All might, all majesty, all praise,
All glory be 10 Christ my Lord.)
O let me gain persections height,
O let me into nothing fall,
Be less than nothing in my sight,
And feel that Christ is all in all.

clude one of his favourite hymns on that subject.

^(4.) But this is not all, he holds also general redemption, and its necessary consequences, which some

fome actount dreadful herefies. He afferts with St. Paul, that Christ, by the GRACE of God, tasted death for every man; and this grace he calls free; as extending itself freely to all. Nor can he help expressing his surprise at those pious ministers, who maintain that the Saviour keeps his grace, as they suppose he kept his blood, from the greatest part of mankind, and yet engross to themselves the title of preachers of free grace.

Hie frequently observes with the same Apostle, that Christ is the Saviour of ALL men, but especially of them that believe; and that God will have ALL men to be fived, consistently with their moral agency, and

the tenor of his gospel.

With St. John he maintains that God is love, and that Christ is the propitiotion not only for our fins, but also for the fins of the WHOLE world: with David he affirms that God's mercy is over all his works, and with St. Peter, that the Lord is not willing that any fould perish, but that ALL should come to repentance; yes, that God, without hypocrify, commandeth ALL men EVERY WHERE to repent. Accordingly he fays with the Son of God, Whofoever will, let him come, and take of the water of life freely; and after his bletfed example, as well as by his gracious command, he preaches the gospel to every creature, which he apprehends would be inconfistent with common honesty, if there was not a gospel for every creature. Nor can be doubt of it in the leaft, when he confiders that Christ is a king as well as a prich, that we are under a law to Him, that those men who will not have him reign over them. fhall be brought and frin before him, yea, that he shall judge the ferrets of men according to St. Paul's Guffel, take vengeance of all them that obey not his own goffel, and be the author of eternal falvation to none but them that obey him. With this principle, as with a key given us by God himself, he opens those things which are hard to be understood in the epifles of St. Paul, and which they that are unlearned and unflable wreft, as they do fome other ferittures, if not to their own definition,

at least to the overthrowing of the faith of some weak Christians, and the hardening of many, very many infidels.

As a true. Son of the Church of England he believes that Christ redeemed him and ALL mankind; that for us MEN, and not merely for the elect, he came down from heaven, and made upon the trofs, a full, perfeet, and fufficient facrifice, oblation, and fatisfaction for the fins of the WHOLE world. Like an honest man, and yet a man of fense, he so subscribed the 17th Article as not to reject the 31st, which he thinks of equal force and much more explicit; and therefore, as the 17th Article authorifes him, he receives God's promises in such wise as they are generally fet forth in holy scripture; rejecting, after the example of our Governors in church and state, the Lambeth Articles, in which the doctrine of absolute, unconditional election and reprobation was maintained, and which some Calvinist Divines in the days of Queen Elizabeth, vainly attempted to impole upon these kingdoms by adding them to the 90 Articles. Far therefore from thinking he does not act a fair part, in rejecting the doctrine of particular redemption; he cannot conceive by what salvo the consciences of those ministers who embrace it, can permit them to fay to each of their communicants, The blood of Christ was shed for THEE; and to baptize promiscuously ALL children within their respective parishes, in the name of the Son and of the Holy Ghoft, when all that are unredeemed have no more right to the blood, name, and Spirit of Christ than Lucifer himself.

Thus far Mr. W. agrees with Arminius, because he thinks that illustrious Divine agreed thus far with the scriptures, and all the early Fathers of the church. But if Arminius (as the Author of Pietas Oxoniensis affirms in his letter to Dr. Adams) deny'd that man's nature is totally corrupt, and afferted that he hath still a freedom of will to turn to God, but not without the affistance of grace," Mr. W. is no Arminian, for he strongly

afferts the total fall of man, and conftantly maintains that by nature man's will is only free to evil, and that divine grace must first prevent, and then continually further him, to make him willing and able to turn to God.

I must however confess that he does not, as some real Protestants, continually harp upon the words free grace, and free will, but he gives reasons of confiderable weight for it. (1.) Christ and his Aposties never did so: (2.) He knows the word grace necessarily implies the freeness of a favour, and the world the freedom of our choice: and he has too much sense to delight in perpetual tautology. (a.) He finds by bleffed experience that when the will is touched by divine grace, and yields to the touch, it is as free to good, as it was before to evil. He dares not therefore make the maintaining of free will, any more than of free breath, the criterion of an unconverted man. On the contrary, he believes none are converted but these who have a free will to follow Jesus; and far from heing ashamed to be called a free-willer, he affirms it is as effential to all men to be free-willing creatures, as to be rational animals; and he supposes he can as foon find a diamond or a flint without gravity, as a good or bad man without free-will.

Nor will I conceal that I never heard him wee that favourite expression of some good men, Why me? Why me? Though he is not at all against their using it, if they can do it to edification. But as he does not see that any of the saints, either of the old or new Testament, ever used it, he is afraid to be humble and unse above what is written, lest voluntary humility should introduce refined pride before he is aware. Doubting therefore whether he can say, Why me? Why me? Without the self-pleasing idea of his being preferred to thousands, or without a touch of the secret self-applause that tickles the Fharisee's heart when he thanks God he is not as other nun, he leaves the sashionable exclamation to others, with all the resinements of modern divinity; and

chules

chuses to keep to St. Paul's expression, He loved me, which implies no exclusion of his poor fellow sinners: or to that of the royal Psalmist, Lord, what is MAN that thou art mindful of him; and the son or

MAN that thou vifitest him.

(5.) As a consequence of the doctrine of general redemption, Mr. W. lays down two axioms of which he never loses fight in his preaching. The first is, that All our salvation is of God in CHRIST, and therefore or GRACE; all opportunities, invitations, inclination, and power to believe being bestowed upon us of mere grace-grace most absolutely free: and so far I hope all who are called gospel-ministers agree with him: but he proceeds farther, for feeondly, he afferts with equal confidence, that according to the gospel dispensation, All our damnation is of ourselves, by our obstinate unbelief, and avoidable unfaithfulness; as we may neglect fo great faluation, defire to be excused from coming to the feast of the Lamb, make light of God's gracious offers, refuse to occupy, bury our talent, and alt the part of the flothful fervant; or in other words, resift, grieve, do despite to, and quench the Spirit of grace, BY OUR MORAL AGENCY.

The first of these evangelical axioms he builds upon such scriptures as these: In me is thy help—Look unto me and be faved—No man cometh unto me except the Father draw him—What hast thou that thou hast not received?—We are not sufficient to think aright of ourselves, all our sufficiency is of God—Christ is exalted to give repentance—Faith is the gift of God—

Without me ye can do nothing, &cc. &c.

And the second he founds upon such passages as these, This is the condemnation, that light is come into the world, and men leved darkness rather than light.—Ye always resist the Holy Ghost.—They rejected the counsel of Ged towards themselves.—Grieve not the Spirit.—Quench not the Spirit.—My Spirit shall not always strive with man.—Turn, why will ye die? Kiss the Son lest ye perish.—I ave Jezebel time to repent and she repented not.—The goodness of God leads, N. B. not drags, thee to repentance,

pentance, who after thy hardness and impenitent heart treasurest up wrath unto thyself - Their eyes have they clused lest they should see and be converted, and I should heal them ... See that ye refuse not him that speaketh from heaven -- I fet before you life and death, chuse life! Ye will not come unto me that yemight have life .-- I WOULD have gathered you, and ye WOULD NOT, &c. &c.

As to the MORAL AGENCY of man, Mr. W. thinks it cannot be denied upon the principles of common sense, and civil government: much less upon sthose of natural and revealed religion: as nothing would be more abfurd than to bind us by laws of a civil or spiritual nature; nothing more foolish than to propole to us punishments and rewards; and nothing more capricious than to inflict the one or bestow the other upon us; if we were not MORAL

AGENTS.

He is therefore perfuaded the most compleat fystem of divinity is that in which neither of those two axioms is superfeded: it is bold and unscriptural to fet up the one at the expence of the other: the Prophets, the Apostles, and Jesus Christ lest us no fuch precedent: and to avoid what is termed legality, we must not run into refinements which they knew nothing of, and make them perpetually contradict themselves: nor can we without an open violation of the laws of candour and criticism, lay a greater stress upon a few obscure and controverted passages, than upon an hundred plain and irrefragable scripture proofs. He therefore supposes that those persons are under a capital mistake, who maintain only the first gospel-axiom, and underpretence of securing to God all the glory of the falvation of one elect, give to perhaps twenty reprobates full room to lay all the blame of their damnation, either upon their first parents or their Creator. This way of making twenty real holes, in order to stop a supposed one, he cannot see consistent. either with wisdom or scripture.

. Thinking it therefore fafest not to put afunder the tenths which Ged has joined together, he makes all extremes meet in one bleffed scriptural medium. With the Antinomian he preaches, God worketh in you both to will and to do of his good pleasure; and with the legalist he cries, Work out therefore your own salvation with fear and trembling: and thus he has all St. Paul's doctrine. With the ranter he says, God has chosen you, you are elect: but as it is thro' sanctification of the Spirit, and belief of the truth; with the disciple of Mosics he infers, Make your calling and election sure, for it ye so these things ye shall never fall. Thus he presents his hearers with all St. Peter's system of truth, which the others had rent in pieces.

Again, according to the first axiom he says with the perfect Preacher, All things are now ready; but with him he adds also according to the second, Come, left you never take the gospel feast. Thinking it extremely dangerous not to divide the word of God aright, he endeavours to give to every one the portion of it that suits him, cutting according to times, persons, and circumstances either with the soft or the rough edge of his two-edged fword. Therefore when he addresses those that are steady, and partakers of the gospel grace from the first day until now, as the Philippians, he makes use of his first principle, and testifies his confidence that he who hath begun a good work in them will perform it until the day of Christ. But when he expostulates with persons that ren well; and do not now obey the truth, according to his fecond axiom, he fays to them, as St. Paul did to the Galatians, I fland in doubt of you; ye are fallen from rrace.

In short, he would think that he mangled the gospel, and forgat part of his awful commission, if when he has declared that he who believeth shall be faved, he did not also add, that he who believeth not shall be damned; or, which is the same, that none period merely for Adam's sin, but for their own unbelief, and wilful rejection of the Saviour's grace. Thus he advances God's glory every way, intirely ascribing to his mercy and grace all the salvation

of the clect; and compleatly freeing him from the blame of directly or indirectly hanging the mill-ftone of damnation about the neck of the reprobate. And this he effectually does by shewing that the former owe all they are, and all they have to creating, preserving, and redeeming love, whose innumerable bounties they freely and continually receive; and that the rejection of the latter has absolutely no cause but their obstinate rejecting of that astonishing mercy which wept over Jerusalem; and prayed, and bled even for those that shed the atoning blood—the blood that expiated all sin but that of final unbelief.

I have now finished my sketch of Mr. W.'s doctrine, so far as it has fallen under my observation during above fixteen years particular acquaintance with him and his works: it is not my defign Sir, to inquire into the truth of his fentiments, much less shall I attempt to prove them orthodox, according to the ideas that some real protestants entertain of orthodoxy. This only I beg leave to observe, suppose he is mistaken in all the scriptures on which he founds his doctrines of Christian perfection, and general redemption, yet his mistakes feem rather to arise from a regard for Christ's glory, than from enmity to his offices: and all together do not amount to any herefy at all; the fundamental doctrines of Christianity, namely, the fall of man, justification by the merits of Christ, fanctification by the agency of the Holy Ghost, and the worship of the one true God in the mysterious distinction of Father, Son, and Holy Ghost, as it is maintained in the three creeds, not being at all affected by any of his peculiar fentiments.

But you possibly imagine, Sir, that he has lately changed his doctrine, and adopted a new system. If you do, you are under a very great mistake, and to convince you of it, permit me to conclude this letter by a paragraph of one which I received from

him last spring.

I always

I always did (for between these thirty and forty years) clearly assert the total fall of man, and his utter inability to do any good of himself: the absolute necessity of the grace and Spirit of God to raise even a good thought or desire in our hearts: the Lord's rewaring no work, and accepting of none, but so far as they proceed from his preventing, commining and converting grace thro' the Beloved. The blood and righteousness of Christ being the sole meritorious cause of our salvation. And who is there in England that has afferted these things mare strongly and steadily than I have done? Leaving you to answer this question, I remain with due respect,

Hon. and Rev. Sir,

Your obedient servant, in the bond of a peaceful gospel,

J. F.

Madely, July 29, 1771.

SECOND

SECOND LETTER.

Hon: and Rev. Sir,

HAVING proved that Mr. W.'s doctrine is not heretical, permit me to confider the propositions which close the minutes of his last conference, on which, it seems, your charge of dreadful herefy is founded.

They wear, I confess a new aspect, and such is the force of prejudice and attachment to particular modes of expression, that at first they appeared to me very unguarded, if not altogether erroneous. But when the din of the severe epithets, bestowed upon them by some warm friends, was out of my ears; when I had prayed to the Father of lights for meekness of wildom, and given place to calm reflection, I saw them in quite a different light. Our Lord commands us not to judge according to the appearance, but to judge righteous judgment; appearances therefore did not feem to me sufficient to condemn any man, much less an elder, and such an elder as Mr. W. I confidered besides, that the circumstances in which a minister sometimes finds himself with respect to his hearers, and particular errors spreading among them, may oblige him to do or fay things, which tho' very right according to the time, place, persons, and junctures, may yet appear very wrong to those who do not stand just where he does. I saw for example, that if St. Paul had been in St. James's circumstances, he would have preached justification in as guarded a manner as St. James; and that if St. James had been in St. Paul's place, he would have preached it it as freely as St. Paul: and I recollected that in some places St. Paul himself seems even more legal than St. James. See Rom. ii. 7, 10, 14. Gal. vi.

7, &c. and 1 Tim. vi. 19.

These reflections made me not only suspend my judgment concerning Mr. W.'s propositions, but consider what we may candidly suppose was his defign in writing them for, and recommending them to, the preachers in connection with him. And I could not help seeing, that it was only to guard them and their hearers against Antinomian principles and practices, which spread like wild-fire in some of his focieties; where persons who spoke in the most glorious manner of Christ, and their interest in his compleat falvation, have been found living in the greatest immoralities, or indulging the most unchristian tempers. Nor need I go far for a proof of this lad affertion. In one of his focieties not many miles from my parish, a married man, who professed being in a state of justification and fanctification, growing wife above what is written, despited his brethren as legalists, and his teachers as persons not clear in the gospel. He instilled his principles into a ferious young woman: and what was the consequence? Why, they talked about "finished falvation in Christ," and " the absurdity of perfection in the flesh," till a perscot child was conceived and born; and to fave appearances the mother swore it to a travelling man that cannot be Thus to avoid legality, they plungheard of. ed into hypocrify, adultery, perjury, and the depth of ranterism. Is it not hard that a minister should be traduced as guilty of dreadful herefy for trying to put a stop to such dreadful practices? And is it not high time that he should cry to all that regard his warnings, Take heed to your doctrine. As if he had faid.

"Avoid all extremes. While on the one hand you keep clear of the pharifaic delution that flights Christ, and makes the pretended merit of an imperfest obedience the procuring cause of eternal

life; see that on the other hand you do not lean to the Antinomian error, which under pretence of exalting Christ, speaks contemptuously of obedience, and makes void the law thro' a faith that does not work by love. As there is but a step between high Arminianism and self-righteousness, so there is but one between high Calvinism and Antinomianism. I charge you to shun both, especially the latter."

"You know by sad experience that at this time we stand particularly in danger of splitting upon the Antinomian rock. Many smatterers in Christian experience talk of sinished salvation in Christ, or boast of being in a state of justification and sanctification, while they know little of themselves and less of Christ. Their whole behaviour testifies that their heart is void of humble love, and full of carnal considence. They cry Lord, Lord, with as much assurance, and as little right as the foolish virgins. They pass for sweet Christians, dear christen of God, and good believers; but their secret reserves evidence them to be only such believers as

Simon Magus, Ananias, and Saphira."

" Some with Diotrephes love to have the pre-eminence and prate malicious words, and not content therewith, they do not themselves receive the brethren, and forbid them that would, and even cast them out of the church as heretics. Some have forfaken the right way, and are gone aftray, following the way of Balaam, who loved the wages of unrighteoufness; they are wells without water, clouds without rain, and trees without fruit: with Judas they try to load themselves with shick clay, endeavour to lay up treasures on earth, and make provision for the slesh to fulfil the lusts thereof. Some, with the incestuous Corinthian, are led captive by flesh'y lusts, and fall into the greatest enormities. Others with the language of the awakened publican in their mouths, are fast asleep in their spirits; you hear them speak of the corruptions of their hearts, in as unaffected and airy a manner, as if they talked of freekles upon their faces: it feems they run down their finful nature, only to apologize for their finful practices; or to appear great proficients in felfknowledge, and court the praise due to genuine

humility."

"Others, quietly fettled on the less of the Laodicean state, by the whole tenor of their life fay they are rich and increased in goods and have need of nothing: utter strangers to hunger and thirst after righteousness, they never importunately beg, never wrestle hard for the hidden manna: on the contrary they sing a requiem to their poor dead souls, and say, "Soul take thine ease, thou hast goods laid up in Christ for many years, yea for ever and ever;" and thus, like Demas, they go on talking of Christ and heaven, but loving their ease, and enjoying this present world."

"Yet many of these, like Herod, hear and entertain us gladly; but like him also they keep their beloved sin, pleading for it as a right eye, and saving it as a right hand. To this day their bosom corruption is not only alive but indulged; their treacherous Delilah is hugged; and their spiritual Agagwalks delicately and boasts that the bitterness of death is pass, and he shall never be hewed in pieces before the Lord: nay, to dare so much as to talk of his dying before the body, becomes an almost unpar-

donable crime."

"Forms and fair shows of godliness deceive us: many, whom our Lord might well compare to whited fepulchres, look like angels of light when they are abroad, and prove tormenting siends at home. We see them weep under sermons, we hear them pray and sing with the tongues of men and angels; they even profess the faith that removes mountains; and yet by and by we discover they stumble at every mole-hill; every trisling temptation throws them into peevishness, fretsulness, impatience, ill humour, discontent, anger, and sometimes into loud passion."

"Relative duties are by many grofly neglected: hulbands flight their wives, or wives neglect

and plague their husbands; children are spoiled, parents disregarded and masters disobeyed; yea, so many are the complaints against servants professing godliness on account of their unfaithfulness, indolence, pert answering again, forgetfulness of their menial condition, or insolent expectations, that some serious persons preser those who have no knowledge of the truth, to those who make

an high profession of it."

" Knowledge is certainly increased; many run to and fro after it, but it is seldom experimental; the power of God is frequently talked of, but rarely felt, and too often cried down under the despicable name of frames and feelings. Numbers feel by hearing a variety of gospel ministers, reading all the religious books that are published, learning the best tunes to our hymns, disputing on controverted points of doctrine, telling or hearing churchnews, and liftening to or retailing spiritual scandal. But alas! sew strive in pangs of heart-felt convictions, few deny themselves and take up their cross daily; few take the kingdom of heaven by the holy violence of wrestling faith, and agonizing prayer; few fee, and fewer live in, the kingdom of God, which is righteousness, peace, and joy in the Holy Ghoft. In a word many fay, Lo! Christ is here; and lo! he is there; but few can confishently witness that the kingdom of heaven is within them.

Many affert that the clothing of the king's daughter is of wrought gold, but few, very few experience that fhe is all glorious within; and it is well, if many are not bold enough to maintain that she is all full of corruptions." With more truth than

ever we may fay,

Ye different fects, who all declare Lo! here is Christ, or Christ is there; Your stronger proofs divinely give And shew us where the Christians live.

Your

Your claim, alas! Ye cannot prove, Ye want the genuine mark of love.

If the consequences of this high, and yet If feles profession, are as evident as they are deplorable. Selfish views, finister designs, inveterate prejudice, pitish bigotry, party spirit, felf-sufficiency, contempt of others, envy, jealousy, making men offenders for a word—possibly a scriptural word too, taking advantage of each other's infirmitics, magnisying innocent mistakes, putting the worst construction upon each other's words, and actions, false accusations, back-biting, malice; revenge, perfecution, and a hundred such evils prevail among religious people, to the great assonishment of the children of the world, and the unspeakable grief of the true Israelites that yet re-

main among us."

"But this is not all. Some of our hearers donot even keep to the great outlines of Heathen mo-Fality: not satisfied practically to reject Christ's deelaration, that it is more bleffed to give than to receive, they proceed to that pitch of covetoulness and daring injustice, as not to pay their just debts; year and to cheat and extort, whenever they have a fair opportunity. How few of our focieties are there where this, or some other evil has not broken out, and given such shakes to the ark of the gospel, that had not the Lord wonderfully interpoled, it must long ago have been overset? And you know how to this day the name and truth of God are openly blasphemed among the baptized Heathens thro' the Antinomian lives of many, who fay they are Jews when they are not, but by their works declare they are of the Synagogue of Satan. At your peril therefore my Brethren, countenance them not: I know you would not do it defignedly, but you may do it unawares; therefore take heed-more than ever take heed to your doctrine. Let it be scripturally evangelical: give not the children's bread unto dogs: comfort not people that do not mousn. When you should give emetics do not administer cordials, and by that mean strengthen the hands of the slothful and unprofitable servant. I repeat it once more, warp not to Antinomianism, and in order to this, Take heed, O! Take heed to your dottrine."

Surely, Sir, there is no harm in this word of exhortation; it is scriptural, and Mr. W.'s pen cannot make it heretical. Take we then keed to the de-

ingn of the directions which follow.

It is evident that, in order to keep his fellow-labourers clear from Antinomianism, he directs them first not to lean too much toward Calvinssin; and secondly not to talk of a justified and fantisfied STATE so unguardedly as some, even Arminians, do; which tends to missead men, and relax their watchful attention to their internal and external works, that is, to the whole of their inward tempers and out-

ward behaviour. See No. 8.

He produces three particulars, wherein he thinks that both he and his affistants in the Lord's vineward, have leaned too much towards Calvinism, each of which has a natural and strong tendency to countenance the Antinomian delution. The first, being afraid or ashamed to maintain that every man is faithfully to employ his every talent, the' our Lord himself goes so far in maintaining this doctrine as to declare, that if a man is not faithful in the unrighteous mammon God will not give him the true riches. -The second, being afraid to use the expression working for life: altho' our Lord who must be allowed perfectly to understand his own gospel, uses it himself. - And the third, granting without proper distinction, that a man is to do nothing in order to justification, than which, fays he, nothing can k more false; as common sense dictates that a rebel must lay down his arms before he can receive a pardon from his prince.

This being premised, Mr. W. invites his fellowlabourers to review the whole affair; and while he does it he saps the foundations of the babels built by those who call Christ Lord! Lord! without de-

parting

parting from iniquity. Who among Christians, sayshe, is now accepted of God? Not he that like Hymeneus formerly believed, and concerning faith hath now made shipwre k—Nor he that, like Simon Magus, actually believes with a speculative, Antinomian faith; but "he that now believes in Christ with a loving and obedient heart," or as our Lord and St. Paul express it, he whose faith works by love, and whose love keeps God's commandments. This must at once overthrow the pretensions of those whose feigned faith, instead of producing a change in their hearts, only adds positiveness to their self-conceit, bitterness to their bad tempers, and perhaps licentiousness to their worldly lives.

Still carrying on his point he observes next, to the shame of loose Christians, that none are accepted of God even among the Heathens, but those that fear him and work righteousacts. Nor is his observation improper (you Sir being judge) for you tell us in your fifth se mon, † page 84, that Cornelius was a man of singular probity, humanity, and morality, and that a view of his character may perhaps convince some who consider themselves as Christians, how far short they are

even of his imperfect righteoufnefs.

This leads him, No 4, to touch upon an important objection, that will naturally occur to the mind of a Protestant, and he answers it by standing forthe necessity of works as firmly as he does against their merit in point of falvation; thus cutting down withone truly evangelical stroke, the aurogancy of selfrighteous Papifts, and the delufion of licentious Protestants, And less Antinomians should, from the Protestant doctrine that good works have absolute. ly no merit in point of falvation, take occasion toflight them and live in fin, he very properly obferves, No. 6, that believers shall be rewarded in: heavon, and are even often rewarded on earth tecause of their works, and according to heir works, which he apprehends does not so widely differ from focundum merita operum, as Protestants, in the

+ Landon re-printed for J. Johnson, 1762.

heat of their contentions with the Papifts, have been apt to conclude. No. 7. he starts another objection which Antinomians will naturally make to St. Peter's declaration, that God accepts those who

fear him and work righteousness.

And now, Hon. Sir, referving for another place the confideration of his answer, let me appeal to your candor. From the general tenor of these propositions, is it not evident, that Mr. W. (who is now among gospel-ministers what St. James formerly was among the disciples, and Mr. Baxter among the puritan divines, that is, the person peculiarly commissioned by the Bishop of souls, to defend the gospel against the incroachments of Antinomians) aims at stemming the torrent of their delutions, and not at all at injuring the very fundamental principles of Christianity, or bringing "a dreadful hores,"

You may reply, that you do not fo much consider what he aims at doing, as what he has aftually done. Nay, Sir, the intention is what a candid judge (much more a loving brother) should particularly consider. If aiming to kill a wild beast that attacks my friend, I unfortunately stab him, it is a "melancholy accident;" but he wrongs me much, who represents it as a "dreadful barbarity." In like manner, if Mr. W. has unhappily wounded the truth, in attempting to give the wolf in sheep's cloathing a killing stroke, his mistake should rather be called "well-meant legality" than atreadful herefy.

You possibly reply: "Let any one look at these minutes, and say whether all the unawakened clergy in the land would not approve and receive them." And what if they did? Would the propositions be the worse barely for this? Is nothing gospel but what directly shocks common sense? And is the apostles creed dreadfully heretical, because all the carnal clergy of the church of England, year and of the church of Rome receive it? At this strange rate we must give up the bible itself, for all the

the Socinians receive it. Ashamed of taking farther notice of an argument by which every Papist might attack the reasonable simplicity of our communion service, and defend the gross absurdity of transubstantiation, I come to an objection of greater-

weight.

"Mr. W. contradicts himself. He has hitherto preached falvation by faith, and now he talks of faluation by works, as a condition: he has a thousand times offered a free pardon to the worst of sinners, and now he has the affurance to declare, that a man is to do something in order to justification. Where will you find such inconsistencies?" Where! In the old and new Testament, and especially in the epiftles of the great preacher of free justification, and: falvation by faith. There you will see many such feeming inconsistencies as these.—Eternal life is the gift of God thro' our Lord Jesus Christ. Charge the rich to lay up in store for themselves a good foundation, that they may lay hold on eternal life; we: are temperate, to obtain an incorruptible crown.---By grace ye are faved thro' faith. In so Doing thou shalt fave thyself. Work out your own salvation .-- We are not sufficient of ourselves to think any thing as of ourselves. The Gentiles do by nature the things contained in the law .-- God justifieth the ungodly and him that worketh not. He shall render to every man according to his works, even eternal life to them who by patient continuance in well doing feek for glory.—God forbid that I should glory in any thing fave in the crofs of Christ. As the truth of God is in me, no man shall stop me of this glorying that I have kept myfelf from being burthensome .-- I am the chief of finners. I have lived in all good conscience before God until this day .-- We rejoice in Christ Jesus and have no considence in the sless. Our rejoicing is this, the testimony of our conscience, that in simplicity and godly sincerity we have had our convertation in the world .-- Not by works of righteoufness that we have done, but according to his mercy he saved us: Not of works left any man should

bouft; for if it is of works, then it is no more grace. otherwise work is no more work. I keep under my body lest I myfelf should be a cast away. ceived, whatfoever a man foweth that shall he also reap; he that foweth little shall reap little; he that foweth to the spirit shall of the Spirit reap life everlasting .-- I am persuaded that neither death, nor life, neither things prefent nor things to come, &c. shall be able to separate us from the love of God which is in Christ Telus. Those that fall away crucify to themselves the Son of God afresh, and put him to an openshame: for the earth which beareth thorns and briars is rejected, and is nigh unto curfing, whole end is to be burned. Some of the branches were broken off by unbelief, thou standest by faith; be not high-minded but fear: continue in God's goodness, otherwise thou also shalt be cut off.

Now, Sir, permit me to beg you would lay your hand upon your heart, and fay whether malicious infidels have not a fairer flow of reason to raise wicked men against St. Paul, than you have to raise good men against Mr. W. P. And whether a grain of the candor with which you would reconcile the † seeining contradictions of the great aposite, would not be more than sufficient to reconcile the feening inconsistencies of the great minister.

whom you have so warmly attacked?

Some perfons, indeed complain aloud that "Mr. W. in his new scheme of salvation by works as a condition, fairly renounces Christ's blood and righteousness." I grant that the words "blood and righteousness" are not found in the minutes, but acceptance by believing in Christ is found there, and he must be a caviller indeed who asserts that he means a Christ without blood, or a Christ without righteousness.

⁴ Most of those ferming inconsistencies of St. Paul, and those which are charged upon Mr. W. will be reconciled with the greatest case, by considering the two axioms mentioned in my. first letter. In the former part of the imaginary contradictions, those servants of God make use of the first gospel-axiom, in the latter part they employ the second, and thus declare the whole counsel of God.

from having any share in our salvation, far from forgetting the meritorious life and death of the Redeemer, he effectually guards them, and the Protestant ark, sprinkled with the atoning blood, from the rash touches of all + merit-mongers. Add to this that Mr. W. hath sufficiently declared his faith in the atonement, in thousands of sermons and hymns, some of which are continually sung both by him and the real Protestants, so that out of their own mouth the groundless charge may be resulted.

Again, the doctrine of the atonement had been fully discussed in former conferences and minutes, and Mr. W. is too methodical to bring the same thing over and over again, nor is it reasonable to expect it should be peculiarly insisted upon in a charge against Antinomians, who rather abuse than deny it. Once more, Mr. W.'s extract of the minutes is a memorandum of what was said in the latter part of a conference or conversation, and no unprejudiced person will maintain, that those who do not expressly mention the atonement in every conver-

fation do actually renounce it.

To conclude, if the author of the minutes had advanced the following propositions which you have dropped in your second sermon, you might have had some reason to suspect his not doing the atonement justice. Page 36. Christ only did that to the human nature which Adam (had he stood upright) would have done. What! Sir, would Adam have died for his posterity, or did not Christ die sor them? You add, See the true reason of his death; that he might subdue the earthly life in every sense-And Page 45, He certainly died for no other end, but that we might receive the Spirit of holinefs. Mr. W. is of a very different fentiment, Sir; for poor heretic! he believes with the Papists that Christ died to make an atonement for us, and with St. John that he is the propitiation for our fins and for the fins of the whole world. Nevertheless he-will not cry out "dreadful heresy"

+ The name that bishop Latimer gives to the Papills.

the' he will probably think that you was once a little too deeply in Mr. Law's fentiments. Leaving you to think with how much justice I might descand here upon this line of the fatyrie poet,

Dat veniam corvis, vexat centura columbas.

I remain,

Rev. and dear, Sir,

THIRD

THIRD LETTER.

Hon. and Rev. Sir,

E have seen how exceedingly commendable was Mr. W.'s design in writing what you have extracted from his last minutes; and how far from being unanswerable are the general objections, which some have moved against them. Let us now proceed to a candid inquiry into the true meaning of the propositions. They are thus prefaced:

We faid in 1744, " We have kaned too much toward

Calvinifm," Wherein?

This fingle sentence is enough, I grant, to make some persons account Mr. W. an heretic. He is no Calvinist! And what is still more dreadful, he has the assurance to say that he has leaned too much toward Calvinism! This will found like a double heresy in their ears, but not in your's, Sir, who seem to carry your anti-calvinistical notions farther than Mr. W. himself. He never spoke more clearly to the point of free grace than you do, page 85, of your sermons; God, say you, never left hinfelf without witness, not only from the visible things of the creation, but likewise from the inward witness, a spiritual seed of light fown in the foul of every fon of man, Jew, Turk, or Pagan, as well as Christian, whose kindly suffications whoever follows, will gradually perceive increasing gleams ftill leading farther on to nearer and far brighter advances, till at length a full and perfect day burfts forth upon his ravished eyes." In this single sentence, Sir, you bear the noblest testimony to all the dectrines, in which Mr. W. diffents from the Calvinists: you begin with general redemption, and end with perfection, or to use your own expression you follow him from the spiritual seed of light in a Turk, quite to the full and PERFECT day, bursting forth upon the ravished eyes of the Pagan who follows the kindly suscitations of

divine grace.

And far from making man a mere machine, you tell-us, page 140, It is true, faith is the gift of God, but the exertion of that faith, when once given, lieth in ourselves. Mr. W. grants it, Sir; but permit me to tell you, that the word ourfelves being printed in italics, feems to convey rather more anti-calvinism than he holds; for he is persuaded that we cannot exert faith without a continual influence of the same divine power that produced it. it being evident upon the golpel plan, that without Christ we can do nothing. From these and the like pallages in your fermons, I conclude, Sir, that your charge of dreadful herely does not rest upon these words. We have leaned too much towards Calvinifus. Pass we then to the next, in which Mr. W. begins to show wherein he has consented too much to the Calviniffs.

I. With regard to MAN'S FAITHFULNESS. Our Lord himself taught us to use the expression. And we ought never to be ashamed of it. We ought steadily to assert, on his authority, that if a man is not faithful in the unrighteous mammon, God will not give him the true riches.

Now, where does the herefy lie here? Is it in the word man's faithfulnefs? Is there so much faithfulnefs to God and man among professors, that he must be opposed by all good men, who dares to use the bare word? Do real Protestants account man's faithfulness a grace of supererogation, and quoting scripture, an herefy? Or do they slight what our Lord recommends in the plainest terms, and will one day reward in the most glorious manner? If not, why are they going to enter a protest against Mr. W. because he is not ashamed of Christ and his words before an evil and adulterous generation, and mill

not keep back from his immense slock, any part of the counsel of God,—much less a part that so many professors overlook, while some are daring enough to lampoon it, and others wicked enough to trample it under foot.

O Sir, if Mr. W. is to be cast out of your synagogue unless he formally recants the passage he has quoted, and which he fays we are not to be ashamed of, what will you do to the Son of God, who spoke it? What to St. Luke who wrote it? And what to good Mr. Henry, who thus comments upon it? 'If we do onot make a right use of the gifts of God's provi-4 dence, how can we expect from him those prefent and future comforts which are the gifts of his · spiritual grace? Our Saviour here compares these; and fhews, that tho' our faithful use of the things of this world cannot be thought to merit any fae vour at the hand of God, yet our unfaithfulness in the use of them may be justly reckoned a 6 FORFEITURE of that grace which is necessary to · bring us to glory. And that is it which our Sa-· viour shews, Luke xvi. 10, 11, 12. He that is · unjust, unfaithful in the LEAST; is unjust, unfaithful also in MUCH. The riches of this world are s the LESS; grace and glory are the GREATER. · Now if we be unfaithful in the less, if we use the things of this world to other purposes than those for which they were given us, it may justly be feared we shall be so in the gifts of God's grace, that we will receive them also in vain, and therefore they will be denied us. He that is faithful . in that which is least is faithful also in much. He that ferves God and does good with his money. e will ferve God and do good with the more noble and valuable talents of wifdom, and grace, and fpiritual gifts, and the earnests of heaven; but 6 he that buries the one talent of this world's wealth, • will never improve the five talents of spirit al f riches.

Thus speaks the honest commentator: and whoever charges him with legality or heresy herein, D I must

I must express my intire approbation by a shout of applause. Hail Henry! Hail Wesley! Ye faithful servants of the most high God: stand it out against an Antinomian world. Hail, ye followers of the despised Galilean: you confess him and his words before a perverse generation, he will confess you before his Father and his angels. Let not the scoffs, let not the accufations, even of good people, led by the tempter, appearing as an angel of light, make you give up one jot or tittle of your Lord's gospel. Tho' thousands should combine to brand you as legalists, papists, heretics, and antichrists, stand it out: scripture, conscience, and Jesus are on your side; be not afraid of their terror, but fanclify the Lord God in your hearts. And when you shall have occupied a little longer, and been a little more abused by your mistaken companions, your Master will come and find you employed in ferving his family, and not in beating your fellow-fervants. And while the unprofitable, unfaithful, quarrelfome servant is cast out, he will address you with a " Well done good and faithful fervants. Ye have been faithful over a few things; I will make you rulers over many things. ter into the joy of your Lord.

Excuse the length of this address; it dropped from me before I was aware, and is the fruit of the joy I feel to see "the John Goodwin of the age," and the oracle of the Calvinists, so fully agree to maintain the Christian heresy against the Antinomian orthodoxy. Nay, and you, yourself, are of the very same way of thinking. For you tell us (page 89) that God so far approved of the advances Cornelius had made towards him (by praying and giving, as you had observed before, much alms to the people) under the slender light offered him; of his earnest define of a still nearer and more intimate acquaintance with him, and of the improvements he had made of the small talent he had committed to him, that he was now about to entrust him with greater and far better treasures.

In the mouth of two such witnesses as Mr. Henry and yourself, Mr. W.'s doctrine might be established; but as I fear that some of our friends, will foon look upon you both as tainted with his herefy; I shall produce some plain scripture-instances, to prove by the strongest of all arguments, matter of fact, that man's unfaithfulness in the mammon of unrightroufuefs is attended with the worst of consequences.

You know, Sir, what destruction this sin brought upon Achan, and by his means upon Israel: and you remember how Saul's avarice, and his flying upon the spoil of the Amalekites, cost him his kingdom, together with the divine bleffing. You will perhaps object that "they forfeited only temporal mercies:" true, if they repented; but if their fin fealed up the hardness of their heart, then they

loft all.

I can however mention two who indisputably forfeited both spiritual and eternal blessings: the one is, the moral young man, whose fatal attachment to wealth is mentioned in the gospel. Go, faid our Lord to him, fell all thou haft, give to the poor, come, follow me, and thou shalt have treasure in heaven. He was unfaithful in the mammon of unrighteousness; he would not comply with the proposal, and tho' Jefus loved him, yet he stood firm to his word, he did not give him the true riches: the unhappy wretch chose to have his good things in this world, and so lost them in the next.

The other instance is that of Judas; he left all, at first, to follow Jefus; but when the devil placed him upon the high mountain of temptation, and shewed him the horrors of poverty and the alluring wealth of this world, covetouinels his befetting fin prevailed again; and as he carried the bag, he turned thief, and made a private purse. You know, Sir, that the love of money proved to him the root of all evil, and that on account of his unfaithfulness in the mammon of unrighteousness, our Lord not only did not give him the true riches, but took his D 2 every

every talent from him, his apostleship on earth, and one of the twelve thrones which he had promised him in common with the other disciples.

Some, I know, will excuse Judas by fathering his crime and damnation upon the decrees of God. But we who are not numbered among real Protestants think that sinners are reprobated as they are elected, that is, fays St. Peter, according to the forekn wedge of God: we are perfuaded, that because God's knowledge is infinite, he fore-knows future contingencies; and we think, we should insult both his holiness and omniscience, if we did not believe that he could both foresee and foresel that Judas would be unfaithful, without necessitating him to be so, that the scriptures might be fulfilled: we affert then, that as Jesus loved the poor coverous young man, so he loved his poor covetous disciple: for had he hated him he must have aded the base part of a dissembler, by shewing him for years as much love as he did the other apostles; an idea too horrid for a Christian to entertain, I shall not fay of Gcd made flesh, but even of a man that has any fincerity or truth! Judas's damnation therefore, and the ruin of the young man, according to the second axiom in the gospel, were merely of themselves, by their unbelief and unfaithfulness in the mammon of unrighteousness; for how could they believe, feeing they reposed their trak in uncertain riches!

Thus, Sir, both the express declaration of our Lord, and the plain histories of the scripture, agree to confirm this fundamental principle in Christianity, that when God works upon man, he expects faithfulness from man; and that when man, as a moral agent, grieves and quenches the spirit that strives to make him faithful, temporal and eternal ruin are the inevitable consequence.

Thus far then the minutes, contain a great, evangelical truth, and not a shadow of heresy. Let us fee whether the dreadful fnake lurks under the fecond proposition.

II. We have leaned too much towards Calvinifm, 2. With regard to Working for life. This also our Lord has expressly commanded us. Labour (ER-GAZESTHE, literally WORK) FOR THE MEAT THAT ENDURETH TO EVERLASTING LIFE. And in fact every believer, till he comes to glory, works for as well

as FROM life.

Here Mr. W. strikes at a fatal mistake of all Antinomians, many honest Calvinists, and not a few who are Arminians in fentiment and Calvinists in practice. All these, when they see that man is by nature dead in trespasses and sins, lie easy in the mire of iniquity, idly waiting till by an irrelistible act of omnipotence, God pulls them out without any striving on their part. Multitudes uncomfortably stick here, and will probably continue to do fo, till they receive and heartily embrace that part of the gospel which is now alas! called herefy. Then shall these poor prisoners in giant Despair's castle, find the key of their dungeon about them, and perceive that the word is nigh them, yea, in their mouth and in their heart: flirring up the gift of God within them, and in hope believing against hope, they will happily lay hold of eternal life, and apprehend by the confidence of faith, him that has apprehended them by convictions of fin.

But now, instead of imitating Lazarus, who when the Lord had called him, and restored life to his putrifying body, came forth out of his grave, though he was bound hand and foot; these mistaken men indolently wait till the Lord drags them out, not considering that it is more than he has promised to do. On the contrary, he reproves by his prophet those that do not stir themselves up to lay hold on him; and deciding the point himself, says, Turn ye at my reproof; behold I will pour out my Spirit upon you; because I called and ye refused, I stretched out my hands unto you and

and no man regarded, I will mock when your fear cometh.

Should you object, that the case is not similar, because the Lord gave life to the dead body of Lazarus, whereas our souls are dead in fin by nature. True, Sir, by nature; but does not grace reign to controul nature? And as by the offence of one, judgment came upon all men to condemnation; even fo by the righteousness of one, is not the free gift come upon all men to justification of life? According to the promise made to our first parents, and of course to all men then contained in their loins, is not the feed of the woman always nigh, both to reveal and bruife the ferpent's head? Is not Christ the light of men-the light of the world come into the world? Shineth he not in the darkness of our nature, even when the darkness comprehends him not? And is not this light the life, the spiritual life of men? Can this be denied, if the light is Christ, and if Christ is the refurrection and the life, who came that we might have life and that we might have it more abundantly?

In this scriptural view of free grace, what room is there for the ridiculous cavil, that "Mr. W. wants the dead to work for life?" God of his infinite mercy in Jesus Christ, gives to poor sinners naturally dead in fin, a talent of free, preventing, quickening grace, which reproves them of fin; and when it is followed, of righteoufness and judgment. which some Calvinists call common grace, is granted to all, without any respect of persons; so that even the poor Jew Herod, if he had not preferred the finiles of his Herodias to the convincing light of Christ, which shone in his conscience, would have been faved as well as John the Baptist; and that poor Heathen Felix, if he had not hardened his heart in the day of his rifitation, would have sweetly experienced that Christ had as much tasted death for him as he did for St. Paul. The living light visited them; but they not working while it was day, or refusing to cut off the right hand which the Lord ealled for, fell at last into that night wherein no man

(an

can work: their candlestick was removed, their lamp went out. They quenched their smoaking slax, or in other words their talent unimproved was justly taken from them. Thus, tho' once thro' grace they could work, they died while they lived; and so were, as says St. Jude, twice dead, dead in Adam by that sentence, in the day that thou eatest thereof thou shall furely die; and dead in themselves, by personally renouncing Christ the life, or rejecting the light

of his convincing Spirit.

This being premifed, I ask, Where is the heresy in this paragraph of the minutes? Does it consist in quoting a plain passage out of one of our Lord's sermons? Or in daring to produce in the original, under the horrible soim of the decagrammaton ergazesthe, that dreadful tetragrammaton work? Surely, Sir, you have too much piety to maintain the former, and too much good sense to assert the latter. Does it consist in saying that believers work from life? (for of such only Mr. W. here speaks) Do not all grant, that he who believeth hath life, yea, everlasting life, and therefore can work? And have not I proved from scripture, that the very Heathens are not without some light and grace to work suitably to their dispensation?

The herefy, fay you, does not confift in afferting that the believer works from, but for life. Does it indeed? Then the Lord Jesus is the hereta; for Mr. W. only repeats what he spoke above 1700 years ago: Labour, says he, (Ergozesthe,) work for the meat that endureth to everlasting LIFE. Enter therefore your protest against St. John's gospel, if Christ will not formally recant it; and not against the minutes of his servant, who dares not take away from his Lord's words, for sear God should take away

his part out of the book of life.

But if the Son of God is an heretic for putting the unbelieving Jews upon working by that dreadful word ergazesthe, St. Paul is undoubtedly an arch heretic, for corroborating it by a strong preposition: Katergazesthe, says he to the Philippians, WORK OUT—and what is most astonishing work out YOUR OWN SALVATION. Your own falvation! Why, Paul, this is even worse than working for life; for salvation implies a deliverance from all guilt, sin, and misery; together with obtaining the life of grace here, and the life of glory hereaster. Ah! poor legal apostle, what a pity is it, thou didst not live in our evangelical age! Some by explaining to thee the mystery of sinished salvation, or by protessing in a body against thy dreadful heresy, might have saved the fundamental dostrines of Christianty: and the John Goodwin of the age would not have had thee to bear him out in his pharisaical, and papistical delusions.

Here you reply, that "St. Paul gives God all the glory by maintaining that it is he who works in us both to will and to do of his good pleasure." And does not Mr. W. do the same? Hath he not for near forty years steadily asserted, that all power to think a good thought, much more to will or do a good work is from God, by mere grace, thro' the merits of Jesus Christ, and the agency of the holy Spirit? If any dares to deny it, myriads of witnesses who have heard him preach, and thousands of printed fermons, hymns, and tracts dispersed thro' the three

kingdoms, will prove it.

But let us come closer to the point. Is not Christ the bread that came down from heaven to give life to the world?—Is he not the meat that endureth to everlasting life? The meat which he directs even the poor Capernaites to work for? Must we not come to him for that meat? Is not coming to Christ, a work of the heart? Yea the work of God? The work that God peculiarly calls for? John vi. 28, 29. Does not our Lord complain of those who will not work for life? That is, come unto him that they might have life, or that they might have it more abundantly? And must not every believer do this work—come to Christ for life, yea and live upon him every day and every hour?

Again,

Again, Sir, consider these scriptures, He that believeth hath everlassing life, He that hath the Son hath life; Compare them with the following complaint, None stirreth up himself to lay hold on God: and with the charge of St. Paul to Timothy, Lay hold on eternal life: and let us know, whether stirring up oneself to lay hold on the God of our life, and actually laying hold on eternal life are not works, and works for, as well as from life? And whether believers are dispensed from these works till they come to glory?

Once more: please to tell us, if praying, using ordinances, running a race, taking up the cross, keeping under the body, wrestling, fighting a good fight, are not works; and if all believers are not to do them, till death brings them a discharge? If you fay, that "they do them from life and not for life," you still point blank oppose our Lord's express de-

claration.

A similar instance will make you sensible of it. Lot slies out of Sodom. How many works does he do at once! He hearkens to God's messengers, obeys their voice, sacrifices his property, forsakes all, prays, runs, and escapes for his life. No, says one, wifer than seven men who can render a reason, "You should not say, that he escapes for life, but from life: Do not hint, that he runs "to preserve his life;" you should say that he does it "because he is alive." What an admirable diftinction is this!

Again; my friend is consumptive. I fend for a Physician who prescribes "he must ride out every day for his life." Some other Physicians see the prescription and by printed letters raise all the gentlemen of the faculty, to insist in a body on a formal recantation of this dreadful prescription: declaring the health of thousands is at stake, if we say that consumptive people are to ride for life, as well as

from life. Rilum teneatis amici?

But they who protest, against Mr. W. for mainataining that we ought to work for as well as from life, must protest also against a body of puritan Di-

vines, who in the last century being shocked at Dr. Crisp's doctrine, thus bore their testimony against it. 'To say salvation is not the end of any good work we do, or, we are to act from life and onot ron life were to abandon the human nature: it were to teach us to violate the great ' precepts of the gospel; it supposes one bound to do more for the falvation of others, than out own; 'twere to make all the threatnings of eterand death, and promises of eternal life in the gose pel, useless, as motives to shun the one, or obfain the other: and it makes the scripture-characters and commendation of the most eminent faints, a fault:' for they all escaped out of Sodom or Babylon for their lives; they all wrestled for, and laid hold on eternal life. Preface to Mr. Flavel's book against Antinomianism. Thus, Sir, the very Calvinists were ashamed a hundred years ago, of the grand Crispian tenet that we ought not to work for life.

And I am glad to find, you are as far from this error as they were; for you tell us in your fermons, page 69, that 'The gracious end of Christ's coming into the world was to give eternal LIFE to those who were DEAD in fins, and that eternal life does consist in knowing the true God and Jesus Christ whom he hath sent: you assure us next that this life begins by "an exploring desire," and that God by giving it "only means to be earnestly sought, that he may be more successfully and

more happily found."

Perhaps some suppose the expression of working for life, implies the working in order to merit or purchase lise. But as our Lord's words convey no such idea, so Mr. W. takes care positively to exclude it, by those words, Not by the merit of works: for he knows that eternal life is the gift of God; and yet with St. Paul he says. Labour to enter into rest, lest ye fall after the example of Israel's unbelief: and with the great anticrispian Divine Jesus Christ, he cries aloud, Strive to walk in the

parrow way—agonize to enter in at the first gate that leads to LIFE.

I pass to the third instance which he produces of his having leaned too much towards Calvinism.

III. 3. We have received it as a maxim that " a man is to do nothing IN ORDER to justification:" nothing can be more false. Whoever desires to find favour with God should CEASE FROM EVIL AND LEARN TO DO WELL. Whoever repents, should do WORKS MEET FOR REPENTANCE. And if this is not IN ORDER to find favour, what does he do them for?

To do Mr. W. justice, it is necessary to consider what he means by justification. And first, he docs not mean, that general benevolence of our merciful God towards finful mankind, whereby, thro the Lamb slain from the foundation of the world, he casts a propitious look upon them, and freely makes them partakers of the light that enlightens every man that cometh into the world. This general lovingkindness is certainly previous to any thing we can do to find it; for it always prevents us, faying to us, in our very infancy, live: and when we turn from the paths of life, still crying, Why will ye die? In confequence of this general mercy our Lord says, Let little children come unto me: for of fuch is the king-dom of heaven. Much less does Mr. W. understand what Dr. Crisp calls eternal justification, which because I do not see in the scripture I shall say nothing of.

But the justification he speaks of as something that we must find, and in order to which something must be done, is either that public and final justification which our Lord mentions in the gospel, By thy words thou shall be justified, and by thy words thou shall be condemned; and in this sense no man in his wits will find fault with Mr. W.'s affertion; as it is evident that we must absolutely do something, that is, speak good words, in order to be justified by our words. Or he means forgiveness, and the witness of

of it; that wonderful transaction of the Spirit of God, in a returning prodigal's conscience, by which the forgiveness of his sins is proclaimed to him that the blood of sprinkling.—This is what Mr. W. and St. Paul generally mean. It is thus, that Being justified by faith we have peace with God, through our Lor's Jesus Christ.

And now do not scripture, common sense, and experience shew, that fomething must be done in order to attain or find, tho' not to merit and purchase this

jultification?

Please to answer the following questions founded upon the express declarations of God's word. To him that ordereth his converfation aright will I show the falvation of God. Is ordering our conversation right doing nothing? - Repent ye and be converted that your fins may be blotted out. Are repentance and conversion nothing? Come unto me, all ye that are hears laden, and I will give you rest, I will justify you. coming doing nothing? Ceafe to do evil, learn to as . well. Come now, let us reason together, and tho' your fins be red as crimfon they shall be white as fnow, you shall be justified. Is ceasing to do evil, and learning to do well, doing nothing? - Seek the Lord while he may be found, call upon him while he is near: let the wicked forfake hs way, and the righteous man his thoughts; and let him return unto the Lord, and he will have mercy upon him, and to our God, for he will abunduntly pardon. Is feeking, calling, forfaking one's war and returning to the Lord a mere nothing? - Aft and you shall receive, feek and you shall find, knock and it shall be opened unto you. Yea, take the kingdom of heaven by force. Is feeking, asking, knocking and taking by force doing absolutely nothing? Please to answer these questions, and when you have done, I'll throw one or two hundred more of the like-kind in your way.

Let us now fee whether Reason is not for Mr. W. as well as scripture. Do you not maintain that "believing is necessary in order to our justification?" If you do, you subscribe Mr. W.'s heresy; for be-

lieotn;

lieving is not only doing fomething, but necessarily supposes a variety of things. Faith cometh by hearing, and sometimes by reading, which implies attending the ministry of the word, and scarching the scriptures as the Bereans did. It likewise pre-suppoles at least the attention of the mind, and concont of the heart, to a revealed truth, or the confideration, approbation and receiving of an object proposed to us: nay, it implies renouncing worldly and seeking divine honor; for, says our Lord, How can you believe who receive honor one of another, and feek not the honor that cometh from God only. And if none can believe in Christ unto salvation, but those who give up seeking worldly honors, by a parity of reason they must give up following slessily lufts, and putting their trust in uncertain riches: in a word, they must own themselves sick, and renounce their physicians of no value, before they can make one true application to the invaluable physician. What a variety of things is therefore implied in believing, which we cannot but acknowledge previous to justification! Who can then confistently with reason, blame Mr. W. for saying fomething must be done in order to justification?

Again, if nothing is required of us in order to justification, who can find fault with those that die in a state of condemnation? They were born in fin and children of wrath, and nothing was required of them in order to find favour: it remains therefore, that they are—damned, thro' an absolute decree, made thousands of years before they had any existence! If some can swallow this camel with the greatest case, I doubt, Sir, it will not go down with you, without bearing very hard upon the knowledge you have of the God of love, and

the gospel of Jesus.

Once more: Mr. W. concludes his proposition by a very pertinent question: "When a man that is not justified, does works meet for repensance, what does he do them for? Permit me to answer it according to scripture and common sense. If he does them in

order to purchase the divine favour, he is under a felf-righteous delusion; but if he does them, as Mr. W. says, in order to FIND what Christ hath purchased for him, he acts the part of a wise Protestant.

Should you say that such a penitent does works meet for repentance, from a fense of gratitude for redeeming love: I answer, this is impossible: for that love must be shed abroad in his heart, by the Holy Ghost given unto him, in consequence of his justification, before he can aft from the tense of that love and the gratitude which it excites. I hope, it is no herely to maintain, that the cause must go before the effect. I conclude then that those who have not yet found the pardoning love of God, do works meet for repentance in order to and it. They abstain from those outward evils which once they purfued; they do the outward good which the convincing spirit prompts them to: they use the means of grace, confess their sins, and ask pardon for them: in short they seek the Lord, encouraged by that promise, They that feek me early shall find me. And Mr. W. supposes they seek in erder to find; in the name of candor where is the harm, of that supposition?

When the poor woman has lost her piece of fileer she lights a candle, says our Lord, she sweeps the house and fearches diligently till she finds it. Mr. W. asks, If she does not do all this in order to find it, what does she do it for? At this the alarm is taken, and the post carries through various provinces printed letters against old Mordecai, and a synod is called together, to protest against the dreadful error.

This reminds me of a little anecdote. Some centuries ago, one Virgilius (I think) a German bi-fhop, was bold enough to look over the walls of ignorance and superstition, which then inclosed all Europe. And he saw, that if the earth was round, there must be antipodes. Some minutes of his observations were sent to the Pope. His Holiness who understood geography as much as divinity,

took fright. Fancying the unheard-of affertion was injurious to the very fundamental principles of Christianity. He directly called together the cardinals, as wife as himself, and by their advice issued out a Bull condemning the heretical dostrine, and the poor bishop was obliged to make a formal recantation of it under pain of excommunication. Which are we to admire most? The zeal of the conclave, or that of the real Protestants? In the mean time let me observe, that as all the Roman Catholics do now acknowledge, that there are anti-podes, so all real Protestants will one day acknowledge, that penitents seek the fayour of God in order to find it; unless some rare genius should be able to demonstrate, that it is, in order to loofe it.

Having defended Mr. W.'s third propolition from scripture and common sense, permit me to do it also from experience. And here I might appeal to the most established persons in Mr.W.'s societies; but as their testimony may have little weight with you, I wave it, and appeal to all the accounts of found conversions that have been published since Calvin's Shew me one, Sir, wherein it appears that a mourner in Sion found the above described justification, without doing some previous works meet for repentance. If you cannot produce one such in-. stance, Mr. W.'s doctrine is supported by the printed experiences of all the converted Calvinists, as well as of all the believers in his own societies. . Nor am I afraid to appeal even to the experience of your own friends. If any one of these can say with a good conscience that he found the abovedescribed justification without first stopping in the career of outward fin, without praying, seeking, and confessing his guilt and misery, I promise to give up the minutes. But if none can make such a declaration you must grant, Sir, that experience is on Mr. W.'s side, as much as reason, revelation, the best Calvinists, and yourself. I say yourself.

Give me leave to produce but one instance.

Page 76 of your fermons, you address those who fee

themselves destitute of that knowledge of God which is eternal life, the very same thing that Mr. W. calls justification; and which you define, a home selt knowledge of God by the experience of his love, being sked abroad in our hearts by the Holy Ghost given unto us. The Spirit of God bearing witness with our spirit, that we are the children of God, and you recommend to them to seek and yness after it." Now Sir, seeking and pressing after it, is certainly doing something in order to sind it.

I must not conclude my vindication of the third proposition, without answering a specious objection. "If we must do fomething in order to justification, farewell free justification: it is no more of grace, but of works, and consequently of debt. The middle wall of partition between the church of Rome, and the church of England, is pulled down, and the two sticks in the hands of that here-

sical juggler, J. W. are become one."

I reply (1.) that some who think they are real pillars in the Protestant church may be nearer the church of Rome than they are aware of: for Rome is far more remarkable for lording it over God's heritage, and calling the most faithful servants of God heretics, than even for her pharifaic exalting of good works. - (2.) If the church of Rome had not infifted upon the necessity of unrequired unprofitable, and foolish works; and if she had not arrogantly ascribed faving merit to works, yea to merely external performances, and by that means clouded the merits of Christ; no reasonable Protestant would have separated from her on account of her regard for works. (3.) Nothing can be more abfurd than to affirm that when fomething is required to be done in order to receive a favour, the favour lifes the name of a free gift, and directly becomes a debt. Long, too long, persons who have more honesty than wildom, have been frighted from the plain. path of duty by a phantom of their own making. O may the snare break at last! And why should not it break now? Have not sophisms been wiredrawn, till they break of themselves in the fight

of every attentive spectator?

I say to two beggars, Hold out your hand: here is an alms for you. The one complies and the other refuses. Who in the world will dare to say, that my charity is no more a free-gift, because I bestow it only upon the man that held out his hand? Will nothing make it free but my wrenching his hand open, or forcing my bounty down his throat? Again, the king says to four rebels, throw down your arms; surrender, and you shall have a place, both in my savour and at court. One of them obeys and becomes a great man; the others upon refusal are caught and hanged. What sophister will sace me down, that the pardon and place of the former, are not freely bestowed upon him, because he did. something in order to obtain them? Once more,

The God of providence fays, If you plow, fow, harrow, fence, and weed your fields, I will give the increase, and you shall have a crop. Farmers obey: and are they to believe, that because they do so many things towards their harvest, it is not the free gift of heaven? Do not all those who fear God, know that their ground, seed, cattle, strength, yea, and their very life, are the gifts of God? Does not this prevent their claiming a crop as a debt? And make them consess, that tho it was suspended on their plowing, &c. it is the unmerited bounty of heaven?

Apply this Sir, to the present case, and you will see that our doing something in order to justification, does not in the least hinder it from being, a free gift; because whatever we do in order to it, we do it by the grace of God preventing us that we may have a good-will, and working with us when we have that good-will; all being of free, most absolutely free grace, through the merits of Christ. And nevertheless so sure as a farmer, in the appointed ways of providence, shall have no harvest if he does nothing towards it; a profession

fessor in the appointed ways of grace (let him talk of sinished salvation all the year round) shall go without justification and salvation, unless he does something towards them. He that now goeth on his way weeping, says the Psalmist, and beareth forth good seed, shall doubtless come again with joy, and bring his sheaves with him. Be not deceived, says the Apostle, what sever a man soweth that shall he also reap; and he only that seweth to the spirit shall of the spirit reap life everlassing. David therefore and St. Paul must be proved enemies to free grace, before Mr. W. can be represented as such; for they both sowed in tears before they reaped in joy: their doctrine and experience went hand in hand together.

Having now vindicated the three first propositions of the minutes, levelled at three dangerous tenets of Dr. Crisp, and shewn, that not only yourfelf, Sir, but moderate Calvinists are (so far) in-

tirely of Mr. W.'s sentiment. I remain.

Hon. and Rev. Sir,

Your obedient servant.

In the bond of a free and peaceful gospel,

J. F.

FOURTH

FOURTH LETTER.

Hon. and Rev. Sir,

If the three first propositions of the minutes are scriptural, Mr. W. may well begin the remaining part, by desiring the preachers in his connection to emerge along with him from under the noisy billows of prejudice, and to struggle quite out of the muddy streams of Antinomian desusions, which have so long gone over our heads, and carried so many souls down the channels of vice, into the lake that burneth with fire and brimstone. Well may he intreat them to

Review the whole affait.

And why should this modest request, alarm any one? The error dreads a revisal, truth, you know, cannot but gain by it.

I.

Mr. W. fays in this review,

1. Who is NOW accepted of God? He that now be-

kieves in Christ with a loving obedient heart.

Excellent answer! Worthy of St. Paul and St. James; for it sums up in one line the Epistles of both. In the first part of it, (He that now believes in Christ) you see St. Paul's gospel calculated for lost sinners, who now fly from the babel of self-righteousness and sin, and find all things in Christ ready for their reception. And in the second part, (with a loving and obedient heart) you see the strong bulwark raised by St. James, to guard the truth of the gospel against the attacks of Antinomian and Laodicean professors. Had he said, "he that shall believe

believe the next hour, is now accepted," he would have bestowed upon present unbelief the blessing that is promised to present faith. Had he said "he that believed a year ago, is now accepted of God," he would have opened the kingdom of heaven to apostates, contrary to St. Paul's declarations to the Hebrews. He therefore very properly says he that now believes; for it is written, he that believeth, (not he that shall believe, or he that did believe) hath

everlasting life. What fault can you then find with Mr. W. here? Surely you cannot blame him for proposing Christ as the object of the Christian's faith, or for saving that the believer hath a loving and obedient heart; for he speaks of the accepted man, and not of him who comes for acceptance. Multitudes alas! rest latisfied with an unloving, difobedient faith, - a faith that engages only the head, but has nothing to do with the heart - a faith that works by malice, instead of working by love - a faith that pleads for sin in the heart, instead of purifying the heart from sin - a faith that St. Paul explodes, 1 Cor. xiii. 2; and that St. James compares to a carcals, Chap ii. There is no need that Mr. W. should countenance such a faith by his minutes. Too many alas! do it by their lives; and God grant none may do it by their fermons. Whoever does, Sir, it is not you; for you tell us in your's, page 150, that Christ is to be found only by living faith; even a faith that worketh by love; even a faith that layeth hold of Christ by the feet, and worshippeth him-the very faith of Mary Magdalen, who certainly had a loving and obedient heart, for our Lord testified that she buck much, and ardent love cannot but be zealoufly obedient. There is not then the least shadow of herely, but the very marrow of the gospel in this article. Let us fee whether the fecond is equally defenuble.

IT.

^{2.} But who among those that never heard of Christ? He that feareth God, and worketh rightconfues, according to the light he has.

And

And where is the error here? Did not St. Peter begin his evangelical fermon to Cornelius by these very words, prefaced by some others that make them remarkably emphatical? Of a truth I perceive that God is no respective of persons; but in every nation he that search God and worketh righteousness is accepted of him. Surely, Sir, you will never infilt upon a formal recantation of a plain scripture!

But perhaps you object to those words which Mr. W. has added to St. Peter's declaration, according to the light he hath. What should it be " according to the light he has not?" Are not there people enough among us, who follow the wicked fervant that intimated his Lord was an hard and auftere man reaping where he had not fown, and gathering where he had not straw'd? Must Mr. W. increase the number? Or would you have him infinuate that God is more cruel than Pharaoh, who granted the poor Israelites day-light, if he allowed them no straw to make bricks--that he requires an Heathen to work without any degree of light, without a day of visitation, in the Egyptian darkness of a merely natural state?---And that he will then damn and torment him everlastingly, either for not doing or for marring his work? O Sir, like yourfelf, Mr. W. is too evangelical to entertain such notions of the God of love.

"At this rate, say some, an Heathen may be saved without a Saviour; his searing God and working righteousness will go for the blood and righteousness of Christ." Mr. W. has no such thought: whenever an Heathen is accepted, it is merely through the merits of Christ: altho' it is in consequence of his searing God and working righteousness." "But how comes he to see that God is to be feared, and that righteousness is his delight?" Because a beam of our Sun of righteousness shines in his darkness. All is therefore of grace, the light, the works of righteousness done by that light, and acceptance in consequence of them. How much more evangelical is this doctrine of St. Peter, than

that of some Divines, who consign all the Heathens by millions to hell torments, because they cannot explicitly believe in a Saviour whose name they never heard? Nay, and in whom it would be the greatest arrogancy to believe, if he never died for them? Is it not possible that Heathens should by grace, reap some blessings thro' Adam the second, tho' they know nothing of his name and obedience unto death; when they, by nature, reap so many curses thro' Adam the sirft, to whose name and disobedience they are equally strangers? If this is an herefy, it is such an one as does honor to Jesus and humantity.

2d. Obj. "Mr. W. by allowing the possibility of a righteous Heathen's salvation goes point blank against the 18th article of our Church which be

has folemnly subscribed."

Ans. This affertion is groundless. Mr. W. far from presuming to say that an Heathen can be saved by the law or sett that he profess, if he frames has life according to the light of nature, cordially believes that all the Heathens who are saved, are so thre the name, that is, thro' the merit and Spirit of Christ; by framing their life, not according to I know not what light naturally received from falken Adam, but according to the supernatural light, which Christ graciously affords them, in the dispensation they are under.

gd. Obj. "However if he does not impugn the 18th article, he does the 13th, which says, that Works done before justification, or before the grace of Christ, and the inspiration of his Spirit, for as much as they proceed not from faith in Christ, are not pleasant

to God, yea have the nature of fin."

Nay, this article does not affect Mr. W.'s doctrine; for he constantly maintains, that if the works of a Melchisedec, a Job, a Plato, a Cornelius are accepted, it is only because they follow the general justification above-mentioned; (which is possibly what St. Paul calls the free gift that came upon ALL men to justification of life, Rom. v.

18.) and because they proceed from the grace of Christ and the inspiration of his Spirit: they are not therefore done before that grace and inspiration, as are the works which the article condemns.

4th Obj. "But all that is not of faith is fin, and

without faith it is impossible to please God."

Ans. True; therefore he that cometh to God must BELIEVE that he is, and that he is a rewarder of them that diligently feek him. Cornclius had undoubtedly this faith, and a degree of it is found in all sincere Heathens. For Christ the light of men visits all, tho' in a variety of degrees and dispensations. He said to the carnal Jews that believed not on him: Yet a little while the light is with you; walk while ye have the light, left darkness come upon you: While ye have the light, BELIEVE IN THE LIGHT, that ye may be the children of the light. All the Heathens that are faved, are then faved, by an explicit faith in Jesus the light of the world; or, to use our Lord's own words, by believing in the light of their dispensation, before the day of their visitation is past, before total darkness comes upon them, even the night when no man can work.

5. Obj. "But if Heathens can be faved without the gospel, what need is there of the Christian dis-

penlation?

Ans. (1.) None of them was ever saved without a beam of the internal light of the gospel, which is preached in (10) every treature under heaven, Col. i. 23. (2.) The argument may be retorted: if sinners could be saved under the patriarchal dispensation, what need was there of the Mosaic? If under the Mosaic, what need of John's baptism? If under the baptism of John, what need of Christianity? Or to answer by a comparison; if we can see our way by star-light, what need is there of moon-shine? If by moon-shine, what need of the dawn of day? If by the dawn of day, what need of the rising-sun?

The brightness of divine dispensations, like the light of the rightcous, shines more and more unto the perfect

fell day: And tho' an Heathen may be faved in his low dispensation, and attain unto a low degree of glory, which the Apostle compares to the shiring of a star, (for in my Father's house, says Christ, there are many mansions) yet it is an unspeakable advantage to be faved from the darkness attending his uncomfortable dispensation, into the full enjoyment of the life and immortality brought to light by the extlecit gospel. Well might then the angel say to Cornelius, who was already accepted according to his dispossation, that Peter should tell him words whereby he mould be faved-faved from the weakness, darknels, bondage, and tormenting fears attending his present state, into that blessed states of light, comfort, liberty, power, and glorious joy, where he that is feeble is as David, and the house of David as God, or as the angel of the Lord.

Having thus briefly answered the objections that are advanced against St. Peter's and Mr. W.'s doctrine, proceed we to the 3d query, in the review

of the whole alfair.

III.

-3. Is this this fame with he that is fincere? Nearly,

if not quite.

In the name of charity where is the error of this answer? Where the shadow of herefy? Do you suppose, by he that is however Mr. W. means a carnal unwakened wretch, who boufts of his imaginary fincerity? No, Sir, he means one who, in God's account, and not barely in his own, fincerely and uprightly follows the light of his dispensation. Now, if you expose Mr. W. as guilty of herefy, for using this word once, what protests will you enter against St. Paul, for using it over and over? How will you blame him for defiring the Ephelians (according to the fine reading of our margin) to be fincere in love! adedworks, or again - Or for willing nothing greater to his dear Philippians, than that they might be fineere in the day of Christ! Oh Sir, to fear, and much more to love, the I ord in funcents

is a great and rare thing, Eph. vi. 24. We find every where too much of the old leaven of malice, and too little of the unleavened bread of fincerity and truth, a Cor. v. 8. Think not therefore that Mr. W. betrays the cause of God, because he thinks that to be fincere, and to fear God and work righteousness, are

expressions nearly, if not quite, synonimous.

But you do not perhaps find fault with Mr. W. for setting accepted Heathens too low, but too high, by giving them the character of being fincere. For you know that our translators render the Hebrew word (Thmim) sometimes fincere, at other times upright, undefield, and most commonly perfect. As in these sentences, Noah was a perfect man, Job was a perfect man, &c. May not then Mr. W. secretly bring in his abominable doctrine of perfection, under the less frightful expression of fincerity? Of this more by and by.

In the mean time I shall close my vindication of the ed. and gd. query, by the sentiments of two unquestionable Protestants on the present subject. The one is Mr. Henry in his comment on St. Peter's words. 'God, says he, never did, nor ever will e reject an honest Gentile, who fears God and worships him, and works righteousness, i. c. is ight and charitable towards all men, who lives up to the light he has in a SINCERE devotion, and regular conversation.—Wherever God finds an upright man he will be found an upright • God, Pf. 18. 25.—And those that have not the 6 knowledge of Christ, and therefore cannot have an explicit regard to him, may yet receive grace for his sake, to fear God, and work righteous-6 ness; and wherever God gives grace to do so, as he did to Cornelius, he will thro' Christ accept the work of his own hands.' Here, Sir, you have the very doctrine of Mr. W. quite down to the heretical word fincere.

The other divine, Sir, is yourfelf. You tell us in your fermon on the fame text, that 'We cannot but admire, and adore God's universal tenderanes, and pity for every people and nation unif der

der heaven, in that he willeth not the death of
 any fingle finner, but accepteth every one into

6 gospel-covenant with him, who feareth him and 6 worketh righteousness according to the light im-

' parted to him.'

Now, Sir, where is the difference between your orthodoxy and Mr. W.'s herefy? He afferts God accepts 'him that fears God and works righteousness according to the light he has:' Mr. Henry says, 'him that lives up to the light he has:' and you, Sir, 'him who feareth God and worketh righteousness according to the light imparted to him.' If Mr. W. must share the sate of Shadrach for his herefy, I doubt Mr. Henry will have that of Meshech, and you, of Abedneso; for you are all three in the same honorable condemnation.

But Mr. W. foresceing that some will be offended at St. Peter's evangelical declaration, concerning the acceptance of sincere Heathens who work righteousness, proposes and answers the follow-

ing objection.

IV.

A. Is not this falvation by works? Not by the MERIT of works, but by works as a CON-DITION. In the former part of this answer, Mr. W. freely grants all you can require, to guard the gospel, against the Popish doctrine of making fatisfaction for fin, and meriting falvation by works: for he maintains that tho' God accepts the Heathen that works rightcounters, yet it is not through the merit of his works, but folely thros Is not this the very doctrine of that of Christ. our church in her 11th article which treats of justiheation? We are accounted righteous before God only for the MERIT of our Lord Jefus Christ by factily, and not for our own works or DESERVINGS. Does not the opposition of the two fentences, and the explanatory word deferrings, evidently show that works meet for repentance are not excluded from being in the finner that comes to be justified, but from having any merit or worth to purchase his

justification?

Our church expresses herself more fully on this head in the homily on falvation, to which the article refers. St. Paul, Jays she, declares nothing (neceffary) on the behalf of man concerning his justification, but only a true and lively faith, and yet (N. B.) that faith does not flut out repentance, hope, love, (of defire when we are coming, love of delight when we are come) dread, and the fear of God, to be joined with it in every man that is justified; but it shutteth them out from the office of justifying; thu' they be all present together in him that is justified, yet they justify not all together." This is agrecable to St. Peter's doctrine, maintained by Mr. W. Only faith in Christ for Christians, and faith in the light of their dispensation for Heathens, is necessary in order to acceptance: but tho' faith only justifies, yet it is never alone; for repentance, hope, love of defire, and the fear of God necessarily accompany this faith if it is living. Our church therefore is not at all against works proceeding from, or accompanying faith in all its stages. She grants that whether faith feeks or finds its object, whether it longs for or embraces it, it is still a liveby, active, and working grace. She is only against the vain conceit that works have any hand in meriting justification or purchasing falvation, which is what Mr. W. likewife oppofes.

If you fay, that his herefy does not confift in exploding the ment of works in point of falvation, but in using that legal expression falvation by works, as a condition. I answer, that as I would not contend for the word trinity, because it is not in the bible, no nor yet for the word perfession tho it is there, neither would I contend for the expression, falvation by works, as a condition. But the thing Mr. W. means by it, is, there in a hundred different turns and modes of expression. Therefore it is highly worth contending for: and so much the more as it is, next to the dostrine

οť

F 2

of the atonement, the most important part of the

faith once delivered unto the faints.

Any candid person acquainted with Mr. W.'s principles, (and for fuch only the minutes were written) cannot but see that he meant absolutely nothing, but what our Saviour means in these and the like scriptures, namely, that falvation, is sufpended on a variety of things which Divines call by various names, and which Mr. W. with a majority of them chuses to call conditions. ye repent ye shall all perish—Except ye be converted, and become as little children, ye shall not enter into the kingdom of heaven .- Here repentance, and conversion are conditions of eternal falvation.—If ye believe not ye shall die in your fins : for this is the WORK of God, the work that God requires and approves, that ye believe on him whom he hath fent.—Here the work of faith is the condition.—I am alpha and omega, the first and the last. Bleffed are they that do his commandments, that they may have RIGHT to the tree of life, and may enter In thro' the gates into the city. And here it is, doing God's commandments.

St. Paul, evangelical Paul, says the same thing in a variety of expressions. If any man love not the Lord Jesus, let him be anothema. If sove the noblest work of the heart, does not take place, the fearful curse will.—If ye live ofter the stell ye shall die, but of ye thro' the Spirit mortify the deeds of the sless ye shall die, but of ye thro' the Spirit mortify the deeds of the sless ye shall die, but of ye thro' the Spiritual mortification is here the condition.—Without holiness no man shall see the Lord. Here holiness is the condition.—Be not decrived; neither fornicators, nor covetous, nor drunkards, northieves, nor recalers shall inherit the kingdom of God. Here ceasing from fornication, drunkenness, &c. is the same condition.

St. John is in the same condemnation as Mr. W. for he declares, There shall in no unse enter into the new Jerusalem any thing that defileth, neither what so ever worketh abomination, or maketh a lie. Here the condition is not working abomination, &c. Whosever hatch his brother is a murderer, and ye know that no

murderer hath eternal life. Here the condition is

ceasing from hatred, the murder of the heart.

St. Peter is equally deep in the herely. In a variety of expressions he describes the misery and fatal latter end, of those who escape the pollutions of the world thro' the knowledge of the Lord Jesus, and are again antan, led therein, thro' the non-personnance of this condition, If ye do these things, ye shall never sall.

As for St. James, I need not quote him: you know, that when Luther was in his heat, he could have found it in his heart to tear his precious epifle from among the facred books, and burn it as an epifle of flraw. He thought the author of it was an enemy to free grace, an abetter of popish tenets, an antichrist. It is true, the seales of prejudice fell at last from his eyes, but alas! it was not till, he had feen the Antinomian boar lay waste the Lord's stourishing vineyard all over protestant Germany: then was he glad to draw against him St. James's despited sword; and I shall be happily militaken, Sir, if you are not obliged one day to make use of the heretical minutes, as he did of the epistle of straw.

If any still urge, " I do not love the word conde-- tion." I reply; It is no wonder; fince thousands fo hate the thing, that they even chuse to go to hell, rather than perform it. But let an old worthy di-- vine, approved by all but Crifp's disciple's, tell you what we mean by conditions An antecedent condition, . (lays Mr. Flavel in his deficurfe of crooss) figuifies no morethan an act of ours; which, that it be nether perfeet in any degree, nor in the least meritorious of the benefit conferred, nor performed in our own natural strength; is yet, according to the conflitution of the covenant, required of us, in order to the bleffings confequent thereupou. by virtue of the promise: and confequently, benefits and mercies granted in this order, are and must befulpended by the donor till it be performal. Such a condition we affirm faith to be, with all that faith necessarily implics.

When Dr. Crifp, in the last century, represented all the sober puritan divines as legal, they answered,

The covenant tho' conditional, is a dispensation of grace. There is grace in giving ability to perform the condition, as well as bestowing the benefits:
God's enjoying the one in order to the other, makes not the benefit to be less of grace; but it is a display of God's wisdom, in conferring the benefit suitably to the nature and condition of men in this life, who are here in a state of trial; yea, the conditions are but a meetiness to receive the blessings.

! The reason, added they, why we use the word . condition, is because it best suits with man's relation to God, in his present dealings with us, # ' his subjects on trial for eternity. Christ as a priest has merited all; but as a prieftly king he dif-4 penfeth all: he enjoins the conditions in order to the benefits, and makes the benefits motives to our compliance with the conditions. He treats with men as his subjects, whom he will now rake 4 and hereafter judge. Now what word is fo proper to express the duties as enjoyned means of benefits, ' as the word conditions? The word conditions is of the same nature as terms of the gospel. There are * few authors of note, even of any perfusion that foruple using this word in our sense, as Ames, 1 Twils, Rutherford, Hooker, Norton, Preston, · Owen, fynod of New-England, the affembly of divines, &c. And none have reason to scruple it except such as think we are justified before weare born.' See Goffel Truth vindicated by Williams against Dr. Crisp.

If all the protestant divines who have directly or indirectly represented repentance and faith a conditions of present faluation; and holiness of heart and life as conditions of elemal glory, as things sine quibus non, without which falvation and glory nelther can nor will follow—if all those divines, I say, are guilty of herefy, 99 out of an hundred are heretics; and none of them, deeper in the herefy than yourself.

Ι'n

In your fermons, page 39, clearing yourself of the slander that you do not preach up, recommend, and infife on the necessity of good works; you add, 'I not only 'preach this or that part of the moral law, but I 'preach the whole moral law; and I tell you plainly, 'that IF YOU DO NOT PERFORM THE WHOLE WILL 'OF GOD, YOU CANNOT BE FIRALLY SAVED.' Then you add, 'Surely they who cortend for the doc- 'trine of good works will be satisfied with this, or 'they are very unreasonable.' Indeed, Sir, Mr. W. is quite satisfied with it; I only wonder what in the world can make you so dissatisfied with his minutes; for he never gave Antinomianism a more legal thrust.

And as you make works so absolutely necessary to eternal salvation, so do you make a law-work an universal prerequisite of present salvation. Speaking of the sear and dread that seize a sinner under convictions of sin, you say, page 111. This inward shock and perturbation must pass upon the soul of every returning sinner more or less, before he can possibly be rendered a proper object of divine grace and mercy. Hold, Sir, you go one step beyond Mr. W. for he steadily maintains, that is the sinner was not a proper object of divine grace, before he seems he seems the inward shock you speak of, he would never be shocked and return.

Do not all unprejudiced persons see, that what Mr. W. calls condition, others call way, means, of terms? &c. And that you have as little reason to pick a quarrel with him, as to raise a body of men against a quiet traveller, for calling a certain sum; a guinea, whereas you think it more proper to call it one pound one—twenty-one shillings—forty-two fx-pences—or fixty-three grouts. Oh, Sir, what reason have we to be ashamed of our chicaneries; and to beseech the Lord, that they may not stumble the

weak, and harden infidels!

O how

O how justly does Mr. W. ask next!

 $\mathbf{v}_{:}$

5. What have we then been disputing about for these

thirty years? I am afraid about words.

Pardon me, Sir, if here also I cannot cry herefy! with you. Far from doing it. I admire the candor of an old man of God, who instead of stiffly holding, and obstinately maintaining an old mistake, comes down as a little child, and freely acknow ledges it before a respectable body of preachers, whole esteem it is his interest to secure. O how many are there that look upon Mr. W. as a totten threshold, and themselves as pillars in the temple of Goal, who would not own themselves mistaken for the world!

He favs, I am afraid we have disputed about words: perhaps he might have faid, I am very fure of it. How many disputes have been raised these go years among religious people, about those works of the heart, which St. Paul calls repentance towards God, and faith in our Lord Jefus Christ? Some, have called them the only way or method of receiving falvation; others the means of falvation, others the terms Some have named them duties or graces necessary to salvation, others conditions of salvation, others parts of falvation, or privileges annexed to it; while others have gone far round about, and used I know not what, far fetched expressions, and ambiguous phrases to convey the same idea. same idea, for if all maintain that altho' repentance and works meet for it, and faith working by love are not meritorious, they are nevertheless absolutely necessary, that they are a thing fine qua non, all are agreed; and if they dispute, it must be, as Mr. W. justly intimates, about words.

A comparison will at once make you sensible of it. A physician tells me that the way, the only way or method in which we live, is abstaining from poison, and taking proper food: no, says another, you should say, that abstaining from poilon, and taking

proper

proper food, are the means, by which our life is preserved. You are quite mistaken, says a third, rejecting poison and eating are the terms, God hath fixed upon for our preservation; no, says a fourth, they are duties without the performance, or bleffings without the receiving of which, we must absolutely die: I believe for my part, says another, that providence hath engaged to preserve our life, on condition that we shall forbear taking poison, and eat proper food. You are all in the wrong, you know nothing at all of the matter; (lays another who applauds himselfmuch for his wonderful discovery) turning from poison, and receiving nourishment are the exercises of a living man; therefore they must absolutely be called parts of his life, or privileges annexed to it : you quite take away people's appetite, and clog their stomach, by calling them duties, terms, conditions. Only call them privileges, and you'll see no-body will touch poilon, and all will cat most heartily. - While they are all neglecting their food, and taking the poilon of this contention, he that had mentioned the word condition starts up and says, Review the whole affair: take heed to your affertions: I am afraid we diffute about words. Upon this all rife against him, all accuse him of robbing the Preserver of men of his glory, or holding a tenet injurious to the very fundamental principles of our constitution.

Let us leave them to the uneafy workings of their unaccountable panic, to confider the next article of

the minutes.

VI.

6. As to merit itself, of which we have been so dreadfully assaud: we are rewarded according to our works, yea, because of our works. How does this differ from for the sake of our works? And how differs this from secundum merita openum? As our works deserve? Can you split this hair? I doubt, I cannot.

If Mr. W. meant, that we are faved by the merit of works, and not entirely by that of Christ, you might

might exclaim against his proposition as erroneous; and I would exho back your exclamation. But as he stally denies it No. 4. in those words, Not by the merit of works, and has constantly afferted the contrary for above 30 years, we cannot without mortifrous injustice, fix that sense upon the word ment

in this paragraph.

Diveiting himself of bigotry and party-spirit, he generously acknowledges truth even when it is held forth by his adversaries. An instance of sander worthy of our imitation! He sees that God offers and gives his children here on earth, particular rewards for particular instances of obedience. He knows that when a man is saved meritoriously by Christ, and conditionally by (or if you please, upon the terms of) the work of faith, the patience of hope, and the labour of love, he shall particularly be rewarded in heaven for his works: and he observes, that the scriptures steadily maintain, we are recompensed according to our works, yea, because of our works.

The former of these assertions is plain from the parable of the talents, and from these words of our Lord, Matt. xvi. 27. The Son of Man shall come is the glory of his Father, and reward every man according to his work—Unbelievers according to the various degrees of demerit belonging to their evil works, (for some of them shall comparatively be beaten with few stripes:) and believers according to the various degrees of excellence found in their good works; for as one star different from another star in glory, so also we

the refurrection of the righteous dead.

The latter affection is not less evident from the repeated declarations of God; BECAUSE thou hast kept the word of my patience, I also will keep thee from the hour of temptation which shall come upon all the world. Rev. iii. 10.—BECAUSE Phineas was zealous for his God, in killing Zimri and Cosbi, behold I give unto him my sovenant of peace and he shall have it, and his feed after him even the covenant of an everlasting priesthood—And again, BECAUSE thou hast done this, and hast not with-held thy son, by myself have I sworm that is bleshing

bleffing I will blefs thee, BECAUSE thou haft obeyed my voice. Now fays Mr. W. how differs this from, I will blefs thee FOR THE SAKE OF thy obedience to my voice? And how differs this from fecundum merita obedientia? As thy obedience deferves? And by comparing the difference of these expressions to the splitting of a hair, or to a metaphyfical fubtilty, he very justly infinuates that we have been too dreadfully afraid of the word merit. Surely, Sir, you will not divest yourself of the candor that belongs to a Christian, to put on the bitter zeal of a bigot. You will not run for fear of Popery into the very Spirit of it, by crying herefy! herefy! before you have maturely confidered the question: or if you have done so once, you will do it no more. And if Mr. W. thould ever propole again the splitting of a hair, I hope, you will remember that equity (to fay nothing of brotherly love) requires you to split it first yourfelf, before you can with decency ftir up people far and near against him for modestly doubting, whether he can do it or no?

But suppose some are determined to cry herefy? whenever they see the word merit: I hope others will candidly weigh what follows, in the balance of

unprejudiced reason.

If we detach from the word merit the idea of "obligation on God's part to bestow any thing upon creatures who have a thousand times forfeited their comforts and existence;" If we take it in the sense we fix to it in an hundred cases; for instance this: "A master may reward his scholars according to the merit of their exercises, or he may not; for merit of the best exercise can never bind him to bestow a premium for it, unless he has promised it of his own accord:" if we take, I say, the word merit in this simple sense, it may be joined to the word good works, and bear an evangelical meaning.

To be convinced of it, candid Reader, confider, with Mr. W. that "God accepts and rewards no work but so far as it proceeds from his own grace thro'

thro' the Beloved." Forget not that Christ's Spirit is the savour of each believer's salt, and that he puts excellence into the good works of his people, or else they could not be good. Remember he is as much concerned in the good tempers, words, and actions of his living members, as a tree is concerned in the sap, leaves, and fruit of the branches it bears, Joh. xv. 5. Consider, I. say, all this, and tell us, whether it can reflect dishonor upon Christ and his grace, to affirm that as his personal mention—the merit of his holy life and painful death, opens the kingdom of heaven to all believers, so the merit of those works which he enables his members to do, will determine the peculiar degrees of glory graciously allotted to each of them.

I own, I believe there is such a dignity in every thing in which the Son of God has an hand, that the Father, who is always well pleased with him and his works, cannot but look upon it with peculiar complacency. Even a cup of water given in his dear name, that is by the efficacy of his loving Spirit, hath that in it which shall in no wife last its reward: for it has something of the love of Godman Jesus, which merits all the approbation and

smiles of the Father.

In our well-meant zeal against Popery we have been driven to an extreme, and have not done good works justice. I am the vine says Jesus, and ye are the branches, he that abideth in me bringeth forth much fruit. Herein is my Father glorifed that ye bear much fruit. What! is the Father glorifed in the fruit of believers; and shall this fruit be represented to us always grub-caten, and rotten at the core? Do we honor either the vine or the huseaudman, while one hour we speak wonders of the fruit of the vine, and the next represent the branches and their fruit as full of deadly posson? O God of mercy and patience forgive us, for we know not what we do! we even think we do thee service: O give us genuine, and save from voluntary, humility.

Believer, let not the virtue of thy Saviour's righteouineis, tooisfness, the only good thing that is in thet, be evil spoken of. Thou are grafted upon the good olivetree; be not high-minded but fear; fear to be cut off like the branch that beareth not fruit; but be not asraid to suck the balmy sap, 'till the peaceful olive ripens in thy soul, and drops the oil of joy that makes a chearful countenance. Thou are married to Christ that hence forth thou shouldst bring forth fruit unto God. Olet not thy mistaken brethren discourage thee from deing all the good that thy heart and hand first to do, and

that with all thy might.

I write these allusions as they occur to my mind, to raise thy thoughts above spiritual soth and barrennels of heart, by showing thee, thro' a scriptural glass, something of thy husband's glory, and of the excellence of the labour of love, wherein thou hast the honour of boing a worker together with him. not what I fay puff thee up, but encourage thee to be fledfaft, unmoveable, always abounding in the work of the Lord, for a fmuch as thou known fi thy labour is not in vain in the Lord. Remember thou hast nothing to hoast of but much reason to be humbled. If thy works are compared to a role, the colour, edoils, and sweetness are Chrise's; the aptness to fade, and the thorns are thine. If to a burning taper, the fourff and smoke come from thee, the bright and chearing light from thy Bridegroom. The excellence and merit of the performance flow from him; the flaws and imperfections from thee; nevertheless the whole work is as truly thine, as grapes are truly the fruit of the branch that bore them. And yet, as the branch cannot bear fruit of itself, excopt it abide in the vine, no more canst thou, except thou abide in Christ : for without him then canst do nothing.

Having thus cautioned thee against the Popsish abuse of Mr. W.'s doctrine of the excellence of works, and shewn thee the evangelical use that a real Protestant should make of, it; I return to the word merit, of which we have been so dreadfully afraid. Let a comparison help thee to understand, how a

believer may use it in a very harmless sense.

The

The king promises rewards for good pictures to miserable foundlings, whom he has charitably brought up, and graciously admitted into his royal academy of painting: fair from being masters of their art, they can of themselves do nothing but spoil canvas, and waste colours by making monstrous figures: but the king's fon, a perfect painter, by his father's leave, guides their hands, and by that mean good pictures are produced, tho' not to excellent as they would have been, had not he made them by their stiff and clumly hands. The king however approves of them, and fixes the reward of each picture according to its peculiar ment. If thou fayest that the poor foundlings, owing all to his majesty, and the prince's having freely guided their hands, they themselves merit nothing; because after all they have done, they are miserable dawbers still, and nothing is properly theirs but the imperfections of the pictures, and therefore the king's reward, tho' it may be of promise, can never be of debt; I grant, I affert it. But if thou fayeft the good pictures have no merit, I beg leave to diffent from thee, and tell thee thou speakest as unadvisedly for the king, as Job's friends did for God. For if the pictures have absolutely no merit, dost not thou grea ly reflect upon the king's tafte and wisdom in faying that he rewards them? In the name of common lense, what is it he rewards? The merit or demerit of the work?

But this is not all, if the pictures have no merit, what hath the king's son been doing? Hath he lost all his trouble in helping the novices to sketch and sinish them? Shall we deny the excellence of his performance, because they were concerned in it? Shall we be guilty of this glaring partiality any longer? No, some Protestants will dare to judge righteous judgment, and acknowledge there is merit where Christ puts it, and where God rewards it, they will give honor to whom honor is due, even to him that worketh all the good in all his creatures.

For

For my part I intirely agree with the author of the minutes, and thank him for daring to break the ice of prejudice and bigotry among us, by restoring works of righteoufness to their deserved glory, without detracting from the glory of the Lord of righteoufness. I am as much perfuaded that the grace of Christ merits in the works of his members, tho' they themselves merit nothing but hell; as I am persuaded that gold in the ore hath its intrinsic worth, tho' it is mixed with dust and dross, which are good for nothing. As there is but one Mediator, one prevailing intercessor between God and us, even the man. Christ Jesus; and nevertheless his Spirit in us makath interceffion for us with groamings which cannot be uttered: so there is but one man whose works are truly meritorious; but when he works in us by his Spirit, our works cannot (so far as he is concerned in them) but be in a sense meritorious: because they are, his works. Real Protestant, if thou deniest this, thou maintainest an antichristian proposition: namely, that Christ has lost his power of meriting. Herein I must dissent from thee, nor will the cry herefy! popery! make me give up this fundamental truth of christianity, that Jesus is the same, the very same deserving Lord, yesterday, to day and for ever.

In this evangelical view of things, the Redeemer is much exalted by the doctrine of the merit of good works; and believers are still left in their native dust to cry out, Not unto us, not unto us, but to thy name give we the praise. In the light of this precious truth we see, and admire the indearing contest that is always carried on, between God's loving-kindnels, and the humble gratitude of believers. God fays, Well done good and fairhful fervants, reap what ye have fown: and they answer, Lord, THY pound hath gained all; thou haft wrought all our works in us. God lays, They shall walk with me in white; for they are WORTHY; and they reply, WORTHY is the Lamb that was flain, and hath washed us from our fins in his own blood. Christ crowns faith by this gracious de-G a

altration. The faith hath faved thee: and believers in their turn crown Christ by, this true confession, Not by works of righteousness that we have done, but according to the nurry thou hast saved us: for then hast quickened us by the Spirit when we were dead in sin, year thou didst redeem us unto God by the blood hundreds of years before we had done any one good work. In a word, they justly give, God all the glory of their salvation, agreeable to the first axiom in the gospelplan, and God graciously gives them all the teward.

according to the fecond.

And now, is it not pity that any good men should, be so far biasted by the prejudices of their educa. tion, or influenced by the spirit of their party, as to account this delightful harmonizing view of evangelical truths a dreadful herefy?, Is it not pity that by so doing they should expose their prepofession, strengthen the hands of Antinomians, harden the hearts of Papifts, deprive their Saviour of part of the honor due to him, leave feeming contradictions in the feriptures unexplained, and trample under foot, as unworthy of their protestant-orthedoxy, a powerful motive to obedience, by which neither Moses nor Jesus were above being influenced; for the one looked to the recompense of reward, and the other for the joy that was fet before him both despised the shame and endured the eross.

It may not be amifs to illustrate what has been advanced upon the merit or rewardableness of works, by scriptural instances of old and modernsaints who have pleaded it before God. David speaks thus in the 18th Pfalm. The Lord rewarded me according to my righteoufness, according to the channels of my hands hath he recompensed me—I was upright before him, therefore hath he recompensed me according to my righteousness, &c. And in the 129th Pfalm, having mentioned his spiritual comforts he says, This I had BECAUSE, I kept thy precepts. Another instance no less remarkable, is that of Hezckiah, who prayed thus in his sickness. Remember now, O Lord, I befeeth thee, how I have walked before the in truth, and with

a perfect heart, and have done that which is good in thy

fight.

We fee instances of this boldness in the New Testament also. We have left all to follow thee, said once the disciples to our Lord, and what shall we have for this sacrifice? Jesus instead of blaming their question, simply toldthem they should have an hundred fold for all they had lest, and made it a standing rule of distribution for all the church. St. John exhorts the cleek lady to look to herself that she lose not the things that she hath wrought, but receive a full reward. And evangelical Paul desires the Hebrews not to cast away their confidence, which hath great recompense of reward, and charges the Colossians to see that none beguile them of their reward in a voluntary humility.

From these and the like scriptures I conclude, that those who have a clear witness they have done what God commanded, may, without herefy, humbly demand the promised reward: which they can never do without this idea, that according to the tenor of the gospel-covenant, they are sit subjests

for it.

I know fome will take the alarm; and to fave the ark which they think totters by this doctrine, will affirm, that in the above-mentioned paffages David personates Christ: and Hezekiah the Pharifee. But this is contradicting the whole context, to fay nothing of all lober commentators. Henry tells us that David in these verses ' restects with comfort upon his own integrity, and re-' joiceth, like St. Paul, in the testimony of his own 6 conscience, that he had had his conversation in 6 godly fincerity.' And he informs us, that the Pfalmift lays down in this pfalm ' the rules of God's government that we may know not only what. God expects from us, but what we may expect from him. With regard to Hezekiah, it is plain his prayer was heard; a strong proof that it was inspired by the Spirit of Jesus, and not that of the F barifce.

Bus

But if you reject, Sir, the testimony of David, and Hezekiah, because they were Jews, receive at least that of real Protestants: for which we only need go as far as Bath or Talgarth parish; there we shall find chapels where the Protestants have agreed together, to ask rewards as solemnly as ever David and Hezekiah did. In the hymns you have revised for another edition, and by that means made your own with respect to the doctrine, one is calculated to "Welcome a messenger of Jesus' grace" and all the congregation sings,

"Give reward of grace and glory
"To thy faithful labourer there."

What, Sir, do you allow the labours of a miniiter to be of fuch dignity, and his faithfulness to have such uncommon ment, that a thousand people can holdly ask God a reward for him, and that not only of gifts and temporal blessings, but of grace, and not only of grace but glory too! You have in those two lines the very quintessence of the three grand herefies of the minutes, faithfulness, works and ment. Permit me to add one passage more, from page 212, of Bax-

er's Methodus Theologiae Christiana.

". The word merit rightly explained is not-amis. All the fathers of the primitive church have made ife of it without opposition, to the best of my remembrance, It may be used by believers who donot make a cloke for error, by wife men who will not be offended at it, and by those who want to., defend the truth, and convey clearer ideas in the explanation of things intricate. There is no word that fully conveys the fame idea: that which comes scarcia to it is dignity, and fulpicious perions will not like it much better. We have three words in the New Tellament that come very near it again His des, and dixares, and they occur pretty frequently here, We render them worthy, reward, and just ; and the abuse Papists make of them ought not to pake us reject their use. The English word worth conveys no other idea than that of the Latin word worthern taken actively; nor hath the word remard

any other fignification than the word meritum taken passively: therefore they who can put a candid sense upon the words worthy and reward should do the same with regard to the word merit."

Having explained and vindicated the fixth arti-

ele of the minutes, I proceed to the

VII.

7. The grand objection to one of the preceding propoficions, is drawn from matter of fall. God does in fact justify those, who by their own confession, neither seared, God nor wrought righteensuess. Is this an exception to the rule?

It is a doubt, if God makes any exception at all. But how are we fure, that the person in question never did fear God and work righteousness. His own saying so is not proof: for we know, how all that are convinced of

fin undervalue themselves in every respect.

Do you think, Sir, the herely of this proposition consists in intimating, that God does in fatt justify those who fear, him, and not those who make absolutely no stop in the downward road of open sin and slagrant iniquity? If it does, I am sure the facted writers are hereties to a man. See the account we have of conversions in the scripture; please to remember what Mr. W. means by justification, and then answer the following questions:

Did not the prodigal fon come to himself, repent, and return to his father, before he received the kiss of peace? Did not the woman that was a sinner sorsake her, wicked course of life, before our Lord said to her. Go in peace, thy first are forgiven thee?

Again, was not the woman of Samaria convinced of fin, yea of allthat ever the did; before our Lord revealed himself to her, to enable her to believe unto justification? Did not Zaccheus evidence his fear of God, yea and work righteoufness by hearty offers of restitution, before Christ testified that he was a fon of Abraham? Did not St. Paul express his fear of God, and readiness to work righteoufness, when he cried out, Lord what woulds thou have me

to do? Yea did he not produce fruits meet for repentance, by praying three days and three nights. before Ananias was fent to direct him how to wash away his fins? Did not the Eunuch and Cornelius fear God? Did not David himfelf, whom the apoftle mentions as a grand inflance of justification authout the merit of works, fear God from his youth? And when he had wrought folly in Ifrael, was he not humbled for his fin, before he was washed from it? Did he not confess his crime and say, I have finned: before Nathan said, The Lord hath put

away thy fin?

Does not St. Paul bimself carry Mr. W.'s herefy fo far as to fay? Whofoever among you feareth God, to you is the word of this falvation fent? Alts xiii. 26. Must we so understand Rom. iv. 5. as to make him contradict point blank his own declarations, his own experience, and the account of all the abovementioned conversions? Certainly not. Tho'e words God justifies the ungodly, and him that worketh not, but believeth in Jefus, when candidly explained, agree perfeetly with Mr. W.'s doctrine. (1.) By the ungody the Apostle does not mean the wicked that does not for fake his way: but the man, who before he believed to justification was ungodly, and still remains ungodly in the eye of the law of works, needing daily forgiveness by grace, even after he is made godly in a nofpel fenfe. (2.) By him that worketh not, St. Paul does not mean a lazy, indolent wretch, who without any reluctance follows the stream of his corrupt nature: but a penitent who, whatever works he does, has no dependance upon them, esteems them as nothing, yea, as dung and drofs in comparison of the excellency of Christ: and in short, one who does not work to merit or purchase his justification, but comes to receive that invaluable bleffing as a free gift. (3.) That this is the meaning of the Apostle is evident from his adding, that he who worketh not, yet believeth. For if he took the word worketh not. in an absolute sense, he could never make it agree with believing, which is certainly a work, yea, a work of our noblest part; for with the HEART more believeth to righteoufnefs. Add to this, Sir, that justifying faith, as I observed before, never comes without her forerunner, conviction, nor conviction of sin without suitable tempers or inward works. There is nothing, says Dr. Owen, that I will more simily adhere to in this whole dostrine, than the necessary of convictions previous to true believing—as also displacency, forrow, fear. a defire of deliverance, with other necessary effects of true convictions. St. Paul therefore is consistent with himself, and Mr. W. with St. Paul.

Again, if God justifies sinners merely as ungodly, and people that work not, why should be not justify all sinners? For they are all ungodly, and there is none of them that does good, no not one? Why did not the Phanisee, for example, go to his house justify'd as well as the Publicau? You will probably answer, that he was not convinced of fin. Why, Sir, this is just what Mr. W. maintains: express yourself in Streter's words, he did not fear God—or in those of John the Baptist, he did not bring forth fruit meet

for repentance.

Should some ask, what works meet for repentance did the woman caught in adultery do, before our Lord justify'd her? I would ask in my turn; How. do they know that the Lord justify'd her? Do they conclude it from those words, Neither do I condemn ' thee? Does not the context show, that as the Pharifees had not condemned her to be floned, according to the Mosaic law, neither would our Lord take upon himself to pass sentence upon her, according to his declaration on another occasion: I am not ent to condemn the world, but that the world thro' me might be faved? This by no means implies, that the world is justify'd in St. Paul's sense, Rom. v. 1. But supposing the was justify'd, how do you know, that our Lord's words, writing, looks, and grace had not brought her to godly shame and forrow, that is, to the fear of God, and the working of internal righteoufnefs, before he gave her the peace that passes all understanding?

After all, Mr. W. fays with modesty and widom, It is a doubt whether God makes any exception of all: and it lies upon you, to show there is in these words any thing contrary to the humility of the true christian, and orthodoxy of the sound divine: but please to remember, that if you judge of orthodoxy according to the works of Dr. Criip; we will take the liberty to appeal to the word of God.

But you make perhaps Mr. W.'s herefy in this proposition consist in his refusing to take the word of persons convinced of sin, when they say they never seared God nor worked righteouspess. For we know, says he, how all that are convinced of fix we

dervalue themselves in every respect.

Had Mr. W. imagined, that some christian friends, (O my God fave me from such friendship!) would leave no stone unturned to procure a copy of his minutes, in order to find some occasion against him, he would probably have worded this with more circumspection. But he wrote for real friends: and he knew such would at once enter into his meaning, which is, that "Persons deeply convinced of sin are apt very apt to form a wrong judgment both of their state and performances, and to think the worst of themselves in every respect, that is, both with regard to what divine grace does in them, and by them."

And this is so obvious a truth, that he must be a novice indeed in christian experience, who doubts of it for a moment; and a great lover of disputing who will make a man an offender for so true an affertion. Do not we daily see, some in whom the arrows of conviction stick fast, who think they are as much past recovery as Satan himself? Do not we hear others complain, "they grow worse and worse," when they only discover more and more how had they are by nature? And are there not some who bind upon themselves heavy burdens of their own making, and when they cannot bear them are tormented in their conscience with imaginary guilt: while others are ready to go distracted thro' ground-

less fears of having committed the sin against the Holy Ghost? In a word, do we not see hundreds, who when they have reason to hope well of themselves, think there is no hope for them? In all these respects do they not ast like Jonah in the whale's belly, and say, I am cast out of thy fight? And have not they need to encourage themselves in their God, and say Why art thou cast down 0 my soul?

But let your conscience speak, Sir, on this matter. When some deep mourners have complained to you, of their misery, danger, and desperate state, did you never drop a word of comfort to this esset, "You undervalue yourselves; you write too bitter things against yourselves, your case is not so bad as your unbelieving sears represent it: God's thoughts are not as your thoughts: many like the foolish virgins think themselves sure of heaven, when they stand on the brink of hell; and many think they are just dropping into it, who are not far from the kingdom of God?"

Yea, and as it is with real feekers, so it is with real believers. Did not they undervalue, yea degrade themselves by the remains of their unbelief, or which is the same, did they live up to their dignity, and every where consider themselves as members of Christ, children of God, and inheritors of the hingdom of heaven, what manner of persons, yea what angels would they be in all holy conversation!

Sometimes their light shines with peculiar lustre Tike Moses' face, and they know it not. Thousands fee their good works and glorify their Father who is in heaven, but the matter is hid from them; they complain perhaps they are the most unprofitable of all his children. Let me instance in one particular; St. Paul, Mr. Whitefield, and thousands of the brightest stars of the church, have called themselves both the chief of finners, and the least of all faints. Now, as in a chain there is but one link that can be called the first, or the last: so, in the very nature of things, there can be but one man in the immense file of Christ's soldiers, that is actually the chief of finners

finners and the least of all faints: if a shouland believers therefore say those two appellations belong to themselves, it is evident that at least 999 undervalue themselves. For my past I cannot but think they suit me ten thousand times better than they did St. Paul: I must therefore insolemly think myself a less sinner and a greater saint than him; or of necessity-believe that he, and all that are partakers of the same convincing grace, undervalue themselves in every respect.

One more article remains, and if it does not contain the dreadful herefy, which hitherto we have looked for in vain, the minutes are from first to last scripturally outhodox, and you have given Chusch-

men and Diffentors a falle alarm.

VIÈ.

8. Does not talking of a justified and fantified flate, tend to missead men? Almost naturally leading them to trust in what was done in one moment? Whereas we are every hour, and every moment pleasing or displasing to God According to God According to the whole of our inward tempers and outward behaviour.

To do this proposition justice, and prevent misunderstandings, I must premise some observations.

(1.) Mr. W. is not against persons talking of justification and fanctification in a scriptural serie: for when he knows the tree by the fruits, he fays himself to his flock, as St. Paul did to the Corinthians, some of you are sanctified and justified. Nor does he, deny that God justifies a penitent finner in a moment, and that in a moment he can manifest himself unto his believing people as he does not to the world, and give them an inheritance among them that are functified thro' faith in Jesus. His objection respects only the idea entertained by some and countenanced by others, that when God forgives us our fins, he introduces us into a flate where we are unalterably fixed in his bleffed favour, and for ever stamp. ed with his holy image: so that it matters no longer whether whether the tree is barren or not, whether it preduces good or bad fruit; it was fet at such a time, and therefore it must be a tree of righteoufues; still. A conclusion directly contrary to the words of our Lord and his beloved disciple. By their fruit ye shall know them. Every branch in me that beareth not fruit (much more that beareth evil fruit) my Father taketh away.

(2.) Permit me, Sir, to observe also, that Mr.W. has many persons in his societies (and would to God there were none in ours) who profess they were justified or fanctified in a moment; but instead of trusting in the living God, fo trust to what was done in THAT moment, as to give over taking up their cross dails, and watching unto prayer with all perfeverance. The confequences are deplorable; they flide back into the spirit of the world; and their tempers are no more regulated by the meck, gentle, humble love of Jefus. Some inquire with the Heathens, What thall we eat and what shall we drink to please ourselves? Others evidently love the world: lay up treasures on earth, or ask Wherewith shall we be fashionably cloathed? Therefore the love of the Father is not in them . --And not a few are led captive by the devil at his will: influenced by his unhappy fuggestions, they harbour bitterness, malice, and revenge; none is in the right but themselves, and "wisdom shall die with them."

Now, Sir? Mr. W. cannot but fear, it is not well with persons who are in any of these cases: though every body should join to extol them as "dear children of God." he is persuaded that Satan has beguiled them as he did Eve, and he addresses them as our Lord did the angel of the church of Sateis, I know thy works, that thou hast a name that then livest; and art dead or dying: Repent therefore, and strongthen the things which remain, that are ready to die; for I have not found thy works perfett before God. He hath the word of prophecy, which he thinks more fore than the opinion of a world of professors; and according to that word he sees, that they who are less that

by the Spirit of God are the fons of God, and that God's Spirit does not lead into the vanities of the world or indulgence of fleshly lusts, any more than into the pride or malice of Satan. Nor does he think that those are not under the law, who can merrily laugh at the law, and pass jests upon Moies the venerable fervant of God: but with St. Paul he afferts, that when people are under grace and not under the law, fin hath not dominion over them. With our Lord he declares, He who committeth fin is the fervant of fin; and with his Prophet that God is of purer eyes than to behold iniquity with the least degree of approbation. In short he believes that God being unchangeable in his holiness, cannot but always love righteousness and hate iniquity: and that & the heart is continually working either iniquity or righteoulnels, and as God cannot but be plealed at the one and displeased at the other, he is continu ally pleafed or displeafed with us, according to the workings of our heart, and the fruits which they outwardly produce.

Perhaps you object to the word every moments But why should you, Sir? If it is not every montest it is never. If God does not approve holines and disapprove fin every moment, he never does it, for he changes not. If he does it only now and then he is fuch an one as ourfelves; for even wicked men will approve right-outliefs and condemn unrighteoutnets by fits and starts. I may every moment harbour malice in my heart, and so commit internal murder. If God winks at this one instant, why not two? And so on to days, months, and years? Does the duration of moral evil constitute fin? May not I be guilty of the greatest enormity in the twinkling of an eve? And is it not the ordinary property of the most horrid crimes, such as robbery and adultery, that they are foon finished.

Do not lay, Sir, that this doctrine fets afide falvation by faith; it is highly confiftent with it. He that, in God's account, does the best works, hash most faith, most of the sap of eternal life that flows from

from the heavenly vine; and he that has most faith. has most of Christ's likeness, and is of course most pleasing to God, who cannot but be pleased with Christ and his living image. On the other hand, he that in God's account does the worst works, and has the worst tempers, has most unbelief. He that has most unbelief, is most like his father the devil; and must consequently be most displeasing to him that accepts us in the Beloved, and not in the wicked enc.

Having premised those observations I come closer to the point, and affert, that if we are not every moment pleating or displeasing to God, according to the works of our hearts and hands, you must set

your scal to the following absurdities:

1. God is angry with the wicked (cl ium) all the day, and yet there are moments in which he is not angry at them. (2.) Lot pleased God as much in those moments in which he got drunk and committed incest with his daughters, as in the day he exercised hospitality towards the disguised angels. (3.) David did not displease God more when he committed adultery with Bathsheba, and imbrued his heart in her husband's blood, than when he danced before the ark, or composed the 103 pfalm. (4.) Solomon was as acceptable to God in the moment his wives turned away his heart after other gods, as when he chose wisdom, and his speech pleased the Lord-when he went after the goddess Aftereth, and built an high place to bloody Molech; as when he represented our Melchisedec, and dedicated the temple. (5.) Again you must set your seal to these propositions of Dr. Crisp. 'From the time thy transgressions were laid upon Christ, thou ceasest to be a transs greffor to the last hour of thy life; so that now thou art not an idolater, thou art not a thief, &c. thou art not a finful person, whatsoever fin thou " committest.'-Again, God does no longer stand offended nor displeased, tho' a believer, after he • is a believer, do fin often: except he will be offended where there is no cause to be offended, H :

which is blasphemy to speak.' Yet again, 'It is thought that cless persons are in a damnable estate, in the time they walk in excess of riot; let me speak freely to you, that the Lord has no more to lay to the charge of an elest person, yet in the height of iniquity, and in the excess of riot, and committing all the abominations that can be committed.'—' There is no time but such a person is a child of God.' (6.) In short, Sir, you must be of the sentiment of the wildest Antinomian I ever knew, who because he had once a bright manifestation of pardon, not only concludes he is safe, tho' he lives in sin, but afferts, God would no more be displaced with him for whoring and stealing than for praying and receiving the sacrament.

Again. It is an important truth, that we may please God for a time, and yet afterwards displease him. St. Paul mentions those who by putting away a good conscience, concerning faith have made shipwick, and therefore please God no longer, seeing that

without faith it is impossible to please him.

Of this the Israelites are a remarkable instance. They did all drink of that spiritual rock that followed them, (and that rock was Christ.) Yet with many of them God was NOT WELL PLEASED: then comes the proof of the divine displeasure; for they were overthrown in the wilderness: Now, adds the Apostle, these things happened unto them for examples, and they are written for our admonition, that we should not lust after evil things, and tempt Christ, as they did. Therefore kt him that thinketh he standeth take heed lest, after their example, he fall into wilful sin, the divine displeasure, and utter destruction.

Our Lord teaches the same doctrine both by parables, and positive affertions. He gives us the history of a man to whom his Lord and King compassionately forgave a debt of ten thousand talents: this ungrateful wretch by not forgiving his fellow-fervant who owed him a hundred pence, forfeited his own pardon, and drew upon himself the king's heaviest displeasure, for he was wroth, and delivered him

him to the tormentors till he should pay all that was due to him: and to the eternal overthrow of Dr. Crisp's fashionable tenets, our Lord adds, "So likewise shall my Father do unto you, if ye from your hearts for eive not every one his brother their trespasses." Agreeably to this he assured his disciples that his Father pruneth every branch in him that beareth fruit, and taketh away every one that beareth not fruit; and to shew how far this displeasure may proceed, he observes, that such a barren branch is east forth, is withered, gather-

ed, caft into the fire and burned.

Here, Sir, I might add all those striptures that testify the possibility of falling away from the divine favour: I might bring the alarming instances of those apostates, who once tasted the good word of God, and the powers of the world to come, and afterwards fell from their fedfastness, lost their reward, became enemies to God by wicked works, hated the li ht which once they rejoiced in, because it reproved their evil deeds; trod under foot the Son of God, forgat they were washed from their old fins, and counted the blood of Christ wherewith they were fanclished an unholy thing. But I refer you, Sir, to the two John Goodwins of the age, the Rev. Mr. Welley, and the Rev. Mr. Sellon, who have so cut down and stripped the Crispian orthodoxy, that some people think it actually lies without either root, bank, or branches. exposed to the view of those who have courage enough to see and think for themselves.

Should all they have advanced, to flew that we are every hour and every moment pleafing or displeafing to God, according to our internal and external works, have no weight with you; let me conclude by producing the testimony of two respectable divines, against whom you will not enter

a protest.

The one is the Rector of Loughrea. You tell us, Sir, in your fermons, page 88, that the acceptance of Cornelius was not abfolutely final and decifive; and you add, So long as we continue in the flesh, we are doubtless in a probationary state. Even after Corn. lius had been endued with the Holy Ghosh, had he II a wilfully

wilfully done defpite to the Spirit of grace, he might have (not only displeused God which is all Mr. W. assers in this proposition, but) fallen as deep into perdition

as ever Judas did.

I know one, Sir, who was burned as a dreadful heretic, that did not go farther in this herefy than you do. And that is good bishop Latimer; who not only affirmed, that Christ shed as much blood for Judas as he did for Peter, but roundly afferted, We may one time be in the book and another out, as it appeareth by David who was written in the book of hee; but when he sinned (which by the bye we may do every moment) he at the same time was out of the favour of God until he had repented; out of Christ who is the book in which all believers are written: Lat. Serm.

on the 3d Sunday after Epiph.

Thus, Sir, have I looked out for the herefy, the dreadful herely of Mr. W.'s minutes, by bringing all the propolitions they contain to the touch-stone of scripture and common sense: but instead of finding it, I have found the very marrow of the golpel of Christ, so far as it is opposed to Dr. Crisp's Antinomian gospel; which at this time would overflow our little Sion, if God did not fit above the water floods, and fay to the proudest billows of error, Hitherto shall ye come and no farther. shewed that the minutes contain nothing but what is truly scriptural, and nothing but what the best Calvinist divines have themselves directly or indirectly afferted: except perhaps the fixth propofition concerning the merit of works: and with respect to this I hope I have demonstrated upon rational and evangelical principles, that Mr. W. far from bringing in a damnable herefy, hath done the gospel justice, and protestantism service, by candidly giving up an old prejudice equally contrary to scripture and good sense, a piece of bigotry which hath long hardened the Papists against the doctrine of falvation by the merit of Christ, and hath added inconceivable strength to the Antinomian delution among us. One difficulty remains, and that is, to account for your attacking Mr. W. tho' you could not wound him without stabbing your-felf. Reserving my restections upon this amazing step for another letter.

I remain,

Your astonished servant in the bonds of a peaceful gospel,

J. F.

FIFTH

FIFTH LETTER.

Hon. and Rev. Sir,

HAVING vindicated both some important doctrines of the gospel, and an eminent servant of Christ from the charge of dreadful herefy; I will now take the liberty of a friend to expostulate a little with you.

When Brutus among other Senators rushed upon Cæsar, the venerable general, as he wrapped himself in his mantle, just said, "And art thou also among them? Even thou, my fon?" May not Mr. W. address you, Sir, in the same words, and add, " If a body of men must be raised to attack me, let some zealous follower of Dr. Crisp, some hot-headed vindicator of reprobation and eternal justification blow the trumpet, and put himself at their head: but let it not be you, who believe with me that we are moral agents; that God is love; that Jesus tasted death for every man; and that the holy Spirit shall not always strive with sinners. If you do not regard my reputation, confider at least your own; and expose me not as an heretic for advancing propositions, the substance of which you have avowed before the fun."

But had those propositions at length appeared to you unsound, yea, and had you never maintained them yourself, should you not as a Christian and a brother, have wrote to him, acquainted him with your objections, and desired him to solve them and explain himself, or you should be obliged publicly to expose him?

Was this condescension, more than was due from you, Sir, and our other friends, to a grey-headed

minister

minister of Christ, an old general in the armies of Emanuel, a Father who has children capable of instructing even masters in Israel; and one whom God made the first and principal instrument of the late

revival of internal religion in our church?

Instead of this friendly method, as if you was a Barak, commanded by the Lord God of Ifrael, you call together the children of Nephtali and Zehulon: you convene from England and Wales, Clergy, and Laity, Churchmen and Diffenters, to meet you at Bristol, where they are, it seems, to be entertained in good and free quarters. And for what grand expedition. Why, on a day appointed, you are to march up in a body; not to attack Silera and his iron chariots, but an old Caleb, who, without meddling with you, quietly goes on to the conquest of Canaan: not to defire in a friendly manner, after a fair debate of every proposition that appears dangerous, and upon previous conviction, that what is exceptionable may be given up; but to do what I think was never done by nominal, much less by real Pretestants.-O let it not be told in Rome, lest the fons of the Inquisition rejoice!—This mixed, this formidable body is to infift upon Mr. W. and the preachers in his connection, formally recanting their minutes, as appearing injurious to the very fundamental principles of Christianity, and being dreadfully heretical. And this, aftonishing! without the least inquiry made into their meaning and design without a shadow of authority from our superiors in church or state—without an appeal to the law and to the testimony without form of process-without judge or jury-without so much as allowing the poor heretics, (who are condemned fix weeks before they can possibly be heard) to answer for

As I was fortunate enough to stop some months ago such rash proceedings in Wales, permit me, Sir, to bear my testimony against them in England, and to tell you they exceed the late transactions in Edmund.

Edmund-Hall. The fix students, against whom wrath was gone forth, were allowed to fay what they could in their own defence, before they were sentenced, as unfit members of a literary society. Likewile the Vice-Chancellor had the statutes of the University of Oxford, seeming to countenance his proceedings: but what statute of the University of Jefus can you produce, even to fave appearances? Surely not that which the l'apills make such use of, Compel them to come in; for I am perfuaded that altho' Clergy and Laity, Churchmen and Dissenters, are convened to go in a body to Mr. W.'s conference, you mean no external compulfion. Much less are you authorized to infift upon his owning himself a heretic, by these words of the Apostle, As much as lieth in you live peaceably with all men, and esteem ministers highly in love for their works-sake-Neither by his command, An heretic after the first and second admonition reject; for you have neither proved Mr. W. an heretic, nor once admonished him as fuch.

Surely our Lord will not smile upon your undertaking; for he has left his fentiments upon record, the reverse of your practice. He had said, Whosoever shall receive, not provoke, one of such children in my name, receiveth me. But I hn answer'd him saying, Master, we faw one casting out devils in thy name, and we forbad him, because he followeth not with us. Forbid him not, faid Jesus, for there is no man who can do a miracle in my name that can lightly speak evil of me. Festus himself, tho' a poor heathen, will disapprove of such a step. It is not the manner of the Romans, says he, to deliver any man to die, (or to insist on his publicly giving up his reputation, which in some cases is worse than death) before that he who is accused, have the accusers face to face, and have licence to answer for himself concerning the crime laid against him. The lordliness of your procedure, Sir, even exceeds, in one respect, the severity of the council of Constance; where poor Jerom of Prague had leave to plead his own cause, before he was obliged to acknowledge knowledge himself an heretic, and make a formal recantation of the propositions he had advanced.

Besides, how could you suppose, Sir, that Mr. W. and the preachers who shall assemble with him, are such weak men, as tamely to acknowledge themselves heretics upon your ipse dixit? Suppose Mr. W. took it in his head to convene all the divines that disapprove the extract of Zanchius, to go with him in a body to Mr. Toplady's chapel, and demand a formal recantation of that performance, as heretical: yea, to insist upon it, before they had "measured twords or broken a pike together;" would not the translator of Zanchius from the ramparts of common sense deservedly laugh at him, and ask whether he thought to frighten him by his protests, and bully him into orthodoxy?

O Sir, have we not fightings enough without, to employ all our time and strength? Must we also declare war and promote fightings within? Must we catch at every opportunity to stab one another, because the livery of truth which we wear is not turned up in the same manner? What can be more cruel than this? What can be more cutting to an old minister of Christ, than to be traduced as a dreadful heretic, in printed letters sent to the bost men in the land, yea, thro' all England and Scot-land, and figned by a person of your rank and piety? To have things that he knows not, that he never meant, laid to his charge, and dispersed far and near? While he is gone to a neighbouring kingdom, to preach Jesus Christ, to have his friends prejudiced, his foes elevated, and the fruit of his extensive ministry at the point of being blasted? Put yourself in his place, Sir, and you will fee that the wound is deep and reaches the very heart.

I can apologize for the other real Protestants. Some are utter strangers to polemic divinity; others are biassed by Calvinism: and one, whose name is used, never saw your circular setter 'till it was in print. But what can I say for you, Sir? Against hope I must believe in hope, that an unaccountable panic

panic influenced your mind, and deprived you for a time of the calmness and candor which adorn your natural temper. If this is the case, may you all with less percipitancy for the future. And may the charity that higher all things, believe hall things, does not provoke, and is not provoked, rule in our hearts and lives. So shall the Leathen world drop their just objections against our unhappy divisions, and once more be ferred to cry out, see how trefe Carificians line! And to shall we give over trying to diffurb or pull down a part of the church of Christ, because we dislike the colour of the stones with which it is built; or because our fellow-builders

cannot pronounce Shibboleth just as we do.

One word more about Mr. W. and I have done. Of the two greatest, and most useful ministers I ever knew, one is no more. The other, after amazing labours, flies still with unwearied diligence thro' the three kingdoms, calling finners to repentance, and to the healing fountain of Jesus' blood. Tho' oppressed with the weight of near 70 years, and the care of near thirty thousand fouls, he shames still, by his unabated zeal and immense labours, all the young ministers in England, perhaps in Christendom. He has generally blown the gospel-trump, and rode twenty miles, before most of the professors who despite his labours have left thair downy pillow. As he begins the day, the week, the year, so he concludes them, still intent upon extensive services for the glory of the Redeemer, and the good of fouls. And shall we lightly lift up our pens, our tongues, our hands against him? No, let them rather forget their cunning. we will quarrel, can we find no body to fall out with, but the minister upon whom God puts the greatest honor?

Our Elijah has lately been translated to heaven. Grey-headed Elisha is yet awhile continued upon earth. And shall we make an hurry and noise, to bring in railing accusations against him with more success? While we pretend to a peculiar zeal for

hrilt

Christ's giory, shall the very same spirit be found in us, which made his perfecutors say, He hath spoken blasphemy, (or heresy) what need we any farther witnesses? Shall the sons of the Prophets, shall even children in grace and knowledge, openly traduce the venerable seer and his abundant labours? When they see him run upon his Lord's errands, shall they cry, not go up thy bald head, but Go up thou heretic? O Jesus of Nazareth, thou rejected of men, thou who wast once called a deceiver of the people, suffer it not; lest the ranging bear of persecution come suddenly out of the wood upon those sons of discord, and tear them in pieces!

And suppose a Noah, an old preacher of righteousness, should have really nodded under the influence of an honest mistake, shall we ask a worse part than that of Canaan? Shall we make sport of the nakedness which we say he has disclosed, when we have boldly uncovered it ourselves? O God, do not thou permit it, lest a curse of pride, selfsufficiency, bigotry, Antinomianism and bitter zeal come upon us; and lest the children begotten by our unkind preaching and unloving example, walk in our steps and inherit our propogated punishment.

Rather may the bleffing of peace-makers be ours: may the meek, loving Spirit of Jesus fill our hearts! May streams, not of the bitter waters which cause the curse, but of the living water which gladdens the city of God, slow from our Catholic breasts, and put out the fire of wild zeal and persecuting malice. May we know when Sion is really in danger: and when the accuser of the brethren gives a false alarm to disturb the peace of the church, and turn the stream of undefiled, lovely, and loving religion, into the miry channel of obstinate prejudice, imperious bigotry, and noisy, vain jangling. And may we at last unanimously worship together in the temple of peace, instead of striving for the mastery in the house of discord!

Ï

Should

Should this public attempt to ftop the wa has been publicly declared, be in any de cessful-should it check a little the for that has lately appeared to ftir up content der pretence of opposing herefy-should warm men willing to let the light of their tion shine before men, and to keep a co void of offence towards their neighbours, in openly opposing their liberty of conscience it cause the good that is in an eminent so Christ to be less evil spoken of-And al should it convince any, of the great impro expoling precious truths as dreadful here! of prefering the gospel of Dr. Crisp, to t as it is in Jefus :- I shall be less grieved a been obliged to expostulate with you, Sir public manner.

In hopes this will be the case, and with full of ardent wishes that all our unhappy may end in a greater union. I remain,

Hon, and Rev. Sir, val continue for a

Your obedient fervant, in the peace

gospel of Jesus Christ,

the state of the care of Guy 29, 177%.

ine Line Cherist Com a water

allter maker related and la to ship of the state with a different

A CALL STATES ON THE

restricted assessment of the state of

公司 拉拉斯 医克尔克氏 医克尔克氏