This is a reproduction of a library book that was digitized by Google as part of an ongoing effort to preserve the information in books and make it universally accessible.





https://books.google.com





## ELEVEN

## LETTERS

FROM THE LATE

Rev. Mr. HERVEY,

TO THE

Rev. Mr. JOHN WESLEY;

CONTAINING

An ANSWER to that GENTLEMAN'S Remarks on THERON and ASPASIO.

PUBLISHED FROM THE

AUTHOR'S MANUSCRIPT.

LEFT IN THE

Possession of his Brother W. HERYEY.

A HTIW

PREFACE,

Shewing the REASON of their being now printed.

THE SECOND EDITION.

LONDON:

PRINTED FOR J. F. AND C. RIVIN
N° 62, ST. PAUL'S CHURCH-YA
MDCCLXXXIX.

m Dec narata.



## P'REFACE.

THE following Letters were written by my late Brother in answer to a Piece, which was first sent him from the Rev. Mr. John Wesley, by way of private Letter, containing some Remarks which that Gentleman had made on reading Theron and Aspasio. When my Brother had read it over, he thought it hest to be silent, as it contained nothing which could materially affect his Judgment in regard to the Work it censured: For this Reason, as well as for Peace Sake, he laid it by him unanswered.—Mr. Wesley then published a Pamphlet, which he intitled, A Preservative against unsettled Notions in Religion; in which, he printed the above-mentioned Letter.

This my Brother looked upon as a Summons to the Bar of the Public, and upon this Occasion, in a Letter to a Friend, dated June 23, 1758, writes as follows.

A 2

[" My

[" My dear Friend,

!! I little thought, when I put Mr. Wesley's

" Manuscript into your Hand, that I should see

" it in Print so soon. I took very little Notice

of it, and let it lie by me several Months, with-

out giving it an attentive Consideration\*. It

" seemed to me so palpably weak, dealing only in

" positive Assertions and positive Denials, that I

" could not imagine be would adventure it into the

" World, without very great Alterations. But

" it is now come abroad, just as you received it,

" in a two Shillings Pamphlet, intitled A Preser-

" vative against unsettled Notions in Religion.

" Of this Pamphlet what he has wrote against

" me, makes only a small Part. Now then the

" Question is, whether I shall attempt to answer

" it? Give me your Opinion, as you have given

" me your Assistance, and may the Father of

" Mercies give you an Increase of Knowledge and

" Utterance, of Peace and Joy in the Holy

" Ghoft."]

Between this and the October following, my Brother began the Letters contained in this Volume, of which be thus speaks in another Letter to his Friend, dated October 24, 1758.

[" My dear Friend,

"Let me repeat my Thanks for the Trouble you bave taken, and for the Assistance you have "given

Afterwards he read it again, and gave it, what he calls in the Beginning of the 1st Letter, "a careful Perusal."

" given me in relation to my Controversy with " Mr. Wesley; be is so unfair in bis Quotati-" ons, and so magisterial in his Manner, that I " find it no small Difficulty, to preserve the De-" cency of the Gentleman, and the Meekness of ic the Christian, in my intended Answer: May " our divine Master aid me in both these In-" stances, or else not suffer me to write at all." When, in the December following, I was sent for to Weston, in the very last Period of my Brother's long Illness-I asked him (the Evening. before he died) " What he would have done with " the Letters to Mr. Welley, whether be would " bave them published after bis Death? - He an-" fwered-By no means, because behad only tran-" scribed about half of them fair for the Press, but " as the Corrections and Alterations of the latter e Part were mostly in Short-Hand, it would be " difficult to understand them, especially as some of " the Short-Hand was entirely his own, and others " could not make it out; therefore, be faid, as it is not a finished Piece, I desire you will think

As these were his last Orders concerning these Letters, I thought it right to obey them, and therefore I withstood the repeated Sollicitations of many of his Friends, who wanted to have them printed; alledging the Service they might be of to allay the groundless Prejudices, which the Preservative might occasion in the A 3 Minds

" no more about it."

ä

1

þ

tl

Minds of many, against my Brother's other Writings, as well as the Utility of them in general, as they contain so masterly a Defence of "the Truth "as it is in JESUS."

But, notwithstanding the Regard I had for the Persons who solicited the Publication, I could not be persuaded to print the Letters; and they never had appeared in Publick with my Consent, had not a surreptitious Editor of them lately made its Way from the Press, and was I not under a sirm Persuasion that will be followed by more.

As this is the Case, I think it my Duty to the Memory of my late Brother, to send forth as correct an Edition as I possibly can; for as to that which has appeared (from what Editor I knownet) it is so faulty, and incorrect, that but little Judgment can be formed from it, of the Propriety, and Force of my Brother's Answers to Mr. Wesley.

As to the Unfairness of publishing my Brother's Letters without my Consent, and the Injustice to his Memory, in sending so mangled a Performance out under his Name, they are too apparent to need any Proof; and though the Editor, as I have been informed, gave away the whole Impression, so that it is plain, Lucre was not the Motive of his Proceeding, and I would charitably hope he did it with a View of benefiting his Readers, yet it is sa like

like doing Evil, that Good may come, as, in my Opinion, to be quite unjustifiable.

However, as the only way now left to remedy in fome Sort what has been done, and to prevent a further Imposition on the Publick, from worse Motives than actuated this Publisher, I have called a Friend to my Assistance, and by this Means, present the Reader with as perfect a Copy of these Letters; as can possibly be made out from the original Manuscript now in my Hands.

That the Reader may judge more clearly of the State of the Controversy between my late Brother and Mr. Wesley, I have thought it right to subjoin Mr. Wesley's Letter, Word for Word, as it stands in the Preservative.

## A LETTER to the Rev. Mr.

DEAR SIR,

O&. 15, 1756.

A Confiderable Time fince I fent you a few hasty Thoughts which occurr'd to me on reading the Dialogues between Theron and Aspasio. I have not been favour'd with any Answer. Yet upon another and a more careful Perusal of them, I could not but set down some obvious Resections, which I would rather have communicated, before those Dialogues were publish'd.

In the First Dialogue there are several just and strong Observations, which may be of A 4 Use

Use to every serious Reader. In the Second, is not the Description often too laboured, the Language too stiff, and affected? Yet the Restlections on the Creation (in the 31st and sollowing Pages) make abundant Amends for this. (I cite the Pages according to the Dublin Edition, having wrote the rough Draught of what follows, in Ireland.)

P. 39. Is Justification more or less, than God's pardoning and accepting a Sinner thro' the Merits of Christ? That God herein "reckons the Righteousness and Obedience which Christ performed as our own:" I allow, if by that ambiguous Expression, you mean only as you here explain it yourself, "They are as effectual for obtaining our Salvation, as if they were our own personal Qualifications." P. 41.

P. 43. "WE are not folicitous, as to any particular Set of Phrases. Only let Men be humbled, as repenting Criminals at Christ's Feet, let them rely as devoted Pensioners on his Merits, and they are undoubtedly in the Way to a blissful Immortality." Then for Christ's Sake, and for the Sake of the immortal Souls which he has purchased with his Blood, do not dispute for that particular Phrase, The imputed Righteousness of Christ. It is not scriptural; it is not necessary. Men who scruple to use. Men who never heard the Expression, may yet " be humbled, as repenting Criminals at his Feet, and rely as devoted Pensioners on his Merits." But it has done immense Hurt. I have had abundant Proof, that the frequent Use of this unnecessary Phrase, instead of " furthering

"furthering Mens Progressin vital Holiness," has made them satisfied without any Holiness at all; yea and encouraged them to work all Uncleanness with Greediness.

P. 45. "To ascribe Pardon to Christ's pasfive, eternal Life to his astive Righteousness, is fanciful rather than judicious. His univerfal Obedience from his Birth to his Death, is

the one Foundation of my Hope."

This is unquestionably right. But if it be, there is no manner of Need, to make the Imputation of his active Righteousness, a separate and laboured Head of Discourse. O that you had been content with this plain scriptural Account, and spared some of the Dialogues and Letters that follow!

THE Third and Fourth Dialogues contain an admirable Illustration and Confirmation of the great Doctrine of Christ's Satisfaction. Yet even here I observe a few Passages, which are liable to some Exception.

P. 54. "SATISFACTION was made to the Divine Law." I do not remember any such Expression in Scripture. This Way of speaking of the Law as a Person injured and to be satisfied speak hardly respectively.

fatisfied, seems hardly defensible.

P. 74. "THE Death of Christ procured the Pardon and Acceptance of Believers, even before He came in the Flesh." Yea, and ever since. In this we all agree. And why should we contend for any Thing more?

P. 120. "All the Benefits of the New Covenant, are the Purchase of his Blood." Surely they are. And after this has been fully proved,

proved, where is the Need, where is the Use, of contending so strenuously, for the Imputation of bis Righteousness, as is done in the Fifth and Sixth Dialogues?

P. 135. "If He was our Substitute as to ponal Sufferings, why not, as to justifying Obe-

dience?"

THE former is expresly afferted in Scripture. The latter is not expressly afferted there.

P. 145. "As Sin and Misery have abounded through the first Adam, Mercy and Grace have much more abounded through the second." So that none can have any Reason to complain." No, not if the second Adam died for all. Otherwise all for whom He did not die, have great Reason to complain. For they inevitably fall by the first Adam, without any Help from the second.

P. 148. "The whole World of Believers" is an Expression which never occurs in Scripture: Nor has it any Countenance there: The World in the inspired Writings being constantly taken either in an universal or in a bad Sense: Either for the whole of Mankind, or for that Part of them who know not Gop.

P. 149. "In the Lord shall all the House of Israel be justified." It ought unquestionably to be rendered, "By or through the Lord:" This Argument therefore proves nothing. "Ye are complete in Him." The Words literally rendered, are, Ye are filled with Him. And the whole Passage, as any unprejudiced Reader may observe, relates to Sanctification, not Justification.

P. 150.

Saki

Rig

Ma

latt

Dif

by

tha

nel

nei

anı

'nξ

Ar

rai

Su

fie

Wi

ca:

T

D

ju

a

ſŧ

t

P. 150. "They are accepted for Christ's Sake; this is Justification through imputed Righteoufness." That remains to be proved. Many allow the former, who cannot allow the latter.

Theron. " I see no Occasion for such nice

Distinctions and metaphysical Subtleties.

Asp. You oblige us to make Use of them by consounding these very different Ideas, that is, Christ's active and passive Righteousness."

I ANSWER, We do not confound these: But neither do we separate them. Nor have we any Authority from Scripture, for either thinking or speaking of one separate from the other. And this whole Debate on one of them separate from the other, is a mere metaphysical Subtlety.

P. 151. "THE Righteousness which justifies us, is already wrought out." A crude, unscriptural Expression! "It was set on Foot, carried on, compleated."—O vain Philosophy! The plain Truth is, Christ lived and tasted Death for every Man. And through the Merits of his Life and Death, every Believer is justified.

P. 152. "WHOEVER perverts fo glorious a Doctrine, shews he never believed." Not so. They who turn back as a Dog to the Womit, had once escaped the Pollutions of the World.

by the Knowledge of Christ.

P. 153. "THE Goodness of God leadeth to Repentance." This is unquestionably true. But the nice, metaphysical Doctrine of imputed Righteousness.

Righteousness, leads not to Repentance, but to Licentiousness.

P. 154. "THE Believer cannot but add to his Faith, Works of Righteousness." During his first Love, this is often true. But it is not true afterwards, as we know and feel by melancholy Experience.

P. 155. "WE no longer obey, in order to lay the Foundation for our final Acceptance." No: That Foundation is already laid in the Merits of Christ. Yet we obey, in order to our final Acceptance thro' his Merits. And in this Sense, by obeying we lay a good Foundation, that we may attain eternal Life.

P. 156. "We establish the Law: We provide for its Honour, by the perfect Obedience of Christ." Can you possibly think St. Paul meant this? That such a Thought ever entered into his Mind? The plain Meaning is, We establish both the true Sense and the essectual Practice of it: We provide for its being both understood and practised in its sull Extent.

P. 157. "On those who reject the Atonement, just Severity." Was it ever possible for them, not to reject it? If not, how is it just, To cast them into a Lake of Fire, for not doing what it was impossible they should do? Would it be just (make it your own Case) to cast you into Hell, for not touching Heaven with your Hand?

P. 159. "JUSTIFICATION is complete the first Moment we believe, and is incapable of Augmentation."

Nor

Not fo: There may be as many Degrees in

the Favour as in the Image of God.

P. 190. "ST. PAUL often mentions a Righteousness imputed: (Not a Righteousness; never once; but simply Righteousness.) "What can this be, but the Righteousness of Christ?" He tells you himself, Rom. iv. 6. To Him that believeth on Him that justifieth the Ungodly, Faith is imputed for Righteousness. "Why is Christ stilled Jehovah our Righteousness?" Because we are both justified and sanctified thro' Him.

P. 191. "My Death, the Cause of their Forgiveness, MyRighteousness, the Ground of

their Acceptance."

How does this agree with P. 45. "To afcribe Pardon to Christ's passive, Eternal Life to his Astive Righteouiness, is fanciful rather than

judicious."

P. 195. "He commends such Kinds of Beneficence only, as were exercised to a Disciple as such." Is not this a Slip of the Pen? Will not our Lord then commend, and reward eternally, all Kinds of Beneficence, provided they flow'd from a Principle of loving Faith? Yea, that which was exercised to a Samaritan, a Jew, a Turk or an Heathen? Even these I would not term "transient Bubbles," tho' they do not procure our Justification.

P. 197. "How must our Righteousness exceed that of the Scribes and Pharisees? Not only in being sincere, but in possessing a complete Righteousness, even that of Christ." Did our Lord mean this? Nothing less. He specisies

cifies in the following Parts of his Sermon, the very Instances wherein the Righteousness of a Christian exceeds that of the Scribes and Pharifees.

P. 198. "He brings this specious Hypotrite to the Test." How does it appear, that he was an Hypocrite? Our Lord gives not the least Intimation of it. Surely He loved bim, not for his Hypocrify, but his Sincerity!

YET he loved the World, and therefore could not keep any of the Commandments in their spiritual Meaning. And the keeping of these is undoubtedly the Way to, tho' not the

Cause, of Eternal Life.

P. 200. "By Works his Faith was made perfett: appeared to be true." No: The natural Sense of the Word is, By the Grace superadded while he wrought those Works, his Faith was literally made perfett.

Ibid. "HE that doeth Righteousness is rightrous—manifests the Truth of his Conversion." Nay; the plain Meaning is, He alone is truly righteous, whose Faith worketh by Love.

P. 201. "St. James speaks of the Justification of our Faith." Not unless you mean by that odd Expression, our Faith being made perfett: For so the Apostle explains his own Meaning. Perhaps the Word justified is once used by St. Paul for manifested.—But that does not prove, it is to be so understood here.

P. 202. "Whoso doeth these Things shall never fall into total Apostasy." How pleasing is this to Flesh and Blood! But David says no such Thing. His Meaning is, Whose doeth these

these Things to the End shall never fall into Hell.

THE Seventh Dialogue is full of important Truths. Yet some Expressions in it I can't

commend.

P. 216. "ONE Thing thou lackest, the imputed Righteousness of Christ." You cannot think, this is the Meaning of the Text. Certainly the one Thing our LORD meant was, The Love of God. This was the Thing he lacked.

P. 222. "Is the Obedience of Christ infussicient to accomplish our Justification?" Rather I would ask, Is the Death of Christ infussicient to purchase it?

P. 226. "The Saints in Glory ascribe, the whole of their Salvation to the Blood of the Lamb." So do 1: And yet I believe He obtained for all a Possibility of Salvation."

P. 227. "THE Terms of Acceptance for fallen Man were a full Satisfaction to the Divine Justice, and a complete Conformity to the Divine Law." This you take for granted; but I cannot allow.

THE Terms of Acceptance for fallen Man are Repentance and Faith. Repent ye and be-

lieve the Gospel.

Ibid. "THERE are but Two Methods whereby any can be justified, either by a perfect Obedience to the Law, or because Christ hath kept the Law in our stead." You should say, "Or by Faith in Christ." I then answer, This is true. And sallen Man is justified, not by perfect Obedience but by Faith. What

What Christ has done is the Foundation of our Justification, not the Term or Condition of it.

In the Eighth Dialogue likewise there are many great Truths, and yet some Things lia-

ble to Exception.

P. 253. "DAVID GOD Himself dignissis with the most exalted of all Characters." Far, very far from it. We have more exalted Characters than David's, both in the Old Testament and the New. Such are those of Samuel, Daniel, yea and Job, in the former, of St. Paul and St. John in the latter.

" But God stiles him a Man after his own Heart." This is the Text which has caused many to mistake: For want of considering, First, that this is said of David in a particular Respect, not with Regard to his whole Character: Secondly, The Time, at which it was fpoken. When was David a Man after God's own Heart? When God found him following the Ewes great with Young, when He took bim from the Sheep-Folds, Ps. lxxix. 71. It was in the 2d or 3d Year of Saul's Reign, that Samuel faid to him, The LORD bath fought Him a Man after bis own Heart, and bath commanded bim to be Captain over bis People, 1 Sam. xiii. 14. But was he a Man after God's own Heart all his Life? Or in all Particulars? So far from it, that we have few more exceptionable Characters, among all the Men of God recorded in Scripture.

P. 261. "THERE is not a just Man upon Earth that sinneth not." Solomon might truly fay so, before Christ came. And St. John might

might after He came say as truly, Whosoever is born of God sinneth not. "But in many Things we offend all." That St. James does not speak this of himself, or of real Christians, will clearly appear, to all who impartially consider the Context.

THE ninth Dialogue proves excellently well, That we cannot be justified by our Works.

But have you thoroughly confidered the

Words which occur in the 270th Page?

"O CHILDREN of Adam, you are no longer obliged, to love God with all your Strength, nor your Neighbour as yourselves. Once indeed I insisted upon absolute Purity of Heart: Now I can dispense with some Degrees of evil Desire. Since Christ"—has suffilled the Law for you, "You need not fulfil it. I will connive at, yea accommodate my Demands to your Weakness."

I AGREE with you, That "this Doctrine makes the Holy One of God a Minister of Sin." And is it not your own? Is not this the very Doctrine which you espouse throughout your Book?

I CANNOT but except to feveral Passages al-

so in the Tenth Dialogue. I ask First,

P. 291. "Does the Righteousness of God ever mean" (as you affirm) "The Merits of Christ?" I believe not once in all the Scripture. It often means, and particularly in the Epistle to the Romans, God's Method of justifying Sinners. When therefore you say,

P. 292. "THE Righteousness of God means, such a Righteousness as may justly a challenge challenge his Acceptance," I cannot allow it at all: And this capital Mistake must needs lead you into many others. But I follow you

Step by Step.

Ibid. "In order to intitle us to a Reward, there must be an Imputation of Righteousness." There must be an Interest in Christ. And then every Man shall receive bis own Reward,

according to his own Labour.

P. 293. "A REBEL may be forgiven, without being restored to the Dignity of a Son." A Rebel against an earthly King may; but not a Rebel against God. In the very same Moment that God forgives, we are the Sons of God. Therefore this is an idle Dispute. For Pardon and Acceptance, though they may be distinguished, cannot be divided. The Words of Job which you cite are wide of the Question. Those of Solomon prove no more than this, (and who denies it?) That Justification implies both Pardon and Acceptance.

P. 295. "GRACE reigneth thro' Rightmoufness unto eternal Life,"—That is, The free Love of God brings us through Justification and Sanctification to Glory. Ibid. "That they may receive Forgiveness and a Lot among the Santified:" That is, That they may receive

Pardon, Holiness, Heaven.

Ibid. "Is not the Satisfaction made by the Death of Christ, sufficient to obtain both our full Pardon and final Happiness?" Unquestionably it is, and neither of the Texts you cite proves the contrary.

P. 296.

P. 296. "IF it was requisite for Christ to be baptized, much more to fulfil the Moral Law."

I CANNOT prove that either the one or the other was requisite in order to his purchasing

Redemption for us

P. 297. " By Christ's Sufferings alone, the Law was not satisfied." Yes it was; for it required only the alternative, Obey or Die. It required no Man to obey and die too. If any Man had perfectly obey'd, he would not have died. Ibid. "Where Scripture ascribes the whole of our Salvation to the Death of Christ. a Part of his Humiliation is put for the whole." I cannot allow this without some Proof. was obedient unto Death is no Proof at all: as it does not necessarily imply any more, than that He died in Obedience to the Father. fome Texts there is a Necessity of taking a Part for the Whole. But in these there is no fuch Necessity.

P. 200. " CHRIST undertook to do every Thing necessary for our Redemption:"Namely, in a Covenant made with the Father. 'Tis fure, He did every Thing necessary: But how does it appear, that He undertook this, before the Foundation of the World, and that by a positive Covenant between Him and the Fa-

ther?

You think this appears from four Texts, 1. From that, Thou gavest them to Me. Nay, when any believe, the Father gave them to Christ. But this proves no such previous Contract. 2. God bath laid upon Him the Iniquities

a 2

ties of us all. Neither does this prove any such Thing. 3. That Expression, The Counsel of Peace shall be between them, does not necessarily imply any more, than that both the Father and the Son would concur in the Redemption of Man. 4. According to the Counsel of his Will,—that is, In the Way or Method He had chosen. Therefore neither any of these Texts, nor all of them, prove what they were brought to prove. They do by no Means prove, That there ever was any such Covenant made between the Father and the Son.

P. 301. "THE Conditions of the Covenant are recorded. Lo, I come to do thy Will." Nay here is no Mention of any Covenant, nor any Thing from which it can be inferr'd. "The Recompence stipulated in this glorious Treaty."—But I see not one Word of the Treaty itself. Nor can I possibly allow the Existence of it without far other Proof than this. Ibid. "Another Copy of this grand Treaty is recorded Isaiab xlix. from the first to the fixth Verse." I have read them, but cannot find a Word about it, in all those Verses. They contain neither more nor less than a Prediction, of the Salvation of the Gentiles.

P. 302. "By the Covenant of Works, 'Man was bound to obey in his own Person." And so he is under the Covenant of Grace; though not in order to his Justification. "The Obedience of our Surety is accepted instead of our own." This is neither a safe nor a Scriptural Way of Speaking. I would simply say,

We are accepted thro' the Beloved. We have Re-

demption thro' his Blood.

P. 303. "The Second Covenant was not made with Adam, or any of his Posterity, but with Christ in those Words, The Seed of the Woman shall bruise the Serpent's Head." For any Authority you have from these Words, you might as well have said, It was made with the Holy Ghost. These Words were not spoken to Christ, but of Him, and give not the least Intimation of any such Covenant as you plead for. They manifestly contain, if not a Covenant made with, a Promise made to Adam and all his Posterity.

P. 303. "CHRIST, we fee, undertook to execute the Conditions." We fee no fuch Thing in this Text. We fee here only a Promife of a Saviour, made by God to Man.

Ibid. "'Tis true, I cannot fulfil the Conditions." Tis not true. The Conditions of the New Covenant are Repent and believe. And these you can fulfil, thro' Christ strength-"Tis equally true, this is not ening you. required at my Hands." It is equally true, that is, absolutely false. And most dangeroully falle. If we allow this, Antinomianism comes in with a full Tide. " Christ has perform'd all that was conditionary for me." Has he repented and believed for you? You endeavour to evade this by faying, "He perform'd all that was conditionary in the Covenant of Works." This is nothing to the Purpose; for we are not talking of that, but of the Covenant of Grace. Now he did not perform all that a 3 was was conditionary in this Covenant, unless He repented and believed. "But He did unspeakably more." It may be so. But He did not do this.

P. 308. "But if Christ's perfect Obedience be Our's, we have no more Need of Pardon than Christ Himsels." The Consequence is good. You have started an Objection which you cannot answer. You say indeed, "Yes, we do need Pardon; for in many Things we offend all." What then? If his Obedience be

Our's, we still perfettly obey in Him.

P. 309. "BOTH the Branches of the Law, the Preceptive and the Penal, in the Case of Guilt contracted, must be satisfied." Not so, "Christ by his Death alone, (so our Church teaches) fully satisfied for the Sins of the whole World." The same great Truth is manifestly taught in the 31st Article. Is it therefore fair, is it honest, for any one to plead the Articles of our Church in Desence of Absolute Predestination? Seeing the 17th Article barely desines the Term, without either affirming or denying the Thing: Whereas the 31st totally overthrows and razes it from the Foundation.

Ibid. "Believers who are notorious Transgressors in themselves, have a sinless Obedience in Christ." O Siren Song! Pleasing Sound, to James Wheatley! Thomas Williams! James Reiley!

I know not one Sentence in the Eleventh Dialogue, which is liable to Exception: But that grand Doctrine of Christianity, Original nal Sin, is therein proved by irrefragable Ar-

guments.

THE Twelfth likewise is unexceptionable, and contains such an Illustration of the Wisdom of God, in the Structure of the Human Body, as I believe, cannot be parallel'd, in either Antient or Modern Writers.

THE former Part of the Thirteenth Dialogue is admirable. To the latter I have

some Objection.

Vol. II. P. 44. "Elijab failed in his Refignation, and even Moses spake unadvisedly with his Lips." It is true: But if you could likewise fix some Blot upon venerable Samuel and beloved Daniel, it would prove nothing. For no Scripture teaches, That the Holiness of Christians is to be measured by that of any Jew.

P. 46. "Do not the best of Men frequently feel Disorder in their Affections? Do not they often complain, When I would do Good, Evil is present with me?" I believe not. You and I are only able to answer for ourselves. "Do not they say, We groan being burthen'd,—with the Workings of inbred Corruption?" You know, this is not the Meaning of the Text. The whole Context shews, the Cause of that Groaning was their longing to be with Christ.

P. 47. "The Cure" of Sin " will be perfected in Heaven." Nay furely, in Paradife, if no fooner. "This is a noble Prerogative of the Beatific Vision." No: It would then come too late. If Sin remains in us 'till the Day of Judgment, it will remain for ever. "Our present Blessedness does not consist in a 4

being free from Sin." I really think it does. But whether it does or no, if we are not free from Sin, we are not Christian Believers. For to all these the Apostle declares, Being made free from Sin, ye are become the Servants of Righteousness, Rom. vi. 18.

"Ir we were perfect in Piety (St. John's Word is, Perfect in Love) Christ's Priestly Office would be superseded." No: We should still need his Spirit (and consequently his Intercession) for the Continuance of that Love from Moment to Moment. Beside, we should still be encompast with Infirmities, and liable to Mistakes, from which Words or Actions might follow, even though the Heart was all Love, which were not exactly right. Therefore in all these Respects, we should still have Need of Christ's Priestly Office: And therefore as long as he remains in the Body, the greatest Saint may say,

Every Moment, LORD, I need The Merit of thy Death.

The Text cited from Exodus afferts nothing less than, That Iniquity "cleaves to all our holy

Things 'till Death."

P. 48. "SIN remains, That the Righteousness of Faith may have its due Honour."
And will the Righteousness of Faith have its
due Honour no longer than Sin remains in us?
Then it must remain, not only on Earth and
in Paradise, but in Heaven also—" And the
Sanctification of the Spirit its proper Esteem."
Would it not have more Esteem, if it were a
persect Work?

1bid.

Digitized by Google

Ibid. "It (Sin) will make us lowly in our own Eyes." What, will Pride make us lowly? Surely the utter Destruction of Pride, would do this more effectually. "It will make us compassionate." Would not an entire Renewal in the Image of God make us much more so? "It will teach us to admire the Riches of Grace." Yea, but a fuller Experience of it, by a thorough Sanctification of Spirit, Soul and Body, will make us admire it more. "It will reconcile us to Death." Indeed it will not: Nor will any Thing do this, like perfect Love.

P. 49. "IT will endear the Blood and Intercession of Christ." Nay, these can never be so dear to any, as to those who experience their full Virtue, who are filled with the Fulness of God. Nor can any "feel their continual Need" of Christ, or, "rely on Him" in the

Manner which these do.

DIALOGUE 14. P. 57. "THE Claims of the Law are all answered." If so, Count Zinzendorf is absolutely in the right: Neither God nor Man can claim my Obedience to it. Is not this Antinomianism without a Mask?

P. 59. "Your Sins are expiated thro' the Death of Christ, and a Righteousness given you, by which you have free Access to God." This is not Scriptural Language. I would simply say, By him we have Access to the Father.

THERE are many other Expressions in this Dialogue, to which I have the same Objection, namely, 1. That they are Unscriptural, 2. That they directly lead to Antinomianism.

Тнв

THE First Letter contains some very useful Heads of Self-Examination. In the Second.

P. 91. I READ, " There is a Righteousness which supplies all that the Creature needs. To prove this momentous Point, is the Design

of the following Sheets."

I have seen such terrible Effects, of this unscriptural Way of Speaking, even on those wobo had once clean escaped from the Pollutions of the World, that I cannot but earnestly wish, you would speak no otherwise than do the Oracles of God. Certainly this Mode of Expression is not momentous. It is always dangerous, often fatal.

LETTER III. P. 93. "Where Sin abounded, Grace did much more abound: That as Sin had reign'd unto Death, so might Grace—the free Love of Gov-reign thro' Righteousness, thro' our Justification and Sanctification, unto eternal Life, Rom. v. 20, 21. This is the plain natural Meaning of the Words. It does not anpear, that one Word is spoken here about imputed Righteon Inels: Neither in the Passages cited in the next Page, from the Common-Prayer and the Article. In the Homily likewise that Phrase is not found at all, and the main Stress is laid on Christ's shedding his Blood. Nor is the Phrase (concerning the Thing, there is no Queftion) found in any Part of the Homilies.

P. 101. "If the Fathers are not explicit with Regard to the Imputation of active Rightenufness, they abound in Passages which evince the Substitution of Christ in our Stead: Paffages which difclaim all Dependence on any

**Duties** 

Duties of our own, and fix our Hopes wholly on the Merits of our Saviour. When this is the Case, I am very little solicitous about any particular Forms of Expression." O lay aside then those questionable, dangerous Forms, and keep closely to the Scriptural.

LETTER IV. P. 105. "The Authority of our Church and of those eminent Divines," does not touch those particular Forms of Expression: Neither do any of the Texts which you afterward cite. As to the Doctrine we are

agreed.

Ibid. "THE Righteousness of God signifies, the Righteousness which God-Man wrought out." No. It signifies God's Method of

justifying Sinners.

P. 107. "THE Victims figured the Expiation by Christ's Death, the cloathing with Skins, the Imputation of his Righteousness." That does not appear. Did not the One rather figure our Justification, the other, our Sanctification?

P. 109. Almost every Text quoted in this and the following Letter, in support of that particular Form of Expression, is distorted above Measure from the plain, obvious Meaning, which is pointed out by the Context. I shall instance in a few, and just set down their true Meaning, without any farther Remarks.

To shew unto Man bis Uprightness. To convince him of God's Justice, in so punishing him.

P. 110. He shall receive the Blessing—Pardon—from the LORD and Righteousness—Holiness—from the God of his Salvation,—the God who

who faveth him both from the Guilt and from the Power of Sin.

P. 111. I WILL make Mention of thy Righteousness only.—Of thy Mercy. So the Word frequently means in the Old Testament. So it unquestionably means in that Text, In (or by) thy Righteousness shall they be exalted.

P. 112. Sion shall be redeemed with Judgment—after severe Punishment—and ber Converts with Righteousness—with the tender Mer-

cy of Gop, following that Punishment.

P. 113. In (or thro') the LORD I have Righteousness and Strength, Justification and Sanctification. He hath clothed me with the Garments of Salvation,—faved me from the Guilt and Power of Sin: Both of which are again exprest by, He hath covered me with the Robe of Righteousness.

P. 114. My Righteousness-my Mercy-

shall not be abolished.

- P. 116. To make Reconciliation for Iniquity—to atone for all our Sins—and to bring in everlasting Righteousness, spotless Holiness into our Souls. And this Righteousness is not Human, but Divine. It is the Gift and the Work of God.
- P. 117. THE LORD our Righteousness— The Author both of our Justification and Sanctification.
- P. 127. "WHAT Righteousness shall give us Peace at the last Day, Inherent or Imputed?" Both. Christ died for us and lives in us, That we may have Boldness in the Day of Judgment.

LETTER

LETTER V. P. 131. That have obtain'd like precious Faith thro' the Righteousness—the Mercy—of our LORD. Seek ye the Kingdom of God and his Righteousness—the Holiness which springs from God reigning in you.

P. 132. THEREIN is revealed the Righteoufness of God-God's Method of justifying

Sinners.

P. 135. "We establish the Law, as we expect no Salvation without a perfect Conformity to it—namely, by Christ." Is not this a mere Quibble? And a Quibble, which after all the labour'd Evasions of Witsius and a thousand more, does totally make void the Law? But not so does St. Paul teach. According to him, without Holiness, personal Holiness, no Man shall see the Lord. None who is not himself conform'd to the Law of God here, shall see the Lord in Glory.

This is the grand, palpable Objection to that whole Scheme. It directly makes void the Law. It makes Thousands content to live and die Transgressors of the Law, because Christ fulfilled it for them. Therefore, tho' I believe, He hath lived and died for me, yet I would speak very tenderly and sparingly of the former, (and never, separately from the latter) even as sparingly as do the Scriptures, for sear

of this dreadful Consequence.

P. 138. "THE Gift of Righteousness must fignify a Righteousness not their own." Yes, it signifies the Righteousness or Holiness, which God gives to and works in them.

P. 139.

P. 139. "The Obedience of one is Christ's actual Performance of the whole Law." So here his Passion is fairly left out! Whereas his becoming obedient unto Death, that is, dying for Man, is certainly the chief Part, if not the whole which is meant by that Expression.

wight be fulfilled in us—That is, By our Representative in our Nature." Amazing! But this, you say, "agrees with the Tenour of the Apostle's Arguing. For he is demonstrating we cannot be justified by our own Conformity to the Law." No: Not here. He is not speaking here of the Cause of our Justification, but the Fruits of it. Therefore that unnatural Sense of his Words does not at all, agree with the Tenour of his Arguing."

P. 140. I TOTALLY deny the Criticism on δικαιοσύνη and δικαιωμα, and cannot conceive on what Authority it is founded. O how deep an Aversion to Inward Holiness does this

Scheme naturally create?

P. 142. The Righteousness they attained could not be any Personal Righteousness." Certainly it was. It was implanted as well as

imputed.

P. 145. "For Instruction in Righteousness, in the Righteousness of Christ." Was there ever such a Comment before? The plain Meaning is, For training up in Holiness of Heart and of Life.

P. 146. He shall convince the World of Rightoughess—That I am not a Sinner, but innocent and holy.

P. 148.

P. 148. "THAT we might be made the Righteousness of God in Him. Not intrinsically, but imputatively." Both the one and the other. God thro' Him, first accounts and then makes us righteous. Accordingly

P. 152. THE Righteousness which is of GOD

by Faith, is both imputed and inherent.

P. 153. "My Faith fixes on both the meritorious Life and atoning Death of Christ." Here we clearly agree. Hold then to this, and never talk of the former without the latter. If you do, you cannot fay, "Here we are exposed to no Hazard." Yes, you are to an exceeding great one: Even the Hazard of living and dying without Holiness. And then we are lost for ever.

THE Sixth Letter contains an admirable Account of the Earth and its Atmosphere, and comprizes Abundance of Sense in a narrow Compass, and exprest in beautiful Language.

- P. 177. GEMS have "a Seat on the virtuous fair one's Breast." I can't reconcile this with St. Paul, He says, Not with Pearls: By a Parity of Reason, not with Diamonds. But in all Things I perceive, you are too favourable, both to the Desire of the Flesh and the Desire of the Eye. You are a gentle Casuist as to every Self-indulgence which a plentiful Fortune can furnish.
- P. 182. "Our Saviour's Obedience"—O fay, with the good old Puritans, our Saviour's Death or Merits. We swarm with Antinomians on every Side. Why are you at such Pains to increase their Number?

P. 194.

P. 194. My Mouth shall shew forth thy Righteousness and thy Salvation.—Thy Mercy which

brings my Salvation.

THE Eighth Letter is an excellent Description of the Supreme Greatness of Christ. I do not observe One Sentence in it, which I

cannot chearfully subscribe to.

THE Ninth Letter, containing a Description of the Sea, with various Inferences deduced therefrom, is likewise a Master-Piece, for Justness of Sentiment, as well as Beauty of Language. But I doubt whether, " mere Shrimps," P. 241. be not too low an Expresfion: And whether you might not as well, have faid nothing of "Cod, the standing Re-"past of Lent:" Or concerning "the exquisite Relish of Turbot, or the Deliciousness of Sturgeon." Are not fuch Observations beneath the Dignity of a Minister of Christ? I have the same Doubt, concerning what is said (P. 264.) of "delicately flavour'd Tea, finelyfcented Coffee; the friendly Bowl, the Pyramid of Italian Figs, and the Pastacia Nut of Aleppo." Beside that the mentioning these in such a Manner is a strong Encouragement of Luxury and Senfuality. And does the World need this? The English in particular?—Si non insaniunt satis sua sponte, instiga.

LETTER 10. P. 271. "Those Treasures which spring from the Imputation of Christ's Righteousness." Not a Word of his atoning Blood? Why do so many Men love to speak of his Righteousness, rather than his Atonement? I fear, because it affords a fairer Excuse

cuse for their own Unrighteousness: To cut off this, is it not better, to mention both together? At least never to name the former without the latter?

P. 285. "FAITH is, a Persuasion that Christ has shed his Blood for me, and sulfill'd all Righteousness in my Gread." I can by no Means subscribe to this Definition. There are Hundreds, yea Thousands of true Believers, who never once thought, one Way or the other, of Christ's sulfilling all Righteousness in their Stead. I personally know many who to this very Hour have no Idea of it; and yet have each of them a Divine Evidence and Conviction, Christ loved me, and gave Himself for me. This is St. Paul's Account of Faith: And it is sufficient. He that thus believes is justified.

P. 287. "It is a fure Means of purifying the Heart, and never fails to work by Love." It furely purifies the Heart—if we abide in it; but not if we draw back to Perdition. It never fails to work by Love, while it continues; but if itself fail, farewel both Love and Good Works.

"FAITH is the Hand which receives all that is laid up in Christ." Consequently, if we make Shipwreck of the Faith, how much soever is laid up in Christ, from that Hour we receive nothing.

LETTER 11. P. 288. "FAITH in the imputed Righteousness of Christ, is a fundamental Principle in the Gospel." If so, what becomes of all those who think nothing about imputed

imputed Righteousness? How many who are full of Faith and Love, if this be true, must

perish everlastingly!

P. 297. "THY Hands must urge the Way of the deadly Weapon, thro' the shivering Flesh, till it be plunged in the throbbing Heart." Are not these Descriptions far too strong? May they not occasion unprofitable Reasonings in many Readers?

Ne puerum coram populo Medea trucidet.

P. 248. "How can he justify it to the World?" Not at all. Can this then justify bis Faith to the World?

P. 304. "You take the certain Way to obtain Comfort, the Righteousness of Jesus Christ." What, without the Atonement? Strange Fondness for an unscriptural, dangerous Mode of Expression!

P. 306. "So the Merits of Christ are derived to all the Faithful." Rather the Fruits of the Spirit: Which are likewise plainly ty-

pified by the Oil in Zechariah's Vision.

P. 310. "Has the Law any Demand? It must go to Him for Satisfaction." Suppose, "Thou shalt love thy Neighbour as thyself." Then I am not obliged to love my Neighbour. Christ has satisfied the Demand of the Law for me. Is not this the very Quintessence of Antinomianism?

P. 311. "THE Righteousness wrought out by Jesus Christ, is wrought out for all his People, to be the Cause of their Justification, and the Purchase of their Salvation. The Righteousness is the Cause, the Purchase." So the

the Death of Christ is not so much as named! "For all his People." But what becomes of all other People? They must inevitably perish for ever. The Die was cast, or ever they were in Being. The Doctrine to pass them by, has

Confign'd their unborn Souls to Hell, And damn'd them from their mother's wombl

I could sooner be a Turk, a Deist, yea an Atheist, than I could believe this. It is less absurd to deny the very Being of God, than

to make Him an Almighty Tyrant.

P. 318. "THE whole World and all its Seasons, are rich with our Creator's Goodness. His tender Mercies are over all his Works." Are they over the Bulk of Mankind? Where is his Goodness to the Non-Elect? How are his tender Mercies over Them? "His Temporal Blessings are given to them." But are they to them Blessings at all? Are they not all Curses? Does not God know they are? That they will only increase their Damnation? Does not He design they should? And this you call Goodness? This is tender Mercy!

P. 321. "MAY we not discern pregnant Proofs of Goodness, in each individual Object?" No; on your Scheme not a Spark of it in this World or the next to the far greater Part of the Work of his own Hands!

P. 334. "Is God a generous Benefactor to the meanest Animals, to the lowest Reptiles! And will He deny my Friend what is necessary to his present Comfort, and his Final Acceptance?" Yea, will He deny it to any Soul b 2

Digitized by Google

that he has made? Would you deny it to any if it were in your Power?

But if you lov'd whom God abhorr'd The Servant were above his Lord.

P. 337. THE Wedding-Garment here means Holiness.

P. 340. "This is his tender Complaint, They will not come unto Me!" Nay, that is not the Case; they cannot. He Himself has decreed, not to give them that Grace without

which their Coming is impossible!

"THE Grand End which God proposes in all his favourable Dispensations to fallen Man, is to demonstrate the Sovereignty of his Grace." Not so: To impart Happiness to his Creatures, is his grand End herein. "Barely to demonstrate his Sovereignty," is a Principle of Action sit for the great Turk, not the most High God.

P. 341. "God hath Pleasure in the Prosperity of his Servants. He is a boundless Ocean of Good." Nay, that Ocean is far from boundless, if it wholly passes by Nine-

tenths of Mankind.

P. 342. "You cannot suppose God would enter into a fresh Covenant with a Rebel." I both suppose and know He did. "God made the New Covenant with Christ, and charged Him with the Performance of the Conditions." I deny both these Affertions, which are the Central Point wherein Calvinism and Antinomianism meet. "I have made a Covenant with my Chosen."—Namely, with David my Servant. So God Himself explains it.

- P. 362. "He will wash you in the Blood which atones and invest you with the Righte-ousness which justifies." Why should you thus continually put assumer, what God has joined?
- P. 440. "God Himself at the last Day pronounces them righteous, because they are interested in the Obedience of the Redeemer." Rather, because they are washed in his Blood, and renewed by his Spirit.

Upon the whole, I cannot but wish, that the Plan of these Dialogues had been executed in a different Manner. Most of the grand Truths of Christianity are herein both explained and proved with great Strength and Clearness. Why was any Thing intermixt, which could prevent any serious Christian's recommending them to all Mankind? Any Thing which must necessarily render them exceptionable, to so many Thousands of the Children of God? In practical Writings I studiously abstain from the very Shadow of Controversy. Nay, even in Controversial, I do not knowingly write one Line, to which any but my Opponent would object. For Opinions shall I destroy the Work of Goo? Then am I a Bigot indeed. Much more, if I would not drop any Mode of Expresfion, rather than offend either Jew or Gentile, or the Church of God. I am,

> With great Sincerity, Dear Sir,

Your affectionate Brother and Servant,

J. W.

b 3 I bave

I have but one Thing more to add, which is, concerning the Seasonableness of the following. Publication. It may, perhaps, be thought a needless Revival of a Dispute, which happened long aga, and which is now probably forgotten. In answer to which, I can assure the Reader, that the above is printed from an Edition of the Preservative, now on Sale at the Foundery. The Seasonableness of this Publication, is therefore apparent; for though my Brother died December 25, 1758, the Controversy did by no Means die with him, but still subsists in the daily Publication and Sale of the Preservative, which also comes with a special \* Recommendation from Mr. Wesley, into the Hands of all his Preachers, to be by them first " carefully read, then to be recommended and explained to the feveral Societies where they labour." So that the Controversy is, in the most effectual Manner, daily and bourly kept alive by Mr. Wesley bimself. This proves very sufficiently the Seasonableness, and as Things have happened, the Expediency, of the present Appearance of the following Letters in Publick. How pertinent an Answer they contain to Mr. Wesley's Objections, is now to be left to the Consideration of the candid Reader.

Miles's-I ane, December 5, 1764. W. HERVEY.

\* See the last Paragraph of a Tract, intitled, Reasons against a Separation from the Church of England. Printed also in the Preservative, p. 237.

## LETTERS

TO THE

Reverend Mr. John Wesley.

## LETTERI

REV. SIR,

Received the Letter you mention, containing Remarks on the Dialogues between Theron and Aspasio. As after a careful Perusal, I saw very little Reason to alter my Sentiments, I laid aside your Epistle without returning an Answer, in hopes that my Silence (which it seems you mistook for Obstinacy) would most emphatically speak my Advice; which, had it been expressed more plainly, would have been delivered in the Apostle's Words, That ye study, or make it your Ambition, to be quiet \*.

B Since

<sup>\* 1</sup> Thess. iv. 11. Φιλοτιμεῖσθαι, a beautiful Word, rich with Meaning, and not adequately translated by make it your Ambition, still more indequately by our common Version.

Since you have, by printing these Remarks, summoned me, though reluctant, to the Bar of the Public, it should seem, that I ought not to discredit the Truth once delivered to the Saints, by a timid Silence; and I am the more willing to answer for myself, as I have now the Privilege of an unprejudiced Judge, and an impartial Jury .- If my Defence should be lost on my Opponent, it may possibly make some useful Impressions on the Court, and candid Audience. However, I will not absolutely despair of convincing Mr. Wesley himself, because it is written, Give Admonition to a wife Man, and he will yet be wifer \*. On some very momentous and interesting Points, I may probably be a little more copious than the strict Laws of Argument demand, in order to exhibit some of the great Truths of the Gospel, in so clear a Light, that be may run who readeth them; in so amiable and inviting a Light, that the Believer may rejoice in them, and the Sinner may long for them. For fuch Digressions, I promise myself an easy Pardon, both from yourself and the Reader.

Thus you open the Debate: "In the second "Dialogue, is not the Description often too laboured, "the Language too stiff and affected?" I must confess, Sir, this Animadversion seems to be as just, as the Praise which you have here, and elsewhere bestowed, appears to be lavish: The former, if not more pleasing, may be no less serviceable than the latter; for Both I acknowledge myself your Debtor, and if ever I attempt any Thing more in the Capacity of an Author, I will

<sup>\*</sup> Prov. ix. 9.. The original Phrase is only in give, which may fignify, give Admonition, as well as (what our Version has supposed) Instruction.

I will be fure to keep my Eye fixed on the Caution you have given.

I am forry that the next Words bring on a Complaint, so close to my Acknowledgment. "You cite " the Pages according to the Dublin Edition, having "wrote the rough Draught of what follows in " Ireland." But should you not, in Complaifance to your Readers on this Side the Water, have referred to the Pages of the English Edition? For want of fuch Reference, there is hardly Distinction enough, in some Places, to know which are your Words, and which are Aspasio's. - Should you not also, in Justice to the Author, before you transcribed the rough Draught for the Press, have consulted the last Edition of his Work? Which you well knew was not the Copy, from which the Irish Impression was taken, yet might reasonably suppose to be the least inaccurate.

· When I read your next Paragraph, I am struck with Reverence, I am ashamed and almost astonished, at the littleness of the preceding Observations: Stiffness of Style, and a Thousand such Trifles, what are they all compared with Justification before the infinite and immortal GOD? This is a Subject that commands our most awful Regard, a Bleffing that should engage our whole Attention. As this is the grand Article to come under our Confideration, I would desire to maintain an incessant Dependence on the Divine SPIRIT, that my Thoughts may be influenced, and my Pen guided by the Wisdom which cometh from above; that I may neither pervert the Truth, by any erroneous Reprefentations, nor dishonour it by an unchristian Temper. It would be easy to make use of bitter Satire, and R 2

and distainful Irony, the contemptuous Sneer, or the indignant Frown.—And indeed, Sir, you have laid yourself open to every Attack of this Kind; but these are not the Weapons of a Christian's Warfare,

## - Non Defensoribus istis,

we are to give a Reason of the Hope that is in us, with Meekness and Fear; Meekness, with regard to those who interrogate or oppose us; Fear, with regard to HIM, whose Cause we plead, and whose Eye is ever upon us. "Is Justification, you say, more or less, than GOD's pardoning and accepting a "Sinner, through the Merits of CHRIST?" I somewhat wonder, Sir, that you should ask this Question, when it is professedly answered by Aspasso, who has presented you with a very circumstantial Definition of Justification, explaining it, establishing it, and obviating several Objections advanced against it. If you would animadvert with Spirit and Force, or indeed to any considerable Purpose, should you not lay open the Impropriety of this Definition, shewing from Reason and Scripture, that it is neither accurate nor orthodox?

At Pages 49, 50, the Reader may see Aspasio's Account of Justification, and find the Words Imputation and Righteousness of CHRIST, particularly explained. The latter denoting, "all the various "Instances of his active and passive Obedience;" accordingly it is affirmed (Page 51) "The Punish-"ment we deserved, he endures, the Obedience which we owed, he sulfils."—What Aspasio here professes to understand by the Righteousness of CHRIST, the Reader is particularly requested to bear in his Memory, that he be not missed by Mr.

Mr. Wesley, who often forgets it, and complains when the Righteousness of CHRIST is mentioned, that his penal Sufferings are quite omitted. I would not wish, Sir, to have a plainer Proof, that you do not discard the active, than Aspasio has hereby given that he never excludes the passive.

By your Question, you hint a Dislike, yet without informing us what it is, or wherein Afpafio's Il, lustrations and Proofs are deficient. You propose, and only propose, another Definition. Well then, to differ from you as little as possible, nay, to agree with you, as far as Truth will permit, fince you are fo loth to admit of our Representation, we will accede to yours; especially if it be somewhat explained, and a little improved. For indeed the Words, in their present Form, are rather too vague to constitute any Definition. Pardoning and accepting may happen to be only diversified Expressions of the same Idea. The Merits of CHRIST will certainly comport, either with Popish, or Socinian Notions. It abounds in Writers of the former Sort, and it is to be found in the latter. Therefore, to be more explicit-By pardoning, I mean GOD's acquitting a Sinner from Guilt of every Kind, and of every Degree. By accepting, I mean still more, GOD's receiving him into full Favour, considering and treating him as righteous, yea perfectly and gloriously righteous. - By the Merits of CHRIST, I would always be supposed to signify, his active and passive Obedience, all that he wrought, and all that he fuffered, for the Salvation of Mankind \*. Interested in all this, the Believer enters into the

<sup>\*</sup> The Merits of CHRIST is certainly an ambiguous Phrase, and what I can by no Means admire; but as it B 3 occurs

the Divine Presence, and stands before the Divine MAJESTY - Not like David's Ambassadors, stealing themselves into Jericho; sase indeed, but with the Marks of Ammonitish Insults on their Persons: He rather enters like that illustrious Exile, Joseph, into the Presence of Pharach, when his Prison Garments were taken from him, and he was arrayed in Vestures of fine Linen, meet for the Shoulders of those, who appear before Kings. With this Explication I am content that your Definition take place of mine \*. I would farther observe, that you have dropt the Word imputed, which inclines me to fufpect, you would cashier the Thing. But let me ask, Sir, How can we be justified by the Merits of CHRIST, unless they are imputed to us? Would the Payment made by a Surety, procure a Discharge for the Debtor, unless it was placed to his Account? It is certain, the Sacrifices of old could not make an Atonement, unless they were imputed to each Offerer respectively. This was an Ordinance **fettled** 

occurs in Mr. Wesley's Letter, and in many valuable Writers, I have, led by their Example, used it in the following Debate, still understanding it, and still using it, in the Sense explained above.

<sup>\*</sup> To gratify Mr. Wesley, I have admitted his Phrase, "The Merits of CHRIST," though as it is a Phrase of dubious Import, and what almost any Sect or Heresy will subscribe, I should much sooner choose to abide by Aspaso's Language. And why should we not all speak with the Scriptures? Why should we not use the Expressions of the Apostle? He says, justified by the Blood of CHRIST; he says, made righteous by the Obedience of CHRIST; when therefore we say, Sinners are pardoned and accepted through the Blood, and through the Obedience of CHRIST, we have a Warrant for our Doctrine, which is indisputable, and a Precedent for our Language, which is unexceptionable.

fettled by JEHOVAH himself\*. And were not the Sacrifices, was not their imputation typical of CHRIST, and Things pertaining to CHRIST? The former prefiguring his all-fufficient Expiation, the latter shadowing forth the Way whereby we are Partakers of its Efficacy. The Righteousness (not the Righteousness and Obedience, Aspasio speaks otherwise) which CHRIST performed is reckoned by GOD as our own. This you call an ambiguous Expression, but, if considered in Conjunction with the foregoing and following Enlargements, I should think can hardly deserve the Charge. Aspasso all along labours to be understood. In this Place he more fully opens his Meaning by giving another View of the Nature, and by specifying the Effects of Imputation. The Nature,—it being the same as placing to our Account fomething not our own +. The Effects,—CHRIST's Righteousness, thus placed to our Account, being as effectual for obtaining our Salvation, as if it was our own personal Qualification 1. To the latter you expressly agree, to the former you make no Objection: To the whole Doctrine, thus explained, you elsewhere declare your Assent.

B<sub>4</sub> If

<sup>\*</sup> Lew vii. 18. If any of the Flesh of the Sacrifice of bis Peace-Offerings, be eaten at all on the third Day, it shall not be accepted, neither shall it be imputed unto bim that offereth it. It shall not be accepted, Why? for this Reason, because it shall not be imputed. A plain Indication, that the latter is the Cause of the former, That without Imputation, whether it be of the typical or real Sacrifice, the Blood of the Beast, or the Death of CHRIST, there is no Acceptance.

<sup>†</sup> Theron and Aspasso, p. 50. ‡ Ibid. p. 53.

If in all this we may depend upon you, Sir, must we not feel an alarming Shock at your Adjuration in the next Paragraph?

"For CHRIST's Sake do not." What? furely nothing less can excite or justify this vehement Exclamation, but the Obtrusion of some Doctrine, that is most glaringly false and absolutely damnable. Shall we have such a solemn Firing, such a Thunder of Explosion, only to silence a particular Phrase? In another Person this would look like profane Levity; in Mr. Wesley, the softest Appellation we can give it, is idle Pomp—All this Clamour merely against Words! Words too, the Explication of which, and the Doctrine contained in them, vourself allow. Dear Sir, what is a Word or a Phrase? Can it do either Good or Harm, but as conveying right or wrong Sentiments? Will the mere pronouncing or hearing of a Word (be it Abracadabra, or Higgajon Selah, or Imputed) without its Idea, poison the Principles of Men, and induce them to work all Uncleanness with Greediness? As you have been firing without an Enemy (Afpasio is owned for an Ally) so you seem to be triumphing without a Victory. Afpasio's Charity for those who are disgusted at the Expression, and have no explicit Knowledge of the Doctrine, is guarded by the Words immediately following-" Yet live under the Belief " of the Truth, and in the Exercise of the Duty," as well as by the annexed Description of the Persons, and their Temper; who are far enough from fancying, shat if they may but be pardoned for the Sake of CHRIST, they can obtain the Divine Favour, and a Title to suture Happiness, by their own good Behaviour. Hence it will appear, that he has been too cautious.

cautious, to part with the very Thing for which he is contending. And this is more abundantly evident, from the Close of his charitable Paragraph, wherein, though he allows such People to be safe; yet he laments their Embarrass, and their Deficiency in Light, Strength, and Consolation. "The "Phrase is not scriptural." Suppose it were not, this would afford but a flight Reason, for so passionate an Outcry: However, this is certain, St. Paul uses the Phrase, GOD imputeth \*, and that Righteousness might be imputed +. Now, is it possible, that there should be Righteousness imputed, yet not an imputed Righteousness? To assert this must argue either a wonderful subtil Refinement, or an exceeding strong Prejudice. "It is not ne-"cessary."—Perhaps so. But is it not necessary Mr. Wesley should either inform us, what Sense of the Phrase it is, which he apprehends so likely to mislead Men, or else, instead of exclaiming against Afpasio, should join all his Force with him, in defending that Sense which they both espouse? "It has done immense Hurt."-When we are made fensible of the immense, or indeed of any real, hurt done by the Phrase, imputed; when we see those who dislike it, cordially warm for the Sentiment expressed in other Words, we will then consent to resign it for its Equivalent, reckoned as our own, -placed to our Account,—us effectual as if our own personal Qualification. Till then we must guard the Casket for the Sake of the Jewel. We prefer the Word imputed, because it says more at once, than any other Term we know, and because we are aware of a common Practice used in all Ages, by the Opposers of sound Doctrine.

<sup>\*</sup> Rom. iv. 6.

<sup>†</sup> Rom. iv. 11.

Doctrine. They pretend a Zeal only against the Phrase, that by bringing this into Disuse, they may cause that to be forgotten. Shall we not then dispute for imputed Righteousness? Yes, Sir, we must dispute, both for the Doctrine and for the Phrase, since there are Persons who openly strike at the one, and we fear with a View to supplant the other. Shall we not dispute for imputed Righteousness—though the Words are a grand Peculiarity of the Scriptures, and the Thing the very Spirit and Essence of the Gospel? Not dispute for that which is better to us Sinners than all Worlds, better than our Hearts could wish, or our Thoughts conceive; which in short is the best, the noblest, the completest Gift, that GOD himself can bestow!

When such a Gift, and such a Righteousness is the Subject of Disputation, we must not give Place, no, not for an Hour: we must maintain its matchless Excellency, so long as we have any Breath, or any Being. We must say in direct Opposition to your fervent but unadvised Zeal, "For CHRIST's "Sake," let us contend earnestly for imputed Righteousness; because it is the brightest Jewel in His mediatorial Crown. "For the Sake of immortal "Souls," let us hold fast and hold forth this precious Truth; because it yields the strongest Consolation to the guilty Conscience, and surnishes the most endearing, as well as the most prevailing Inducement to universal Obedience.

"To ascribe Pardon to CHRIST's passive, eternal "Life to his active Obedience, is fanciful rather than "judicious." The Remark is just; not so the Quotation; Aspasio is somewhat disfigured by your Distortion of his Features; he limps a little, by your Dislocation of a Limb.

a Limb. There is in his Language, Guard enough to check every Attempt, either to diffolve the Union, or fever the Coagency, of the different Parts of our LORD's Righteousness.

But let us give Aspasso a fair Hearing, thus he expresses himself, " To divide them (the active and 66 passive Righteousness) into detached Portions, inde-" pendent on each other, feems to be fanciful rather "than judicious." To divide into detached Portions, is more than to diffinguish between the one and the other. The latter Appasio practises, the former he disavows. "Independent of each other", do these Words stand for nothing? Have they no Meaning, that here you shew them no Regard, and never recollect them throughout your whole Epistle? Had you honoured them with any Degree of Notice, several of your Objections must have been precluded, and if the more candid Reader pleases to bear them in Memory, several of your Objections, will at the very first View, fall to the Ground. Besides, the Person who tells us, the Case seems to be so, is not so peremptory, as he who roundly affirms it to be so; the former is all that Aspasso has advanced. Though I am willing that you should correct his Style, yet I must beg of you, Sir, not to make him quite so positive; let him have the Satisfaction of being modest, even where he has the Missortune, in your Opinion at least, to be erroneous.

"CHRIST's universal Obedience from his Birth
to his Death, is the one Foundation of my Hope,"
fays Aspasso. To which you assent, and with a
laudable Vehemence, reply, "This is unquestionably right." I wish, Sir, you would ponder your
Words

Words before you speak, at least before you print, that there may be something fixed and certain, on which we may depend, and by which you will abide. One would think, after this Acknowledgment, pronounced with such an Air of Solemnity, you could never so far forget yourself, as to open your Mouth against the Obedience, the universal Obedience of CHRIST, which surely must include both what he wrought, and what he suffered. You consess it to be your Foundation,—the Foundation of your Hope, the only Foundation of your Hope; can you then, without the most amazing Inconsistency, either wish to secrete the Dostrine, or offer to discountenance the Expression?

"There is no Manner of Need to make the Im"putation of CHRIST's active Righteousness a
"separate Head of Discourse."—No Manner of
Need, even though you declare, that this active Righteousness, together with the expiatory Death,
is the only Foundation of your Hope! Can you
think it possible to treat of fuch a Topic too particularly, too distinctly, too minutely?—Aspasio has shewn
the Need, or assigned the Reason for this Method of
handling the Subject; because it sets the Fulness of
our LORD's Merit in the clearest Light, and gives
the completest Honour to GOD's holy Law. Have
you alledged any Thing to disprove, or so much as
to invalidate his Plea? Ought not this to have been
done before your Assertion can be valid, or even
decent?

Besides, are there not Persons in the World, who sondly imagine, that if they can but have Pardon through CHRIST, they shall by their own Doings secure eternal Life? When such Persons are in Danger

Danger of overlooking the active Obedience of the REDEEMER, why should you not for their Sakes allow us to make the Imputation of his Righte-ousness "a separate Head of Discourse?" That, seeing the transcendent Persection of CHRIST's Work, they may cease from confiding in their own \*, less it be said to them another Day, I will declare thy Righteousness, and thy Works, that for the grand Purpose of Justification, they shall not profit thee +.

We must therefore take leave to dwell upon the active Righteousness of our LORD; we must display its Perfection, in Opposition to all the vain Pretensions of human Qualifications, Endeavours, or Attainments; we must demonstrate that as the Heavens are higher than the Earth, so is this Divine Obedience higher than all the Works of the Children of Men. Yea, so transcendent in itself, and absolutely perfect, as to be incapable of any Augmentation. All the good Deeds of all the Saints, could they be added to it, would not increase in any Degree its justifying Efficacy; it is like all the other Works of GOD. concerning which we are told, nothing can be added to them. This brings to my Remembrance a most beautiful, and fublime Representation, which you must have read in the Evangelical Prophet, Every Valley shall be exalted, and every Mountain and Hill shall be made low, and the crooked shall be made straight, and the rough Places plain, and the Glory of the LORD shall be revealed, and all Flesh shall see it together. Here Mountains are demolished, Valleys are elevated, and the Earth is levelled into a spacious Plain on Purpose to accomplish what Mr. Welley supposes unnecessary; on Purpose to give the most clear, full, Ariking

\* Heb. iv. 10. + Isa. lvii. 12.

striking View of the great REDEEMER, of his wonderful Person, and glorious Work; that he alone may be diftinguished and exalted; may walk majestic and conspicuous through the Midst of Mankind, as being fingly and completely fufficient for the Recovery of Sinners. That all Flesh, not Jews only, but Gentiles also; not Men of Reputation only, but the Meanest of Mortals, the most infamous of Wretches, may together see his Glory, may on equal Ground, without any Pre-eminence of one above another, contemplate and partake of his precious Death and perfect Righteousness, which are the one Object of divine Complacency, and the fovereign Glory of the LORD REDEEMER. According to the Import of this magnificent Piece of Imagery, all the Differences that subsist between one Man and another are abolished; nothing but CHRIST and his complete Work are proposed, as the Cause of Justification and the Ground of Hope. Faith beholds nothing but the Divine JESUS; it never enquires, What have I done? What have I suffered? But what has that most illustrious Personage done, and what fuffered? What has JEHOVAH manifested in our Nature, wrought for the Benefit and Redemption of Sinners?—Faith is never weary of viewing or reviewing either the active or passive Obedience of IMMANUEL. Faith will declare, that neither of these Points can be set forth in too strong or too recommending a Light. Faith is ever desiring to fee more and more of the SAVIOUR's Worthiness, that the Soul may rejoice in his Excellency, and be filled with all his Fullness.

May you, Dear Sir, abound in this Faith, and live under such Views of GOD our SAVIOUR; then

15

then I flatter myself you will be distaitsfied with your present Opinion, and not be disgusted at the Freedom of Speech, used by

Your's, &c.

## LETTER II.

REV. SIR,

Am particularly pleased at my Entrance on this Epistle, because it presents me with a View of Mr. Wesley in very good Humour. Instead of rebuking, he commends. He puts off the Frown of Censure, for the Smile of Approbation. I hope to follow the amiable Example. To approve and applaud, wherever Opportunity offers, and Truth permits. And though I shall be sometimes obliged to oppose or resute; yet, I shall do both with all the Tenderness and Lenity, which may consist with a proper Vindication of the Truth.

"The third and fourth Dialogues contain an admirable Illustration and Confirmation of the great Doctrine of CHRIST's Satisfaction."—This is generously acknowledged. Yet even here it so unhappily falls out, that Complaisance gets the Start of Judgment. Did you advert, Sir, to the State of the Controversy, or see the Consequence of Apasia's Arguing, you must either give up a favourite Tenet, or else dissent from his Doctrine.

Aspasio

· Aspasio maintains, that CHRIST's Sufferings were Punishment; real, proper Punishment. Now could CHRIST, an innocent Person, be punished, without bearing Sin; the very Sin of others? Could CHRIST, a Divine Person, bear the Sin of others. and not do it perfectly away! Or can they, whose Guilt was punished in CHRIST, and whose Sin is perfectly done away by CHRIST, can they perish eternally? But I forbear. Yourself, and the judicious Reader will eafily apprehend my Meaning, and discern the Point, to which these Questions lead. All the Benefit I propose by this Remark, is, to convince Mr. Wesley, that he is not incapable of a Mistake—That he has tripped a little, in what he commends, and therefore may possibly make a false Step, in what he condemns.

Unless I may be allowed to propose this additional Advantage, the rectifying an Impropriety in some People's Apprehensions, concerning our LORD's vicarious Sufferings. It is usual to say, "He bore the Punishment, not the Guilt; the Penalty, not the Fault." Which seems to be a Distinction, more scrupulous than judicious. Answers no other End, but that of derogating from our REDEEMER's Grace, and weakening the Foundation of our Hopes.

The Guilt of Sin, I take to be what the Apostle calls, anomia, the Transgression of the Law. From hence arises the Obligation to Punishment. This Guilt, our LORD so truly bore, that he was no less liable to the Arrest of Justice, and the Institution of Vengeance, than if he himself had committed the most enormous Crimes.—He bare, says the HOLY GHOST, the Sin of many. But Punishment can-

· not

not be reckoned the same as Sin, any more than Wages can be accounted the same as Work. If then our LORD bore Sin itself, he must bear every Thing criminal, that is included in it; no Circumstance of Demerit or Aggravation excepted.

He bore the Fault, therefore he makes us without Fault in the Sight of GOD; and will present us faultless before the Throne, with exceeding Joy.—He bore the Guilt—Therefore our LORD's Sufferings were real Punishment, justly inflicted by the supreme JUDGE, and, on Principles of Justice, discharge us from all Punishment whatever. He bore the Filth,—therefore he felt, what those wretched Souls endure, who die in their Iniquities; his eternal FATHER forsook him, and hid his Face from him as from an abominable Object.

This renders our SAVIOUR's Propitiation, great, wonderful, glorious. Seeing this, believing this, we have nothing to fear. Conscience is satisfied, and the Accuser of the Brethren is silenced. Nothing can be laid to our Charge by the righteous Law, and nothing remains to awaken the Indignation of the righteous JUDGE.—Whereas, if this was not done, we have Reason to be terribly apprehensive. If CHRIST bore not the Guilt, then Sinners must bear it in their own Persons; if he took not away the Filth; then it must lie on Transgressors, and render them loathsome for ever. If the Fault was not transferred to him, then it must abide upon us, and be our everlasting Ruin.

Neither does this Doctrine in any Degree detract from our SAVIOUR's Dignity. It rather gives Him the Honour due unto His Name, JESUS. As in the Scales of a Balance, the lower the one C descends.

descends, the higher the other mounts, so the deeper our MEDIATOR's Humiliation sinks, to the more exalted Height does His Glory rise. The more horrible the Condition to which he submitted, the more illustriously His Goodness shines, and the more clearly the Persection of His Work appears.

Satisfaction was made to the Divine Law, says Aspasso. "I do not remember any such Expression in Scripture," replies Mr. Wesley.—But do you not remember this Expression in the Epistle to the Galatians, CHRIST was made under the Law\*? Why was He made under the Law, but to sulfil its Precepts, and undergo its Penalty? and is not this a Satisfaction to its Demands?

The Truth is, the Divine Law was violated by our Sins. It was absolutely impossible for us to make any Reparation, therefore CHRIST in our Nature and in our Stead submitted to its Obligations, that he might magnify its injured Authority, and render it in the highest Degree venerable: Might make even its tremendous Sanctions and rigorous Requirements, the very Basis of Grace, Mercy, and Peace.—Divinely noble Contrivance! Unspeakably precious Expedient! By this Means, Vengeance and Forbearance have met together; Wrath and Love have kissed each other, in the Redemption of Sinners. The Law says, I am sulfilled. Justice says,

<sup>\*</sup> Gal. iv. 4. There is, I think, fomething uncouth in this Expression, Made under the Law. Γενομει Δ΄ 'tis true very well comports with both the Clauses, εκ γυναικ Δ΄ υπο νομοι. But in the English Translation, the Participle might, not ungracefully, be varied, perhaps in some such Manne:; the Son of GOD was made of a Woman, and became subject to the Law.

I am fatisfied. While both concur to expedite and afcertain the Salvation of a Believer.

"This Way of speaking of the Law, as a Person injured, and to be satisfied, seems hardly defension ble." Does not the Apostle speak of the Law as a Person? A Person that liveth\*, to whom some are married, and to whom others are dead? Aspasso will always think himself, and his Manner of speaking sufficiently defensible, so long as he has the Apostolical Practice for his Precedent.

Having such a Precedent he wants no other; otherwise he might plead the Authority of Mr. John Wesley; who in his Explanatory Notes on the New Testament, says—"The Law is here spoken of (by "a common Figure) as a Person, to which as to "an Husband, Life and Death are ascribed †." And if the Law be an Husband, may not an Husband be injured? May not an injured Husband insist upon being satisfied?

"All the Benefits of the new Covenant are the Purchase of CHRIST's Blood," this is Aspasio's Belief. To this you affent, "Surely they are."—With Pleasure I should receive your Suffrage, was I not asraid that this is your Meaning; they are so the Purchase of His Blood, as not to have any Dependence on, or any Connection with, his most perfect Obedience. I was alarmed by the Close of your last Paragraph, and my Suspicions are increased by the following negative Interrogation; "after this has C 2 "been

<sup>\*</sup> Rom. vii. 1, 4. The Word  $\xi_n$  at the End of the first Verse is spoken of the Law, not of the Man, as Mr. Wesley and others have very justly observed. It should therefore be translated not He, but It.

<sup>+</sup> See Explan. Notes, Rom. vii. I.

" been fully proved, where is the Need, where is the Use of contending so strenuously, for the Imputation of His Righteousness?"

Alpasia has informed you, Sir, in the second Dialogue. He has there shewn the Advantage of unfolding, circumstantially and copiously, this momentous Truth.-To give you farther Satisfaction, he has quoted the Words of an eminent Divine, of which the following are a Part,-" Whoever rejects " the Doctrine of the Imputation of our SAVI-" OUR's Righteousness to Man, does, by so do-" ing, reject the Imputation of Man's Sin to our "SAVIOUR, and all the Confequences of it."— If you are not satisfied with Mr. Staynoe's Reasons, vou are remitted to St. Paul. In Rom. v. (a Chapter of distinguished Dignity and Importance) he teaches Mankind that CHRIST died for the Ungodly; that we are justified through His Blood, are faved from Wrath by His Death. After all this had been fully proved, where was the Need, where was the Use of infishing largely upon that Obedience of ONE by which many are made \* Righteous? Or upon that Righteousness of ONE, which is imputed to many for Justification of Life? Yet this the inspired Writer evidently does.

Answer the foregoing Question, in Behalf of the Apostle, and you will answer it in Behalf of Aspasso. Or if you decline the Office, give me leave, Sir, to answer it on Behalf of them both. The Blood of CHRIST is never considered as independent on, or detached from, the Righteousness of CHRIST. They united their blessed Efficacy in accomplishing the Work of our Redemption; we always look upon them

<sup>\*</sup> Rom. v. 19.

them as a grand and glorious Aggregate, in their Agency inseparable, though in Mediation distinguishable. Being thus distinguishable, at proper Times, we meditate upon each distinctly. We display each with all the Particularity possible, and cannot but contend for the Imputation of one, as well as of the other. The farther we dig into either of these spiritual Mines, the greater Fund of Treasures we discover. The more we glorify the SAVIOUR, the more we strengthen Faith, and the greater Addition we make to our Comfort, our Peace, our Joy.

Aspasio enquires; if CHRIST was our Substitute as to penal Suffering, why not as to justifying Obedience? You reply-" the former is expressly as-" ferted in Scripture, the latter is not ex-" pressly afferted there." A small Inaccuracy here: Sir! the former is no more a Scripture Expression, than the latter; while the latter is no less the Doctrine and Sense of Scripture than the former .- A little Piece of Forgetfulness likewise! Since you just now acknowledged, that " CHRIST's universal "Obedience was the one Foundation of your Hope." But how can His Obedience be any Foundation of your Hope, if in this Capacity he was not your Substitute? Take away the Circumstance of Substitution, and there is no more Ground for your Reliance on the Obedience of CHRIST, than for your Reliance on the Obedience of Gabriel. We are made the Righteousness of GOD, because we are IN Him, as our Proxy and our Head. Because he wrought the justifying Righteousness, not only in our Nature, but in our Name, not only as our Benefactor, but as our Representative.

"As Sin and Misery have abounded through the first Adam, Mercy and Grace have much more abounded through the second. So that now none have Reason to complain." Here indeed we have Aspasio's Words, but in a patched and disfigured Condition. One Part taken from Page 195, and another wrenched from Page 191. Let any one read the whole of those Passages, and judge whether they can be fairly applied to the Doctrines of Election or Predestination. Yet Mr. Wesley is resolved at all Adventures, with or without Occasion, to introduce these Subjects of deep and perplexed Disputation. Therefore he replies, "No, not if the second Adam died for all, otherwise all for whom He did not die, "have great Reason to complain."

Here, Sir, do you not force an Inference from Afpasia's Words, foreign to his Design? He is speaking of those who betake themselves to CHRIST, and are recovered through his Righteousness. Such Persons he particularly mentions. Of such alone he discourses; without considering the Case of others, who, despising, or neglecting the REDEEMER, reject the Counsel of GOD against themselves.—Would it not be as edifying to the Reader, and as agreeable to your Office, if you should join with Aspasia in displaying the free, superabundant, infinitely rich Grace of our GOD; altogether as becoming this, as to divert his Aim, and retard his Steps, when he is pressing forwards to this Prize of our high calling in CHRIST JESUS?

Aspasio's Words are, "When we betake our"sclves to CHRIST JESUS, we shall find, that,
"as Sin and Misery have abounded, &c." Please
to observe, Sir, how he limits his Discourse, consequently

quently is obliged to defend nothing, but what corresponds with such Limitation.

Had the Israelites any Cause to be distatisfied with the Provision, made for their Sustenance and their Cure, when the Serpent of Brass was lifted up on the Pole, and when the Bread from Heaven lay round about their Tents? No more have Sinners any Cause to think themselves aggrieved, when the Salvation of GOD is evidently set before them in the Gospel; is brought to their very Door, in the preaching of the Word, and they are allowed, importuned, commanded to receive it by Faith. This is enough for me. Enough this for any Transgreffors, who want, not to gratify Curiofity, but to inherit Life. - If they, or you, Sir, chuse to pry further, and to intrude into the Divine Secrets, I must leave you to yourselves; saying, as I depart, The fecret Things belong unto the LORD our GOD; but those Things which are revealed, belong unto us and our Children \*.

"The whole World of Believers."—"This is an Expression which never occurs in Scripture."—It affords me a kind of presumptive Proof, that, solid Objections are not at Hand, when such Shadows are listed into the Service.—I should be under no Pain if you could prove your Charge, beyond all Contradiction. To what would it amount? Why, that Aspalio having Occasion to mention a certain Topic, happened not to make Use of the very Syllables and Letters made Use of in Scripture. And do you or I, Sir, in all our Sermons, Journals, Preservatives, and Christian Libraries, undertake to use none but scriptural Expressions? Had we done this,

. Deut. xxix. 29.

one Benefit might indeed have accrued to the Public. It would confiderably have reduced our Volumes.—But I trifle as well as Mr. Wefley. You proceed to enforce your Remonstrance, by adding—"Neither "has the Expression any Countenance from Scrip-"ture." I am really ashamed to detain our Readers any longer upon so trivial a Point. Therefore what I am going to reply, is only a Word to yourself. You, Sir, can tell who it is that affirms in a certain Hymn;

For ev'ry Man
It's finish'd it's past—
The World is forgiv'n
For JESUS's Sake.

The World forgiven! What, all the World? Every Child of Adam? They who believe not on CHRIST and die in their Sins? This you cannot mean; this you dare not affert; this, I think, no Mortal can tuppose. You yourself therefore, by "the World," must intend "the believing World;" and are you offended at Aspasio for commenting on your Text? For expressing plainly what is implied in your own Words?

In the LORD shall all the House of Israel be justified. This Text Aspasio quotes, and acquiesces in the common Version, upon which you animadvert. "It "ought unquestionably to be rendered by or through the LORD." How hard is Aspasio's Lot! If he does not use the exact language of Scripture, he is criminal at your Bar, witness the preceding Objection; if he does use the exact Language of Scripture, as in the present Instance, you indite him for an errone-

ous Translation. So that it is next to impossible to escape your Censure.

In the LORD, you affirm is not the pure Language of Scripture, it is a wrong Translation, " and ought unquestionably to be rendered by or " through the LORD." Yet, Quisquis adhuc uno partam colit affe Minervam-Whoever has learnt Hebrew no more than a Month, will assure our English Reader, that the Prefix a is the very first Word in the Bible. Must it there be translated by or through the Beginning?-If our young Scholar have only his Pfalter, he can shew the same Particle occurring three Times within the first Verse. In the Counsel-In the Way-In the Seat.-Twice in the fecond Verse; His Delight is in the Law-In His Law will be exercise Himself. Three Times more in the Remainder of the Pfalm, Shall bring forth Fruit in his Season-Shall not stand in Judgment. Neither in the Congregation of the Righteous. - Now let the English Reader judge for himself, whether the Hebrew Prefix must " unquestionably be rendered" in all these Places " by or through." By or through his Season! By or through the Congregation! But I stop, there is no Need to apply all the Passages. Neither is there any Need of critical Skill in Languages, to determine concerning any one of them. Common Sense in this Case is sufficiently qualified to be our Critic and our Arbitrator.—I only wish, Sir, you had produced the Evidence for the corrected Version. Then the Public might have seen on which Side the Balance were likely to turn; and which were the most cogent Logic, " Aspasso's Doc-" trine is falle, therefore the Translation is wrong;

or the Translation is fair, therefore his Doctrine " is true."

By this Time, I believe, the unlearned Reader will begin to discern, what Degree of Credit is due to your Criticisms upon the Original, and to your Alteration of the common Version, when they are supported by nothing more, than your bare Affertion.—I also begin to be apprehensive that our canvaffing the Sense of Words, and fifting the dead Languages, will be no very agreeable Entertainment to any Reader. I will therefore for the future be more concise in the Execution of this Business; especially as I have here given a Specimen of what might be done. I will try, if it is not possible, to animate what would otherwise be dull, and to blend godly edifying, with critical Disquisition.

Ye are complete in him. With this Translation also Mr. Wesley finds Fault. "The Words literally " rendered are, ye are filled with him."-I am ready to grant, that Places may be found, where the Preposition w must be understood according to your Sense. But then every one knows that this is not the native, obvious, literal Meaning; rather a Meaning swayed, influenced, moulded by the pre-ceding or following Word. The literal Signification of walls is as we have rendered it .- Nor is there the least Occasion to depart from the received Interpretation, it is fuitable to the Context, and to the Scope of the whole Epistle.

However, we will suppose your Criticism to be just. Does this destroy or enervate Afpasio's Argument? Would you have one Meaning contradict or Supplant the other? "Ye are filled with him, therefore we are not complete in him?" Does the former Senfe

I

Sense include or imply the latter? Can you, or I, or any one be filled with every Requisite for our Recovery and Happiness, yet not be complete? It seems therefore, you get nothing by this Criticism, but the Satisfaction of doing Violence to the Phrase, without any Improvement of the Sense, or any Advantage to your Cause.

"The whole Passage (you affirm) relates to Sanc-"tification, not to Justification." Where is your Proof, Sir? This we always expect. This Mr. Wesley feldom, if ever, condescends to give. "Yes, he says, " any unprejudiced Reader may observe it." A strange Kind of Proof! reducible to no Figure in Logic, unless there be a Figure stiled Presumption. Was I to answer for the unprejudiced Reader, I think he would observe the very reverse. The Words of the Apostle are not a little forcible against your Sense of the Passage, as will appear from the transitive Adverb also. The next and the subsequent Verses, we allow, relate to Sanctification: If this Verse does the same, such is the Manner of the Apostle's Reasoning, " In whom ye are sanctified, " in whom also ye are fanctified." Whereas if the first Clause denotes the Justification of the Colossian Converts through the Righteousness of CHRIST, if the following Periods describe their Sanctification, as a Consequence of this most happily operating Privilege, then the Reasoning is just, and the Transition graceful. "In Him ye are completely justified, in " Him also ye are truly sanctified."

The whole Passage is calculated to teach us that CHRIST is the Fulness of our Sufficiency. In Him, and in Him alone, there is enough to answer all the Purposes of Wisdom, Righteousness, Sanctification, and

and Redemption.—It is intended likewise to admonish us, that we should rest satisfied with Him alone, in Opposition to all the fond Inventions of Men; who would introduce something else for the Ground of our Considence, and the Cause of our Consolation, as though it was said;—

lf indeed our LORD JESUS CHRIST had been an ordinary Person, or merely a created Being, ye might well be offended at my Doctrine. Ye might then with some colour of Reason seek to the Maxims of Philosophy for Wisdom, or to the Works of the Law for Righteousness. But CHRIST is an immensely glorious Person, for in Him are hid all the Treasures of Wisdom and Knowledge.—CHRIST is an incomparably exa ted Sovereign—for He is the Head of all Principalities and Powers.—Yea, CHRIST is the supreme incomprehensible JEHOVAH; for in Him dwelleth all the Fulness of the GODHEAD bodily. Being therefore transplanted into Him by Faith, ye are complete. Partakers of Him; ye have every Blessing and all Good. Thought cannot imagine, nor Desire crave, any Thing sather, greater, higher.

Matchless Privilege! Exalted Felicity! O may the Knowledge, the experimental Knowledge of it, fill our Hearts as the Waters cover the Abyss of the Sea! Then will we fing the Hymn which once expressed your Sentiments, and still expresses mine.

\* Join Earth and Heav'n to bless
The LORD our Righteousness.

The

\* See p. 56. of Hymns and Spiritual Songs. Anonymous indeed, but univerfally ascribed to Mr. Wesley. In these excellent

The Mystery of Redemption this, This the SAVIOUR's strange Design; Man's Offence was counted His, Ours his Righteousness divine.

In Him complete we shine,
His Death, his Life is mine:
Fully am I justified,
Free from Sin, and more than free,
Guiltless, since for me He died,
Righteous, since He liv'd for me.

The Text lately quoted from *Isaiah*, is Part of a Paragraph eminent for its Dignity and Usefulness. We then considered a Fragment of it in a critical View; let us now examine the whole of it with a devotional Spirit. Thus examined, I trust it will be no longer a dry Bone, but a Feast of fat Things full of Marrow. Permit me to propose a correct Translation of the Original; to add a short Illustration of the Meaning, and then take my Leave for the present.

Look

excellent Lines, how strongly marked are the Sentiments of the Gospel! Our Offences so fully imputed to CHRIST, as to be accounted His. His Righteousness so fully imputed to us, as to be accounted Ours. In Him we are complete, because His most obedient Life, and his all-atoning Death are ours. We are guiltless, not through our Repentance, or Reformation, but because he has died for us. We are righteous, not on Account of any Graces or Attainments of our own, but because he has lived for us. To these Truths I most cordially subscribe. This is that good old Wine that once made Mr. Wesley's Heart glad. He has since tasted new; but I hope he will be brought to say, "The old is better."

Look unto me and be saved all the Ends of the Earth, for I am GOD, and there is none else. By myself bave I sworn, the Word of † Righteousness goeth out of my Mouth, the Word shall not return. To me every Knee shall bow, and every Tongue shall swear ‡, saying, surely § in the LORD have I Righteousness | and Strength.

None else— The exactly rendered, fignifies there is not befides. Not any Person, nor any Thing. No Person able to lend an helping Hand. No Thing capable of yielding the least Affistance.

† The Word of Righteousness, τρη πρη I apprehend is equivalent to St. Paul's λογος Δικωισυνης, and fignifies, if not the whole Gospel, that precious Doctrine, which is the Gospel in Epitome. For the Vindication of this

Version, see Vitringa in loc.

† Sball swear. Our Translation seems to discontinue the divine Speech here; which weakens the Force and diminishes the Dignity of the Passage. I apprehend JEHOVAH is still speaking, and in the following Words, prescribes the Form of the Consession, or dictates the Words of the Oath, in which Sinners shall testify their Allegiance—even the Allegiance of Faith. Agreeably to this Supposition, I would translate the Word was faying. It will then denote not one, or a few, but every Tongue mentioned in the foregoing Verse. which I am aware is of the seminine Gender, but every Reader knows how often the Hebrews neglect the Nicety of grammatical Construction, and every Reader sees that the Idea of was or of a Person, is comprehended in the Word Tongue.

§ The Prophet's א Jurely, is fomething like the Apostle's ἀλλὰ μενενγι, yea, doubtles, Phil. iii. 8. The HOLY GHOST teaches Believers to speak with Vehemence upon this Point, to signify their stedfast and resolute Affiance in the glorious REDEEMER, together with the mighty and matchless Importance of this Faith. I have taken in this Idea because it is suggested by the English Translation, though I prefer the exclusive Sense, only: as implying an absolute and total Renunciation of

every other Confidence.

Righteousness. This is the precise Signification of

Strength. To Him shall Men come, and all that are offended in Him, shall be ashamed. In the LORD shall all the Seed of Israel be justified, and in Him shall they glory.

Here the SON of GOD presents himself in all the Glories of His Person, and all the Riches of His Grace. Presents Himself, as the Object of Faith, and the Author of Salvation. To be received by Sinners, without any recommending Qualities, or any Pre-eminence of one above another.—But hear his gracious Words.

Look unto me, wretched ruined Transgressors, as the wounded Israelites looked unto the brazen Serpent. Look unto me dying on the Cross as your Victim, and obeying the Law as your Surety.—Not by doing, but by looking and believing; not by your own Deeds, but by my Works, and my Sufferings, be ye saved. This is the mysterious but certain Way of Salvation. Thus shall ye be delivered from Guilt, rescued from Hell, and reconciled to GOD. Who are invited to partake of this inestimable Benefit? All the Ends of the Earth. People of every Nation under Heaven; of every Station in Life; of every Condition and every Character, not excepting the chiefest of Sinners.

Is it possible that the Obedience of one, should save innumerable Millions? It is not only possible but indubitable. For I am GOD infinite in Dignity and Power; therefore all-sufficient, yea omnipotent to save. To save all that come unto me; be the Multitudes

mpry which being in the plural Number, seems to denote Completeness. A Righteousness, perfect, entire, and lacking nothing. Having every Thing necessary for our Pardon, our Acceptance, our everlassing Justification.

Multitudes ever so great, or their Cases ever so desperate.—(Is nothing to be done by Transgressors themselves? Are no Conditions to be fulfilled on their Part?) None—there is nought beside me. No Person can take any Share in this great Transaction. Nothing can in the least Degree co operate with my Merits. Should you add to my Obedience and Death, all that Saints have performed, and Martyrs have endured, it would be like adding a Grain to the Sands of the Ocean, or a Moment to the Days of Eternity.

Such is my compassionate Invitation, and this my inviolable Decree. I have not only spoken, but. fworn; fworn by myself and all my incomprehensible Excellencies. The Word of Righteousness, that which relates to the grandest of all Subjects, and most important of all Interests, is planned, adjusted, and unalterably determined. Now even now it goes out of my Mouth, is declared with the utmost Solemnity, and established by Veracity itself. The Word shall not return, either to be repealed by me, or frustrated by any other.—What is the Decree confirmed by this most awful Oath? We are all Attention to hear To me every Knee shall bow. Every Soul of Man, who defires to inherit eternal Life, shall submit to my Righteousness, and as an unworthy Creature, as an obnoxious Criminal, obtain the Bleffing wholly through my Atonement .- To me every Tongue shall swear. Be Man's supposed Virtues ever so various, or ever so splendid, all shall be disclaimed, and my Worthiness alone shall stand. Renouncing every other Trust, they shall repose the Considence of their Souls on me alone, and make public Confession of this their Faith before the whole World.—But we, O LORD,

O LORD, are ignorant, we cannot order our Speech by reason of Darkness.—This then shall be the Form of your Oath, such the Tenour of your Consession.

Surely-It is a most wonderful, yet a most faithful Saying, extremely comfortable and equally certain. Only-not in myself, not in a poor frail Creature, but in the incarnate JEHOVAH alone, in his divinely excellent Deeds, and unutterably meritorious Sufferings, I have Righteousness, a Righteousness without Spot, without Defect, and in all Respects confummate: Such as fatisfies every Requirement of the Law, and most thoroughly expiates all my Iniquities. Such as renders me completely accepted before my Judge, and intitles me to everlasting Life. From the joyful Knowledge, the personal Appropriation; and the perpetual Improvement of this ineffimable Privilege, I have Strength for my Sanctification. Now do I indeed delight myself in the LORD, who perfectly reconciled; and infinitely gracious, has done fo great Things for me. Now do I cordially love my Neighbour, and being so happy myself, unfeignedly long for his eternal Happiness, that he may be a Partaker with me of this great Salvation.

To this Sovereign Decree, the Prophet sets, as it were, his Seal, or else, in a Transport of Joy, he foretells the Accomplishment of it. Yes, my Brethren, to Him, even to this gracious REDEEMER shall Men come. I see them slying as Clouds for Multitude, as Doves for Speed. They believe the Report of His Gospel, and receive of His Fullness.—Whereas, all they that are offended in Him, that cannot away with his Doctrine, which pours Contempt upon all human Excellency, and will allow no Righteousness.

teousness to avail but that which is divine; who refuse to come unto Him, poor, and miserable, and Aript of every Recommendation; all they shall be alhamed. The Fig-Leaves of their own Duties, or their own Endowments, shall neither adorn them for Glory, nor screen them from Wrath-but shall abandon them to Vengeance, and cover them with double Confusion. While on the other Hand, all the Seed of Israel, every true Believer, shall be justified in the LORD. Against these Persons no Accufation shall be valid: No Condemnation shall take Place: So magnificent is the Majesty, so surpaffingly efficacious are the Merits of their SAVI-OUR, that in Him they chall not only confide, but glory; not only be fafe, but triumphant; cloathed with His incomparable Righteousness, they shall challenge every Adversary, and defy every Danger.

To this Portion of Scripture I have led back your Thoughts, that I might not close with any disgusting Sentiments, but might leave a sweet Savour on your Mind, on the Reader's Mind, and on the Mind of

Rev. Sir,

Your's, &c.

## LETTER III.

REV. SIR,

ET me, now, refume my Observations on your Epistle. Which I do, not for the Sake of disputing, but for the Cause of Truth.

The

The Gospel contains many sublime and glorious Truths. But there is one, which, beyond all others, characterizes its Nature, its Import, and Design. Which makes it most eminently to differ from every other Form of Religion, prosessed or known in the World. I mean the Doctrine of free Justification, through the Righteousness of CHRIST. This is to the Religion of JESUS, what the particular Features and Turns of Countenance, are to each individual Person.

I have fometimes amused myself, with standing by a Painter, and observing him at his Work. Here, I have been surprised to see, how much a very little Stroke would alter the Aspect of his Draught; would turn the gay into a melancholy, or the composed into a frantic Countenance. Several of Mr. Wesley's Touches are to Appearance small; but, I fear, they will be found to dissigure more than a little the heavenly Pourtrait; and give a new, not the native, Air, to The Truth as it is in JESUS.—But I proceed; my Business being, to prove, not to blame.

Sinners, who betake themselves to the all-sufficient SAVIOUR for Redemption, are fully accepted by GOD, for his beloved SON's Sake. This is Justification through imputed Righteousness, says Afpasio.—" That remains to be proved," answers Mr. Wesley.—I think, it is pretty largely, and I would hope, it is satisfactorily proved, through the whole Book.—Nay; I find Mr. Wesley himself ere long acknowledging, that, "as to the Doctrine, we are agreed." Either therefore you have received the Proof, which you demand; or else you can submit without Conviction, and agree without Cause of D 2 Agree-

Agreement.-Not to take Advantage of fuch Slips, I would rather enlarge upon what may be useful.

I would ask Mr. Wesley; in what other Way Sinners can be justified or accepted, save only through imputed Righteousness?—Through their own good Deeds, and holy Tempers? This supposes the Fruits to be good, while the Tree is corrupt; and would make Salvation to be of Works, not of Grace.-Through their own Faith, standing in the Law? Then they are justified before a perfect GOD, by an imperfect Endowment; and Life eternal is obtained, by the Exercises of their own Mind, not by the Merits of JESUS CHRIST .- Are they iustified without any Righteousness, either wrought by themselves, or received \* from another? This is an unworthy Thought; this were an unsufferable Practice; JEHOVAH himself being Judge. He that justifieth the Wicked is an Abomination +.

Say not; GOD is a free Agent, and not bound to observe his own Law. Say rather; The Rule of Righteousness revealed in the Law, is his most stedfast Will; unchangeable as his Nature. - Consider also: What this Law requires. A Satisfaction for Sin, not defective, but completely sufficient; a Performance

<sup>\*</sup> Os Lausavolles, They who receive the Gift of Righte-

ousness. Rom. v. 17. † Prov. xvii. 15. This is an invariable Maxim. It is that Word of GOD, which endureth for ever. Yet it is no Objection to bis Method of justifying the Ungodly. Because, He first imputes his SON's Righteousness unto them: Thereby renders them truly and perfectly righte. ous: Then pronounces them fuch; and as fuch, receives them to Pardon, to Favour, and eternal Life .- Does not the Text, thus confidered, afford an incontestable Argument for the Necessity of an imputed Righteousness?

formance of the Command, not fincere only, but absolutely perfect.—Will GOD, in justifying a Sinner, difregard, contradict, overthrow his own Law? In no wise. Since then it insists upon, what no Mortal can yield, must not all Flesh perish for ever?

This would be the unavoidable Confequence, if Matters rested on human Abilities. But here the bleffed Gospel comes to our Relief. Shewing us, that GOD, in his immense Mercy, and unsearchable Wisdom, has found out a Way, at once, to fatisfy the unalterable Law, and fave infolvent Man, To justify even the chief of Sinners; yet without the least Violation of Justice, Truth, or Holiness .--What is this Way? His own SON accomplishes the great Work.—How? By relaxing the Precepts of the Law, that we may perform them? By difannulling the Sentence of the Law, that we may escape it? Heaven and Earth shall pass away, before any such dishonourable Expedient takes Place. the contrary; He gives Satisfaction to the Sentence, by fuffering the tremendous Punishment denounced; and He fulfils the Precept, by yielding the finless Obedience required, - Because this was to be finished in the Nature, which had transgressed, therefore He was made Man. Because this was to be truly, or rather infinitely meritorious, therefore the Man was one Person with the GODHEAD.

Still it may be enquired; How the Obedience of Another can relieve my Distres? How indeed! But by GOD's transferring my Guilt to Him, and imputing his Obedience to me. By this Method, the Thing is clearly and completely effected. In this Method, I see a Propriety and an Efficacy, that silence my Doubts, and comfort my Heart. Accordingly,

cordingly, it is written, in the Scriptures; GOD was in CHRIST reconciling the World unto Himself, not imputing their Trespasses unto them. GOD; the Work was too arduous, to be performed by a created Agent. Therefore GOD himself was in CHRIST. None less than the Almighty LORD could execute the Business. But if HE undertake it, how fuccessfully must it be carried on, and how gloriously finished !- Reconciling the World; not setting poor Transgressors to reconcile themselves, but Himself contriving all, providing all, doing and suffering all, that was needful for this great Purpole. Being Himself the Creditor, the Sponsor, and Payer of the Debt.-How was all this brought to pass? By not imputing our Trespasses unto Us; but taking them all upon Himself; bearing them all, in his own Body, upon the Tree; and fustaining the Vengeance, due to all our Crimes.—Thus was the Holy ONE and the Just made Sin for Us; that We, finful Dust and Ashes, might, in the very same Manner, be made the Righteousness of GOD in Him. The former could be only by Imputation; and so only can be the latter.

If Men talk of being accepted for CHRIST's Sake, yet reject the Imputation of Righteousness, they must have very inadequate Notions, concerning the Relation which CHRIST bears to his People, and the Nature of his mediatorial Undertaking.—Does this seem obscure? I explain myself.—A Person may conduct himself so honourably and excellently, as, on Account of his worthy Deeds, to obtain Favour in Behalf of another. And this, without being his Surety, or any Thing like his proper Righteousness. Witness the samous Instance of the two Brothers, Amyntas and Eschylus. The former,

former, was a gallant Hero; who exposed his Life, and lost his Arm, in the Defence of his Country. The latter, was an abandoned and infamous Profligate; whose Crimes had brought him to the Bar of public Justice. The Hero, on the Day of Trial, appeared as an Advocate for his Brother. He spoke nothing, but only lifted up to View the maimed and dismembered Arm. This filent Oratory struck the Affembly; and pleaded so powerfully, that the Criminal was unanimously acquitted.—Here was an Acquittal of one, in Consideration of the Merits of another. But then the obnoxious Party had no special Interest in those Merits. They were not acquired or exercised, with a particular Reserence to his Good. He could not fay, They are mine. Neither did they make him, in any Degree, or in any Sense, righteous.-Whereas, the Reverse of all this is true, with regard to JESUS CHRIST, and justified Sinners. This you and I, Sir, have afferted. Let us never retract the good Confession. But, as it is the Truth of the Gospel, let us still and for ever fay,

In HIM complete we shine,
Because

Ours is Righteoufness divine.

Theron, speaking of the Terms inherent and imputed, calls them nice Distinctions and metaphysical Subtilities. Mr. Wesley makes Aspasio apply the depretiating Remark to the active and passive Righteousness of CHRIST. Whereas, he says no such Thing. He means no such Thing. He is treating of a Subject totally different. And was he to maintain such a Sentiment, every one must observe, it would entirely overthrow his whole Scheme.

D 4 . "You

"You oblige us to make use of metaphysical Sub"tilties by consounding these very different Ideas,
"that is, CHRIST's active and passive Righte"outness."—I could hardly believe my Eyes, for
some Time; though both of them attested, that this
was produced as a Quotation from pag. 202, 203.
In which Pages, and for a considerable Space, before
and after, the Subject of Debate is the Difference between inherent and imputed Righteousness.—I was,
I own, quite vexed, to see Aspasso so mal-treated;
his Discourse so misrepresented; and so little Regard
paid to literary Justice. And glad I am, that I did
not give Vent to my Thoughts, just at that Instant.
I might have been too warm, and not have spared
the Rod. But upon cooler Consideration, I began
to recover, and the Prescription of Horace was of
Service,

## Amara lento temperat Rifu.

I began to call your Conduct, not Artifice or Slight of Hand, but Incogitancy or Thought missapplied. As you had been thinking so long upon the other Topic, it dwelt upon your Imagination; kept this from your Attention; and led you both to mistake and to miscall Things. Like a certain Preacher, who having lost his Fortune in the Bubbles of the Year 19, and having Occasion to mention the Deliverance of the Israelites from Egypt, told his Audience, That Pharaoh and his Host, were all drowned in the South Sea—Poor Man! He meant the red Sea.

Mr. Wesley proceeds: "We do not confound the "active and passive Righteousness."—Does Aspasia, Sir? He that considers them particularly and distinct.

ly? He that examines each with a critical and minute Exactness? If this be to confound, Order and Confusion have changed their Nature.

" Neither do we separate them." It is somewhat difficult to understand, what you mean by separating the active and paffive Righteousness of our LORD. Separating them, as to their Influence? Then you must be sensible, this is never done by Aspasso. You cannot but know, that he disclaims such a Refinement. He protests against such a Practice. - Do you mean, treating them as Things really distinct, though always uniting their Agency? Then I am at a Loss to reconcile Mr. Wesley with himself. For in the very next Paragraph, he thus expresses himself. "Through the Merits of his Life and Death, every " Believer is justified." Are not the Merits of his Life here distinguished from the Merits of his Death? Does not the former Expression denote his active, the latter his passive Obedience? Or would you be understood to mean? " Through the Merits of his " Life, which are nothing else but the Merits of his " Death." If you would not speak in this Manner. so unworthy of your better Judgment, you do the very Thing which you blame.—This is done still more apparently, in one of your Hymns. Where we see, not only a Separation, but a diffinct Use and Application of the separated Subjects.

Grant this, O LORD; for thou hast dy'd,
That I might be forgiven:
Thou hast the Righteousness supply'd,
For which I merit Heaven.

I could eafily excuse Mr. Wesley, for being a little inconsistent with himself; did he not also venture to confront

confront the Apostle, by the following Assertion. Neither have we any Authority from Scripture, for either thinking or speaking of one separate from the other."—Does not St. Paul, in one Passage, speak of the Obedience? In another, of the Death of CHRIST? Does he not, in one Place, enlarge upon the Righteousness? In another upon the Blood of CHRIST? If so, we have an Authority from Scripture, we have the Example of the chiefest Apostle, for this Way of thinking and speaking.

We have also a concurrent Testimony from the Genius and Import of the original Language. Do not vwaxen and drawovm signify somewhat different from aima and drawles? Are there any approved Writers, who use these Words promiscuously? as so many synonimous and convertible Terms? If not, the Voice of Grammar will vindicate the Propriety of our Conduct, while we assign a separate Discourse to each Subject, and exhibit them severally in the most distinct View.

Are not Light and Heat always united in the Sun? Is the Naturalist to blame, who considers them distinctly; and examines each Property, in a separate Treatise? You would commend this Practice in the Philosopher, as the Way to enter thoroughly into the Knowledge of his Subject. And why should you explode or censure it, in the Christian Divine? Are not theological Truths as worthy of a circumstantial and accurate Investigation, as philosophical? Will they not as amply reward our Diligence, and yield as rich Advantage to the serious Enquirer? The Righteousness which justifies Sinners, is al-

The Righteousness which justifies Sinners, is alwady wrought out, says Aspasso.—" A crude, unserip-" tural

. • •

"tural Expression," replies Mr. Wesley.—It may be so. But if the Expression is plain and true, I will sit down content. This, however, you will allow me to observe; that it is no new one, and is not far from scriptural. Worketh Righteousness, you know, is a scriptural Phrase. Does the Word out spoil it, or the Word already? I suppose, the latter may be most offensive. Yet you speak, in this very Paragraph, of being "justified by the Merits of "CHRIST's Life and Death." Are not these Matters already transacted? Is not the Merit of them already persect? Or can any Language express these Things more clearly, and affirm them more ftrongly, than those emphatical Words in one of your own Hymns?

Let us for this Faith contend, Sure Salvation is its End: Heav'n already is begun, Everlasting Life is won.

Pardon me then, Sir, if I still suspect, that the Doctrine and its Consequences, rather than the Expression and its Crudity, awaken your Jealousy. If this Doctrine be admitted, if the justifying Righteousness be already wrought, it must absolutely overturn all your Pre-requisites, Qualifications, and Conditions; Conditions of Repentance, Obedience, and I know not what besides. We must say to every one of them, as Jehu said to the Messengers of Joram: What hast thou to do with the grand Article of Justification? Get thee behind me. Could they be fulfilled, they would come a Day too late; like the Sickle, when the Harvest is reaped. Could they be fulfilled

fulfilled in all their imaginary Dignity, they would, in this Relation, be needless; like a Proposal for augmenting the Splendour of the Sun.

"The Righteousness, which justifies finful Man, " was fet on Foot, when GOD fent forth his SON, " from the Habitation of his Holiness and Glory, 66 to be born of a Woman, and made subject to the Law.—It was carried on, through the whole "Course of our SAVIOUR's Life; in which "He always did fuch Things, as were pleafing to " his heavenly FATHER -It was completed, at " that ever memorable, that grand Period, when " the bleffed IMMANUEL bowed his dying Head; " and cried, with a strong triumphant Voice, IT IS "FINISHED."—Upon this Extract from Afpasio's Discourse, Mr. Wesley exclaims, "O vain Philoso-" phy!"-Philosophy! This Philosophy, Sir! Never did I hear, till this Moment, such Doctrines ascribed to Philosophy. But this I have heard, and this I believe; that the World, even the learned and philo-Sophic World, by their boasted Wisdom knew not GOD; nor GOD's Method of Salvation, by the Sufferings of an innocent, and the Obedience of a divine Person. Their Philosophy prejudiced them against it; puffed them up with a vain Conceit of their own Sufficiency; and fet them at the greatest Distance from submitting to the Righteousness of GOD.

I wish, Sir, you would shew me, in which of the Philosophers I might find these facred Sentiments; or a Grain, or a Spice, or a Savour of them. I have, for a considerable Time, laid aside my Plato, and have no more Inclination to turn over my Seneca; because I can see nothing like this divinely precious

precious Truth, adorning and enriching their Pages. But if you will discover this golden Vein in their Works, I will immediately renew my Acquaintance with them; and will do the Philosophers a Piece of Justice, which Mr. Wesley denies them. I will not call their Philosophy vain, but the Wisdom of GOD, and the Power of GOD.—A Righteousness wrought out, and a Redemption obtained for us! The former divine! The latter eternal! These, rightly understood, make us beyond all the Treasures of Literature, wise. These, habitually enjoyed, will, more effectually than all the Delineations of Morality or Exhortations to Virtue, render us boly.

A divine Righteousness (pardon me for dwelling on my favourite Topic) already wrought! A great Redemption persectly finished! And this by the Abasement, the Ignominy, the Indignities; by the Cries, the Agonies, the Blood of our SAVIOUR. Yea, of our GOD, in Fashion as a Man; in the Form of a Servant, a Slave, an execrable Malefactor.—What, like this, did a thousand Philosophers teach? What, like this, do a thousand of their Volumes contain? To stab our Pride; to tame our Fury; and to quench our Lust? To kindle our Benevolence; to instame our Devotion; to make us, in a Word, wise unto Salvation?

"The plain Truth is, GHRIST lived and tasted Death for every Man."—To be sure then, since every Man is not saved by Him, He lived and died only to make their Salvation possible.—From this and other Hints, I guess your Opinion to be, that CHRIST, by his Life and Death, obtained only a Possibility of Salvation. [Which Salvation is to become our own, upon performing Terms and Con-

Conditions, bringing with us Pre-requisites and Qualifications.]—If I mistake you, Sir, in this Case, you have nothing more to do, than simply to deny my Supposition. This exculpates you at once. I shall rejoice to hear you say; "As CHRIST" made us, and not we ourselves; in like Manner He saves us, and not we ourselves. No human Endowments, no human Performances, but CHRIST" alone is the Author of eternal Salvation."

Should you reply, true—CHRIST is the Author of eternal Salvation, but to those only who obey Him. - I must then ask: What Obedience CHRIST requires? The Law fays, "Do, and live." CHRIST, the End of the Law, fays; "Believe in ME, and " live. Be verily persuaded, that I am sufficient for 46 thy Salvation, without any Working of thine at " all. Is not the SON, the SON of the most " high GOD, given unto thee in the divine Record? "Be satisfied with bis Doing and Suffering, without " wishing for, or thinking of, any Thing more, to " procure thy final Acceptance?"-Let no one account lightly of this Obedience. It is the Obedience of Faith. The Obedience suited to the Name 7ESUS. Obedience to the first and great Command of the Gospel. Beyond all other Expedients, it excludes Boasting; and, at the same Time, produces that genuine Love, that filial Fear, which the Law of Works requires in vain.

Only to make a Thing possible, and to effect it, are widely different. When our King fits out a Fleet, and gives his Admiral a Commission, to harrass the French Coasts, and destroy the French Shipping, he makes the Thing possible. But to earry this Design into Execution; to accomplish the Enterprize,

terprize, now become practicable; is a far more arduous Task, and a far more honourable Atchievement. How strangely do those Writers derogate from the Dignity and Glory of the REDEEMER; who would ascribe to Him, what corresponds with the former; and attribute to Man, what bears a Resemblance to the latter!

If CHRIST only made our Salvation possible. then we are to execute the Plan. We are to face the Enemy, to fustain the Charge, and filence the Battery: We are to climb the Steep, to enter the Breach, and bring off the Standards. And fo, in all Reason, the Honour and Praise must be our own.-Whereas, the Gospel gives all the Honour to the Captain of our Salvation. He bore the Heat and Burthen of the dreadful Day. He made Reconciliation for Iniquity, and brought in everlasting Righteousness. So that all our officious Attempts. like a Pinnace arriving after the Victory, should be told. It is finished; the great Salvation is already wrought. And inflead of being diffatisfied or difappointed, methinks, we should rejoice, unfeignedly rejoice, in the Accomplishment of the glorious Work.

If it should occur to the Reader's Mind, that the Christian Life is represented as a Warfare; and that we ourselves are commanded to fight, though under the Banner of our divine Leader; to this Doubt I would answer—The Canaanite is still in the Land; and we fight, not to gain the Country, but only to subdue the Rebels.

"Whoever perverts so glorious a Doctrine, shews he never believed."—This may be the Substance of what Aspasso maintains. Though not represented

fo fully or so clearly, as he has expressed himself. However, such a small Wrong we will readily excuse. It was done with no sinister Intention, but for the Sake of Brevity.

To this Polition Mr. Wefley replies; "Not so."—That is; they did really and truly believe. But after their Belief, they apoltatized, and fell from the Faith. They were, some Time, the Members of CHRIST, and Temples of the HOLY GHOST; but, quickly severed from their divine Head, they became the Slaves of the Devil, and Brands for the everlasting Burning. Their Names were, indeed, written in Heaven. But it seems, the heavenly Records were less faithful than the Parish Register. They were quickly erased, and their Place in the Book of Life knew them no more.

Or thus—They did as really and truly believe, as those who are now in the Mansions of Glory. But, after their true Knowledge of the Name of the LORD JESUS; after their full Conviction of his Sufficiency and Faithfulness for their Salvation; even such as inclined and enabled them, to put their Trust in Him alone, for their Acceptance with GOD; they were disappointed. Though CHRIST called them his Sheep, as thus hearing his Voice; yet He did not give unto them eternal Life, according to his Promise. But suffered Satan to pluck them out of his Hand.

These Sentiments have no very probable, much less have they a pleasing or recommending Aspect. Let us enquire, whether they comport with St. John's Determination of the Case, Speaking of such Back-sliders, he says, They went out from us, but they were not of us. Mr. Wesley, to be consistent with himself, should say on this Occasion; "Not so; they were

" of you, but they fell away from you."—The Apostle proceeds; For, if they had been of us, no Doubt, they would have continued with us. Had they been really converted, they would most undoubtedly have continued in our Doctrine and Fellowship. Their Revolt from our Doctrine, is a manifest Proof, that they never truly received it, nor with their Heart believed it. Their Departure from our Fellowship, is an evident Indication, that they were, notwithstanding all their Professions, still carnal, and never renewed by Grace.

Mr. Wesley produces a Text from St. Peter, with a View to support his Objection. They who turn back as a Dog to his Vomit, had once escaped the Pollutions of the World, through the Knowledge of CHRIST. Here and elsewhere I perceive the Cannon roar, but without feeling the Ball. Before this Piece of facred Artillery can be brought to bear upon us, it will be necessary to prove, that the Knowledge of CHRIST, or even believing in CHRIST, always fignifies true Faith. In some Places, it certainly does. In other Places, it fignifies no fuch Thing. Though I have all Knowledge, fays the Apostle; yet even with this specious Endowment I may be nothing. There is a Knowledge, says the same Author; which, instead of edifying, or establishing the Soul in Godliness, puffeth up with Pride. We are likewise assured, that Simon the Sorcerer, though in the Gall of Bitterness and in the Bond of Iniquity, yet had Knowledge of the Things, which concerned the Kingdom of GOD, and the Name of JESUS CHRIST; nay, that be also believed, yet had neither Lot nor Portion in the inestimable Blessing.

E

Let

Let us attend to the Apostle's Manner, and we shall be led to put the same Interpretation upon the Phrase, as it is used in the Passage before us .- These People are not described, like the true Believers, to whom he addresses the Epistle. Here is no mention of their being Partakers of a divine Nature; of being born again by the incorruptible Seed; or of having their Souls purified by the SPIRIT. They are only said to have escaped the Pollutions of the World .- Again; the Word expressive of these Pollutions is μιασμαία, which denotes the groffest Excesses, and most scandalous Iniquities. Consequently, their abilianing from fuch Abominations, implies no more than what is called a negative Goodness, or a mere external Reformation. Their Lusts had been restrained only, not subdued. Therefore the unhappy Wretches were easily overcome by their old Corruptions.-It is farther observable, that St. Peter never considers these Persons as new Creatures. He calls them by no other Name, than the Dog and the Sow. they were, at first; no better, under all their Profession of Christianity; and no other, even in their foulest Relapses. When they returned again to their Vomit, or their filthy Practices, they returned to their own.

There is, then, a Knowledge of CHRIST, which is only superficial and notional; floats idly on the Understanding, but neither penetrates, nor fanctifies the Heart. There is also a Knowledge of CHRIST, which is wrought by the SPIRIT, and engrafted into the Soul; which receives the Gift of Righteousness, and brings Justification into the Conscience. The Comfort and Joy of which, mortify the Love of Sin, and produce the Life of Holiness.

Digitized by Google

This Knowledge, Sir, may you and I teach; in this Knowledge may our Hearers and Readers abound; and may the diving Power give us, by Means of this Knowledge, all Things pertaining to Life and Godliness!

The Goodness of GOD leadeth to Repentance. "This is unquestionably true, says Mr. Westey. "But the nice metaphyfical Doctrine of imputed "Righteousness"-Should you not rather have said, The nice metaphysical Phrase? Since, as to the Destrine, we are, according to your own Confession, agreed. Bound therefore, each of us, equally bound to clear it of the Consequences, with which it may be charged, by the Author of the Preservative, or by any other Objector .- And as to the Phrase, I cannot understand, by what Authority Mr. Wesley calls it metaphysical. Theron, it is true, uses the Word, and applies it to the present Subject. But does not Mr. Wesley know, that Theron often personates an Enemy, and speaks the Language of Unbelief?-Be pleased, Sir, to explain your Term; and shew, in what Sense it is compatible with this Article of my Faith? " I am acquitted and counted righteous " before GOD, only through the Imputation of " my SAVIOUR's Obedience and Death?" Which is, both in Style and Sentiment, truly evangelical; but, in no Degree, that I can discern, metaphysical.

When Mr. Wesley adds; "This leads not to "Repentance, but to Licentiousness;" he speaks what we understand, not what we allow.—Will any one say, That speculative Reasoning upon the Goodness of GOD, or contemplating it barely in our Ideas, leadeth to Repentance? But, when we taste and enjoy, when we apply and appropriate, his E 2 profusely

profusely rich Liberality in CHRIST; we are thereby prompted to neglect, abuse, and dishonour our great Benefactor ? Or shall it be said? The divine Goodness, manifested in common Providence and inferior Instances, tends to awaken Love and work Godliness. But the fame divine Goodness. shining forth in the most illustrious Manifestation, that Men or Angels ever knew; shining forth with a Glory, a Richness, a Persection, sufficient to transport Heaven and Earth with Joy unspeakable: This Goodness tends to excite Contempt of GOD, and to cherish carnal Indulgence? Such an Infinuation, so depreciatory to the Righteousness of the bleffed FESUS, I had much rather have heard in a Tewish Synagogue, than have feen in Mr. Wesley's Writings.

No, Sir; this and this alone leadeth a Sinner to Repentance. Not all the Munificence of the DEITY; neither the Rain from Heaven, nor fruitful Seasons; neither the Fatness of the Earth, nor the Abundance of the Seas; can take away the Enmity of our Nature, and reconcile our Affections to GOD. Nothing, nothing but a Sense of Pardon and Acceptance, through the Work finished on IMMANUEL's Crofs.—If you please to review the Text, you will not affirm, that the Apostle is afferting the efficacious Influence of providential Goodness on the Hearts of Men. He is evidently inveighing against the gross and almost general Abuse of such Though it ought, it does not produce Gratitude and Duty. It would indeed upon upright, but it does not thus operate upon depraved Minds. No Cause is adequate to this Effect, but free Justification through FESUS CHRIST.

" The

"The Believer cannot but add to his Faith, "Works of Righteousness."—"During his first "Love, says Mr. Wesley, this is often true. But it is not true afterwards, as we know and feel by "melancholy Experience."—How, Sir! Do you yourself feel this? Where then is your sinless Perfection? Can they be perfect, whose Love ceases to glow, and whose Zeal loses its Activity? Does Mr. Wesley himself make this Confession? Let him then say with us—And let us say, with invariable Stedsaftness, and with increasing Gratitude—Blessed be GOD for Perfection in JESUS CHRIST!

Do you learn, Sir, what is here acknowledged, by Observations made upon others? Then those others, I apprehend, if they do not exercise themselves in good Works, either have no Faith, and deceive both you and themselves—Or else they intermit and discontinue the Exertion of their Faith. Which neither detracts from the Efficacy of the Principle, nor disproves Aspasso's Opinion. It is not said; The Believer never trips, nor faulters in the Course of his Obedience; but, he always adds to his Faith, the Duties and Works of Obedience. Whenever the former acts, the latter constantly ensue. So long as we live by the Faith of the SON of GOD, we shall not fail to bring forth those Fruits of Righteousness, which are through FESUS CHRIST.

This is strongly maintained, by Aspasso, in another Place. "It is as impossible for the Sun to be in his Meridian Height, and not diffipate Dark-ness, or diffuse Light; as for Faith to exist in the Soul, and not exalt the Temper, and melio-rate the Conduct."—This is very forcibly implied, in our LORD's Interrogation to his Disciples; E 2

Where is your Paith? It must be dormant and inactive, like the Sap of the Trees in Winter, or like the Faculty of Reasoning in Sleep. Otherwise, it would banish your Fears, even amidst the raging Storm; and produce an undaunted Confidence in GOD your SAVIOUR.—The Design of all this, is, to evince the Wisdom of the Gospel, which lays such a Stress upon Faith; so frequently urges the Necessity of Faith, above and before all Things; representing it, as the principal Work of the divine SPIRIT, and the great Instrument of receiving Salvation. Hence it appears, that the sacred Plan is not formed in vain; much less is it calculated to suppress or discourage real Holiness.

"We no longer obey, in order to lay the Foundation for our final Acceptance." These Words
I read with Pleasure.—"That Foundation is already laid in the Merits of CHRIST." These I contemplate with still greater Satisfaction.—But when I come to the following Clause, "Yet we obey, in order to our final Acceptance through his Merits," with Disappointment and Regret I cry; How is the Gold become dim! How is the most fine Gold changed!

A Foundation, for what? Aspasio would reply; For Pardon, for Reconciliation, and for everlasting Salvation. For Peace of Conscience, for Access to GOD, for every spiritual and eternal Blessing.—A Foundation, of what Kind? In all Respects perfect; incapable of any Augmentation; not to be strengthened, enlarged, or improved by all the Duties and all the Deeds of Prophets, Apostles, Martyrs. Because it has Omnipotence for its Establishment.—A Foundation, for whom? For Sinners; for the

the vilest and most miserable of Sinners. That all guilty and undone Wretches may come; and though ever so weary, ever so heavy laden, may cast their Burthen upon this Rock of Ages; in full Assurance of finding Rest, and obtaining Sasety.

This is chearing; this is charming. What Pity it is, that fuch an illustrious Truth should be clouded, fuch a precious Privilege spoiled, by that ungracious Sentence! " We obey in order to our final Accep-" tance."-But is this, Sir, your constant Profession? I must do you the Justice to own, that you have happier Moments, and more becoming Apprehensions.-When you join in public Worship, this is your humble and just Acknowledgment; " Al-" though we be unworthy, through our manifold " Sins, to offer unto Thee any Sacrifice; yet we " befeech Thee to accept this our bounden Duty " and Service."-When you criticise upon Aspasso, the Note is changed, and this is the Purport of your Strain; "We beseech Thee to accept us, on "Account of these our Services; for we do them, "O LORD, with a professed View to this End."-To implore Acceptance for our Duties, confesses them to be mean and contemptible. Whereas, to expect Acceptance on their Account, strongly intimates their Excellency. That they are worthy in a very high Degree; fo as to obtain Favour, not for themselves only, but for a miserable Creature also, who confesses himself subject to manifold Sins.

I faid, "on account of"—For, if you obey, in order to your final Acceptance; furely, you must expect final Acceptance and eternal Life, on account of your own Obedience. A poor Object displays his Sores, and relates his Distress, in order to obtain E 4.

your Alms. Does he not then expect your Alms on account of his Sores, his Distress, and his piteous Tale?—What a Coalition is here, between Mr. Wesley and the Subjects of the triple Crown! I find the whole Council of Trent establishing his Sentiments by their anathematizing Decree. These are their Words; "If any one shall say, that the Righ-" teous ought not, for their own good Works, to expect the eternal Reward, through the Merit of "JESUS CHRIST, let him be accursed "."—Do you speak of the Merit of CHRIST? So do they. Do you, in some Sense, allow CHRIST to be the Foundation? So do they. Are your Works to rear the Edifice, and perform the most respectable Part of the Business? So are theirs.

By this Time, I believe, the thoughtful Reader will guess the Reason, why you oppose and decry imputed Righteousness. You are solicitous, it seems. not barely for Works of Obedience, but for their Value and Credit in the Affair of Salvation; for their Significancy and Influence, in winning the Good-will of JEHOVAH. Since this is your Notion, you may well be offended at CHRIST's imputed Righteousness. This will admit of no Partner or Coadiutor. This, Sir, in the Case of Justification, pours Contempt upon all your most laborious Exercises, and admired Attainments, Yea, this being divine and inconceivably excellent, pours all around a Blaze of Glory, in which all our puny Doings are loft, as the Stars in the meridian Sunshine.

" We

Si quis dixerit, justos non debere pro bonis operibus, expestare eternam retributionem, per Jesu Christi meritum, anathema sit. De Bon. Oper. Can. xxvi.

"We obey in order to final Acceptance."—Methinks, this discovers no more Gratitude, than Wisdom. Is it not an officious Indignity to that noble Goodness, which has set forth JESUS CHRIST for a Propitiation? Is it not a contemptuous Disregard of that heavenly Voice, which said, with so much Solemnity; In Him I am well pleased with the Children of Men.—Does this exalt, does it not degrade the SAVIOUR? Does it mortify, does it not cherish the Pride of Man?—According to this Scheme, the Merits of our LORD are the Foundation, not immediately of our Acceptance, but of that Situation only, in which we are supposed capable of acquiring it ourselves. They are, in short, no more than a mere Pedestal; on which human Worth, or rather human Vanity may stand exalted, and challenge the Favour of Heaven.

Ah, Sir! Acceptance with GOD, is an immensely rich and glorious Bleffing: A high and transcendently precious Privilege: Incomparably too high and glorious, to be obtained, in any Degree, by fuch mean Obedience as yours and mine. The Pardon of Rebels against the King of Kings! The Reception of leprous Sinners into the Bosom of Heaven! Shall such Effects, than which nothing can be greater; shall such Benefits, than which nothing can be richer; be ascribed to human Obedience? What, but the very Distraction of our Disease, can have occasioned or can account for a Thought, so extreme in Absurdity?-[Shall we, fordid Wretches, with our ulcerous Sores, our withered Limbs, and a Stupor over all our Faculties; shall we think ourselves able to do something for HIM, who needeth not the Services of Angels? Nay, to do something

thing considerable enough, to found a Claim to that transcendent Honour and Happiness, the Light of his Countenance?]—Our Adoration! Our Thanks-givings! Our Praises! Our Prayers! Our Preaching! Our facramental Duties! What are they all but filthy Rags\*, compared with his inconceivable Holiness and Glory? What Part of his Work do we attempt, but we debase it with our deplorable Impersections, or pollute it with our very Touch?—Shame then belongs to us, Shame and Confusion of Face, whenever we look to ourselves or our own Personances. While all our Comfort, all our Hope, is to be derived from the only Righteous One CHRIST JESUS.

If we know not enough of our own Meanness and Impotency, let us listen to the Prophet Isaiaht. In order to our Acceptance with GOD, he informs us, Lebanon with all her stately Cedars is not sufficient to burn; nor all the Beasts, that range through her extensive Shades, sufficient for a Burnt-Offering. Nations, whole Nations, avail no more than single Persons.

† Isa. xl. 15, 16, 17.

<sup>\*</sup> Ifa. lxiv. 6. In such a Light, I cannot but look upon the Services and Works of frail Man. In such a Light they are represented, in various Parts of our public Devotions. And if we are but humble enough to confess ourselves Sinners; in such a Light they must appear to every discerning and impartial Eye. Since nothing, even in Hell itself, is more loathsome, or imparts a more horrid Desilement, than Sin.—If such Services are a sweet-smelling Savour to GOD, it is owing to that copious Incense, (θυμιαμαία πολλα, Rev. viii. 3.) which arises from the golden Censer and the golden Alear. (Rev. viii. 3.) It is wholly owing to the infinite Dignity and all recommending Efficacy of CHRIST's Blood, Intercession, and Rightcousness.

Should they unite their Abilities, and ex-Persons. ert all their Efforts, to do fomething which may recommend them to JEHOVAH\*; all would be mean, ineffectual, despicable. Mean, as the Drop of a Bucket, which falls to the Ground, and none regards it. Ineffectual, as the Dust upon the Balance, which wants even that small Degree of Impetus, necessary to turn the most nicely poised Scales. Despicable, as the Atom that floats in the Air, and has not Weight enough to fettle itself on any Object .- Should you reply; In all these Things there is some, though very little Substance. The Prophet farther declares: That all Nations in the World, with all their Virtues, Accomplishments, Works, would, before the infinitely majestic GOD, be as Nothing, less than Nothing, yea, Vanity itfelf. Incapable, absolutely incapable of winning his Favour, or doing any Thing worthy of his Notice +.-Blessed therefore, for ever blessed be divine

\* Do we want to learn the Prophet's Aim and Defign, in this magnificent Paragraph? We may, to our great Satisfaction, confult his sagacious and devout Commentator Vitringa. Hic altius surgens oratio, non tantum omne meritum abjudicat carni, sed docet etiam & inculcat, esse baccomnia qua gentes ad placandum numen sinxerunt, merum nihil inane. Nullum plane pondus afferre in commendatione causa sua apud Deum: imo esse nihilo isso inani inseriora, si quid nihilo minus singi & cogitari possit. Non potest in natura: sed singi potest & obtinet in acconomia spirituali. Est enim ibi quod ita non prodest ad obtinendam justitiam apud Deum, ut simul noceat. Cujus generis sunt omnia supnuala & commenta sapientia carnis. Non tantum Deo non commendant, sed potius iram & indignationem divinam provocant,

† May I be allowed to elucidate the noble Passage, quoted above? Sure I am, the Reader will not be displeased with the Digression, unless the Annotator sails in the Execution, and has the Missortune

wine Grace, that we have a great High-Priest, in whom GOD is pleased; is well pleased; and his very

-- Egregium opus Culpa deterere ingeni.

The Prophet, struck with the Contemplation of a most glorious Personage, cries out, like one transported and amazed, Who? Never was any Thing comparable to him, either existing in Nature, or imaged by Fancy. Who bath measured the Waters, the unfathomable Deep. and the boundless Wave; measured them, not in his capacious Cistern, but in the Hollow of his Hand? Who bath meted out Heaven, an Expanse in which Worlds revolve, and extended to Immensity; meted it, not with an out stretched Line, but with the Span of his Fingers? Who bath comprehended the Dust of the Earth, all the folid Contents of this prodigiously large Globe, as a little Pittance in the smallest Measure? Who bath weighed the Mountains, with all their ponderous Ridges, and the Hills. with all their maffy Rocks, as a Man weigheth an Ounce or a Dram in his Scales? - Here I would only observe the judicious Choice of Objects. By far the most distinguished and magnificent, that the Universe affords. The Abyss of Waters, and the Circuit of the Skies! The Dimenfions of the Earth, and the Elevation of the Mountains! Compared with which, the loftiest Groves are less than the Hyssop on the Wall; and the most ample Cities, are smaller than a Grain of Mustard Seed.

Then follows a Comparison, more admirable, if it be possible, than this fine Description. Behold! The Nations, whether on the neighbouring Continent or in the remotest Isles, are, before this exalted Being, as the small Drop of a Bucket, which is almost too scanty to deserve our Notice. They are as the smaller Dust upon the Balance, which is not sufficient even to turn the hovering Scale. They are as the smallest Atom, which has not Weight enough to reach the Ground, nor Force enough to resist the slightest Undulations of the Air. Yea, all the Nations of the Earth, amidst all their Pomp and Grandeur, with all their boasted Accomplishments and admired Works, are before this incomprehensible GOD. as a mere Nothing. - Can Language go farther? Can Imagination take a bolder Flight? Yes; the aftonishingly rich

very Soul delighteth. Whose Sacrifice, and whose Work, have merited all the Good, that Sinners can want, or the ALMIGHTY can bestow.

If we are not yet daily humbled, nor willing to profess ourselves beholden to divine Grace alone; if we still resolve to be Principals or Partners with the one MEDIATOR, in the Purchase of the inestimable Jewel, let us fear, lest the LORD our Righteousness resent such a Dishonour done to Himfelf; and swear in his Wrath, that we shall have neither Lot nor Portion in this Matter. Of this He has expressly warned us by his Apostle; If ye be circumcifed, CHRIST shall profit you nothing. What would St. Paul teach us, by this folemn Protestation?—That no Yew can be faved? Himself was an Hebrew of the Hebrews. Circumcifed the eighth Day.—That a Christian would, by receiving Circumcifion after his Conversion to CHRIST, forfeit

rich Ideas of the Prophet add a Heightening, a redoubled Heightening even to this inimitable Picture. The Kingdoms of the World, with all their Inhabitants, and all their Honours, are less than nothing; are less than Vanity itself, in the Estimate of the Almighty JEHOVAH.

This, if I am not greatly mistaken, is one of the most highly sinished Sketches of the Beautiful and Sublime, extant in the whole Compass of Letters.—Let us always remember, that the majestic Person, whom it describes, is our Atonement and Righteousness (ver. 2.) is our Shepherd and Guide (ver. 11.) it will then be one of the most consolatory and delightful Truths, in all the Book of GOD.—And O! may we never forget, that the small Drop of the Bucket, the smaller Dust on the Balance, the volatile Atom, and that which is less than nothing, are intended to shew us, what Figure our own Endeavours, Works, and Duties make in the Sight of Almighty GOD. We shall then have a Doctrine, most powerful to humble us, to abase us, and to teach us to renounce our own Righteousness.

feit all his Privileges? No; for he himself circumcifed Timothy, to gain him a fair Hearing from the Judaizing Bigots .- Or is Circumcision here used, by Way of Synecdoche, for the ceremonial Law? Teaching us, that, as the Molaic Rites were now abolished, an Attempt to continue the Observance of them, would be an unpardonable Opposition to the Defigns of Providence? The charitable Compromise, recorded in the xivth to the Romans, leads to a different Conclusion. — Or did those seducing Teachers, who required this Conformity from the Gentile Converts, require them to renounce CHRIST, and relapse into mere Judaism? Neither is this at all supposable. They only required fuch a Conformity, " in order to their Acceptance "through his Merits;" which they never rejected, but only placed as a Foundation for their own.

What then can be meant by, CHRIST shall profit you nothing, if ye be circumcised? If ye make Circumcision, or any Thing whatever, besides the Righteousness of CHRIST, necessary to your Acceptance with GOD, ye shall receive no Advantage from all that the REDEEMER has done and suffered. This is to halt between Works and Grace, between CHRIST and Self. And such divided Regards, He will interpret as an Affront, rather than an acceptable Homage. Indeed, this is, in Christians, the grand Apostacy. By this they deny the Sufficiency of their SAVIOUR's most consummate Righteousness. They cast themselves entirely out of the Covenant of Grace, and must expect no Salvation but by doing the whole Law.

This is the awful apostolic Caution. To which let me subjoin the plain apostolic Instruction—Ye

are

are accepted, fays St. Paul, not partially, but entirely; not occasionally, but finally, in the BELOVED. All Acceptance, of whatever Kind, or whatever Date, is wholly in Him, not in any Thing of our own.-The Author to the Hebrews affirms; That CHRIST bath obtained Redemption, not left it to be accomplished, either in greater or smaller Measure, by our Diligence and Duties. No; He Himself hath obtained, both present and final, yea, complete and eternal Redemption for us. And will you, Sir, ascribe to your own Obedience, what the Apostle so expressly ascribes, and so entirely appropriates, to the blessed IESUS?-The same Writer assures us: That CHRIST, by one Offering, hath perfected for every them that are functified. Them that are cleanfed with the Blood of Sprinkling, He hath not only difcharged from the Guilt of Sin, but rendered them unblameable and unreproveable, before the Majesty of Heaven. He hath done all that is necessary for their full, perfect, and everlasting Acceptation. Yes; whether it be in Life or Death; whether it be at the Throne of Grace, or the Tribunal of Judge ment; during the Span of Time, or through the Ages of Eternity; all that is necessary for our perfect Acceptation is done. Done by an infinitely better Hand, than our own; by an infinitely better Expedient, than any human Obedience.

Do I, by these Remonstrances, set at nought true Holines? Or suppose a Salvation, separate from holy Obedience? You, Sir, cannot entertain such a Supposition; since, in your very last Remark, you was distaitssied with my insisting on the inseparable Connection of a living Faith, and Works of Righteousness.—I honour and prize Works of Righteousness.

oufnefs. I would inceffantly inculcate, both the indispensable Necessity, and the manifold Utility, of holy Obedience. We are redeemed, that we may be zealous of good Works. We are created in CHRIST JESUS, that we may be able to do good Works. And by good Works we are to glorify our FATHER which is in Heaven.

Only I would have good Works know their proper Station, and their proper Office. I am far from fetting at nought the Services of the Hand or the Foot. But I should very much disapprove their Design; I should utterly despise their Pretensions; if they should offer to intrude themselves on the Province of the Eye, or act as the Organs of Sight. Apply this Simile to the Obedience of Man, and Justification before GOD, or Acceptance with GOD; you will then see, in what Rank I place, in what Esteem I hold, both the one and the other.

As I would have Obedience know its proper Place, fo I would have it take a right Form. The Obedience, which you propose, is the Obedience of the Bond-Man, not of the free. A Slave, bought with our Money, obeys in order to be accepted. A Servant, hired to dispatch our Business, obeys in order to receive his Wages. But the Child obeys, because he is beloved; because he is the Heir; and all Things, which the Father hath, are his.

"Obey in order to Acceptance!"—Indeed, Sir, you quite mistake the Principle and Source of Christian Obedience. Nor shall I undertake to rectify your mistaken Apprehensions, lest you should scorn to learn from an Inserior. I will refer you to a Set of Teachers, from whom you need not blush to receive Instruction. But as this may demand a very particular

cular Consideration, I shall postpone it to some future Opportunity, and assign to it a distinct Epistle.

In the mean Time, if You should ask; Why I have been so copious upon this Point? I answer; Because it is a Matter of the utmost Importance. An Error on this Subject, is as detrimental to our spiritual Welfare, as a Fault in the first Concoction is to the Animal Constitution. A Mistake concerning Acceptance with GOD, must set in a salse Light every religious Truth, and shed a malignant Insuence on every religious Sentiment.

If you ask; Why I have repeated the obnoxious Proposition, almost as frequently as the Rams-Horns sounded the satal Blast, on the Day when Jericho was overthrown? I answer; For the very same Purpose. To overthrow, if possible, so pernicious a Notion. To lay it as low, as the Fortistications of that devoted City. And I hope, neither Mr. Wesley, nor any other, will attempt to rebuild it, lest they lay the Foundation thereof, in the Distronour of the blessed REDEEMER; and set up the Gates of it, in the Distress of precious Souls. Both which Effects, I am persuaded, are very remote from your Intention. That they may be equally remote from your Preaching, your Writing, and all your Doctrine, is the sincere Wish of, &c.

## LETTER IV.

Rev. Sir,

Had, in the Warmth of my Concern, almost forgot to take Notice of a Text, which you produce from 1 Tim. vi. 17, 18, 19. And, which is somewhat strange, produce as a Proof, that the Apostle requires Christians " to obey, in order to " their final Acceptance."

Is Paul then become the Apostate? And do the Curses, which he has denounced against the Seducers of the Galatian Converts, fall at length on his own Head? He placed Timothy at Ephefus, as a Bulwark against the Encroachments of other Doctrines. Was it with a Reserve for Liberties of this Kind, which he himself should take? Can we think his Mind so much altered, since he told those very Ephefians, that, without feeking Acceptance through their Obedience, they were already accepted in the BELOVED? Does he now retract the bleffed Truth? Advising the Rich, to raise a Cloud of golden Duft, that it may cover their Sins, and waft them to the Skies? At the same Time, excluding the Poor from the Fellowship of this new Gospel, and the Hope of Glory. Is he grown ashamed of that Righteousness of GOD, which, he assured the Romans, was the Power of GOD unto Salvation, only through Believing? And are we, Sir, grown weary of that pure Doctrine, which was restored to

us, by our glorious Reformers? Are we willing to give up the Depositum, and return to the more than Egyptian Darkness of Friars and Monks? with whom

#### - Cælum est venale DEUS que?

But to the Point.—I shall transcribe the Text. and add a short Paraphrase. Which may, perhaps, explain the Meaning, and best resute the Objection. Charge them that are rich in this World, that they do Good, that they be rich in good Works, ready to diffribute, willing to communicate; laying up in Store for themselves a good Foundation, that they may lay hold on eternal Life. Charge them, those Believers \* among you, who are rich in this World; that they do Good, that, as Members of CHRIST, they shew Kindness, and exercise Beneficence to others. That they be rich in good Works, abounding in those Works and Labours of Love, which flow from Faith, or a comfortable Persuasion of their Interest in CHRIST. Ready to distribute, on all proper Occasions, with Chearfulness and Delight; as counting it more bleffed to give, than to receive. Willing, even without Solicitation, to communicate; and not only embracing, but feeking every Opportunity of relieving

The Exhortation is addressed, not to the Ungodly, but to true Believers. Who had received CHRIST, and were saved through Grace. Consequently, had no Need to wis or attain eternal Life, but only to make it, in their own Apprehension, or to their own Consciences, more and more sure. Had they been unconverted People, the Apostle would, like his divine MASTER discoursing with the rich, but unregenerate Nicodemus, have struck at the Root of their Misery; and spoke of more important Things, than distributing a little snining. Dust among the Poor.]

the Necessitious. Lightly esteeming all that is called Wealth here below; and laying up in Store for them-felves another Kind of Treasure, [even CHRIST; who is the Pearl of Price, and the true Riches.] This will be a good Foundation of Hope, of Comfort, and Joy: Against the Time to come, whether it be the trying Season of Sickness, the awful Hour of Death, or the more tremendous Day of Judgment. That, placing their Affections on Him, and having their Treasure in Him, they may be found wise Merchants. Not grasping Uncertainties and Shadows, but laying fast Hold on \* sure and substantial Possessions; even un eternal Life.

We establish the Law: We provide for its Honour by the perfect Obedience of CHRIST; says Aspassa.—
"Can you possibly think, replies Mr. Wesley, that
"St. Paul meant this?"—Before I answer this
Question,

\* Take fast Hold on-thus I would translate that emphatical compound Word emilacle. Which agrees with the Experience of the Christian, and is not without the Authority of the Critic .- With the Experience of the Christian. Since Believers, by the Exercise of Faith, producing all good Works, are continually maintaining and increasing their Hold of CHRIST; and of that eternal Life, which is given them in Him .- With the Authority of the Critic. For the Word fignifies, "To feize with great Vehe-" mency; to lay Hold on with both Hands, as upon a "Thing we are glad to have got, and will be loth to let " go again." LEIGH's Crit. Sacr .- That it does not in this Connection, denote an apprehending of somewhat, not taken hold of before, is evident from Verse the twelfth, where this very Exhortation is directed to Timothy himself; who was an eminent Man of GOD, and the Subject of particular Prophecies; was a Believer of the first Class, and an undoubted Heir of Life and Immortality. Who needed not, therefore, to attain, but to live in the stedfast Hope and unintermitted Expediation of the glorious Inheritance.

Question, give me Leave to ask another. Have you Sir, done Justice to Aspasio? Is what you quote, the whole of his Interpretation? Have you not secreted a Sentence, which speaks the very Thing, you blame for omitting?

A Member of the House of Commons, haranguing the honourable Assembly, took the Liberty to assert "The Gentlemen in the Ministerial Interest, never propose any Thing for the Good of their Country."—This was no sooner uttered, than a warm Partizan of the other Side starting up, complained loudly of Calumny and Scandal. Hold, Sir, for a Moment, said the interrupted Orator. Let me just sinish my Sentence, and then give Vent to your vehement Invectives. My Intention was to have added; "but we in the Opposition readily agree to their Measures."—Upon hearing this Explanation, the House smiled, and the hasty Zealot sat down assamed.

Let me produce the whole Period, now under Confideration. Then I believe, the Reader will allow, that Mr. Wesley has imitated this hasty Gentleman, in one Instance; and whether he has not some Reason to imitate Him in another, I shall leave to his own Determination .- Immediately after the Display . of free Justification, or of Righteousness imputed without Works \*, Aspasio, aware of the Possibility of abusing his Doctrine, afferts the indispensable Necessity of Holiness. This done, as quite cleared from the Accusation, he triumphs with the Apostle. " Do we then make void the Law, through Faith, in " the imputed Righteoufness of our LORD? GOD " forbil! Yea, we establish the Law. Considered as " the F. 3

<sup>\*</sup> Rom. iv. 6,

the original Covenant of Life; we provide for its Honour, by the perfect Obedience of CHRIST.

"Confidered as the invariable Standard of Duty; we enforce its Observance, by the most rational,

"manly, and endearing Motives \*."—Here, Sir, was hardly any Room for the Precipitancy of Interruption, because the whole Passage lay before you, And it is a little surprising, that you should see and animadvert upon the former Clause; yet neither see,

nor regard the Clause immediately following.

" Did such a Thought (of establishing the Law, " by the Atonement and Righteousness of CHRIST) ever enter into St. Paul's Mind?"-Let the preceding Context determine. Has the Apostle been opening the true Sense of the Precepts, that they might be rightly understood? Has he been inculcating the inviolable Obligation of the Precepts, that they might be duly practifed? Has he not been afferting a Justification absolutely free, effected by the Righteousness of GOD, without any Co-agency from the Righteousness of Man? Does he not, in the last Words, professedly encounter the Objection, which, in every Age, has been raised against this facred Doctrine? "Hereby you neglect and difhonour the divine Law." No; fays the inspired Apologist, the Law is hereby established, and shewn to be more stable than Earth or Heaven. The grand Legislator Himself shall be humbled to its Obedience; the GOD who gave the Law, shall bleed for its Penalties; rather than a Tittle fail of its due Accomplishment - Magnified thus, the Law indeed is, and made for ever honourable. And though Ajpasso does not exclude our practical Regards, I do verily,

<sup>\*</sup> Theren and Aspasio, Vol. I. p. 200.

verily, for my own Part, believe; that the former Sentiment, against which you exclaim, was uppermost with the Apostle, and is the chief Design of the Text.

Yes, Sir; it was the Apostle's chief Design, to fhew the perfect Confistency of free Justification with the most awful Glories of the DEITY; and thereby lay a firm Foundation for the Hope of a Sinner. Had Justice, which is the essential Glory of GOD's Nature, or the Law, which is the revealed Glory of his Will-had either of these been violated, by the evangelical Scheme; benign and desirable as it is, it must have been utterly rejected; it could never have taken Place; the whole World must have perished, rather than such an Injury be offered to any of the Divine Perfections. Therefore St. Paul most sweetly teaches, and most satisfactorily proves, that instead of being injured, they are most illustriquily displayed by the Obedience and Death of CHRIST. By this Means, JEHOVAH is inflexibly just even in justifying the Ungodly; and his Law is highly exalted, even in absolving the Transgressor, that believeth in 7E&US.

# Here is firm Footing; here is folid Rock.

Solid Rock, on which the Sinner may rest, who is well nigh sunk in Despair; while the Waves and Billows of divine Indignation go over his alarmed Soul.—Firm Footing, on which he may proceed, who sees the Importance of his eternal Interests, and does not risque them on the vague Notion of mere Mercy. Dares not give into the modish Religion, which leaves such venerable Things, as the Justice

of the most High and the Law of the most Holy, destitute of their due Honour. And leaves such impotent Creatures as Men, to shift for themselves, by doing the best they can.

"The plain Meaning is, we establish both the true Sense, and the effectual Practice of the Law: We provide for its being both understood and practised in its sull Extent."—How can you make this Provision, if you set aside the consummate Obedience of CHRIST? Who is the End of the Law for Righteousness; for accomplishing that Righteousness, which its Precepts describe, and its Constitution demands.

O! Sir, did you consider, what that meaneth, which the Apostle styles to advicator to some, you would not use this Language. Can we—can such miserable Sinners as we, ever dream of effectually practising, in its sull Extent, that Law, which condemns every Failure; which requires Truth in the inward Parts, which insists upon Persection, absolute Persection, in every Instance, and on all Occasions, charging us,

With Act intense, and unremitted Nerve, To hold a Course unfaultering,

to the very End of our Lives, and from the Beginning of them too.—Attend, I entreat you, Sir, to this most sublime Sanctity of the divine Law. Then, instead of saying, We provide for its Performance in the full Extent of its Demands; you will probably say, with a more becoming Modesty, we provide for its Performance, in a Way of willing, chearful, sincere Obedience. Still looking unto HIM for Justification,

fication, who has, in our Name, and as our Surety, fulfilled it to the very uttermost.

It is, I apprehend, one of your leading Errors, that you form low, scanty, inadequate Apprehendions of GOD's Law. That Law, which is a bright Representation of his most pure Nature; a beautiful Draught of his most holy Will, and never, since the Fall, has been persectly exemplified in any living Character, but only in the Man CHRIST JESUS. From this Error, many others must unavoidably sollow. A Disesteem of imputed Righteousness, and a Conceit of personal Persection. A Spirit of legal Bondage, and, I sear, a Tincture of Pharisaical Pride.

Should Mr. Wesley ask; Why I harbour such a Suspicion, concerning his Sentiments in this Particular? I answer; Because, here, he speaks of practising this Law, which is so exceeding broad, in its full Extent. Because, elsewhere, he represents the Violations of this Law, whose least Tittle is of greater Dignity than Heaven and Earth, as small Matters; as petty Offences; or, to use his own Words, as "Things not exactly right."—But more of this hereaster.

Aspasio, to vindicate the Equity of the future Judgment, declares; "I see nothing arbitrary in this Procedure; but an admirable Mixture of just "Severity, and free Goodness. On those, who reject the Atonement, just Severity. To those, "who rely on their SAVIOUR, free Goodmess."—Mr. Wesley, as though he would exculpate the Ungodly, asks, "Was it ever possible for them, not to reject?"—What says our infallible Counsellor;

Counsellor; the TEACHER sent from GOD? They will not come to ME, that they may have Life. They rejected his Counsel. They would not cease from their own Works, and betake themselves wholly to the Righteousness of CHRIST. This Method of Salvation they disliked. It was Foolishness unto them. Therefore, they were disobedient to the heavenly Call.—Does this take away their Guilt? Must GOD be reckoned unjust in punishing, because Men are obstinate in their Unbelief?

GOD does not require me, as you too injuriously hint, to "touch Heaven with my Hand," in order to escape Damnation. But He invites and requires me, to accept of CHRIST and his Salvation. Is, intent upon any imaginary Accomplishments of my own, I over-look the Gift; or if, eager in the Purfuit of worldly Gratifications, I trample upon it; is not the Fault entirely my own? Does it not proceed from the Folly of my Mind, or the bad Disposition of my Heart; and leave my Conduct without Excuse?

Justification is complete, the first Moment we believe; and is incapable of Augmentation. Thus Aspesses feeds. Thus Mr. Wesley replies, "Not so."—And has he, for his Authority, a single Text of Scripture? No; but the whole Council of Trent. One of whose Canons dogmatizes in this Manner. If any shall affirm, that Righteousness received is not preserved, and increased likewise, by good Works; but that good Works are only the Fruits and Signs of Justification obtained, not the Means of increasing it also, let him be accurred."

"cursed \*."—I am forry, Sir, to see you again in such Company. And I would hope, if it were not an unhandsome Reslection, you did not know your Associates. Yet it is strange, that a Protestant Divine should have been so inattentive, to the main Part of his Character; or should be able to forget, that complete Justification, through the Righteousness of our LORD alone, is the very Essence, Soul, and Glory of the Reformation.

But let us examine the Point.—Justification, I apprehend, is one single Act of divine Grace. It must, therefore, be either done, or undone. If done, in my very Idea of the Act, it includes Completeness. So that to speak of incomplete Justification, is a Contradiction in Terms. Like speaking of dark Sun-shine, or a round Square.

An incomplete Justification seems, in the very Nature of Things, to be an absolute Impossibility. Even an earthly Judge cannot justify, where there is the least Departure from Integrity. He may overlook; he may shew Clemency; he may forgive. But he cannot, in such a Case, pronounce righteous. Much less can we suppose, that Justification should take Place before an infinitely pure and jealous GOD, unless all Guilt be done away, and the Person be rendered completely righteous.

Besides; can that Justification be other than complete, which is brought to pass by the most majestic SON of GOD? By his perfectly holy Nature; by his infinitely precious Sufferings; and by his inconceivably

Si quis dixerit, justitiam acceptam non conservari, atque etiam non augeri coram Doo per opera bona: Sed opera ipsa frustus solummodo & signa esse justificationis adeptæ, non etiam ipsius augendæ causam, anuthema esto. Sest. vi. Can. 24.

ceivably meritorious Obedience? This, if any Thing in the World, must be absolutely complete; beyond Compare, and beyond Imagination complete; to speak all in a Word, complete in Proportion to the Dignity, Persection, and Glory of the Accomplisher.

Is any such Notion, as an incomplete Justification, to be found in the Bible? St. Paul says, Whosever believeth is justified; to all Intents and Purposes Justified. No, says Mr. Wesley; he may be justified only in Part or by Halves.—He that believeth, adds the Apostle, is justified from all Things. No, replies Mr. Wesley; many that believe, especially in the Insancy of their Faith, are justified only from some Things. There is no Necessity, that Justification should be complete, when or where-ever it exists.

Is there no Necessity? Why then does the Voice of Inspiration assert; That the Righteon fness of GOD, is upon them that believe? Can a Man have that incomparably magnificent Righteousness, and yet be incompletely justified?-Does not the same inspired Writer declare—That this perfect and divine Righteousness is upon all; not upon some only, but upon all Believers? Whether they be weak or firong; whether in the first Moments of their Conversion, or in the last Stage of their Warfare. - Yes; and he farther affures us, That there is no Difference. No Difference, with regard to the Righteousness itself; for it is the one everlasting Righteousness of the incarnate GOD. No Difference, as to the Reality of its Imputation; for it is unto all, and upon all. No Difference, in the Way of receiving it; which is by Faith in JESUS CHRIST. Consequently, no Difference in the Fruits or Effects; which are Pardon and Acceptance, free and full Justification. Is

Is Mr. Wesley, like the Popish Party, an Advocate for a first, for a second, for I know not how many Justifications? According to this Scheme indeed, Justification may be an incomplete Thing. But the Missfortune attending this Scheme, is, that it has no Foundation in Scripture. The Scripture knows nothing of it; the Scripture declares against it; and acknowledges, as but one Faith, but one Baptism, so but one Justification.

This is the grand scriptural Maxim; HE bath, by one Oblation, perfected for ever, them that are sanctified. The Oblation is one, needing no Repetition, and no Appendage. It does not partially accomplish, but perfects the Business of Justification. Perfects it, not at the last only, but from first to last; yea, for ever and ever. In behalf of all those, who are sanctified; or made Partakers of this great Sacrifice, and this divine Atonement.

This is the fine scriptural Illustration; The Heir, even while he is a Child, is Lord of all. You have perhaps, a Son born. Upon this Child you multiply your Favours and Caresses. He grows in Wisdom and Stature. Yet neither your Favours, nor his Growth, add any Thing to his Sonship, nor augment his Right to your Inheritance. With both these he was invested, the first Moment he drew Breath. So, we are no sooner justified, than we are Heirs; Heirs of GOD, and joint Heirs with CHRIST. The Perception and Enjoyment of this Privilege may increase. But the Privilege itself, like the Birth-right of the First-born, is incapable of Augmentation.

Would Mr. Wesley, with the Followers of Arminius, exclude the Righteousness of CHRIST; and introduce

introduce something of Man's, as the efficient, or as a concurrent, Cause of Justification? Then likewise his Notion of a gradual, a variable, an incomplete Justification, must ensue. Whether it be Faith, which he would introduce for this Purpose, or Repentance, or sincere Obedience, or whatever else he pleases; according to the Measure of these Works or Graces, must be the Degree of Justification. And not only as to different Persons, but as to the same Person, at different Times.

Farther; fince all these Endowments are, so long as we continue in the present State, impersect; our Justification must, according to this Plan, unavoidably partake of their Impersections. It cannot be entire and lacking nothing, till Mortality is swallowed up of Life.—But how contrary is this to a Cloud of Witnesses from the Scriptures! Ye are, even now, justified. He hath, even in this present Time, reconciled you to GOD. Through the Birth and Death of IMMANUEL, there is not only Peace on Earth, but Good-will towards Men; sudoxia, Favour, Complacency, and Love, from the holy GOD, to the fallen Soul. And is not this complete Justification?

"There may be as many Degrees in the Favour, as in the Image of GOD."—This Objection turns upon a Supposition, that the Favour of GOD towards us, is occasioned by the Image of GOD in us. Which is the Doctrine of the Law; the very Language of Heathenism; and has not a Savour of that Gospel, in which CHRIST is all. And I think myself more concerned, to remove such very prejudicial Mistakes, than to sift and adjust any nice Speculations, relating to Degrees of the divine Favour.

vour. Aspasso has touched this Point. Referring you to his Observation, I shall confine myself to a more interesting Subject.

It is CHRIST, who has redeemed us to GOD, to the Favour and Fruition of GOD, by his Blood. By his Blood alone, without any Aid from our Goodness, or any Co-operation from any Creature.—His Work pleases GOD. His Work magnifies the Law. His Work is incomparably the noblest of all Things in Heaven or Earth. This therefore is our Recommendation to the divine Majesty. Interested in this, we stand perfectly righteous before the KING immortal, and shall be eternally acceptable in his Sight. It was only on Account of Abraham's Supplication, that GOD shewed Compassion to Abimelech. It was only on Account of Job's Intercession, that the LORD was pacified towards his three Friends. And it is only on Account of CHRIST's Rightcousness, that the HIGH and HOLY ONE beholds any Child of Adam with Complacency and Delight. To 7ESUS alone belongs the Honour of reconciling, justifying, faving the innumerable Millions of redeemed Sinners. And is not the LAMB that was flain, worthy to be thus honoured, and thus exalted?

Is then our own internal and external Goodness of no Avail in this Matter?—Let us hear the eloquent Isaiah, the Evangelist of the Jewish Church. The losty Looks of Man shall be humbled, and the Haughtiness of Men shall be bowed down; and the LORD, the LORD JESUS CHRIST, alone, shall be exalted in that Day.—Does this Text, it may be said, relate to the Gospel, and the Case of Acceptance with GOD? Or is it possible to make what follows, consistent

confishent with such an Interpretation?—Let us see, whether it be not, by the HOLY GHOST himself, made persectly confishent with such a Sense.

The Day of the LORD of Hosts, in the prophetical Scriptures, generally and principally fignifies the Time of the Golpel-Dispensation. When the LORD puts the finishing Hand to his Revelation; gives the brightest Display of his Grace; and gathers together all Things in CHRIST.

This Day, and its Influence, shall cause a wonderful Revolution in, what is called, the religious, virtuous, moral World. It shall tall like a I'hunderbolt upon every Idol, set up in the Hearts of Men; shall prove their Wisdom to be Folly; their Ability to be Impotence; all their Works to be worthless.

This Prophecy, being so repugnant to our Notions, and so disgustful to our Inclinations, is afferted and enforced with the greatest Particularity, both as to Persons and to Things.

As to Persons.—For the Day of the LORD of Hosts shall be upon—whom? Upon the fordid Wretch, or the scandalous Sinner? Rather upon every one that is proud and losty in his own Conceit; pluming himself with the Notion of some imaginary Pre-eminence above his Neighbour. It shall be likewise upon every one, that is listed up in the Esteem of others. Either on Account of Roman Virtue, or Athenian Philosophy, or Pharifaical Zeal. And, notwithstanding his aspiring Pretensions, or glittering Accomplishments, he shall be brought low; shall be degraded to the Rank of a lost, undone helples Sinner.

So that none shall have it in his Power to say, "I am better than thou. I stand upon more honour"able Terms with my MAKER, and am a fitter

" Object

"Object for his Favour." They shall all be like Prisoners, confined in the same Dungeon, and liable to the same Condemnation. Every one of them equally destitute of any Plea for Justification; and all of them, as to Acceptance with their CREATOR, without any Difference. No Difference, in this respect, between the accomplished Gentleman, and the infamous Scoundrel; no Difference between the virtuous Lady, and the vile Prostitute. No Difference at all, as to the Way and Manner of their obtaining Salvation. So that the Whole may appear to be of Grace.

As to Things .- This Part of the Subject is illustrated by a grand Assemblage of Images; comprehending all that is most distinguishable in the visible Creation, and denoting whatever is most admired or celebrated among the Sons of Men.—Oaks and Cedars are the most stately Productions of vegetable Nature. Therefore the Day shall be upon all the Cedars of Lebanon, and upon all the Oaks of Bashan .-Hills and Mountains are the most conspicuous and majestic Elevations of the Earth. Therefore the Day shall be upon all the high Mountains, and upon all the Hills that are lifted up.—Towers and Cities are the most magnificent Works of human Art. Therefore the Day shall be upon every high Tower, and upon every fenced Wall .- The Ships of Tarshish are put for the Wealth, the Advantages, and the various Improvements, procured by Navigation and Commerce. Pleasant Pictures may represent every elegant and refined Embellishment of civil Life.—The whole Collection of Metaphors, seems to express all those Attainments, Possessions, and Excellencies, which are supposed to add Dignity to our Nature, or Stability bility to our Hopes; to conflitute a Portion, in which we ourselves may rest satisfied, or a Recommendation, which may entitle us to the Favour of Heaven.

Yet all these Things, before the Requirements of GOD's Law, and before the Revelation of his Righteousness, shall be eclipsed and disgraced. Thrown to the Bats, and consigned over to Obscurity; thrown to the Moles, and trampled into the Dust. So that in the Pursuit of eternal Life, none shall regard them; or else regard them, only to despise them.

Thus, fays the Prophet a second Time—To render the Work of Humiliation effectual, he redoubles his Blow. May our whole Souls seel the Energy of his vigorous Expressions! Thus shall the Lostiness of Man be bowed down, and the Haugheiness of Men shall be laid low. All Notion of personal Excellency set aside, they shall be base and vile in their own Eyes. Acknowledge the Impossibility of being reconciled by any Duties of their own, and place all their Considence on the propiriating Death and meritorious Obedience of JESUS CHRIST. They less than nothing; HE all in all.

With this important Sentiment I close my Letter; not without an ardent Wish, that it may sink into our Thoughts, and dwell upon both our Hearts.

Your's, &c.

LETTER

### LETTER V.

REV. ŞIR,

YOUR last, and several of your other Objections, appear more like Notes and Memorandums, than a just Plea to the Public, or a satisfactory Explanation of your Opinion. They have rather the Air of a Caveat, than a Consutation; and we are often at a loss to discern, how far your Remonstrance is either forcible or apposite.

Brief Negatives, laconic Assertions, and quick Interrogatories, opened by no pertinent Illustrations, supported by no scriptural Authority, are more likely to stagger, stun, and puzzle, than to settle our Notions in Religion. You seem, Sir, to have forgotten, that Propositions are not to be established, with the same Ease, as Doubts are started. And therefore have contented yourself with a Brevity, which produces but little Conviction, and more than a little Obscurity.

This Brevity of yours, is the Cause, and I hope, will be the Excuse, of my Prolixity. Which, I perceive, is growing upon my Hands, much more than I intended. If you had been pleased to shew your Arguments at sull Length, and to accompany with Proof your Goosses upon Scripture, the Reader would then have been able to determine the preponderating Evidence, between yourself and Mpalio. And my G 2

Trouble had been considerably lessened, perhaps quite spared.

An Instance of the foregoing Remark, is the Objection which follows.—St. Paul often mentions a Righteousness imputed, says Aspasso. " Not a Righ-"teousness, says Mr. Welley; never once; but " fimply Righteousness."—St. Paul mentions Axaiooung, the Righteousness which is imputed, both with and without the Greek Article. And do neither of these signify a Righteousness? This is a Piece of Criticism, as new to me, as it is nice in itself.— Besides, where is the Difference between a Righteousness, and Righteousness? Is not every Righteousnels, a Righteousnels? Is not every Person, a Person? And every prodigious Refinement, a prodigious Refinement?—I thought, Mr. Wesley had known how to employ his Time better than in splitting, or thus attempting to split Hairs.

To what Purpose, Sir, is this excessive Refinement? Many of your Readers, I apprehend, will find it difficult to conjecture. For my own Part, I freely consess, that I could not, for a considerable Time, discern your Aim. Nor can I, even now, discover any other Design, than a forced Endeavour, to exclude the Righteousness of CHRIST; and introduce a mistaken Something of your own, to officiate in its Stead.—As the Thread of your Criticism is spun extremely fine, we must examine it with the closest Attention. But first let me just take Notice—

That Afpasio, in Consequence of his Observation, deduced from the Apostle's Language, asks; What or whose Righteousness can this be? To which Mr. Wesley answers; "He tells you himself: "Faith "Faith is imputed for Righteousnels."—But have you never read Aspasio's Interpretation of this Text? If not, be so impartial, as to cast your Eye upon the tenth Dialogue. There he considers this Passage at large, and lays before you his Exposition of the Words. Not imposing it, without assigning a Reason. But together with his Exposition, presenting you with the Grounds of his Opinion. If you can overthrow them, try your Strength and your Skill. They stand ready to receive your Attack; being, at present, in full Possession of the Field.

However, if you will not advert to his Thoughts: allow me, if I can, to penetrate yours. "St. Paul never mentions a Righteousness, but simply Righ-" teousness." Thus, I presume, you argue— "Not a Righteousness; that might seem to denote " fome real Righteousness; some actual Confor-" mity to the divine Law, imputed to Sinners for " their Justification .- Whereas, if Faith be substi-" tuted, instead of this real Righteousness; if Faith se be all that to us, which our own Obedience to " the Law should have been; and which Apasio " supposes, the Righteousness of CHRIST is apso pointed to be; if Faith itself be all this to us, then " we are made righteous without a Righteousness. Something is accounted to us for Righteousness, " which is really no fuch Thing.—Then we shall " be under no Necessity of submitting to the Righ-" teousness of our GOD and SAVIOUR, but may eafily be furnished out of our own Stock."

Is not this, or something like this, your Way of Reasoning? Do you not, in this Manner, understand Faith imputed for Righteousness? Not as deriving all its Efficacy, all its Significancy, from its G 2 most

most magnificent Object. But as being itself the Efficient of Justification; the very Thing, for which we are accepted. In Opposition to "the wicked and vain Commentaries of the Calvinists, "which say, that all this is resident in CHRIST, and apprehended by Faith."

This led me to use that singular Expression, " a " mistaken Something." Since this is an egregious Mistake of Faith; of its Nature, its End, its Import.—Of its Nature. For, it is a going out of Self, and a flying to CHRIST, for Pardon, for Peace, and for every spiritual Blessing .- Of its End. For, it is ordained, to preclude all Boasting. That itself may be nothing. That its Owner may be nothing. That the Grace of GOD, and the Righteousness of CHRIST, may be all in all .-Of its Import. For it fays, according to the Prophet: In the LORD, not in myself, have I Righteoulnels. It would expostulate, in the Words of the Apostle, with its over-weaning and doating Admirers; ye Men of Israel, why look ye so earnestly on me; as though by my own Power or Dignity, I had procured your Reconciliation, and rendered you accepted? The GOD of Abraham, Isaac, and Facob has glorified his SON JESUS, and appropriated this Honour to his Obedience and Death. In the Matter of Julification, it is my Business, not to furnish a Contingent, not to supply any Part, but to receive the Whole from his Fulness.

On CHRIST's Death Sinners are to rely, as the Cause of their Forgiveness; on CHRIST's Obedience, as the Ground of their Acceptance. How does this agree with pag. 58?"—Be pleased to turn back, Sir; and with a very little Attention,

you

you will perceive the Agreement. Then let me defire you to turn inward; and you will, probably, discern more than a little Disingenuity, in your own Procedure. Since you resolve to stop your Ears against the Author's Explanation, his very particular Explanation and Restriction of his own Meaning\*.—If you was examining a mathematical System, you would always carry in your Memory, the leading Problems or introductory Axioms. If you did not, your own Judgment would blame you. And when you neglect to do the same, in canvassing a theological Treatise, does not your own Conseience reprove you?

Our LORD commends such Kinds of Beneficence only, as were exercised to a Disciple, in the Name of a Disciple. Here Mr. Wesley asks; "Is "not this a Slip of the Pen?"—Read the Passage, Sir; and answer yourself. What are our LORD's Words? Inasmuch as ye have done it to these my Brethren. Have you not then as much Reason, to charge our divine MASTER with a Slip of the Tongue, as to charge Aspassa with a Slip of the Pen? It is undeniably plain, that he does in Fact commend only such Kinds of Beneficence, as was exercised towards his Brethren. And I presume, you

In pag. 58. Aspasso, speaking of the Obedience and Death of CHRIST, professedly declares; "However, therefore, I may happen to express myself, I never consider them, as acting in the exclusive Sense; but would always have them understood, as a grand and colorious Aggregate. Looking upon our SAViOUR's universal Obedience, which commenced at his linear nation; was carried on through his Life; and terminated in his Death;—looking upon all this, in its coloridative Form, as the Object of my Faith, and the Foundation of my Hope."

will readily grant, that his Brethren, the nobler Relation, cannot be more extensive than his Disciples, the inferior.

"Will not our LORD then commend all Kinds of Beneficence, provided, &c."—Excuse my cutting short your Speech. You are rambling from the Point. What CHRIST will do, is quite another Question. Aspasso neither denies, nor affirms any Thing, on this Subject. All that he considers, is, what appears to be really done, in that particular Description of the last Day, and its awful Process. Nor will he scruple to affirm, a second Time, that our LORD applauds such Acts of Beneficence only, as were exercised to a Disciple; to Believers; to his Brethren.

The righteous Judge specifies this Sort of Munificence, because it is a sure Indication of one begotten by the Word of Truth. It is a Test, which none but the Saints and Faithful in CHRIST JESUS will come up to. And a Christian is most properly distinguished, not by what he does in common with others, but by the different Principle from which he acts.—Of this Particularity Apasia takes Notice, on Purpose to warn, as well as to exhort his Readers. Exhort them, that they may abound in Works of Generosity. Warn them, that their Works may spring from the right Source, Faith in the dixine REDEEMER.

"You are not willing to call Works of Beneficence, though exercised to a Samaritan or a Heathen," transient Bubbles.—No more is Aspasio,
in the Sense and Manner, which you would infinuate. He calls them such, not absolutely, but relatively. Not in themselves, but with respect to an
Affair,

Affair, infinitely too great for them to transact, either in Whole or in Part. In this View (as relative to Justification) St. Paul calls them Dung, which is despicable and fordid. Surely then Aspasso may call them Bubbles, which are shewy but infignificant.—I don't call the Desk, on which I write, a mere Egg-Shell. Yet I should not hesitate to say; It is scanty as an Egg-Shell, if appointed to transport an Army to the Indies; seeble as an Egg-Shell, if set up as a Wall of Munition, against a Battery of Cannon.

How must Christians exceed the Scribes and Pharifees? To this Aspasso replies. Not only in being fincere; in having Respect unto all GOD's Commandments; but also in possessing a complete Righteousness. Nor can this be any Thing less, than the perfect Obedience of our great MEDIATOR .-" Did our LORD mean this? Nothing less."-Peremptorily affirmed. But not so easily proved.-Yes, you add; "He specifies in the following Parts of his Sermon, the very Instances, wherein the "Righteousness of a Christian exceeds that of the " Scribes and Pharisees."-He does so. But is it not an absolutely complete Righteousness? A Meekness, without the least Emotion of Resentment. A Purity, without the least Stain of evil Concupiscence. A Love, a Long-Suffering, a Perfection, such as our FATHER which is in Heaven exercises: Now if this does not exceed the Righteoufness of all the Christians in the World, or if this is to be found in any Character, save only in the Character of our great MEDIATOR, I retract, most freely retract my Opinion. The

The Discourse relates to that Righteousness, by which we are faved; or, by Virtue of which, we enter into the Kingdom of Heaven \*. And why, Sir, why will you not refign the Honour of obtaining Salvation, to the most blessed IMMANUEL's Blood and Obedience? Why will you hedge up your People's Way to the immortal Mansions, by teaching them to depend upon Duties and Attainments of their own?-Should any one, hearing this Doctrine. that the Law of the ten Commandments requires a perfect, finless Obedience; that none can be delivered from the Wrath to come, or enjoy eternal Life, without this unfinning, perfect Obedience: should such a one, struck with Surprise and Anxiety, enquire; "Who then can be faved?" What Anfwer would Mr. Wesley give?-The Answer we would make, is obvious and full of Confolation. " No

Christus magna asseveratione pronuntiat, pharisaicam illam'justiciam, quæ non persectissime habet integram legis impletionem, non posse ingredi regnum cælorum. Suorum igitur justiciam vult esse abundantiorem, hoc est, suos vult ad judicium Dei, asserre abundantissimam, plenissimam, & persectissimam justitiam, ut per ac propter eam possent ingredi regnum cælorum. Eam vero, quia in se non inveniunt, side quærum & apprebendunt in impletione legis, a Christo pro nobis præstita. Vid. Chemniti Harm. Evang. p. 722.

A truly valuable Work. In which the learned Reader will find many Traces of lively Devotion; many Pieces of folid Criticism; and many fine Views opened, to see more clearly the Wisdom, Beauty, and transcendent Excellency of our blessed REDEEMER's Life. This Book is particularly estimable, for displaying with great Perspicuity, and enforcing with proportionable Zeal, that distinguished Article of Christianity, Justification through the Righteousness of JESUSCHRIST. Which is, as our Author very emphatically speaks, Ipsissimum evangelis fundamentum.

"No Man, by his own Performances. But Salwation is to be fought, Salvation is to be obtained,
by the Righteousness of Another. Even by the
consummate Obedience of our LORD JESUS
CHRIST."

He brings this specious Hypocrite to the Test .-"How does it appear, you ask, that this young « Ruler was a Hypocrite?"—It appears from his Conduct. For, he came kneeling to our SAVIOUR, as one fincerely defirous of learning his Duty; yet, when instructed in it, he would not perform it.-It appears from your own Character of him. You fay, "He loved the World." Then the Love of the FATHER was not in him. That he pretended to the Love of GOD, is evident from his own Words. That he had no real Love, is certain from your own Acknowledgment. If Pretence, without Reality, be not Hypocrify, please to inform us, what is.—It is farther apparent, from your Descant on the Case. "Therefore he could not keep any " of the Commandments in their spiritual Sense." And it is a fure, as well as important Truth, that whoever pretends to keep the Commandments, yet does not keep them in their spiritual Meaning, is a Deceiver of himself; a Deceiver of others; a Hypocrite.

"The keeping of the Commandments, says Mr. "Wesley, is undoubtedly the Way to, though not the Cause of eternal Life."—How then came it to pass, that our LORD JESUS CHRIST, should declare? I am the Way. The Way, to what? To the Favour of GOD; to the Fruition of GOD; to every spiritual Blessing; or in other Words, to eternal Life.—After such a Claim, from such a Perfon,

fon, may I not, without the Imputation of undue Confidence; deny your Affertion in your own Form of Speech? "The keeping of the Commandments "undoubtedly cannot be the Way to eternal Life;" fince this is an Honour, this is a Prerogative, which the all-glorious REDEEMER has challenged to himfelf.

Hence your Distinction, between the Way to, and the Cause of, appears to have no Countenance from Scripture. And will it not, upon a Review, appear to have as little Support from Reason? Cast your Eye upon yonder Bridge. It is thrown over a deep and wide River. It is the Way, the only Way, whereby I cross the Water, and arrive at the opposite Bank. If so, is it not likewise the Cause of my safe Arrival on the other Side? There may be, in this Case, other Causes, concomitant or subordinate. But the Bridge is the grand one; that which every Body chiefly regards; and to which my Passage is always ascribed.

CHRIST therefore is the Way, the only Way, to Life and Immortality. By his precious Blood, and by his divine Righteousness, we pass the Gulph of Wrath and Destruction. By the Things which He has done, by the Pains which he has endured, we enter the Realms of Peace and Joy. Accordingly, we are exhorted to walk in Him; and are assured, that as many as walk in this Way, shall renew their Strength. This is, what the Apostle calls, the new and living Way. This is, what the Psalmist styles, the Way everlasting. And though other Ways may seem right unto a Man, yet the End thereof are the Ways of Death.

A Doubt,

A Doubt, perhaps, may arise in the Reader's Mind, suggested by the Words of the Prophet; An High-Way shall be there, and a Way, and it shall be called the Way of Holiness. True. The Way is CHRIST, the incarnate GOD, with all his Gists, Privileges, and Blessings. It shall be called the Way of Holiness. None can enter and advance therein, yet continue carnal and unclean. All that travel this Road, renounce the hidden Things of Darkness, and do the Works of Righteousness. It does not indeed find, but it assured makes, the Passengers righteous. And though Holiness is not their Way, yet it is a principal Part of their Business, while they walk in CHRIST.

Afpafie,

\* Isai. xxxv. 8. Upon this Subject, Dr. Owen speaks excellently. "CHRIST is the Medium of Communication between GOD and us. In Him we meet; in Him we walk. All Influences of Love, Kindness, Mercy from GOD to us, are through Him; all our Returns of Love, Delight, Faith, Obedience unto GOD, are all through Him. He being that one Way, GOD so often promiseth his People: And it is a glorious Way, Isa. xxxv. 8. An High-Way, a Way of Holiness, a Way that none can err in, that once enter it. Which is further set forth, Isa. xlii. 16. All other ways, all other Paths but this, go down to the Chambers of Death: They all lead to walk contrary to GOD."

See a Treatife, entitled Communion with GOD. By JOHN OWEN, D. D. Which presents us with the Spirit and Quintessence of the Gospel; with the noblest Privileges and strongest Consolations of Christianity; animating us thereby to all the Duties of holy Obedience.—Here are Pinks and Roses in the Path. Milk and Honey in the Cup. Marrow and Fatness on the Table. In many Treatises, the Author has done worthily; but in this, I think, he excelleth them all.

Apalio, having Occasion to speak of Abraham's Faith. quotes the Words of the Apostle; By Works his Faith was made perfect. Which he thus explains: "His Faith hereby answered its proper End, and " appeared to be of the true, the triumphant, 44 the scriptural Kind. Since it overcame the " World, overcame Self, and regarded GOD as " all in all."-To this Mr. Wesley replies, with the Solemnity of a Cenfor, and the Authority of a Dictator: "No. The natural Sonse of the Words 44 is, By the Grace superadded, while he wrought 44 those Works, his Faith was literally made per-" fect."-Your Proof, Sir. What have You to make good this Interpretation? There is not a Word in the Text about Grace superadded. This is not affigned as the Cause of a persected Faith. Nay, the facred Writer expressly assigns another. By Works, fays St. James, his Faith was made perfect. No. fays Mr. Wefley; but by Grace juperadded. St. James affirms one Thing; Mr. Welley affirms the contrary; and who am I, that I should decide between two fuch Disputants? But I believe, the Reader will, without my Interposal, easily chuse his Side.

Perhaps, you will reply; if this is not the true Sense, produce a better.—One less opposite to the natural Import of the Words, and the apparent Meaning of the Apostle, is already produced. Do you insist upon another? I will then refer you to abler Judges.—Shall I send to an Expositor, whom you yourself admire? Dr. Doddridge thus comments upon the Text. "His Faith was perfected by Works; "the Integrity of it, was made fully apparent, to himself, to Angels, to GOD."—Shall I remit you

you to an Expositor, who can neither deceive, nor be deceived? The GOD of Glory says; My Strength is made perfect in Weakness. Made perfect! How? Is there any such Thing, as a Super-Addition to GOD Almighty's Power, while He exerts it in Behalf of his People? This none can imagine. But it is hereby manifested, to their Comfort, and his Glory. The same Word is used concerning Abraham's Faith, and concerning the GOD of Abraham's Strength. Why then should it not be understood in the same Sense? Here, it is there it is shamable. And, in both Places, it signifies, not "literally made perfect," but illustriously displayed.

Shall I fend you to a familiar Illustration? I view, from my Window, a young Tree. The Gardener, when he planted it, told me; It was a Fruit-Tree, a Pear-Tree, a right Beauté du Roy. It may be such a Tree, and have its respective Seed in itself. But this did not then appear. If, when Autumn arrives, its Branches are laden with Fruit, with Pears, with that delicious Kind of Pears; this will be a Demonstration of all those Properties. This will, not make it such a particular Tree; no, nor make it a good and fruitful Tree; but only shew it to be of that fine Sort, or make its Nature, and Persections evident.

St. James speaks of the Justification of our Faith; thus proceeds Aspasso. And thus replies Mr. Westey; "Not unless you mean by that odd Expression, our setting made perfect."—I mean such a Perfection of Faith, as is mentioned above. Other Persection I find not, either in Books or Men. Was Faith persect, in your Sense of the Word, Love, Joy, and all Holiness would be persect likewise.

Correspondent to the Principle, would be the State of the Production. There would be no longer any Cause for that Petition, which the Disciples put up; LORD, increase our Faith. Nor for that Supplication, which you and I, so long as we continue Members of the Church of England, must use; Give unto us the Increase of Faith, Hope, and Charity!

You call the Justification of our Faith an "odd "Expression."—Is it not sounded on the Tenour of the Apostle's Discourse? Is it not the native Result of the Apostle's Inquiry? Shew me thy Faith. Prove it to be real and unseigned. Prove it by such Acts, as demonstrate, you trust in JESUS alone for everlasting Life. If it stands this Test, we shall acknowledge it to be that precious Faith, whose Author is GOD, and whose End is Salvation.—Is not that a Justification of Faith, which displays its Sincerity, and renders it without Rebuke? Somewhat like this, would be reckoned a Justification of any Person, or of any other Thing, and why not of Faith?

Something, you see, Sir, may be said, in Vindication of this Expression. However, if it be thought improper; if it tend to create any Consussion in our Sentiments; or to draw off our Attention from that grand Idea, which is peculiar to the Word Justification; the Idea, I mean, of being made righteous before GOD. I freely give it up; I will alter it in my Book; and use it no more.

He that doeth Righteousness, is righteous. He manifests the Truth of his Conversion, and justifies his Profession from all Suspicion of Infincerity — "Nay; fays Mr. Wesley, the plain Meaning is, he alone is truly righteous, whose Faith worketh by Love."—

Your

Your Exposition may be true, and Aspasso's no less true. I leave the Reader to determine, which is most exactly suitable to the Apostle's arguing.—He is speaking of the Christian Righteousness. That which renders us righteous before GOD. That which Flesh and Blood could never have discovered. Which therefore was graciously revealed in the Gospel, and is the principal Subject of Gospel-Preaching. As then there were, and always would be, many Pretenders to the noble Privilege, St. John lays down a Maxim of a Touchstone, to distinguish the Sincere from the Hypocrite. He that uniformly doeth Righteousness, in a Way of Sanctification; he, and he only, is to be acknowledged by us, as truly righteous by Way of Justification.

Far be it from me, Sir, to be fond of wrangling. Where you hit upon the Truth, or come pretty near it, I shall never be eager to oppose. On the contrary, I shall be very desirous to agree; and preserve, as much as possible, both the Unity of Opinion, and the Harmony of Affection.-Your own Interpretation shall take place. Only let your Working be the Sign and Fruit of a righteous State, not that which makes or constitutes us righteous. The Righteousness of fallen Creatures, is not of themselves, but of me, faith the LORD. It is brought in and accomplished by HIM, whom GOD hath set forth to be their MEDIATOR and SURETY. So that we are made righteous, not by doing any Thing whatsoever, but solely by believing in JESUS. Our Character as the Redeemed of the LORD, is, O. Ex WISENS; Men, having their Existence, their Subsistence, their all, by Faith, Hence it comes to pass, that we really are, what the Apostle affirms,

in

the following Words; Righteous, even as He is Righteous. Not barely righteous, as the moral Heathens, by Dint of human Resolution. Not barely righteous as the reputable Jews, by the Influence of their legal Sanctions. But righteous with that very Righteousness, which adorns, and exalts, and will eternally distinguish the only begotten SON of GOD.

It remains to be enquired; What Faith is most likely to operate, in this excellent and happy Manner? I mean, to work by Love.—Let me illustrate the Point by a short Apologue; then release the Reader from his Attention, and the Writer from his Task.

A certain King had two Favourites, whom he honoured with his peculiar Regard, and enriched with a ceaseless Liberality. They both, insensible of their vast Obligations, became Traitors and Rebels. Being convicted of Treason against their Sovereign, he was determined to overcome their Evil with Good. Accordingly, when they had nothing to plead in their own Behalf, he generously forgave them both. The one he dismissed from Prison, and suffered to live unmolested on his private Inheritance. The other he restored to all his high Preferments, and public Employs. He adorned him again with the Robe of Honour, and admitted him again into the Bosom of Favour.-Which of them, now, will feel the warmest Affection for their Sovereign? Which of them will be most ready to serve him on all Occasions? And, if Need be, to hazard even Life in his Defence?—He, doubtless, on whom most was bestowed.

And is not that Person most likely to work and obey, from a Principle of Love; who believes, that

his divine LORD, has not only bore the Curse, but fulfilled the Law for Him? Has given Him, not barely an Exemption from Punishment, but a Title to eternal Life? Yea; has cloathed him with his own most perfect and glorious Righteousness. By Virtue of which, He will, ere long, be presented faultless before the Throne of Judgment, and have an abundant Entrance into the everlasting Kingdom.—Will not the Faith of such unspeakably rich Grace, pacify the Conscience, and purify the Heart? Awaken Gratitude to our heavenly Benefactor, and enkindle Zeal for his Glory? Cause us to discharge all the Duties of our Station chearfully, and withstand every Allurement to Evil resolutely?

Surely, we may fay of this Faith, what David faid of Goliah's Sword; There is none, there is nothing like it. For all these blessed Purposes, it is beyond Compare, and I should think, beyond Dispute, efficacious.—That you, Sir, may know more of this Faith, and dispute less against it, is the sincere and fervent Wish of, &c.

# LETTER VI.

REV. SIR,

A S this Letter may probably be pretty long, I shall not increase the Prolixity by a Preface; but enter upon it, without any farther Introduction.

Whofe

Whoso doeth these Things, saith David, shall never fall. Which Aspasso thus interprets, "shall never fall into final Apostacy."—You are pleased to reply; "David says no such Thing. His Meaning "is, whoso doeth these Things to the End shall ne-" ver fall into Hell."—It would be a great Wonder, I must own, if he should. But if he happens to fail, at some Times, and in some Instances, what becomes of him then?—However, let you and I, Sir, be at as little Variance as possible. Where is the extraordinary Difference, between yourself and Aspasso? If a Professor of Religion salls into Hell, must he not previously sall into final Apostacy? And if he falls into final Apostacy, must he not inevitably sall into Hell?

When you insert the Clause, to the End, do you interpret? Do you not rather interpolate the facred Text? The Words of the Psalmist relate to the prefent Time, ששה doeth, not יעשה shall do. contain an Encouragement to those, who, at this Instant, bring forth the Fruits of evangelical Righteouineis. The Encouragement is deduced from the comfortable Doctrine of final Perseverance. ries this chearing Import; "Whosoever believes in " JEHOVAH, as laying all his Sins upon " CHRIST, and giving him eternal Life freely; 46 whofoever, from this Principle of Faith, fincerely 66 loves and willingly obeys GOD; He shall never " fall."—The Words are לא ימום לעולם, He shall never be moved. A Phrase, common among the Hebrews, to denote the Stability of a Man's Happiness. immoveable Thing never falls, either one Way or another. So, this righteous Person shall never fall; either either into final Apostacy, which is the greatest Mifery here; or into Hell, which is the Consummation. of Misery hereaster.

But I begin to apprehend what you mean, and of what you are jealous. Your Exclamation unravels all; "How pleafing is this to Flesh and Blood!" -Under Favour, Sir, I cannot conceive, how this Doctrine should be pleasing to Flesh and Blood. Flesh and Blood, or corrupt Nature, is proud. Any Scheme of Perseverance, to be accomplished by our own Strength, would indeed be agreeable to the Vanity of our Mind. But a Perseverance, sounded on the Fidelity and the Power of GOD; a Perseyerance. which acknowledges itself owing, not to any human Sufficiency, but to an Union with CHRIST, and the Intercession of CHRIST; this is a disgusting Method. This is what the natural Man cannot away with. You will find the Generality of People utterly averse to it. -Flesh and Blood will not submit, either to be made righteous before GOD, by the imputed Righteousness of CHRIST; or to be made faithful unto Death, by the never-failing Faithfulness of CHRIST. Try your Friends; try your Followers; try your own Heart, on this Point.

To the humble Believer, I acknowledge, this is a most pleasing and consolatory Doctrine. He, who seels his own Impotence; who knows the Power of his inbred Corruptions; and is no Stranger to the Wiles of his spiritual Enemy; he will rejoice in the Thought, that nothing shall pluck him out of his almighty REDEEMER's Hand. That his Advocate with the FATHER, will suffer neither Principalities, nor Powers, nor Life, nor Death, nor Things present, nor Things to come, nor any other Creature, to separate

him from the Love of GOD.—Without such a Perfuasion, we might too truly say of the Christian's Joy, what Solomon said of worldly Merriment; I said of Laughter, it is mad; and of Mirth, what does it? If he, who is to Day basking in the divine Favour, may before the Morrow be weltring in a Lake of Fire; then Joy, even Joy in the HOLY GHOST is unreasonable; and Peace, even that Peace which passet all Understanding, is chimerical. A Building without a Basis; at least, a bowing Wall, and a tottering Fence.

Let us examine the Doctrine, which Mr. Wesley says, is so pleasing to Flesh and Blood; or, in other Words, to carnal People. — What is the Thing, which the Psalmist teaches, and Aspasio profess? That the Persons, who are described in the Psalm\*, shall never apostatize, from the true Faith, or from true Obedience. Is this so agreeable to carnal People? Is it not rather unwarrantable in Mr. Wesley to suppose, that carnal People either possess true Faith, or perform true Obedience, or can be pleased with either? Especially, since the Apostle assures us, that the carnal Mind is not subject to the Law of GOD, neither indeed can be.

The xvth Pfalm, I apprehend, describes the persect Character. That Persection, which CHRIST really sulfilled; and is the Righteousness, in which GOD is well pleased. The Children of the Truth are, by the same SPIRIT, led to imitate this Character, according to the Measure of their Faith. Yet so impersectly, that when compared with it in their own Persons, they have Reason to pray, Forgive us our Trespasses. Though, when considered as cloathed with the Fulsilment of all in CHRIST, they may assure themselves of Acceptance with GOD, and an everlasting Title to the divine Favour.

Besides; are not the Duties, mentioned by the Psalmist, offensive to Flesh and Blood? Do they not require, or imply, the Mortification of our carnal Appetites, and the Discipline of our unruly Affections? Can it be a welcome Piece of News to Flesh and Blood, that this Mortification shall take place? Instead of being remitted, shall increase? And never, never be discontinued, till Mortality is swallowed up of Life?—If so, the old Man which is corrupt, must be pleased with the Curb, and the Dagger must delight in its own Restraint, and its own Destruction.—Such a Paradox we must believe, before we can espouse Mr. Wesley's Notion; That Flesh and Blood are pleased with the Doctrine of a final Perseverance in Self-denial, in Righteousness, and true Holiness.

"Should your Repentance be without a Failure, " and without a Flaw; I must still say to my Friend, " as our LORD replied to the young Ruler, One "Thing Thou lackeft. In all these Acts of Humilia-"tion, you have only taken Shame to yourself. Whereas, a Righteousness is wanting, which may " magnify the Law, and make it honourable."-These are Aspasio's Words; upon which Mr. Wesley animadverts. "One Thing thou lackeft, the im-" puted Righteousness of CHRIST. You cannot "think this is the Meaning of the Text."-Neither does Aspasso affirm this to be the Meaning. He only uses the Words by Way of Accommodation. Could you demonstrate, that our LORD intended no such Thing, yet the Sentence may not improperly express Aspasio's Opinion. And if so, be not unfit for his Use.

However, let us enquire into the exact Meaning of the Text. A very little Search will yield the de-H 4 fired

fired Satisfaction. Sell all thou hast, and give to the Poor, and thou shalt have Treasure in Heaven. Treafure in Heaven was what the young Gentleman lacked. Could this be any other than CHRIST himself? Is not CHRIST the Treasure, hid in the Field of the Gospel? Is not CHRIST the Inheritance, reserved in Heaven for us? Is not a Communion with CHRIST, and an Enjoyment of CHRIST, the supreme Felicity of our Nature? - David was of this Mind, when he publicly declared; Whom have I in Heaven but Thee? - St. John was of this Mind, when he folemnly averred; He that hath the SON, hath Life.—Whoever is of another Mind, has very inadequate, very unworthy Notions of Heaven and its Happiness.—Now if CHRIST himself was - the One Thing needed, furely his Righteousness could not be secluded. His Blood and Obedience inseparably accompany his Person. He that hath the Bridegroom, hath his Riches also.

In Opposition to this Sense, it is affirmed, "Cer"tainly the one Thing our LORD meant, was
"the Love of GOD."—The Love of GOD is
certainly an eminent Blessing. Possessed, I should
imagine, only by those, who have first obtained eternal Lise, by knowing the true GOD and JESUS
CHRIST. But does our Love of GOD magnify
the Law; satisfy Justice; or obtain Heaven? Are we
pardoned, are we reconciled, are we justified, on
Account of our Love of GOD?—The young Ruler
wants that, which may open to him the Kingdom of
Heaven; and that, whereby he may inherit eternal
Lise. Mr. Wesley, setting aside Pardon, Reconciliation, Justification, together with the one persect
Righteousness, which procures them, ascribes all to

our Love of GOD. And, by this Means, not to the true Love, arifing from the Knowledge of Him, as manifested in the Gospel; as having first loved us, in granting us Remission of Sins, freely through JESUS CHRIST.—This Notion may pass current at Rome\*, not among the Protestant Churches. Our own Church has most expressly disclaimed it. Speaking of CHRIST and his precious Blood-shedding, she adds; "Whereby alone we are made Partakers" of the Kingdom of Heaven."

"Is the Obedience of CHRIST infufficient to accomplish our Justification?"—Here you would correct both the Language, and the Doctrine. The Language: for you say, "Rather I would ask, is the Death of CHRIST insufficient to purchase it."—To purchase Justification, you suppose, is more proper and expressive, than to accomplish. As this may seem a Strike of Words, I shall dismiss it without much Solicitude. Only I would transiently observe, that to accomplish, denotes more than to purchase. It denotes the constituent Cause; what the School-men call, the Matter of Justification; or the very Thing which effects it. If your favourite Phrase implies all this, let it have the Pre-eminence.

Next, you correct the Doctrine, by faying; " I "would rather ask, is the Death of CHRIST infusfici"ent to purchase Justification?"—I answer; if you

con-

The Council of Trent, by her Interpreter Andradius, thus expresses herself; Christus obedientia sua non meruit credentibus in ipsum salutem & vitam æternam; sed hoc tantummodo meruit, quod propter ipsum insunditur nobis charitas inhærens; ut illa demum sit, quæ hominem Deo non tantum gratum, sed pergratum; non tantum acceptum, sed peracceptum reddat ad vitam æternam. Vid. Chemnit. Exam. Conc. Trident. p. 146.

consider the Death of CHRIST, as exclusive of his Obedience, it is insufficient. If you do not, there is no great Reason for your starting a Doubt, where we both are agreed. And indeed it is scarce worth my while to take Notice of it. I will therefore return to the Distinction, which you think proper to make, between accomplishing and purchasing Justification.-Why, Sir, would you fet aside the former Phrase? Does it not imply, that which justifies? That very Thing, which commends us to GOD? That very Righteousness, in which we stand accepted before Him? Does not this Way of expressing guard most effectually against the Errors of Popery, and exclude all Co-efficiency of Faith, of Works, or any Thing else whatever?-I faid, the Errors of Popery. For a Popish Synod will allow; that we are not justified without the Righteousness of CHRIST, by which He hath merited Justifica-tion for us. But declares, at the same Time; if any Man shall say, we are formally righteous, by that very Righteousness, let him be accursed.—According to this, which is no very good Confession, the Righteousness of CHRIST purchases, but does not accomplish; it merits our Justification, but does not constitute our justifying Righteousness. See, Sir, whither your Refinements are leading you.

The Saints in Glory, fays Afpaso, ascribe the whole of their Salvation to the Blood of the LAMB. So do I, replies Mr. Wesley; and yet I believe, He obtained for all a Possibility of Salvation."— Is this Objection pertinent? Does Aspasio's Assertion contradict your Belies? Does it not comprize, all that you avouch, and much more? Is it possible, that Mr. Wesley, who is such a Master of Logic, should

fhould argue in this Manner? "The Saints in Glory facribe all their Salvation to CHRIST's Blood; therefore He did not obtain a Possibility of Salvation for all Men." What a forced Conclusion is this! What wild Reasoning is here! Such Premises, and such an Inference, will probably incline the Reader to think of a Sun-Beam and a Clod, connected with Bands of Smoke.

If you was determined to make this Passage faulty, you should have opposed it with the following Declaration of your Faith; "Yet I believe, that " CHRIST obtained no more than a Possibility of " Salvation for any." Then you would have something suited to your Purpose; but not agreeable, either to found Sense, or found Doctrine .- Not to found Sense. Your Possibility of Salvation, is, if People perform the Conditions. How then can they ascribe the whole Glory to CHRIST? At this Rate, they do a Piece of Injustice to their own Resolution and Diligence; As these, by fulfilling the Conditions, had a Hand in obtaining the Reward, these ought to have a Share in receiving the Honour .--Not to found Doctrine. - Aspasio believes much more than a Possibility of Salvation by FESUS CHRIST. He believes a full and complete Salvation; according to that noble Text, It is finished. A Salvation, not to be acquired, but absolutely given, according to that precious Scripture, GOD hath given to us eternal Life. Not upon some Terms or Pre-requisites, but without any Condition at all; according to that most gracious Invitation, Whoever will, let him take of the Water of Life freely. -This I look upon as found Doctrine. But will your Notion of a conditional Salvation, proposed by

Way of Bargain, and granted upon Terms, comport with this Gift of Grace?

No more than a Possibility of Salvation !- Yes, Sir, CHRIST obtained a great and a free Salvation. Great; for it comprizes the Pardon of all Sin, original and actual; a Restoration to entire and unalterable Favour; together with a Title to everlasting Life and Glory.—This is fuch a Provision for our Happiness, as becomes the immense Goodness, and inconceivable Majesty of an incarnate GOD. Such as not only supplies our Wants, and satisfies our Desires, but surpasses our very Wishes. Transporting us with Wonder, and filling us with Joy .-To accomplish all this, we may reasonably suppose, nothing less could be sufficient, than the active and paffive Righteousness of HIM; who is gone into Heaven, Angels and Authorities and Powers being made subject unto Him .- Since this matchless Ranfom has been paid; fince these grand Conditions are performed; there is no Obstruction from the divine Justice, or the divine Truth. All that ineffable and eternal Blessedness is now become free: Is granted to Sinners, to Rebels, to the most unworthy; they are allowed, yea, invited to receive it, to possess it, to reioice in it as their own Portion: And without the Proviso of any good Thing in themselves, purely on Account of their SAVIOUR's all-fufficient Work.

I read in facred History of Eleazar the Son of Dode the Abohite, one of the three mighty Men with David; how he arose, and smote the Philistines, until his Hand was weary, and his Hand clave unto his Sword; and the LORD wrought a great Vistory that Day; and the People returned after Him only to spoil. And were not these mighty Men typical, faintly typical of

of our almighty REDEEMER? Did not FESUS also arise and work a great Victory? Has He not triumphed gloriously over Sin, and all our Enemies? And what have we to do, but only to return, and divide the Spoil, and share the Benefits of his Conquest?-May we not boldly fay? " My Sin is done " away, because CHRIST has bore it on the cursed "Tree. I stand accepted before GOD, because " CHRIST has finished the Righteousness, which " renders me unblameable and unreproveable. " shall receive the HOLY GHOST, because " CHRIST is my Advocate; and prays the FA-"THER, that He will give me another Comforter. "This facred Comforter, by shewing me the Riches " of CHRIST, will more and more fanctify my " Nature." To think and live in this Manner, is se to take the Spoil after our victorious Leader.

Let me close and confirm this Sentiment, with a Passage from that inimitable Penman, the Prophet Isaiah. Who, for his remarkably clear Views of CHRIST, may almost be admitted into the Number of Evangelists; and for his exquisitely fine Descriptions of CHRIST, greatly exceeds all Orators and all Poets. Who is this that cometh from Edom, with dyed Garments from Bozrah? This that is glorious in his Apparel, travelling in the Greatness of his Strength?—Ithat speak in Righteousness, mighty to save.—Wherefore art Thou red in thine Apparel, and thy Garments like Him that treadeth in the Wine Fat?—I have trodden the Wine-Press alone, and of the People there was none with me.

The Prophet, like one thrown into a sudden Surprise, with a beautiful Abruptness, cries out, Who is this? What extraordinary Appearance discovers itself to my Sight? Is it a human, or a divine Form,

that

that I behold? He cometh from Edom the Country, from Bozrah the Capital, of our professed national Enemies. Is He for us, or for our Adversaries?—The first Question seems to proceed from a distant and indistinct View. He then takes a nearer Survey, and describes the wonderful Personage with greater Particularity. This that cometh with dyed Garments, like some terrible and victorious Warrior, that has scarcely sheathed the Sword of Slaughter. Who is all encrimsoned, and still reaking with the Blood of the Slain.

110

The Vision becomes clearer and clearer. I see Him (adds the rapturous Prophet) glorious in his Apparel. Highly graceful, as well as extremely awful. Bearing in his Aspect, in his whole Person, in his very Dress, the Marks of transcendent Dignity. Travelling in the Greatness of his Strength. Not faint with Toil, nor wearied with the Fatigue of the dreadful Action; but like one, that is indefatigable in his Zeal, and irresissible in his Power; and therefore still pressing forwards, to new Victories; still going on, from conquering to conquer.

The majestic Object is, all this while, advancing. At length, He approaches near enough, to hold a Conference with this devout Enquirer. One would naturally expect, that his Speech should be like his Aspect, alarming and tremendous. But Grace is on his Tongue, and his Lips drop Balm. I that speak in Righteousness: All whose Words are Faithfulness and Truth; an immoveable Foundation for the Faith of my People. That speak of Righteousness\*

<sup>•</sup> Vox רבר loqui confiructa cam præsina ב, notat possim, phrasi sacra, loqui de re.

Of

Of that mysterious Righteousness, which is the Delight of my FATHER, and the Life of the World, To bring in which, is the Design of my Appearance on Earth, and to reveal it is the Office of my SPIRIT. By means of this Righteousness, I am mighty to fave: To save thee; to save any lost Sinner; to save them, as with the Arm of Omnipotence, beyond all that they can think, even to the very uttermost.

Here, the Prophet seems to be somewhat at a Loss, and takes Leave to renew his Enquiry. If thou art come, not to destroy Men's Lives, but to save; Wherefore art thou red in thine Apparel, and thy Garments like Him that treadeth in the Wine-Fat? These indicate, not Deliverance, but Destruction. These are Tokens, not of forbearing Mercy, but of inexorable Vengeance.—'Tis true, replies the illustrious Hero, I have trodden the Wine-Press\*; I

\* Trodden the Wine-Press .- I can hardly forbear taking Notice of the great Impropriety, with which this Text and this Metaphor are frequently used by our theological Writers. They apply both to CHRIST, as bruised by his almighty FA'I'HER; and bearing the Weight of that Indignation, which was due to the Sins of Mankind. Nothing is more common, than to make this Text descriptive of his Agony in the Garden .- If indeed it had been faid, I am trodden in the Wine-Press, the I mage might not be improper. But when it is faid, I have trodden, nothing can be more unfuitable to a State of Humiliation and Suffering. This Phrase evidently denotes Conquest and Triumph; Enemies vanquished and totally destroyed. It should never therefore be applied to the agonizing, but to the triumphant SAVIOUR; not to JESUS proftrate on the Ground, but to JESUS making his Foes his Footstool.

As I have taken Leave to animadvert upon a common Mistake, I would also take Liberty to pay Merit its due Honour;

have crushed my Foes; I have trampled them under my Feet; and Repentance was hid from my Eyes. But thy Enemies were the Object of mine Indignation. Sin, and Death, and Hell are the vanquished Adversaries. It is their Blood, that is sprinkled upon my Garments, and that stains all my Raiment .--This Victory I have gained, by myself alone. Being infinitely too great in my Power, to want an Associate; and infinitely too jealous of my Honour, to accept of any Assistance. Of the People, whether in Heaven or on Earth, there was none with me; to afford the least Succour, or to take the least Share in the glorious Work. The Salvation of Sinners; their Deliverance from Wrath, and their Redemption to GOD; is, in all its Parts, my Act, even mine, and mine only. Yours be all the Benefit; mine all the Glory.

The Terms of Acceptance for fallen Man, were, A full Satisfaction to the divine Justice, and a complete Conformity to the divine Law. "This, says

Honour; by recommending to my Reader a poetical Version of this Passage, given us by Dr. Lowth, in his very elegant Work, entitled De sacra Poess Hebracrum. Where he understands the Text, in the Sense suggested above; and translates it, in the very Spirit of Virgil. As the Lines are few, and the Book may not be in the Hands of all my Readers, I will present them with a Transcript.

Page 62. Mr.

<sup>———</sup> Ille patris vires indutus & iram,
Dira rubens graditur, per stragem & fracta potentům
Agmina, prona solo; prostratisque bostibus ultor
Insultat; ceu præla novo spumantia musto
Exercens, salit attritas calcator in uvas,
Congestamque struem subigit: Cæde atra recenti
Crura madent, rorantque inspersæ sanguine vestes.

" Mr. Wesley to Aspasio, you take for granted, but I cannot allow."—That Aspasio does not take these Points for granted, I thought, even his Enemies would confess. That he has attempted, at least, to make good his Opinion, all the World, besides yourself, Sir, will acknowledge. What else is the Design of Dialogue the third and fourth? What else is aimed at, in Dialogue the seventh, eighth, and ninth? The former treat largely of the full Satisfaction, given to divine Justice. The latter treat still more largely of the Conformity, demanded by the divine Law, and yielded by the divine JESUS.

These Things, however, "You cannot allow." -Not allow a full Satisfaction of divine Justice to be necessary! Are you not then acceding to the Socinian? Not allow a complete Conformity to the divine Law to be necessary! Are you not then warping to the Antinomian? See, Sir, how you approach the Rocks, both on the right Hand, and on the left. May the KEEPER of Ifrael, preserve yourself and your Followers, from fuffering Shipwreck!-Every one, I think, must allow, what you deny; who believes the divine Justice to be infinite, and the divine Law to be unalterable. A Justice, that will admit of any Satisfaction, less than complete, can never be deemed infinite. And if the divine Law can rest satisfied with an Obedience that is defective, it is not so venerable as the Law of the Medes and Persians.

"The Terms of Acceptance for fallen Man, you fay, are Repentance and Faith."—I must own, I don't much like the Expression Terms, unless it be referred to the Mediation of CHRIST. And you yourself, if you would act consistently, should not be over-fond of it, because it is not scriptural.

Though, for my own Part, I have no Quarrel against the Word, because it is not the exact Phrase-ology of Scripture, but because I dislike the Idea it conveys. Shall we treat with the DEITY, as free States or sovereign Princes treat with each other? The one obtaining from the other, Peace or some advantageous Concession, by complying with his Terms?

To confirm your Opinion, That "the Terms of "Acceptance for fallen Man, are Repentance and "Faith," you produce the following Text; Repent ye, and believe the Gospel.—Here you write, like a Man of Sense; who knows, what just Disputation means. You lay aside your certainlies, your undoubtedlies, your unquestionablies; and urge a Proof from Scripture.—Whether you rightly understand, and duly apply this Proof, must now be enquired.

Repent ye, and believe the Gospel. This may be the Meaning of the Exhortation. Repent; relinquish all your wrong Notions, relating to the Way and Manner of finding Acceptance with the DEITY. Believe the Gospel; which opens a most unexpected Avenue, for the Communication of this Blessing. Which brings you Tidings of a Salvation, fully procured by the incarnate GOD, and freely offered to the unworthy Sinner.—The Word you know, is undersoils. Which, in its primary Signification, denotes not so much a Reformation of Conduct, as a Change of Sentiment.

Suppose it to fignify a Reformation of Conduct. The Meaning then may be as follows. Repent; forsake all your Vices, and all your Follies; mortify every evil Temper, and renounce every evil Way. In order to render this practicable, believe

the Gospel. Wherein a SAVIOUR is preached and displayed. Who makes Peace for such Offenders; reconciles them to GOD; and obtains eternal Redemption for them. This will sweetly withdraw your Affections from Iniquity, and sweetly attach them to the blessed GOD. Whereas, without this powerful Expedient, you will never be delivered from the pleasing Witchcraft of your Lusts. Sin will always have Dominion over you, so long as you are under the Law, and not under Grace.—Repentance, thus understood, is not the Condition of obtaining Salvation, but the Fruit of Salvation obtained.

Besides; if Repentance be a Gist, it cannot be a Term or Condition. He must be a Stranger to the Import of Language, and the common Ideas of Mankind, who will take upon him to affirm the latter; and he must be yet a greater Stranger to the holy Word of GOD, who will offer to deny the former.—GHRIST is exalted, saith the Apostle, to give Repentance. Not to require it, as a Condition of Blessedness: but to give it, as a most eminent Blessing. Not require Repentance of fallen Man, who is not able to think a good Thought; but give it, from his unsearchable Riches; and work it, by his almighty Power.

You say, "The Terms of Acceptance for fallen "Man, are, &c."—Methinks, I should be glad to know, what you mean by fallen Man. Do you mean (as you tell us, in your Collection of Sermons) "One dead to GOD, and all the Things or "GOD? Having no more Power to perform the "Actions of a living Christian, than a dead Body to perform the Functions of a living Man?"

What Terms, I beseech you, can such a one sulfill? Be they ever so difficult, or ever so easy, it maketh no Difference. The Hand, stiff in Death, is no more able to move a Feather, than to remove a Mountain.—Whatever, therefore, others may affirm, you, Sir, cannot talk of Repentance, to be exercised by fallen Man; until he is quickened and enabled by Fellowship with CHRIST, the living and Lise-giving Head. Unless you chuse, either to contradict your own Affertion, That fallen Man is absolutely dead to all Good. Or else think proper to maintain, That the Dead may not only act, but perform some of the most excellent Acts, and important Offices.

You should likewise, Sir, if you would write correctly and argue forcibly, have told us, what you mean by Faith. Otherwise, you may intend one Thing, and I another, even while we both use the same Word. In this Case, our Dispute might be as endless, as it must be fruitless.

By Faith I mean, what St. John calls, A Receiving of CHRIST. A Receiving of Him and his Benefits, as they are freely given, in the Word of Grace and Truth. If this, which is the Apostolical, be a proper Definition, then it seems not to come under the Denomination of a Condition. They must be excessive Resiners indeed, who would call my receiving a rich Present, the Terms or Conditions of possessing it. Or would esteem my eating at a plenteous Feast, the Terms and Conditions of enjoying it. Is not this to subtilize, till sound Sense is lost?

Faith, according to St. Paul, is a Persuasion, that CHRIST loved me, and gave himself for me. Where

is

is any Trace or any Hint of Conditionality, in this Description? I don't hear the Apostle saying; He loved me, provided I repent. He gave Himself for me, in case I think this, or do that. But He gave Himself for me, when I was ungodly, and had performed no Conditions; when I was without Strength, and could perform no Conditions .- Thus He gave Himself for me, that I might have Remission of Sins, through his Blood; and eternal Life, through his Righteousness.—Believing these delightful Truths. and receiving these heavenly Privileges, I love my most adorable Benefactor; and abhor those Iniquities, for which he wept, and groaned, and died .-That Love of CHRIST, is vital Holiness; and this Abhorrence of Sin, is practical Repentance. And both are the Fruits, therefore cannot be the Conditions, of Salvation by JESUS.

Some holy Men and excellent Writers, I confess, have not scrupled to call Faith and Repentance the Conditions of our Salvation. Yet I cannot prevail on myself to admire or approve the Language. I fear, it tends to embarrass the sincere Soul; to darken the Lustre of Grace; and to afford too much Occasion for Boasting.

To embarras the sincere Soul—For, if I am saved on Conditions, this will naturally divert my Attention from the grand and all-sufficient Cause of Justification, the Righteousness of CHRIST; which alone gives solid Comfort. Instead of delighting myself in the LORD REDEEMER, I shall be engaged in an anxious Concern about the supposed Conditions. Whether I have performed them? Whether I have performed them whether I have performed them there may not be some latent Defect, that spoils all, and

Digitized by Google

and renders my Labour fruitles? The more serious our Minds are, and the more tender our Consciences, the more shall we be liable to Perplexity and Disquietude on this Head.

It eclipses the Lustre of Grace—Ye are saved by Grace, says the Oracle of Heaven. But if Salvation be upon Conditions, it cannot be of Grace. It must, in some Measure at least, be of Works. Since it depends upon working the Conditions; it is obtained by working the Conditions; and the Candidate has Reason to look principally unto his Performance of the Conditions. They are to him, by incomparable Degrees, the most important Point. Because, without their all-significant Interposition, every Thing else is as nothing. Even GOD's everlasting Love, and CHRIST's everlasting Righteousness, are, till the Conditions are sulfilled, but Cyphers without the initial Figure.

It affords too much Occasion for Boasting .- May I not, in this Case, thank my own Application and Industry? They, they exerted themselves successfully; and behold! the promifed Reward is mine, What then should hinder me, from facrificing unto my own Net, and burning Incense unto my own Drag?-At this Door the Notion of Merit will unavoidably creep in. Because my Performance of the Condition is meritorious of the covenanted Reward. So far meritorious, that the Reward is my due. I may demand it, as a Debt. And it will be an Act of apparent Injustice to with-hold it.-But shall these Things be said unto the ALMIGHTY? Will these Things redound to the Praise of the Glory of his Grace? Do these Things hide Vanity from Man; or confift with a Salvation, that is without Money. Money, or without Price? Not quite so well, I believe, yourself will acknowledge, as the following Lines.

Let the World their Virtue boast,
Their Works of Righteousness;
I, a Wretch undone and lost,
Am freely sav'd by Grace:
Other Title I disclaim,
This, only this is all my Plea;
I the chief of Sinners am,
But JESUS died for me.

" Fallen Man, you say, is justified, not by per-" fect Obedience, but by Faith."-" Not by per-" fect Obedience." Ah, Sir! If you had remembered the Immutability of GOD, and the Spirituality of his Law, you would not have challenged this Expression .- "But by Faith." Here, 'tis true, you use the Language of Scripture. Nevertheless it behoves a Watchman in Israel, to shew how the Language of Scripture may be abused. Faith, you allow, is imputed to us for Righteoufness; therefore (you infer) not the Righteousness or perfect Obedience of CHRIST. This, if you mean any Thing, or would speak any Thing to the Purpose, must be your Way of arguing. So you would fet Faith and CHRIST's Righteousness at Variance. The former shall exclude the latter from its Office. Whereas, the former is only the Pitcher at the Fountain, while the latter is the very Water of Life. Is that bleffed, glorious, heavenly Expedient, which, received by Faith, justifies, fanctifies, faves.

According to your Gospel, Faith will say to the Righteousness of the REDEEMER; "Depart I 4 "hence.

"hence. I have no Need of thee. I myself act as the justifying Righteousness. I stand in the Stead of perfect Obedience, in order to Acceptance with GOD\*."—To this may we not reply? Was Faith then crucified for you? Has Faith magnified the divine Law? Or is it by Means of Faith, that not one Jot or Tittle of its Precepts pass unfulfilled?

If Faith, in this Sense, is imputed for Righteousness, how can you subscribe that emphatical Article, which declares; "We are accounted righteous be"fore GOD, only for the Merit of our LORD
"and SAVIOUR JESUS CHRIST." Surely, Sir, you are accounted righteous, for the Sake of that, whatever it be, which stands in the Stead of persect Obedience. That, whatever it be, may claim the Honour; and to that Justice itself cannot but award the Prize.

If your Notion be true, the Believer ought to have his own Faith principally in View. Whatever presents me persectly obedient before GOD, is my greatest Good; is my choicest Portion; the best Foundation for my Hope, my Peace, my Joy. To this, therefore, so long as I know my own Interest, I must chiefly look. Whereas, look unto JESUS, is the Direction of the HOLY GHOST. Look unto his persect Atonement, and complete Righteousness, and be faved; is the grand unchangeable Edict, issued from the Throne of Grace.

Perhaps, you will say; Are not the Words of Scripture expressly on my Side? Faith is imputed for Righteousness. True. But is the Sense of Scripture

\* These are Mr. Wesley's own Words, in his Explition of this very Doctrine. See his Sermon on the

cation of this very Doctrine. See his Sermon on the Righteensness of Faith. Vol. I. p. 111.

on

on your Side? Suppose, I should undertake to prove, that David was purged from Guilt, by the Hyssop which groweth on the Wall; this you would think a wild and an impracticable Attempt. But should I not have the Words of Scripture expressly on my Side? Purge me with Hyssop, and I shall be clean. Yet should I not have the least Countenance imaginable from the Spirit and Sense of those facred Writings. Has the Hyssop, a mean worthless Shrub, any Kind of Fitness, to stand in the Stead of the facrificial Blood, and make the Atonement for Sin? No more Fitness has Faith, to stand in the Stead of persect Obedience; to act as our justifying Righteousness; or procure our Acceptance with GOD.

"What CHRIST has done."—Here Mr. Wesley himself speaks of what CHRIST has done. He represents it by a very magnificent Image. He lays it as the Foundation of that first and most comprehensive Blessing, Justification. In this I most cordially agree with him. Hoping, that we shall unanimously join, to defend this important Sentiment, against all Opposition; and endeavour to display the REDEEMER's Work, as well as his Passion, in all its glorious Excellency.

"What CHRIST has done is the Foundation of our Justification, not the Term or Condition."—The Prophet Isaiah had other Notions of this Matter; If thou shalt make his Soul an Offering for Sin, He shall see his Seed. If \* is the hypothetic Language; denotes a Term; expresses a Condition;

on

<sup>\*</sup> Isa. liii. 10. which, Si posueris. Vocula walet conditionem, & recte vertitur per si. Patet enim clarissime, conditionem bic conjungi cum privilegio, prastita conditione obtinendo. VITRIN.

on the Performance of which, the MESSIAH fhould fee his Seed; should have a numberless Multitude of Sinners, pardoned and renewed; born again of the SPIRIT, and made Heirs of Salvation. The grand Term, on which all these Blessings depend, and by which they are made sure to Believers, is, the pouring out of the MESSIAH's Soul, as a Sacrifice for their Sins, and a Ransom for their Persons.

"The Foundation, not the Condition."—Methinks, you should offer some Reason for this Distinction. Especially, since St. Paul assures us: that CHRIST is, in the Work of Salvation, not this or that only, but He is ALL.—Especially, since CHRIST himself declares; I am, in that grandest of all Affairs, the Redemption of Sinners, the Beginning and the Ending. And well He may be so, since He is, as it follows in the Text, the AL-MIGHTY.

Your Meaning, I presume, is; what CHRIST has done, is a Foundation for the Instuence and Significancy of our own Doings. That they, under the Notion of Terms and Conditions, may come for a Share, and be his Co-adjutors in the great Work. This was the Doctrine, established by the Council of Trent; this is the Doctrine, still maintained in the Conclave of Rome; and is, perhaps, of all their Abominations the most refined, yet not the least dishonourable to our SAVIOUR. It bears the greatest Opposition to the Truth of his Gospel, and the Freeness of his Redemption.

I have heard it infinuated, that Mr. Wesley is a Jesuit in Disguise. This Infinuation I rejected, as the grossest Calumny; I abhorred, as Falsehood itself. I acquit you, Sir, from the Charge of being

4 2 Jesuit

a Jesuit or a Papist. But no body, I apprehend, can acquit your Principles, from halting between Protestantism and Popery. They have stolen the unhallowed Fire, and are insected with the Leaven of Antichrist. You have unhappily adopted some specious Papistical Tenets, and listening to the Mother of Abominations, more than you are aware.

Amidst all your Mistakes (and from Mistakes who is exempt) I verily believe, your principal Aim is, the Honour of CHRIST, and the Edification of Souls. Therefore I speak the more freely. Was you a Bigot to Selfishness, or a Devotee to Vain-Glory, such Liberty might be displeasing. But I am persuaded better Things of Mr. Wesley. He has publickly declared, That "wherein soever he is "mistaken, his Mind is open to Conviction; and "he sincerely desires to be better informed." This is written in the true Spirit of a Christian. To this Spirit I address myself. Begging of you, Sir, with the Sincerity and Tenderness of a Brother, to consider these Hints impartially. Lest, being missed yourself, you missed your thousands and ten thousands.

In the mean Time, I hope, you will not take it amiss, if, to my affectionate Entreaties, I add my earnest Prayers. That you, Sir, and your People, may be in the Number of those bleffed Men, unto whom GOD imputeth Righteousness without Works. Which I take to be the first and great evangelical Privilege; as I am very sure, it is the richest Benefit, I know how to crave, either for you, or for your most, &c.

## L E T T E R VII.

Rev. Sir,

PERSONS skilled in the Dissection of animal Bodies, frequently mention comparative Anatomy. May I borrow the Term, and apply it to Theology! I do then freely declare, that in Case you censured Aspasso, for Points of Divinity, comparatively small, you should have no Opposition nor any Check from this Pen.

Some People for Instance, are of Opinion, that the Belief of a Parent is considerably beneficial to his Children. That, when St. Paul says to the anxious Jailor; Believe on the LORD JESUS, and thou shalt be saved, and thy House; he promises some special Good, that should redound to the Man's Houshold, from his own Receival of CHRIST. It seems, indeed, that the Apostle must intend something of this Nature; more than barely to say, Thy Family also, provided they follow thy Example, shall obtain Salvation with eternal Glory. If this were the whole of his Meaning, he need not have confined it to the Jailor's Domesticks, but might have extended it to all the Inhabitants of Philippi.

Such Tenets, whether admitted or rejected, affect not the main Point. Men may embrace which Side of the Question they think proper, and yet be found in the Faith of our LORD JESUS CHRIST. But Errors, relating

relating to that Righteousness, which is the one efficient Cause of Justification; in which alone GOD is well pleased, and all his Persections glorified; which is the only Spring of solid Peace, and true Godliness; such Errors are extremely pernicious. These we must withstand with Resolution and Zeal. We may not give Place to their Encroachment, no, not for an Hour. The former may be compared to a Fly, settled on the Dish; the latter are more like Poison, mixed with our Food. To dislodge that, may not be amiss. But to prevent, or expel, or antidote this, is absolutely necessary. In the former Number, perhaps, the Reader will rank your Observation, which follows. But as I have undertaken to follow you Step by Step, I must not difregard it.

Aspasio, speaking of David, expresses a high Esteem for that Hero, King, and Saint. Allowing, that his Esteem were carried a little too far; where would have been the great Hurt, or the grievous Offence? How, Sir, could this have led to "unsettled Noti-"ons in Religion \*?"—I was inclined to answer your Reslections, as the Hero himself answered his censorious Brother, Is there not a Cause? Then passing on to another Subject, as He, persectly Master of himself, and nobly superior to the Affront, turned to another Person. But as you seem to have injured David, and not done Justice to the Truth, I shall hardly be excused, if I dismiss the Matter, without some more particular Notice.

GOD himself dignifies David with the most exalted of all Characters, says Aspasio.—" Far, very " far

<sup>\*</sup> The Reader will please to remember, that the Pamphlet, which contains the Remarks under Consideration, is entitled APreservative against unsettled Notions in Religion.

" far from it; fays Mr. Wesley. We have more calted Characters than David's."—Where, Sir? Shew me, in any of the Saints, or in any of the facred Writers, a more devout, or a more divine Spirit, than that which breathes in the Pen-Man of the Psalms. For my own Part, I know nothing superior to it, in any Author, or in any Language. Neither can I conceive a more exalted Character, than the Character given of David, A Man after GOD's own Heart. If GOD be an unerring Judge, if his Approbation be the infallible Standard, this Description must express the most consummate human Worth. Say whatever you will of a Person, it does not, it cannot, exceed this most illustrious Testimony.

"But this is said of David in a particular Re"spect."—Ay! Notwithstanding the HOLY SPIRIT has declared concerning him; A Man after
mine own Heart, who shall perform all my Will. If
you was expounding this Text, would you think it
right to say? He shall perform all my Will, that is,
he shall serve me in some particular Respect.—"It
"was not said, with regard to his whole Character."
No! Not when the SPIRIT of Inspiration has borne
this Witness to David; His Heart was perfect with
the LORD his GOD. Could his Heart be perfect,
yet not insluence his whole Conduct?—"But it was
"said in the second or third Year of Saul's Reign."
Therefore it was not applicable to him, during the
future Years of his Life. This is the Inserence you
would draw. But can you really think it a just one?
Or would you call that Person, a Man after GOD's
own Heart; who is singularly pious in the Days of

his Youth, but swerves and declines in his advanced Age?

Notwithstanding all these Remonstrances, you push Matters to the utmost. As though it was a Point of the last Importance, to prove David an errant Backslider. With this View, you add: " But was he a Man after GOD's own Heart, all " his Life, or in all Particulars? So far from it, "that"-Stop, Sir, I beseech you. And, before you speak unadvisedly with your Lips, hear what the LORD himself replies, to both your Interrogatories: David did that which was right in the Eyes of the LORD, and turned not aside from any Thing that be commanded him, all the Days of his Life, fave only in the Matter of Uriah the Hittite. Surely, you was not aware, that fuch Things are written in the Book of GOD. Otherwise, you would not have contradicted them, with so much Boldness. I will, therefore, put the most charitable Construction upon your Procedure, and fay with the Apostle; I wot. that through Inadvertence you did it.

. There is not a just Man upon Earth, that sinneth not, is a Text quoted by Afpasio. Upon which Mr. Wesley observes; " Solomon might truly say so, be-" fore CHRIST came."-According to this Infinuation, what Solomon faid in his Proverbs and other Books, was faid only by a short-fighted Mortal. Who might adapt his Instructions to the present Oeconomy; but was not able to plan a System of Morals for Futurity. Whereas, I always supposed, that his Writings were dictated by that infinitely wife SPIRIT, before whom all Times are present, and to whom all Events are known. Agreeably to this Supposition, St. Paul informs us; That whatfo-

69.48

ever Things were written afore-time, whether by Solomon or any other Prophet, were written for our Learning.—No; suggests Mr. Wessey. Here is something written, which appertains not to us Christians. We are above it.—Are you so? Your Reason for these losty Apprehensions? Why, "St. John affirms, "Whosever is born of GOD, sinneth not."

True; he finneth not habitually. It is not his customary Practice. Thus the Passage is explained by another Apostle; Sin, though it may make Insurrection, does not reign in his mortal Body. Though it may assault him, yet it has not Dominion over him.—

Again; He sinneth not, is the same Way of speaking, and to be understood with the same Limitation, as that Text in Job, HE giveth not Account of any of his Matters. How, Sir, would you interpret these Words? It is undeniably certain, that fometimes GOD giveth Account of his Matters. He gave it to Abraham, when Sodom, and Gomorrah, and the Cities about them, were to be destroyed with Brimstone and Fire from Heaven. You would therefore, I presume, in some such qualified Sense expound the Passage; "He giveth not Account; it is not his usual "Way. Not a customary Procedure with the su"preme Disposer of Things. He generally re-" quireth his Creatures to transact with Him upon "Trust. To give themselves up, with an implicit " Refignation, to the Veracity of his Word, and " the Good-Pleasure of his Will."—The Harmony of Scripture, and the Necessity of the Case, call upon you to give the same Exposition of the Text before us.

I said, the Necessity of the Case. For, you will please to observe; the Thing affirmed, is affirmed in such

fuch a Manner, that it must be applied to every individual Christian, and at the very Instant of his commencing a true Christian. The Apostle says not, a Saint of the first Rank, but whesever. He says not, After such a one has been, for a considerable Time, born of GOD; but whosever is bern, is but just entered upon the desirable State, sinneth not. The Character belongs to the very weakest Believer. The Description is suited even to Babes in CHRIST. To suppose, therefore, that it implies an entire Freedom from Sin, Instrmity, and Desect; is to suppose, that all the Children of the Regeneration, are born in a State of Manhood; or rather, are more than Men, even while they are Infants of a Day.

Our Sense of the Passage is free from this Impropriety, yet gives no Countenance to Immorality. Whosever is born of GOD sinneth not. He does not, he cannot fin, like the Devil, or one actuated by the diabolical Nature. This Interpretation is rendered probable, by the Apostle's Antithesis; He that committeth Sin is of the Devil. It is rendered necessary. by the preceding Remark, and by the Experience of Christians.—The Text, thus interpreted, is applicable to the Babe in CHRIST, as well as to the Adult. Though either of them may fall, through the Violence or Surprise of Temptation, yet neither of them can live and die in allowed Iniquity, whether of Omission or Commission. They cannot have a fettled Love to any known Sin, nor can they commit it with the full Consent of their Will.

Solomon, when he uttered those humbling Words, had his Eye upon what you somewhere call, "the inconceivable Purity and Spirituality of the sa-

<sup>\*</sup> Eccl. vii. 20.

" cred Precepts." Upon that universal Obedience which they require, in every the minutest Instance. That we do nothing, great or small, which they forbid. Leave nothing undone, in Heart or Life, which they enjoin.—That we do all this, in the most perfect Degree. Not only serving the LORD, but ferving Him with all our Strength. Not only loving our Neighbour, but loving him as our own Soul. Ever exercifing the utmost Regularity of Affection and Defire; ever maintaining the utmost Rectitude of Temper and Thought,-If you also, Sir, had your Eye fixed upon the same Law, as it delineates and demands this "inconceivable Purity and "Spirituality;" you would not scruple to acquiesce in the wise Man's Confession, nor think much to adopt it as your own. You would acknowledge it calculated, not only for the Mosaic Æra, and the Meridian of Judea, but for all Times and all Places; till those new Heavens, and that new Earth appear, wherein dwelleth confummate Righteousness.

In many Things we offend all. "That St. James does not speak this of himself, or real Christians, will clearly appear to all, who impartially consimilar der the Context."—I wish, Sir, you had made this appear to one; even to him, whom you honour with this Address. Then I should not have been obliged to ask; Of whom speaketh the Apostle?—He says, My Brethren. Does not this imply true Believers, and real Christians?—He says, We Teachers\*. Does not this comprehend himself, and describe his Office?—He adds, We all. If he himself, and real Christians, are not included in this smost comprehensive

<sup>\*</sup> The Original is, not xugus Masters, but Medagnans

hensive Clause; I would desire to know, in what Terms they could possibly be comprised.

According to this Interpretation, the Arguing is just, and the Conclusion forcible. As though he had faid,- "My dear Brethren; though you are 46 truly converted to Christianity, yet do not unad-" visedly engage in the arduous and awful Work of " the Ministry. Remembering, that we Ministers " of the Gospel, shall be subject to a stricter Judg-" ment\*, than Christians in ordinary Life; and if, " upon Trial, we are found faithless, shall receive a " heavier Condemnation + .- The Danger, let me " add, is very confiderable. Because, such is the " Frailty of our mortal State, that the very best " among us, and those conversant in sacred Things, " cannot always walk uprightly; but, in many In-" stances, we trip, we stumble, we offend."

Whereas, if neither the Apostle himself, nor real Christians, be meant; I can see no Propriety, nor Force in the Reasoning. Nay: I can see no Reafoning at all; though the illative Particle for evidently requires it. Nothing but a most insipid and frivolous Affertion. "For, in many Things, we " that are not real Christians, offend." Is this a Discovery, worthy of Apostolical Wisdom? Is this all, that the inspired St. James meant to declare?
You and I could have told him and his People a great Whosoever is not a real Christian deal more. offends, not in many Things only, but in every Thing. To fuch a one nothing is pure. His Mind and Conscience are defiled. His whole Life is Sin.

<sup>†</sup> Judgment, Condemnation—The Word xerna may be taken in both these Significations.

We have examined this Objection, as it stands in itself. Let us now take a View of it, as it may appear in its Consequences .- In many Things we offend all. "The Apostle speaks not of himself, nor of " real Christians." What fine Work would our Adversaries make with the Scriptures, if we should allow them Mr. Welley's Liberty of Interpretation! Tell a Pelagian, that all Mankind is depraved. Prove the universal Depravity, by that abasing Text; All we, like Sheep, have gone astray. How easily may he reply; All we, does not mean all Mankind. The Prophet speaks not of himself, nor of virtuous Perfons. But only of Profane People, and Men of the baser Sort. - Tell an Arian, that our LORD 7ESUS CHRIST is very GOD. Confirm the glorious Truth, by that most cogent Text; In HIM dwells all the Fulness of the GODHEAD. The Heretic has nothing more to do, than, in Mr. Wefley's Manner, to answer; All Fulness does not mean all the divine Perfections, but only some Pittance or Portion of them.-Dear Sir, whenever you are difposed to criticise again, let me beseech you to confider a little, the Import of Language, and the Consequences of Things.

Had the Words been, In many Things we offend, you might, by difregarding the Context, have borrowed fome flight feeming Countenance for your Criticism, from Verse the ninth. Where the Apostle is supposed to personate the Wicked, Therewith curse we Man. But in the Place under Consideration, he enlarges the Sentiment, and strengthens the Language. Though free from that particular Crime, he was not free from this general Charge. Here therefore he spares not himself. He takes Shame

to himself. And teaches the most upright of the human Race, to plead guilty before their Judge. We the Servants of GOD; we the Ambassadors of CHRIST; we all\*—not one excepted—in many Things offend.—Where then could they, and O! where can you and I, look for our Persection; but only in our divinely gracious SURETY, BRIDE-GROOM, HEAD! There let us seek it, where some excellent Lines (whose Author you may probably know) have taught us to find it.

Now let me climb Perfection's Height, And into nothing fall; Be less than nothing in thy Sight, While CHRIST is all in all.

In the Paragraph which begins, "O Children of "Adam," you don't distinguish what the Law is made to speak, according to a new Scheme of Divinity; and what it really does speak to true Believers, on the Principles of the Gospel. Give me Leave, to rectify your Mistakes; and to point out the Manner, in which you should have expressed yourself.

To restify your Mistakes—You suppose the Law, upon Aspasso's Plan, speaking to this Effect. "O "Children of Adam, you are no longer obliged to "love the LORD your GOD with all your Heart." Indeed you are. The Obligation remains, and is unalterable. But it has been fully satisfied, as the Condition of Life and Immortality, by the Believer's glorious SURETY.—"Once I insisted on absolute "Purity of Heart. Now I can dispense with some "Degrees of evil Desire." No such Thing. Every K 3

<sup>\*</sup> Ne seipsos quidem excipiunt Apostoli. Bengel. in loc.

Degree of evil Defire I condemn with inexorable Rigour. But every fuch Offence has been thus condemned, and thus punished, in the Flesh of your crucified LORD,-" Since CHRIST has fulfil-" led the Law for you, you need not fulfil it." Rather, you need not fulfil it, in order to the Justification of your Persons, or to obtain eternal Life and Glory. This, to you the greatest of Impossibilities, has been performed in your Behalf, by a ME-DIATOR and a REDEEMER, to whom nothing is impossible.-- I will connive at, yea, ac-" commodate my Demands to your Weakness." Not this, but what is much better. I fee no finful Weaknesses in you. Because, they are all covered \* with the resplendent Robe of your SAVIOUR's Righteousness. Therefore, I no longer curse, but bless you, and sign your Title to everlasting Happiness.—Thus the Enmity of our Nature is flain. Thus the Precepts, even the strictest Precepts, become amiable and defirable. We love the Law. which, through our dear REDEEMER, is no longer against us, but on our Side. Is a Messenger of Peace, and bears Witness to our Completeness in CHRIST.

The Manner, in which you should have expressed yourfels—This is what the Law speaks, according to Aspasso's Doctrine. "O Believers in CHRIST, I

" am,

<sup>\*</sup> Pfal. xxxii. 1. Blessed is be, whose Iniquities are covered. Upon this Text St. Jerom very ingeniously, and very comfortably descants; Quod tegitur, non videtur; quod non videtur, non imputatur; quod non imputatur, non punietur. That which is covered, is not seen; that which is not seen, is not imputed; that which is not imputed, shall not be punished.

" am, like my divine Author, confummate and un-" changeable. I did require, I'do require, and " ever shall require, perfect Love to GOD; per-" fect Charity to your Neighbour; and perfect Ho-" liness, both in Heart and Life. Never abating one Tittle of these my Requirements, I shall de-" nounce the Curse upon every Disobedience; upon " the least Departure from absolute Perfection .-"But this is your Comfort, Believers; that the " Curse is executed upon your most holy SURETY. "This is your Comfort, Believers; that my Pre-" cepts have been fully obeyed by 7ESUS your " SAVIOUR. As this was done, in your Nature, " and in your Stead, I am fatisfied, and you are " justified. Now, though I can never dispense with " any Fault, nor connive at any Infirmity; yet I " behold all your Faults laid upon IMMANUEL. "I behold all his Righteousness put upon you. "And on his Account, I acquit you; I accept you; " and pronounce you righteous \*."

This is the Language of the Law to the Faithful, as they are in CHRIST JESUS. This is the Spirit of Afpasio's Conversation with his Friend Theorem. The native Tendency of this Doctrine, and its K 4 powerful

\* I think, it is no Misrepresentation, to suppose the Law speaking, in this Manner, to the Believers.—Because, to them all Things are become new. Consequently, the Voice of the Law is new.—Because, all Things are theirs. If so, the Sentence of the Law is theirs. Not to overwhelm them with Consusson, but to make them joyful through Hope.—Because, this is the Language of the almighty LAWGIVER, to the Redeemed of the LORD JESUS; and surely the Law will not jar, but harmonize with its Author, saying; How shall I curse whom GOD bath not cursed? Or how shall I desy, whom the LORD bath not desied?

powerful Agency in producing true Holiness, are professedly displayed in the tenth Letter; and not obscurely hinted, in various other Places. If you can prove, that it has a contrary Tendency; you will prove, that the Grace of GOD does no longer teach us to deny, but prompt us to commit Ungodliness. An Attempt, in which, with all my Esteem for your Person, and Deserence to your Abilities, I cannot wish you GOD speed.

"Does the Righteousness of GOD ever mean (as you affirm) the Merits of CHRIST?"—Where do I affirm this, Sir? Be pleased to produce the Passage. At least refer us to the Page.—Aspasso, in the Place which offends you, speaks of what CHRIST has done and suffered; of his active and passive Obedience. These Expressions you change into "The Merits of CHRIST." Which, being an ambiguous Phrase, may serve to perplex the Cause, rather than clear up the Difficulty. Give me Leave, therefore, to restore Aspasso's Words, and to state the Question fairly.

"Does the Righteousness of GOD ever fignify the active and passive Obedience of CHRIST?" To this Mr. Wesley replies, "I believe not once in all the Scripture."—Why then, Sir, do you not disprove, what Aspasio has advanced, in Support of this Interpretation? You believe one Thing: He believes another. And there is this little Difference, in the Ground you respectively go upon. He appeals to Argument, and Scripture. You rest the whole Matter, upon this single Bottom, "I believe so."

You proceed—" It (that is the Righteousness of GOD) often means, and particularly in the Epistle to the Romans, GOD's Method of justifying

"fying Sinners."—Suppose, I should say, in my Turn; This Phrase never means, no, not in the Epistle to the Romans, GOD's Method of justifying Sinners. I should then argue in your own Way. Bring a Shield, suited to your Sword. Just as good an Argument to defend, as you have brought to destroy my Opinion. What would the judicious Reader say, on such an Occasion? Would he not smile, and cry; "A goodly Pair of Disputants truly!"

But let me ask: Does the Holiness of GOD signify his Method of fanctifying Sinners? Does the Wisdom of GOD signify his Method of making Sinners wise? This no Mortal has suspected. This you vourself will hardly venture to affert. Why then should we take your Word, when, without affigning the least Reason, you dictate and declare " The Righteousness of GOD means his Method of " justifying Sinners?"-You must pardon us, Sir, if we prefer St. Peter's Judgment. His Judgment, in that memorable Passage; Who have obtained like precious Faith in the Righteoufness of our GOD, even of our SAVIOUR JESUS CHRIST. This Sentence is a Key to all those Texts in the New Testament, and many of those in the Old, which mention the Righteousness of GOD. Here, it necessarily fignifies the Righteousness of CHRIST; because, none else is our GOD and SAVIOUR. Here, it confessedly fignifies the Object of justifying Faith. Which cannot be the effential Righteousness of an absolute GOD, but must be the vicarious Righteousness of an incarnate GOD. And why should you scruple to call the Righteousness of CHRIST, the Righteousness of GOD? Since his Blood is called, the Blood of GOD, His Life, which

which he laid down for us, is called the Life of GOD. And he himself, as the Author of our Salvation, is called JEHOVAH (or GOD self-existent and everlasting) our Righteousness.

It is possible, you may produce some Commentators of Eminence, who coincide or have led the Way, in this your Interpretation. But may we not ask them, as well as yourself, on what Authority they proceed?—Is this the plain and natural Signification of the Words? No, but an apparent Force upon their natural Import.—Does this tend to fix and ascertain the Sense of the Passage? No; but it gives the Passage such a rambling Turn, as will accommodate itself to the Sentiments of Arians or Socinians, Arminians or Papists .- Is this reconcileable with the Tenour of Scripture? He hath made HIM to be Sin for us, who knew no Sin, that we might be made the Rightcousness of God in Him. Make a Trial of your Interpretation upon this distinguished Text. See, how it will accord with common Sense, or the Analogy of Faith. That we might be made the Righteousness of GOD; that is, "That we might " be made GOD's Method of justifying Sinners." Can you yourself, Sir, upon an impartial Review, be pleased with such Interpretations of sacred Writ?

How much more noble, and how much more comfortable, is the easy and obvious Sense; which the Words, in a most beautiful Climax, afford! He made CHRIST, who was perfectly free from Sin, both in Heart and Life; GOD made Him to be Sin, justly chargeable with it, and justly punishable for it. That we, who are full of Sin, both original and actual, might be made righteous—and not barely righteous, but (which is a much stronger Exprefsion)

fion) Righteousness itself—yea, that we might be made, what exceeds all Parallel, and passes all Understanding, the Righteousness of GOD\*. Might have that very Righteousness for our Justification, which the GOD of all Persection, uniting Himself to our Nature, wrought, finished, and infinitely enobled

Mr. Samuel Clarke, in his Annotations on this Verse, on Rom. i. 17. on Rom. x. 3. writes in the same vague and unsatisfactory Manner as yourself. I could mention another celebrated Commentator. who leans to this timid and trimming Scheme.-I speak thus freely, because I look upon the Article of Justification through the Righteousness of our GOD and SAVIOUR, to be the supreme distinguishing Glory of Christianity. Because, I consider it as the richest, incomparably the richest Privilege of the Christian. To have a Righteousness-a consummate Righteousness—the very Righteousness of the incarnate GOD-dignified with all the Perfections of the divine Nature-to have this Righteousness imputed for our Justification! Matchless, inconceivable Bleffing!

St. Chrysoftom expounds the important Passage, in much the same Manner. His Words are worthy, not only to be copied in a Note, but to be written on our Hearts. Hois raula Noyos, woios raula waeas noas dunoilas νες ; Τον γας δικαιον, Φησιν, εποιησεν αμαρίωλον, ινα τες αμαρίωλες σοιηση δικαιες. Μαλλον δε εδε είως εισην, αλλ' ο σολλω μείζον ην. Θυ γας εξιν εθηκέν, αλλ' αυθην την συτοθήθα. Ου γας εισεν, εσοιησεν αμαριώλον, αλλ' αμαριων. Ινα κή ημεις γενωμεθα, εκ ειπε, δικαιοι, αλλα δικαιοσυνη, κ' Θευ δικαιοσυνη. What Words can express or what I boughts can conceive, the Greatness of these Things? He made the RIGHTEOUS ONE a Sinner, that He might make wretched Sinners righteous. This, though marvelloufly great, is not all. The Word is not in the Concrete, but in the Abstract. He made the JUST ONE, not a Sinner, but Sin; that we might be made, not righteous only-but Righteousness itself-yea, the Righteousness of GOD.

Bleffing! This fills the Believer's Heart, with inexpreffible Comfort and Joy. This displays the Grace of GOD, in the most charming and transporting Light. This constitutes the most engaging Motive to Love, to Holiness, and to all willing Obedience.

140

Let us not then treat of it, in such diluting Terms, or insuch a compromizing Strain, as shall defeat the Essicacy of the heavenly Cordial, or deliver up the precious Depositum to the Enemies of the Gospel. Let us rather, by a clear and full Manifestation of the Truth, of this capital and leading Truth especially, commend ourselves to every Man's Conscience. Their Humour may dislike it, their Prejudice may reject it; but their Conscience, whenever it awakes, and gains the Ascendant, will embrace it; will cleave to it; and rejoice in it.

But stay. Let me proceed cautiously. Not triumph immaturely. You rally your Forces, and prepare for a fresh Attack. Aspasio tells his Friend; That the Righteousness of GOD, fignifies a Righteousness of the most super-eminent Dignity. Such as is worthy to be called by his Name, and may justly challenge his Acceptance. To this you reply, "I cannot allow it at all."—Aspasio supports his Opinion by Scripture, by Reason, and by a very respectable Authority. All which Mr. Wesley would confront and overthrow, by that one irrefragable Proof, "I cannot allow it at all."-Surely, faid I upon reading such controversial Trislings, delivered with the Air of Oracular Responses; surely this Letter must have stolen its Way into the World. was defigned as a Preservative for Mr. Wesley's private Societies only. It could never be intended for public View, and general Examination. Since every Reader

Reader is treated, not as his Judge, no, nor as his Equal, but as his Pupil. Not as one, that is to be addressed with Argument, and convinced by Reasoning, but as a tame Disciple, that is to acquiesce in the great Preceptor's solemn "say so."

To your next Paragraph I have no material Objection. I might indeed complain of an unfair Quotation. But I shall only observe, that you would discard the Expression, Imputation of Righteousness, and insert in its Stead, Interest in CHRIST. You had not always, Sir, such an Aversion to the Phrase imputed. Witness that Stanza in one of your Hymns;

Let Faith and Love combine
To guard your valiant Breaft,
The Plate be Righteousness divine,
Imputed and Imprest.

However, in this Place I am willing to gratify you. Because, it will be difficult to shew, how a Sinner can have a real Interest in CHRIST, in what he has done and what he has suffered, any other Way, than by Imputation. I am willing to gratify you, provided you do not entertain that strange Conceit, of an incomplete Interest, or an Interest in Half the REDEEMER. But look upon the Holiness of his Nature, the Obedience of his Life, and the Atonement of his Death, as the one undivided ineffable Treasure, in which every believing Sinner is interested. As that which is the all sufficient Cause of his Justification; rendering acceptable, first his Person, then his Persormances; and, at the last, introducing him, with Dignity and Triumph, into everlasting Habitations.

Here I lay down my Pen; unless you will permit me to relate a little Piece of History, not foreign to your last Sentiment.-A certain General, happened to observe a common Soldier distinguishing himself, on the Day of Battle, with uncommon Activity and Courage. Determined to reward Merit, wherever it was found, he advanced the brave Plebeian to a Captain's Post. Who had not long enjoyed the Honour, before he came to his Benefactor, and, with a dejected Countenance, begged Leave to refign his Commission. The General, surprised at fuch an unexpected Request, asked him the Reason. Your Officers, said the Petitioner, being Gentlemen of Family and Education, think it beneath them to affociate or converse with a Rustic. So that, now, I am abandoned on every Side; and am less happy, fince my Preferment, than I was before this Instance of your Highness's Favour.-Is this the Cause of your Uneafiness? replied the General. Then it shall be redressed, and very speedily. To Morrow I review the Army, and to Morrow your Business shall be done.—Accordingly, when the Troops were drawn up; and expected, every Moment, to begin their Exercise; the General calls the young Here from the Ranks; leans his Hand upon his Shoulder; and, in this familiar endearing Posture, walks with him through all the Lines.—The Stratagem had its defired Effect. After such a signal and public Token of his Prince's Regard, the Officers were defirous of his Acquaintance; and courted, rather than shunned, his Company.

And will not the Favour of the bleffed JESUS, give us as great a Distinction, and as high a Recommendation, in the heavenly World? Will not the Angelic

Angelic Hosts respect and honour those Persons, who appear washed in his Blood; cloathed with his Righteousness; and wearing the most illustrious Tokens of his Love, that he himself could possibly give?—In these Tokens of his Love may we and our Readers be found! Then shall we meet one another with Courage and Comfort, at the great Tribunal; with Honour and Joy, amidst the Angels of Light; with everlasting Exultation and Rapture, around the Throne of the LAMB.

Under such pleasing Hopes I take my Leave at present, and remain your, &c.

## L E T T E R VIII.

Rev. Sir,

YOU introduce the Paragraph, that comes next under our Confideration, by a very just Distinction.

As passo had observed, that a Rebel may be forgiven, without being restored to the Dignity of a Son. To which you reply; "A Rebel against an earthly "King may; but not a Rebel against GOD. In "the very same Moment that GOD forgives, we are the Sons of GOD."—This is perfectly right. But hence to infer, that the Conversation of our two Friends is no better than "an idle Dispute," is not very polite, and not at all conclusive. Because, Remission of the Offence, and Restoration to Favour, may come, in the same Moment, and yet be different

different Bleffings. That afflicted Patient, mentioned in the Gospel, had, at the same Instant, his Ears opened and the String of his Tongue loosed. Were these Effects, therefore, one and the same Kind of Healing?—Besides; why are Forgiveness and Sonship united in the divine Donation? Because, the Sufferings of a Sinner, and the Obedience of a Son, were united in the divine REDEEMER. So that we must still have our Eye, our believing and adoring Eye, upon the meritorious Righteousness of our LORD.

"Pardon and Acceptance, though, &c." Here I see nothing but the crambe repetita.—" The Words of Job, &c." Here I see nothing but the usual Argument, our Master's ipse dixit. Therefore we will pass on to the next Period.

Two Texts of Scripture are produced. You set asside Aspasio's Interpretation, to make Way for one of your own. Which might have passed without Suspicion, if it had appeared in your Sermons, or been delivered from your Pulpit. Where a Person may be content with the general Sense, without entering upon a critical Nicety. But, by rejecting Aspasio's Exposition, you seem to intend a peculiar Degree of Accuracy. Let us then examine the Passages, with such a View.—Grace reigneth through Righteousness unto eternal Life; "that is, the free "Love of GOD brings us, through Justification and Sanctification, to Glory."

In this, I question, Sir, whether you are exactly orthodox. You lead the Reader to suppose, that Sanctification is as much the Cause of Glory as Justification. That CHRIST'S Work, and our Graces, have just the same Weight; act in the very same Capacity;

Capacity; have, at least, a joint Influence, in procuring eternal Life.—You should rather have expressed yourself in some such Manner; "The free "Grace of GOD brings us, through the joyful "Privilege of Justification, first to Sanctification, "or the Love of his blessed Self; then to Glory, or the Enjoyment of his blessed Self."

Besides; you neglect the Significancy of that beautiful and emphatical Word, reigneth. On this much Stress ought to be laid in reading the Sentence; therefore, it ought not to be totally over-looked in explaining the Sentence. Grace is discovered in other Instances. Grace is exercised in other Blessings. But by giving us eternal Life; by giving it freely, even when we are undeserving guilty Creatures; this ever amiable Attribute reigneth. It is manifested with every grand and charming Recommendation. It appears, like the illustrious Solomon, when seated on his inimitably splendid Throne of Ivory and Gold: Or like the magnificent Abasuerus, when he shewed the Riches of his glorious Kingdom, and the Honour of his excellent Majesty.

Another Particular I cannot persuade myself to admire. You change the Word Righteousness into Justification. Instead of saying, "Brings us through "Righteousness;" you say, "Brings us through "Justification." By this Language, you scarce distinguish yourself from any Heretic. You may rank with the Arian, or with the Sectarist of any Denomination. They will, every one, allow the Necessity of Justification, in order to final Felicity. But not the Necessity of a Righteousness adequate to the Demands of the Law, as a Foundation for this blessed Hope.—You do just the same Injury to L. CHRIST

CHRIST and his Righteousness, which obtain this inconseivable Recompense of Reward; as you would receive from a Messenger, who carries a rich Present to your Friend, but will not acknowledge from whom it comes. It comes, he consesses, from some Man; but obstinately refuses to say from Mr. John Wesley. Whereas, Aspassa scruples not to own, nay, rejoices to declare, from whence the invaluable Benefit of Justification proceeds. Not from Works of the Law; no, nor from Works of the SPIRIT; from nothing done by us, from nothing wrought in us; but wholly from the Blood and Obedience of JESUS CHRIST.

The next Passage, on which you descant, is; That they may receive Forgiveness, and a Lot among the Sanctified. Thus, you translate the Original. Mpulse, not affecting needless Novelty, is content with the common Version. That they may receive Forgiveness of Sins, and Inheritance among all them that are fanctified, by Faith that is in ME .- Why do you omit the Word Sins? Forgiveness, I own, implies it. But the Apostle chuses to express it. By which means, the Sentence becomes more full and emphatical. Grace is more highly honoured, and Man more deeply abased.—I wonder also, why you should prefer Lot to Inheritance, which is the usual Translation. The latter Word conveys a much more noble and pleasing Idea to the English Reader, than the former .- Receive Forgiveness of Sins: not earn it (let us mark this) by performing Conditions, but receive it, as an absolute Gift. Just as Joseph's Brethren received the Portions, sent them from the Viceroy of Egypt's Table. - Receive an Inheritance; confisting of all spiritual Bleffings here, and a Title to everlafting Blessedness hereaster. All which are bestowed as freely, as the several Portions of Land in Gangan were, by Moses and Joshua consigned over to the Tribes of Israel for a Possession.—Among those that are sanstified. If you should enquire, how Sinners are sanstified! The Arswer is added; By Faith which is in ME. Not for Faith, as your conditional Scheme supposes; but by Faith. By accepting the Blessings mentioned; by looking upon them as our own; through the divine Gist; and by living in the delightful Enjoyment of them. Thus our Hearts are won to GOD, and silled with his Love. Thus they are weaned from Vanity, and renewed in true Holiness.

Is the Satisfaction made by CHRIST's Death, sufficient to obtain both our sull Pardon, and our final Happinels? Aspasso has answered this Question in the Negative. He has confirmed his Opinion, by the Authority of Scripture, and the Toftimony of Reason. Mr. Wesley thinks it enough to reply; "Unquestionably it is sufficient, and neither of the Texts you cite prove the contrary."--How eafy. by this Way of arguing, to overthrow any System, and silence Demonstration itself!-But pray, Sir, be pleased to recollect yourself. Did you not, a little while ago, extol Afpafio as "unquestionably right." because he made the universal Obedience of CHR IST. From his Birth to his Death, the one Foundation of his Hope? Yet here you condemn him, as " un-" questionably wrong," because he does not attribuse all to CHRIST's Death exclusively. Will Mr. Welley never have done with Self-Contradiction? Why will be give me such repeated Cause to complain?-Quo teneam vultus, &c.

If

If it was requisite for Christ to be baptized, argues Aspasio, much more to sulfil the moral Law.—" I " cannot prove, replies Mr. Wesley, that either one " or the other was requisite, in order to his purchasing Redemption for us." Why then do you admit his Obedience to the moral Law, as an essential Part of the Foundation of your Hope? A tottering Foundation methinks, which is laid in a Doctrine you cannot prove.

But if you cannot prove it, may not others prove it for you? You are not called to prove this Point. Sir, but only to disprove, what Aspasso has advanced, in Confirmation of it.—That it was requifite for our LORD to be baptized, He himself acknowledges. Speaking of that facred Rite, he fays, Thus it becometh us to fulfil all Righteousness. It becameth; was it not requisite for CHRIST, always to act the becoming Part? In every Circumstance to demean himself, according to the utmost Decorum and highest Dignity of Character?-" This was " not requifite to purchase Redemption for us." For what then was it requifite? Not to wash away any Stain from the holy 7ESUS. Not to obtain any Bleffedness for the SON of the HIGHEST. Since, as the SON of the eternal GOD, He had an undoubted Right to all the Bleffings of Heaven and Earth, of Time and Eternity.

"the moral Law."—No! Do you then establish the Law? Are not you the Antinomian, Who would have finful Man saved, yet the divine Law not fulfilled, either by them or their SURETY? This is a strange Way of magnifying the great Standard

of all Righteousness. Rather, it is the sure Way of dishonouring and debasing it. - What says our LORD? I came, not to destroy the Law, but to fulfil \*. Did this fignify, as some expository Refiners suggest, only to vindicate and illustrate, the Law; to explain its highest Meaning, and rescue it from the false Glosses of the Scribes; the Business might have been done by the Prophets and Apostles. No Occasion for the KING of Heaven to appear in Person. His Ambassador's might have transacted the whole Affair of Vindication and Explanation. But to fulfil every Jot and Tittle, prescribed in its Commands; to suffer all the Vengeance and the whole Curfe, denounced in its Penalty; this was a Work worthy of the SON of GOD-practicable by none, but the SON of GOD-and, being executed by HIM, is truly meritorious of Pardon and Life for poor Sinners; of their Restoration to the divine Favour, and of their Admission into the heavenly Kingdom.

L<sub>3</sub> The

\* Matt. v. 17. Some Expositors would persuade us, that the Word wangwoon fignifies fully to explain. This Sense I am far from discarding; but in this Sense I can by no means acquiesce. It neither gives us the whole, nor the principal Part of our LORD's Meaning. Let us place the Matter in a different Light; then I believe the Scantiness of the Interpretation, and the Impropriety of the Notion, will appear unto all Men.-We will suppose an ungodly Person who has learned from Books, the spiritual and extensive Import of the divine Law. Having a ready Utterance, he explains it clearly, properly, fully. Does any Mortal say of this Lip-Practitioner, that he fulfils the Law? No fuch Thing. A System of Precepts can never be fulfilled, without a due and punctual Obedience. To open, to adjust, to enforce a Set of Injunctions, is as far from fulfilling them; as to give a Description of a Battle and a Conquest, are different from fighting the Enemy, and gaining the V ctory.

The moral Law is inviolable in its Nature, and of eternal Obligation. This is a Truth of great Importance: With this is connected, and on this depends, the absolute Necessity of a vicarious Righteousness. I am no longer surprised, that you dispute against the latter, fince you question or deny the former.-But consider, what our LORD says farther upon this Subject, in the fifth of St. Matthew, and the eighteenth Verse, Perhaps, you will reply; " I have both confidered it, and expounded it, in my Sermons." You have. But in such a Manner, as, I hope, you will live to retract. Thus you expound the awful Text, and turn it into a Piece of unmeaning Tautology. " One fot or one Tittle shall in no wife pals, till Heaven and Earth pass; or as it is expressed immediately after, till all (or rather all Things) be ful-" filled, till the Confummation of all Things \*." You would make εως αν σαςελθη • εκανών κ) η γη, and two ar warla yerlar, synonimous Phrases, expressive of the same Thing. Thus stands the Pasfage, interpreted according to your Criticism. " Till " the Consummation of all Things, one Jot or one "Tittle shall in no wife pass from the Law, till the " Confummation of all Things." See! to what miferable Subterfuges a Man of Learning is driven, in order to evade the Force of a Text, which militates strongly for the meritorious Obedience of GHRIST.

How much more just, more noble, more useful, is the common Exposition, and the obvious Meaning? Which we may thus introduce—These are the Terms of Life and Happiness to Man. Whosever falls short, GOD himself pronounces accursed.

Sermons by John Wesley, Vol.-II. pag. 192.

And will the UNCHANGEABLE go back from his Purpose; make Abatement in his Demands; or come to a Composition with his Creatures? No verily. He is of one Mind, and who can turn Him\*. It were easier for Heaven and Earth to pass; for all Nature to be unhinged, and the Universe to drop into Dissolution; than for one Jot or Tittle of this unalterable Law to pass, without a perfect Accomplishment; in every the minutest Instance.

By CHRIST's Sufferings alone the Law was not fatisfied; fays Afpafio. "Yes, it was;" replies Mr. Westey.—Then all the indefatigable and important Labours of his Life, all his exemplary and shining Graces, must be mere Superfluities. At L 4

\* Job xxiii. 13.

† Εως αν πωρελθη ο υραν ως η γη, A proverbial Expreffion, to denote the utmost impossibility. See it explained Luke xvi. 17. In this Connection, it signifies the absolute Certainty of our LORD's Declaration, both as to its Truth and as to its Accomplishment—If I may borrow the Language and the imagery of Horace, they will not unfitly express, what is affirmed concerning the Law.

Si fractus illabatur orbis, Immobilem ferient ruinæ.

† Ews ar wasla yersala. "The Word All, says Mr. "Wesley, does not mean all the Law, but all Things in "the Universe." How forced a Construction! How contrary to Grammar! Since the Law, and the Things which it comprehends, are the immediate Antecedent.—How much more properly and consistently has Dr. Doddridge explained the Passage! "Till all Things, which "the Law requires, or foretells, shall be effected. The "Translation here given, is most literal, and most comprehensive. The Law has its Effect, when its Sanctions are executed, as well as when its Precepts are obeyied."—Let me add; In case of a violated Law, then only it is sulfilled, or has its Effect, when both the former and the latter respectively obtain their End.

least, they could have no Merit, but were necessary only by Way of setting us an Example.

The Prophet was of another Mind. The LORD is well pleased for his Righteousness sake. By this Righteousness, not barely by his Sufferings, He will magnify the Law and make it honourable \*. - The Apostle was of another Mind. GOD fent forth his SON, made of a Woman, made under the Law. What? Only to bear its Curse? Only to undergo its Penalty? Not to fulfil its preceptive Part? Which is confessedly the principal Part in every Law; and to enforce which, all Penalties are added .- You yourself ought to be of another Mind. For you have already and truly observed, that Pardon and Acceptance always go together. " In the same Moment that "GOD forgives we are the Sons of GOD." And wherefore? The Reason is, because the Sufferings of a Sinner, and the Obedience of a Son, went together in the REDEEMER. And without this Union, the Redemption of Man bad not been complete.

"The Law required only the Alternative, obey or die."—Some of your Errors are less considerable; this I take to be a first-rate Mistake. According to this Supposition, Cain, and Judas, and all the Damned, are righteous. Because they die; they bear the Curse; they suffer everlastingly; and thereby conform

<sup>\*</sup> Isa. xlii. 21. I am fensible, there are Expositors, who give a different Turn to these noble Words. But I had rather take the Voice from Heaven for my Guide, than all the Expositors in the World. Even that Voice, which declared concerning CHRIST, and his Work, CHRIST and his Righteousness, In these Iam well-pleased. Which is one of the most capital Sayings in the Bible, and a Clue to lead us into its whole Meaning.

form to one of the Law's Alternatives.—One of the Law's Alternatives? No. Here I am wrong. It is one of your Alternatives. The divine Law knows no fuch Thing. No Law on Earth knows any fuch Thing. Sanctions and Penalties annexed to a Law, are never looked upon as equivalent to Obedience; but only as Preservatives from Disobedience.—In all the Compass of your Reading, have you ever met with a Law, that makes such Proposals to its Subjects? "Conform to the Regulations established," and you shall enjoy my Privileges, you shall share my Honours. Or, if you chuse to violate all my whelsome Institutions, only submit to the Penalty, and you shall have an equal Right to the Immunities and Preserments."

"The Law required no Man to obey and die " too."-But did it not require a Transgressor to obey and die? If not, then Transgression robs the Law of its Right, and vacates all Obligation to Obedience.—Did it not require the SURETY for finful Man, to obey and die? If the SURETY dies only, he only delivers from Punishment. But this affords no Claim to Life; no Title to a Reward. Unless you can produce some such Edict from the Court of Heaven, Suffer this, and thou shalt live. I find it written, In keeping thy Commandments there is great Reward. No where do I read, In undergoing thy Curse, there is the same Reward.—Whereas, when we join the active and passive Obedience of our LORD; the Peace-speaking Blood, with the Lifegiving Righteousness; both made infinitely meritorious, and infinitely efficacious, by the divine Glory of his Person; how full does our Justification appear! How firm does it stand! It has all that the Law

Law can demand, both for our Exemption from the Curfe, and for our Title to Blifs.

154

Before I take my Leave of this Topic, let me make one Supposition, for which your Way of thinking affords the juster Ground. Suppose, our LORD JESUS CHRIST had yielded a persect Conformity to the Precept, without ever submitting to the Penalty; would this have been sufficient for the Justification of a Sinner? Here is one of your Alternatives performed. Upon the Foot of your Principles, therefore, it would, it must have been sufficient.—But this is so wild an Opinion, so contrary to the whole Current of Scripture, that to produce it, is to result it.

Where Scripture ascribes the whole of our Salvation to the Death of CHRIST. a Part of his Humiliation is put for the Whole. To this Mr. Wesley objects: " I cannot allow it without Proof."-I with you would remember the golden Rule, (of doing as you would be done by) and, fince you infift upon Proof from others, not be so sparing of it in your own Cause: I wish likewise you would impartially confider what Afpafio has advanced, upon the Subject-Has he not given you the Proof you demand ?- " No; He was obedient unto Death is no "Proof at all."—But is that the only Thing urged? If one Argument is inadequate, must all be inconclusive? Because you have routed one Detachment, have you therefore conquered the whole Army? However let us see, whether this Detachment, weak as you suppose it, may not be able to sustain your Attack.

Does not the Scripture ascribe the whole of your Salvation to the Death of CHRIST? To this Question

Question Aspasso replies, This Part of our LORD's meritorious Humiliation is by a very usual Figure put for the whole—The Death of CHRIST includes not only his Sufferings, but his Obedience—The shedding of his Blood was at once the grand Instance of his Sufferings, and the finishing Act of his Obedience; in this View it is considered, and thus it is represented by his own Ambassaor, who, speaking of his divine Master, says, "He was obedient unto Death, even the Death of the Cross."—
This, you reply, is no Proof at all, as it does not necessarily imply any more, than that he died in Obedience to the FATHER."

How do some People love to cramp the enlarged, and debase the magnificent Sense of Scripture! Surely this Text implies; and not implies only, but forcibly expresses both the active and passive Obedience of CHRIST. It is not antelant, He died; but γενομενώ υπηκοος, He became Obedient.-Can you see nothing of his active Righteousness in these Words? For my Part I can see very little besides—This is what the following Clause confirms-Let common Sense be Judge-Obedient, not barely in Death. but unto Death, like that Expression of JEHOVAH. by the Prophet, Unto hoary Hairs I will carry you .-Does not this give us a retrospect View of Youth and Manhood, as well as lead our Attention forward to old Age! In like Manner, Obedient unto Death. Does not this refer us to all the previous Duties and Virtues of a righteous Walk; while it leads us to the closing Scene of all, a refigned Exit? Does it not most naturally mean, obedient through the whole Course of Life, even to the last all-compleating Instance, a voluntary Submission to Death?-How eafy

eafy and obvious is this Interpretation? How grand and graceful is this Meaning!

I can no more admire your Taste (considered) as a Critic, than I can admire your Doctrine (confidered) as a Divine. Give me the Expositions of Scripture, which act, not like the nocturnal Damp, but like the Morning Sun; not shrivelling and contracting, but opening and expanding those Flowers of Paradife the Truths of the Gospel, that they may display all their charming Beauties, and breathe out all their reviving Odours. I think, upon the whole, we have very sufficient Cause to affert and to abide by our Assertion; that when the Scripture ascribes the whole of our Salvation to the Death of CHRIST. a Part of his Humiliation is put for the Whole; and in thus speaking, the HOLY SPIRIT copies after himself. For, if the Death inslicted on the first Adam included every Evil consequent upon the Fall; the Depravity, as well as the Misery of the Creature, it was meet that the Death to which the fecond Adam submitted, should include every Good, needful for our Recovery; the Obedience as well as the Sufferings of the REDEEMER. It was meet that the Price, expressed by the same Word, should be as extensive as the Punishment.

"But how does it appear that he undertook this before the Foundation of the World?" At what Time does Mr. Wesley suppose, that CHRIST undertook the Work? Not till Sin entered and Man apostatized? Was it then an incidental supstant) Expedient, setched in to remedy some unforeseen Disaster? Was it a Device, which owed its Birth to some unexpected Contingency, occasioned by the Perverseness of the Creature? Far, far from it.—It

was the grand, original, all comprehending Plan, the Way in which GOD, long before Time commenced, decreed to manifest the Glory of his Grace, and the Lustre of all his Persections. The World was made as a proper Theatre, on which to display and execute this most magnificent Scheme; and all the Revolutions of human Affairs like so many under rlots in the Drama, are subservient to the Accomplishment of this capital Design.—"Known unto GOD are all his Works," determined by GOD are all his Counsels, from the Beginning of the World, more especially this grandest of all the divine Dispensations; this Master-piece of his unsearchable Wisdom.

" But was this by a positive Covenant between " CHRIST and the FATHER?" Afpasio proceeds to illustrate and confirm the Doctrine of an everlasting Covenant between the almighty FA-THER and his co-equal SON. He produces feveral Texts of Scripture, to each of which you object as insufficient for his Purpose: Each of your Objections I shall answer, only by adding a short Comment, explanatory of their Spirit and Force-" This " proves no previous Contract," that is, I deny it, and therefore it cannot prove your Point. " Nei-" ther does this prove any fuch Thing." That is, I cannot or will not see the Proof. And therefore there is none.- " That Expression does not neces-" farily imply any more" than I please to allow.-" In the Way or Method he had chosen;" of which I am the fole complete judge, and my Judgment, ought to be decifive in the Cafe.—Thus would Mr. Wesley have, not Aspasio only, but the Public also, receive his Dictates (tanquam a Tripode) as absolute Oracles.

Oracles.—For here is only bare Affertion, or bare Denial, without any Vouchers, but his own Word, without any Authority, but his own Declaration.

In Pfalm the xlth, the Conditions of the Covenant are circumstantially recorded, which were the Incarnation and Obedience of the eternal SON, " A Body haft thou prepared me-Lo! I come to " do thy Will." " Nay, here is no Mention of 46 any Covenant, nor any Thing from which it can 46 be inferred." How many Times shall I adjure thee, faid Ahab to Michaiah, that then tell me nothing but that which is true? And how many Times shall I intrest Mr. Welley to object nothing, without affigning fome Reason for his Objection? At least not to think of convincing my Judgment, and converting me to his Opinion by a bare fay fo.—But I have done—perhaps I have trespassed upon the Patience of the Reader. in expressing my Disappointment so frequently; perhaps I may also bear too hard upon Mr. Wesley in asking for Proofs, when it may be no small Difficulty to produce them. To return-" Nay here is " no Mention of any Covenant, nor any Thing from which it can be inferred."-That the Word Covenant is not mentioned is very true, that there is no Reference to any fuch Thing is not so certain: Let us consider the whole Passage-" Sacrifice and 44 Burnt-Offering thou didst not require:" If Sacrifices and flain Beafts are not the Object of the divine Complacency, in what will the LORD delight? The next Words declare, " A Body half thou pre-" pared me:" Since the Law cannot be fulfilled without doing, nor Justice satisfied without dying, " Lo! I come, fays the fecond Person in the TRI-" NITY, to undertake both, fince this Undertak-« ing

"ing must be accomplished, by ONE who is finite, that he may die; and infinite, that he may conquer Death; I will accomplish it in the divine and human Nature. For this Purpose a Body hast thou prepared me, in this Body lo! I come, willingly and chearfully I come, to perform, to fustain, to sulfill all; and so to do thy great, thy gracious Will."—May we not rationally suppose this spoken by Way of (Restipulation, or) Compliance with the FATHER's Demands? That the Matter is thereby brought to a solemn Contract?

Dr. Hammond thought this no irrational Supposition, therefore gives us, upon the following Words, a perfectly corresponding Comment. In the Volume of the Book it is written of me. "Which is no other than a Bill, or roll of Contract between the FATHER and CHRIST; wherein is supposed to be written the Agreement, preparatory to that great Work of CHRIST's Incarnation, wherein He, undertaking to fulfil the Will of GOD, to perform all active, and also all passive Obedience, even unto Death, had the Promise from GOD, that he should become the Author of eternal Salvation to all that obey him."

Thus fays our learned Countryman. And what fays the bleffed Apostle? Whose Exposition and Application of the Passage, you seem to have forgotten, at least not to have thoroughly weighed. Having quoted the Passage, argued from it, and displayed the Benefits obtained by this all-sufficient Propitiation, he adduces a Text from feremiah relating to this very Subject, and explaining its Nature: Whereof the HOLY GHOST also is a Witness; of what? of the Jastification and Sanctification of Sinners,

both founded on, both effected by, the Sacrifice of the dying  $\mathcal{F}ESUS$ . Transactions which both the Prophet and the Apostle consider under the Notion of the Covenant, as is plain from the following Quotation, "For after that he had said before, this is the Covenant which I will make with them in those Days." Hence it appears, that the Author to the *Hebrews* saw something in the Words of the Psalmist, from which the Doctrine of a Covenant might be inserred.

Another Copy of this grand Treaty is recorded Isa. xlix. from the first to the fixth Verse. "I have " read them but cannot find a Word about it in all 46 those Verses; they contain neither more nor less 44 than a Prediction of the Salvation of the Gen-"tiles." They contain a Prediction and somewhat more, they describe the Way whereby this most defirable Event shall be brought to pass. This the LORD himself declares shall be by Way of Covenant; "I will give thee for a Covenant to the Peo-" ple."—This Verse we may look upon as a Key to the preceding. It teaches us to consider them as descriptive of the august Covenant; of its Establishment, its Parties, and its Terms: Indeed the Verses themselves lead us to the same View, for what is a Covenant? A Contract, wherein a Condition is prescribed; a Promise is made, and both are ratified by a mutual Agreement—The Condition is prefcribed in those Words; Thou art my Servant O Ifrael\*, in whom I will be glorified. The Promise is

made

<sup>\*</sup> Ifrael is the Name of the Church, often given to her in this Prophecy, CHRIST and his Church, by Virtue of the Union between them, have the fame Names. As she is sometimes called by his Name, "The LORD our Righteousness."—so he is here called by her Name Ifrael. See Jer. xxxiii. 16.

made in those Words, Thou shalt raise up the Tribes of Jacob; restore the preserved of Israel, and be my Salvation unto the Ends of the Earth. The Agreement is specified or implied in those Words (I have spent my Strength for nought, yet) surely my Judgment is with the LORD, and my Work with my GOD.

The great Vitringa, after having expounded the whole Clause, concludes in this Manner: "Ante"quam ab his verbis, sensu sæcundissimis, summam
doctrinæ Evangelicæ complexis, discedam, momere velim, eadem clarissime desormare totum
mysterium conventionis pacis, inter Deum patrem
& Messiam filium ejus, in humana carne appariturum, initæ, perinde ac in locis quæ ex aliis
excerpo, Psal. xl. 7. Zech. vi. 13. Pater ut
Dominus, silio ut Messæ, offert gloriam longe
amplissimam, mediationis & salvationis Judæorum
& gentium, quæ gloria, omnium quæ mente concipi possunt, est maxima, sub lege sive sub conditione profundissimi obsequii servilis; eaque stipulatio utrinque ratihabetur.

If

Here

Vitring. in loc. Before I leave this Paragraph which is so rich in Sense, and contains the very Substance of Evangelical Doctrine, I would observe that it most clearly and exactly delineates the mysterious Counsel of Peace, planned between GOD the FATHER, and his divine SON. The FATHER as supreme LORD, offers to his SON the MESSIAH the highest Glory, that which shall result from justifying, sandifying, and saving, with an everlasting Salvation, both Jewe and Gentiles. This Glory, the greatest which Thought can conceive, is proposed on the Terms, or under the Condition of his deep Humiliation, even to the State and Obedience of a Servant. The whole passes into a solumn Contrast, and is ratified on either Side.

If upon a stricter Review, this Prophecy be found to express no such Thing as a Covenant, I am very willing to give up the Proof. So much the rather as it makes no Part of Aspasio's Discourse, is only just mentioned in a Note; and stands not in the main Body, but only as a Corps de Reserve.

By the Covenant of Works, Man was bound to obey in his own Person.—Here you take Aspasio up very short, and reply, "So he is under the Cove- nant of Grace, though not in order to Justifica- tion." This is the very Thing he means. Nor could you easily have mistaken his Meaning if you had only done him so small a Piece of Justice as to

Here our Author subjoins an Extract from ברשיח דבה a Rabbinical Treatife. Which is very remarkable; for fuch a Writer, fingularly just; one of the most curious, as well as valuable Pieces of Jewiß Antiquities that ever fell in my Way. I believe it will afford no unpleasing Entertainment, perhaps some spiritual Benefit to the Reader. For which Cause I take Leave to transcribe it .-"GOD began to enter into a Covenant with the MES. " SIAH, and faid, MESSIAH, my righteous one, the Sins of thy chosen People are likely to bring Thee into a State of great Toil and extreme Affliction; Thy Lips shall taste Gall, Thy Tongue shall cleave to the Roof of thy Mouth, Thy Body shall be wasted with Serrow and Sighing. Is thy Love to thy People in-" violable?' Art thou willing to rescue them on these " Conditions? If thou undertakest their Recovery, well; if not, they are rejected from this Moment, and pe-" rish for ever .- To this MESSIAH replied, LORD of " Eternity, I am pleased with the Office, I accept these " Conditions; and am willing to undergo all Tribula-"tion, that my People may enjoy a Resurrection (to " the Life of Grace and the Life of Glory.)-Then faid the holy, bleffed GOD, be it so. Immediately the " MESSIAH took upon him our Miferies, asit is written in the Book of the Prophet Isainb, He was oppressed \* and He was afflicted." read

Digitized by Google

read the whole Paragraph, of which, fince you feem either willingly or through Inadvertence to be ignorant, I will beg leave to transcribe it :- " Between the Covenant of Works, and the Covenant of "Grace, this I apprehend is the Difference. By "the former, Man was indifpenfibly bound to obey " in his own Person, by the latter, the Obedience " of his SURETY is accepted instead of his own. " -The Righteousness required by both, is not fin-" cere, but complete, not proportioned to the Abi-" lities of fallen Man, but to the Purity of the Law, " and the Majesty of the LAWGIVER." You fee the whole Argument turns upon a complete Righteousness, such as satisfies the Law, and is an adequate Ground for Justification: This, I imagine, fallen Man is not obliged by the Covenant of Grace to perform, if so, we shall be at a Loss to find any fuch Thing as Grace; if fo, we can have no Hope of obtaining Salvation with eternal Glory. There will be too much Reafon for applying to all Mankind those awful Words of the Prophet; In the Day thou mayst make thy Plant to grow, and in the Morning thou mayst make thy Seed to flourish; but the Harvest shall be Heap, in the Day of Grief and desperate Sorrow.

Bleffed be GOD the melancholy Strain is superfeded.—Though the Terms in the first Covenant were a perfect Obedience, though the Terms once fixed continue unalterable, yet in the new Covenant there is a Change and Substitution as to the Performer; without any Relaxation as to the Performer; without any Relaxation as to the Performance. Instead of personal Obedience we are justified through the Obedience of our MEDIATOR, We are made the Righteousness of GOD in him: That is, we are furnished with a Plea, as prevalent for our Justification

tion and Admission into the divine Favour, as if we had retained our Innocence untainted; and in every Respect conformed ourselves to the Righteousness which the Law of GOD requires \*.—Thus the Salvation of Sinners, neither clashes with the Truth, nor interferes with the Justice of the supreme LE-GISLATOR.—On the contrary, it becomes a faithful and just Procedure of the most high GOD, to justify him that believeth on JESUS.

The Obedience of our Surety is accepted instead of our own. "This is neither a safe, nor a " fcriptural Way of Speaking."-That the Obedience of CHRIST is accepted for our Justification, is a Doctrine warranted by Scripture, it may therefore very justly be reckoned a scriptural Way of Speaking. And if his Obedience is accepted for this Purpose, our own, was it ever so considerable, could come in for no Share of the Work. Our own, though ever so gorgeously arrayed, must stand aside, or be cast into Shades, just as the Stars hide their diminished, or rather extinguished Heads, when the Sun appears in his meridian Splendour, because the Obedience of CHRIST is of infinite Dignity and Value. And infinite Value is such, as not only transcends all other Services, but renders them mere Nothings

<sup>\* 2</sup> Cor. v. 21. This is Dr. Doddridge's Interpretation of the Passage; and it speaks a noble, a joyful Truth, only it does not express the whole Truth. The Righteousness of GOD is more than equivalent to untainted Innocency; is more than tantamount to the most perfect human Obedience. It is a Righteousness of infinite Worth, far surpassing the Integrity of Adam in Paradise, or the Sanctity of Angels in Heaven. It is a Gift, incomparably, yea, incomprehensibly great, efficacious, glorious.

Nothings in the Comparison. For this Reason, the Apostle counted all Endowments, but Loss for CHRIST, and the Prophet represents all Nations as nothing before GOD.

"I would fimply fay, we are accepted through the Beloved."—If you rightly understood what is meant, when the Apostle speaks of being accepted in the BELOVED, you would have no Fault to find with Aspasio's Comment. St. Paul means we are accepted, not by any Obedience performed in our own Rersons, but solely by the Obedience of that infinitely excellent, and infinitely beloved ONE CHRIST JESUS, whose Righteousness being imputed to us, and put upon us, causes us not only to be pardoned, but to be highly esteemed, dearly beloved, and blessed with all spiritual Blessings.

Here I cannot but observe, that you have changed the Apostle's Expression. He says, " Accepted \* in " the Beloved;" you fay, " Accepted through the "Beloved." I am willing to believe this was an Overfight, you had no finister Design, but still I think you should take more heed to your Pen, and not alter the inspired Word, lest you blemish the Language, or injure the Sense. Perhaps you will ask, What Difference is there between accepted through, and accepted in, the Beloved? I will illustrate the Difference by a Similitude. A creditable House-keeper gives a good Character to a Servant that leaves him, by virtue of which he is accepted, and admitted to some other valuable Employ. This Character is his Introduction, yet this makes no Addition to his real Value. Acceptance through the Beloved, may import no more, than fuch an Admission M 3

<sup>\*</sup> Εχαριτωσεν πμας εν τω Ηγαπημενω.

mission through such a Recommendation. Whereas accepted in the Beloved, implies not only a recommendatory Passport from CHRIST, but a real Union with CHRIST, whereby we are incorporated into his sacred Body, and partake as truly of his Righteousness, as the Members partake of the Life which animates the Head. By this our Persons are really ennobled. This imparts the highest Dignity to our Nature. We are not only recommended to, but rendered meet for, the Favour, the Complacency, the beatistic Presence of GOD, being one with  $\mathcal{F}ESUS$ , and therefore loved even as  $\mathcal{F}ESUS$  himself is loved \*.

The second Covenant was not made with Adam or any of his Posterity, but with CHRIST in those Words. "For any Authority you have from these Words, you might as well have said, it was "made with the HOLY GHOST."—No; CHRIST, not the HOLY GHOST, was the Seed of the Woman.—This is an Answer much in your own Strain.—But let us consider farther.

You allow, I prefume, that the first Covenant was made with Adam, as our public foederal Head—That all his Posterity were included in it, being to stand or fall together with him: Herein, says the Apostle, "Adam was a Figure of him that was to "come." If so, the second Covenant must be made with CHRIST, as our public soederal Head. He and all his Seed are included in it, and as it was impossible for him to miscarry, they must be joint Partakers of the Benefits. Accordingly, he is stilled the

<sup>\*</sup> John xvii. 23. They in me, fays our LORD. Therefore, on this Account, or viewed in this Relation, Thou haft loved them, as theu haft loved me.

the MEDIATOR of the new Covenant, by whose most acceptable and prevailing Interposition, all its Bleffings are obtained: He is stiled the Surety of the Covenant, engaging to pay the whole Debt for poor infolvent Creatures; the Debt of penal Suffering, and the Debt of perfect Obedience.—The Testator of the Covenant, whose are its Riches, and whose are its Privileges, who has also of his unbounded Goodness bequeathed them as so many inestimable Legacies to indigent Men. Methinks those are such charming Truths, such divinely comfortable Doctrines, that you should consider them thoroughly before you oppose them, lest you do a greater Act of Unkindness to your Readers, than that which is charged, though very injuriously, upon Job: Thou hast stripped the Naked of their Cloathing, and sent Widows away empty. And when you are disposed to consider those Points thoroughly, ask yourself this Question. Is it possible to conceive that CHRIST should be the MEDIATOR, the Surety, the Teftator of the Covenant, if it was not made with him and the Execution of it undertaken by him?-Or, is it possible to suppose, that the all-glorious SON of GOD should be the MEDIATOR, the Surety, the Testator of the Covenant, yet leave others to perform the Conditions? which are incomparably the most important, interesting, and difficult Parts of the Transaction?

"These Words were not spoken to CHRIST, 
but of Him." True of Him as given for a Covenant of the People. "There is not the least Intimation of any such Covenant." You will not deny that CHRIST is signified by the Seed of the Woman.—It is said, He shall; a Language expressing M. A. Author

Authority, and requiring Conformity, as CHRIST is the supreme uncontroulable GOD, this could not be required; and would never have been said, without his actual Consent; Here then is implied his Approbation of the Office. It is farther said, the Serpent shall bruise his Heel.—He shall become incarnate, and after a Life of much Sorrow, and many Tribulations, shall be put to a most tormenting Death.—Here is the Condition of the Covenant. It is added, he shall bruise the Serpent's Head, shall destroy the Works of the Devil, and repair the Ruins of the Fall, shall deliver from the Wrath deserved, and recover the Inheritance forseited.—Here is the Recompence or Reward of the Covenant.

Should you ask; Is it supposable that Adam underflood the Words in this Compass of Meaning? Perhaps not. But if we do not understand them in a more exalted and extensive Sense, than our first Father; what Advantage do we reap from the sull Revelation of the Gospel?—The sull Revelation of the Gospel?—The full Revelation of the Gospel, pours as much Light upon this, and other of the ancient Oracles, as the Experiments of our modern Anatomists have poured upon the Structure and Oeconomy of the human Body.—This grand original Text, read with the Comment of the New Testament, speaks all that Aspasso has suggested; all that our fallen State could want, or our very Hearts can wish.

You have mustered up several Objections, yet there is Room for more; I will therefore for once act as your Auxiliary, and turn against Aspasso. "He "supposes the Covenant to be made with CHR 18T. "Whereas the Scripture represents the Covenant as made with various Men, particularly and perfonally,

"fonally, in various Ages."—True, it is recorded that GOD made a Covenant with Abraham, with Isaac, with Jacob, and with David the Father of Solomon: But were they in a Capacity to enter into Covenant with their Maker; to stand for themselves or be Surety for others? I think not—The Passages mean no more, than the LORD's manifesting, in an especial Manner, the grand Covenant to them; ratifying and consiming their personal Interest in it, and farther assuring them that CHRIST, the great Covenant Head, should be of themselves, and spring from their Seed.

This accounts for that remarkable and fingular Mode of Expression, which often occurs in Scripture; I will make a Covenant with them; or, this is my Covenant with them.—Yet there follows no mention of any Conditions, only a Promise of unconditional Blessings; because the former have already been performed, and nothing remains but to confer the latter; so that the Meaning of the divine Speaker is, I will admit them to an Interest in this Covenant, and make them Partakers of its Privileges.

I should now conclude, but Mr. Wesley will not suffer me to quit the Subject. He farther insists, "The Words manifestly contain, if not a Covenant made with, a Promise made to Adam and all his "Posterity."—If not—He begins to hesitate in his Assertion; to sluctuate in his Opinion; and I could hope, to see his Mistake.—"The Words contain a "Promise"—And have you never read, that the Covenant of GOD, or the various Renewals and Ratisfications of the Covenant of Grace, are styled Covenants of Promise? Which consist of pure Promises, and dispense free Gists?

Observe ?

Observe the Tenour of the new Covenant, as it stands engrossed by the Pen of Inspiration. This is the Covenant, which I will make with the House of Israel after those Days, faith the LORD, I will put my Laws into their Mind, and on their Hearts will I write them: And I will be to them a GOD, and they shall be to me a People. And they shall not teach every Man his Neighbour, and every Man his Brother, faying know the LORD-For all shall know me, from the least of them, even unto the greatest of them .- For, I will be merciful unto their Unrighteousnesses, and their Sins and their Iniquities will I remember no more - Where are your Conditions in this Draught? Where are any Terms required of impotent Man? Is it not all Promise from the Beginning to the End? That Repentance, and that Faith, for whose Conditionality you plead, are they not both comprehended in this beavenly Deed? And comprehended under the Form of Bleffings vouchsafed, not of Tasks enjoined. Does the Contract run in this Manner? I require and command. Or in this Strain? I grant and beflow. The LORD fays, I will put my Laws; I will write them. - The Work shall not be laid on my Creatures, but done by myself. They shall be my People, and I will remember their Sins no more. What? Provided they perform such and such Duties. I read no fuch Clause. I see no such Proviso. - All is absolutely free; dependent on no Performance of ours; but flowing from fovereign, supreme, self-influenced Goodness.

Just such is that delightful Declaration, I will make an everlassing Covenant with them, that I will not turn away from them to do them good; but I will put my Fear in their Heart, that they shall not depart from

2716.

me.—What you call Conditions must be comprised in my Fear. This is represented as a singular Benefit, which GOD imparts; as a gracious Temper, which GOD implants; and both as dependent not on the Fidelity of Man, but on the Power and Veracity of GOD.—Another of your Conditions, I presume, is, Perseverance unto the End. This, in the Covenant of Grace, is not enjoined, but fecured; secured, not by a strict Prohibition of Apostacy, but by the omnipotent Interpolition of JEHO-VAH. I will put my Fear; so put my Fear into their Hearts, that they shall not depart from me; shall never draw back unto Perdition .- Thus the Covenant becomes not transient, but everlasting. Thus the Promise is not precarious, but sure to all the Seed. There seems to be as great a Difference, between this evangelical, and your legal Method of stating the Covenant: between suspending the Benefits on human Endeavours, and grounding them on divine Agency, as between hanging the Anchor on the Top of the Mast, and fixing it at the Bottom of the Sea.

Let me add one more Text, which now occurs to my Thoughts, Ye are the Children of the Prophets, and of the Covenant which GOD made with our Fathers, faying, unto Abraham, and in thy Seed shall all the Kindreds of the Earth be blessed\*. Here the Covenant is first mentioned in general; then particularly specified:—In thy Seed shall all the Kindreds of the Earth—Be laid under Conditions? Be obliged to execute Terms? No; but, shall be blessed. Blessed with all Blessings, temporal, spiritual, eternal—In thy Seed—That is in CHRIST—Without any Regard to Qualifications

<sup>\*</sup> Acts iii. 25.

Qualifications or Deeds of their own; entirely by Virtue of an Interest in his consummately excellent Actions, and consummately precious Sufferings.—Then the Apostle singles out one special and distinguished Blessing of the Covenant; a Conversion from Darkness to Light, and from the Power of Satan to the Service of GOD. Or, as it is expressed in the sollowing Verse, A turning from all Iniquity.—This is still exhibited to our Contemplation, as the Fruit of the Covenant of Grace; as the Thing for which it makes Provision, not introductory to, but consequent upon, our Participation of it.

If therefore, in speaking of Holiness and Obedience, we represent them as the Promises, rather than the Demands of the Covenant, we evidently sollow the Apostle's Example. Were we to take the contrary Course, we should act as prudently as the Sportsman, who, entering his Horse for a Plate, chuses to have him walk backwards, rather than run forwards: Would this increase his Speed? Would this help him to outstrip his Rivals? or enable him to win the Prize?—Shall we, in order to avoid the Charge of Antinomianism rush into this Absurdity? I am persuaded you could not wish to see so egregious a Piece of Folly, even in your Enemy; much less in

Your, &c.

LETTER

## LETTER IX.

REV. SIR,

I WISH you would consider with some Attention, that emphatical Memento of the Apostle, Since ye knew the Grace of GOD in Truth. Here he intimates, that we may have a Knowledge of Grace, which is not genuine; not free from corrupt Mixture, not true.—It may be so discoloured with Error, or blended with so much of the Law, as no longer to appear like itself.—The Language of such Persons, is somewhat like the Language of the Israelites, after their Return from Captivity, who spoke neither the Hebrew, nor the Heathenish Dialect; but expressed themselves half in the Speech of Ashdod, and half in the Speech of Sion.

It is true, fays Afpasio, I cannot perform the Conditions.—"It is not true," fays Mr. Wesley. This is pretty blunt, and pretty bold too; for it is, in effect, affirming, that a Man dead in Trespasses and Sins is able to perform Conditions. Mr. Wesley is not aware, that "CHRIST strengthening us," is one of the Benefits of the Covenant, comprehended in these Words, I will put my Laws into their Minds.

"The Conditions of the new Covenant are, repent and believe."—It has been already shewn, that they are represented by the HOLY GHOST,

not as Conditions, but as Bleffings; not as Conditions required, but as Bleffings bestowed; not Conditions on which depends the Accomplishment of the Covenant; but as happy Fruits, or precious Effects, of the Covenant; made, and making good to Sinners, who are wholly without Strength.

'Tis equally true, says Aspasia, this is not required at my Hands. "Tis equally true, says Mr. Wesley, that is absolutely salse."—This is doubtless, a home Trust.—It behaves us to provide some Armour of Proof for our Desence; and this the Scripture surnishes abundantly. It surnishes us with more than robur & as triplex. The Scripture sets forth Justisheation, Salvation, and all Blessedness, as Things perfectly free; detached from all Works; dependent on no Conditions, but the Gifts of sovereign Goodness, and infinitely rich Grace.

Though you, Sir, treat Aspasso in so unceremonious a Manner, we will be more complaisant; you shall receive such Entertainment from us, as the King of Babylon's Ambassadors received from Hezekiah. We will on this Occasion, shew you the House of our precious Things, the Silver and the Gold, the Spices and the precious Ointment, and if not, all, yet some of the House of our Armour \*.

We are faved, that is, we have all the Benefits of the new Covenant. By Grace.—By Grace ye are faved.—It is of Grace and no more of Works.—Who bath faved us, not according to our Works, but according to his Purpose and Grace t.

Freely

Freely—Being justified freely.—The Things that are freely given to us of GOD.—Whosover will, let him take the Water of Life freely\*.

By Way of Gift—If thou knewest the Gift of GOD

—The Gift of GOD is eternal Life.—The free Gift came upon all Men, to Justification of Life †.

Without the Law—The Righteousness of GOD without the Law.—That we might be justified, not by the Works of the Law.—If the Inheritance were of the Law \( \pm \).

Not by Works—Not of Works, but of him that calleth us.—Not by Works of Righteousness, which we have done, but according to his Mercy he saved us.—Not having mine own Righteousness, which is of the Law ||.

By Righteousness, not performed, but imputed— Faith (in CHRIST, as our all) is imputed for Righteousness.—GOD imputeth Righteousness, without Works.—To whom it (that is the Merit of a dying and rising SAVIOUR) shall be imputed §.

Not by guiltless Behaviour, but by Remission of Sins—Blessed are they whose Iniquities are forgiven, and whose Sins are covered.—GOD was in CHRIST, reconciling the World unto himself, not imputing their Trespasses unto them.—To give Knowledge of Salvation by the Remission of their Sins +.

Not each by himself, but all by one—They shall reign in Life, by one CHRIST JESUS.—By the Obedience of one, shall many be made righteous.—By one Offering,

<sup>\*</sup> Rom. iii. 34. 1 Cor. ii. 12. Rev. xxii. 19.
† John iv. 10. Rom. vi. 23. v. 18. † Rom.
iii. 21. Gal. ii. 16. iii. 18. || Rom. ix. 11.
Tit. iii. 5. Phil. iii. 9. § Rom. iv. 5, 6,
23, 24, 25. † Pfal. xxxii. 1. 2 Cor. v. 19.
Luke i. 77.

Offering, he hath perfected for ever those that are fancisfied \*.

By Faith alone—Being justified by Faith.—A Man is justified by Faith, without the Deeds of the Law.—Through him, all that believe are justified from all Things +.

Not on Account of Faith, as a Condition performed; but on Account of CHRIST, the Pearl of inestimable Price; which Faith receives, applies, and uses—Who has by himself purged away our Sins, by himself finished our Transgressions, made Reconciliation for our Iniquities, and brought in an everlasting Righte-susness ‡.

This is the Doctrine of Scripture. Because it is of the greatest Importance, you see, with what Care it is stated, and with what Copiousness displayed, with what Zeal it is urged, and with what Vigilance guarded.—How solicitously the facred Writers use every Form of Speech that may exclude all human Works; may set aside all Conditions and Pre-requisites, in order to superfede all Glorying, and ascribe the Whole of our Justification to the free Grace of GOD, and the sole Merits of CHRIST.

After all these Testimonies of Scripture, shall we still maintain, that the Covenant of Grace consists of Conditions; depends upon Conditions; is such as we cannot expect to have made good till certain Conditions are, by us, duly and truly sulfilled? Dagon may as well stand in the Presence of the Ark, as such a Notion in the Face of these evangelical Texts.

All,

<sup>\*</sup> Rom. v. 17, 18, 19. Heb. x. 14. † Rom. v. 1. iii. 28. Acts xiii, 39. ‡ Heb. i. 3. Dan. ix. 24.

177

All, all is free to us Sinners, though it was not free to CHRIST our SAVIOUR .- He paid the Price. He performed the Conditions. If you would know what Price was paid; what Conditions were performed, and on what Terms we inherit the Bleffing; you, Sir, may receive Information from Mr. John Welley, who fays, in his Comment; "All the " Bleffings of the new Covenant are secured to us " by the one Offering of CHRIST." According to this Commentator, they are not only procured for us, but fecured to us. How could either of these be true-Much more, how could the latter be factif the Bleffings were suspended on any Performance or any Acquisition of ours? If I am not to enjoy them until I discharge this or that Duty, they are not procured for me; if I am not to enjoy them unless I become possessed of this or that Quality, they are not secured to me; not secured to me, as the Estate is to an Heir, even whilst he is a Minor, but only as the Prize is to a Racer, in case, by exerting his Speed and his Strength, he arrives first at the Goal; which was never yet called Security, but allowed to be mere Uncertainty.

As to this Point, others may receive Information from the Prophet Zechariah. By the Blood of thy Covenant, I have fent forth thy Prisoners out of the Pit, wherein was no Water \*. Thy Prisoners, those wretched Creatures, who were in a State of Guilt, and under the Sentence of Death; subject to the Tyranny of the Devil, and liable to the Damnation of Hell. In this dismal State they were, as in a Pit unfathomably deep; from which there seemed no Possibility of Escape, nor any Method of Deliverance. A Pit,

<sup>\*</sup> Zech. ix. 11.

in which there is no Water, nothing but absolute Misery, without a Gleam of Hope, or a Drop of Comfort. I have sent them forth into a Place of Liberty; where they obtain Pardon, and enjoy Peace, are satisfied with the Plenteonsness of my House, and drink of my Pleasures as out of a River. All this, by the Blood of thy Covenant.—Blood was the righteous Term, Blood was the dreadful Requirement, even that infinitely precious Blood of CHRIST, on which the Covenant of our Freedom was established, and by which its rich Blessings are procured. Which is called thy Covenant\*, O Daughter of Sion, thou Church of the First-born, because it was made in thy Name; made with thy divine Surety, and for thy unspeakable Good.

This is not only false, but "most dangerously "false—If we allow This, Antinomianism comes in "with a full Tide." Pray, Sir, what do you mean by Antinomianism? Such a Contrariety to the Law, as debases its Dignity; deprives it of its proper Honour and proper End +?

Surely then, not Afpasio's, but Mr. Wesley's Tenets, are chargeable with this Kind of Heterodoxy. Since they would cause the Law to be put off with a Mite, when Millions of Talents are its Due—Oblige it to be content with errant Desiciency, when the

<sup>•</sup> Thy Covenant. The Words are not addressed to CHRIST, but to his Church. As the Hebrew new being in the feminine Gender intimates.

<sup>†</sup> The End of the commanding Law is Righteousness, Rom. x. 4. The End of the violated Law is Punishment, Gal. iii. 10. Both these Ends are answered by the Interposition of an obedient and crucified REDEEMER, but on no other Scheme, and in no other Manner whatever.

most finless Obedience, and the most exalted Perfection are what it demands.

Do you mean by Antinomianism, such a Contrariety to the Law, as diffegards its Duties, and violates its Precepts? Then the Apostle Paul shall reply. The Grace of GOD, which bringeth Salvation, hath appeared unto all Men; teaching us, that denying Ungodliness and worldly Lufts, we should live soberly, righteoully, and godly. - The Grace of GOD, his infinitely free Favour, of which we have a Specimen in the preceding Texts, which fcorns to be fhackled with Conditions, or meanly dependent on human Endeavours-This Grace, requiring nothing of the Creature, but bringing Salvation, spiritual and eternal Salvation, finished by the incarnate CREATOR, and free for the chiefest of Sinners .- This Grace being revealed in the Gospel, being discerned by Faith, and thus appearing in Luftre, and with Power, to all Men-To Men of every Rank, every Age, every Character; making no Difference between the Servant and his Master, between the ruddy Stripling and the hoary Sire; between the vile Proflitute and the chafte Vestal, but opening its inexhaustible Treasures, to be received by one as well as the other-This Grace does what? "Cause An-"tinomianism," or practical Ungodliness, "to " come in with a full Tide?" Quite the Reverse-It represses it like an immoveable Barrier. It teaches us to deny \*, to renounce Ungodliness, all Ungodliness, N 2 not

<sup>\*</sup> The Word is approaching, which does not fignify, to abolish totally, or to destroy utterly; but to disavow and renounce, to resuse Admittance, or not to yield Compliance. There may be, even in the Regenerate,

not only external gross Abominations, but worldly Lusts also, every vicious Inclination, and every irregular Desire. Farther, it teaches us to live foberly, with regard to ourselves, righteously towards our Neighbours, and godly to our great CREATOR.

The original Word is particularly beautiful and fignificant, it is not ravous, prescribeth, by way of Rule, nor enflacoti, enjoineth, by way of Authority; but waideunga, teacheth, by way of Instruction, pointeth out the effectual Method of obeying the Precepts, and conforming to the Rule. A Tyrant may command his Slave to write, or make a Proficiency in Writing. A kind Tutor forms him to it, shews him how to do it, and renders, what otherwife would be an irksome, perhaps an impracticable Task, both easy and pleasant.—So this Grace clearly manifested in the Understanding, and cordially apprehended by the Will, renders every Duty of Holiness both practicable and pleasant; it gives us a Heart, and a Hand, and Ability to exercise ourselves unto universal Godliness.

CHRIST has performed all that was conditionary for me, says Aspasio. "Has he repented and believed for you?" says Mr. Wesley; a Question already answered in the Dialogues.—"No; replies Mr. Wesley, not answered, but evaded. He persormed all that was conditionary in the Covenant of Works, is nothing to the Purpose, for we are not talking of that, but of the Covenant of Grace." Give me Leave to tell you, Sir, that you are greatly mistaken here, we are talking, at least we ought to be talking of the Covenant of Works, when we talk

fome Remainders of Ungodliness, but influenced by Grace, they will constantly turn a deaf Ear to its Solicitations, or resolutely say Nay to its Demands.

talk of the Covenant, which CHR IST came under. It was a Covenant of Works to him, which, by his Execution of it, became a Covenant of Grace for us. He became answerable for our Debt, the Debt was exacted without the least Abatement. In this Respect GOD spared not his own Son. And is not this the Tenour, are not such the Effects of a Covenant of Works?

CHRIST is called the Surety of a better Covenant, that is, a Surety provided and admitted by a better Covenant: In this Peculiarity, infinitely momentous and comfortable, the new Covenant is better, because it brings in a Substitute, to discharge what was contracted under the old, which neither provided, nor allowed, nor knew any such Thing.—It is written, CHRIST was made under the Law; therefore not under a Covenant of Grace. If you can shew me, in the Construction of the Law, any Hint of Faith in the Merits of another, or any mention of repentance unto Life, I will retract my Opinion, that CHRIST performed whatever was conditionary; I will do Honour to those genteel Expressions, and submit to those cogent Arguments, "'Tis not true-"'Tis nothing to the Purpose-'Tis absolutely false." " If CHRIST's perfect Obedience be ours, we " have no more Need of Pardon than CHRIST " himself. The Consequence is good, you have " started an Objection which you cannot answer." -It is answered in the Dialogues-Whether in a fatisfactory, or insufficient Manner, the Reader must determine.—But suppose we admit the Consequence; it implies no more, than the Apostle affirms—By one Offering he hath perfected for ever them that are fanctified. Let me transcribe your own \* Ex-

plication

<sup>\*</sup> Explanatory Notes in lec.

plication of this Passage.—" He hath done" (obferve, you yourself speak of CHRIST's doing; in this Place only of CHRIST's doing, yet I would not be so injurious to your good Sense, as to imagine that you exclude his Suffering) " all that was need-" ful, in order to their full Reconciliation with "GOD." This Exposition I approve, as far as it goes, only you have omitted one very weighty Circumstance, comprehended in the Word "ever;" by this doing and fuffering, Believers are fully and perfectly reconciled, not for a Day only, or for any particular Time, but for ever. The Pardon is irrevocable; the Bleffing unalienable. Not like the Moon, which now waxes, and anon wanes, but like the Sun, which is always the same; ever shines with the same Plenitude of Rays; and needs only to appear, in order to appear unchangeably bright.

This reminds me of a more direct Answer to your Difficulty. The repeated Pardon, which Believers implore, is only a Witness-bearing to the Truth, or a repeated Manifestation of it to our Consciences.-Will you find Fault with this Doctrine? Might you not, for the very same Reason, say, if the Atonement of CHRIST's Death, was absolutely perfect, there could be no Need of his Intercession at GOD's right Hand? Yes, for the actual Application of the great Atonement, and the continual Communication of its happy Fruits, this Interceffion is necessary. So, though our Justification is complete, though our Sins have all been laid upon our LORD; and are not to be done away by some Duties of our own, but already done away by the Saerifice of HIMSELF; yet the Application of this Bleffing, the Revelation of it to our Hearts, is daily, hourly,

hourly, incessantly needful. Therefore he saith, speaking of his Vineyard the Church, I will water it every Mament.—Whereby? In what Manner? What spiritual Blessings correspond with, watering the thirsty Soil? The Discovery of complete Pardon, of complete Acceptance, of complete Salvation in CHRIST. This will make the Soul like a watered Garden.—This will cause Joy and Holiness to blossom as a Rose.

Both the Branches of the Law, the preceptive and the penal, in the Case of Guilt contracted, must be satisfied. "Not so."—If not, one of them must pass unsatisfied, and unsulfilled. Whereas, our LORD declares, that Heaven and Earth so all pass away, sooner than one fot, or one Tittle of the Law shall fail of its Accomplishment. Will you undertake to prove, either that the preceptive, or else that the penal Part of the Law, does not constitute so much as one Jot or one Tittle of its Contents? Then, and then only, your Affertion may consist with our LORD's Declaration. This will be an Undertaking as adventurous as your next is disingenuous.

"Not fo, CHRIST by his Death alone (so our Church teaches) fully satisfied for the Sins of the whole World."—By his Death alone, that is, in Contradistinction to all human Works, as efficient or adjutant Causes: Fully satisfied, that is, without having, and without needing the Concurrence of any human Satisfaction. It is spoken in Opposition to our Endeavours, not to his own most glorious Obedience. But do you really want to be informed that our Church means no such Thing, as you would infinuate? Have you never heard her profess, and N & require

require to believe, what Afpasio maintains? If not, be pleased to read the Quotations from her Homilies, which he has produced, Vol. II. Pag. 316, 317 \*. Read these, and I cannot but think, you have Modesty enough to blush at an Attempt, to palm upon the Public, such an apparent Misrepresentation of our venerable Mother.

"The same great Truth is manifestly taught in the xxxist Article."—What? That CHRIST, by his Death alone, or by shedding his Blood alone without fulfilling the Law perfectly, satisfied for the Sins of the World! Then the Articles and the Homilies most stally contradict one another.—Upon this you ask; "Is it therefore fair, is it honest, for any one to plead the Articles of our Church in Defence of absolute Predestination?" Indeed, Sir, I know not what you mean by this Interrogatory,

As this Pamphlet may possibly fall into the Hands of some Persons, who have not the Book, entitled *Theron* and *Aspasio*; I will, for their Sakes, transcribe one of the Testimonies, to which we are referred.

In the Homily concerning the Salvation of Mankind, we read the following Words .- " The Apostle toucheth three Things, which must go together in Justification. " on GOD's Part his great Mercy and Grace. On " CHRIST's Part, the Satisfaction of GOD's Justice, " or the Price of our Redemption, by the Offering of is his Body and shedding of his precious Blood, with " fulfilling of the Law perfectly. On our Part, true " and lively Faith in the Merits of JESUS CHRIST, " which yet is not ours, but by GOD's Working in us." You see, according to the Judgment of our Reformers, not only the Offering of CHRIST's Body, and the Shedding of CHRIST's Blood, but also his perfect fulfilling of the Law, are the adequate Price of our Redemption. Yet Mr. Wesley is pleased to exclude the latter; and ventures to affirm that he has the Authority of our Church for fuch an Opinion, and for fuch a Practice.

or at what you aim. Does Aspasio plead the Articles for any such Purpose? Not that he should be afraid, in Case there was an evident Occasion, to advance such a Plea, and perhaps might put Mr. Welley to greater Difficulty than he is aware of, in order to elude the Force of it.—But he does not in this Place come within View of the Point; nor fo much as remotely hint at it. No, nor in any Part of the three Volumes, does he once touch upon abfolute Predestination, much less does he plead the Articles of our Church in its Defence. So that your inferential Word "therefore," is a Conclusion without Premises.

Absolute Predestination is a Phrase not to be found in all the Dialogues, or in any of the Letters, But it is a Phrase which Mr. Wesley thinks to be alarming and disgusting, on which Mr. Wesley has learned to say many horrible and shocking Things; therefore be it right or wrong, be it true or false, Aspasio shall be charged with the obnoxious Expresfion.-When he mentions Predestination, it is in the very Words of Scripture; without dwelling upon the Subject; without resting his Cause upon it; without attempting either to explain, or to establish it. This he leaves, and ever will leave, to clearer Heads and abler Pens.—As to your "abso-" lute," this is not what Afpafio speaks, but what Mr. Wesley would make him speak, a Word, which in this Connection he never used, nor so much as dreamt of using, for which Reason, I call it, not bis, but yours .- May I not then retort your own Question? And ask, is it fair, is it honest, to put into your Friend's Mouth Words which he never used, and then exclaim against them?

What follows in this Paragraph is prodigious indeed, " Seeing the xviith Article barely defines the "Term;" that is, the Church does not believe the Doctrine, nor require any such Belief from her Members? Why then does she select it for one of the Articles? Why pronounce it agreeable to GOD's Word? Why forbid Disputation against it? Pity, but we had been acquainted with this fine Distinction when we were Students at Oxford-We then declared our Approbation of the academical Statutes: we engaged to observe them all, and confirmed our Engagement with an Oath.—But how eafily might we have eluded the Obligation, if, when called upon for Conformity and Obedience, this Salvo had come into our Heads; "The University does not in " these Statutes, set forth our Duty, but barely de-" fines the Terms; she does not insist upon a Con-" formity, but only flourishes a little upon Terms, and leaves us to obey or disobey, as we shall feel " ourselves inclined."

"Barely defines the Term, without either af"firming or denying the Thing."—How! Does
The not affirm the Thing? when the stiles it an excellent Benefit of GOD? Declares it to be full of
fweet, pleafant, and unspeakable Comfort to the Godly? That it greatly establishes and confirms their Faith
of eternal Salvation, and fervently kindles their Love
towards GOD? "Not affirm the Thing!"—When
The expressly ascribes such Fruits and Consequents to
it! This is not only affirming, but affirming with
the highest Approbation, like proclaiming the King,
and placing the Crown upon his Head.

In one Part of your *Preservative*, you enumerate, and very properly display, what you call "the five "Bene-

" Benefits of Baptism." Suppose a Quaker, upon reading this Passage should say, "Friend Wesley, thou barely " defineft the Term, thou neither affirmest nor de-" niest the Thing-This is no Proof that thou thyse self believest a Tittle of Water Baptisin, or would-" est have thy Readers believe the Reality of any " fuch Ordinance." Should the Quaker argue thus, he would argue just like yourself. But I apprehend he would not be so boldly difingenuous, he would rather confess; " Friend John doth certainly main-" tain and believe these Things; but his Opinion is mistaken, and his Arguments are inconclu-" five." "The xxxist Article totally overthrows " Predestination, and razes it from the Foundation." If so, it makes one Article contradict another: Confequently, weakens the Authority, and undermines the Credibility of them all. In this Article are two Points more particularly proper for our Enquiry. The great Salvation, and the Number of the Saved-I cannot but query, whether you believe the former, or rightly understand the latter?

The great Salvation, expressed in the following Words; "The Offering of CHRIST once made, "is that perfect Redemption, Propitiation, and Sa-"tisfaction for all the Sins of the whole World, both original and actual." If I take these Words as I am enjoined, in the literal and grammatical Sense, I must believe, that CHRIST engaged to satisfy offended Justice, for every Sin which I have committed, or shall commit, throughout my whole Life. My past Sins at that Time, had no more Existence, than my suture Sins have at this Hour, but both were equally laid upon my LORD.

Having

Having undertaken this greatest of all Works, I must believe that he fully accomplished it; and actually fatisfied for all my Transgressions, of every Kind and every Date.—A Possibility, or mere Chance of being redeemed, can never be reckoned a perfect Redemption; neither would our SAVIOUR have paid down a politive Price for a precarious conditional Good, much less would he have paid an immense, an infinite Price, upon a bare Uncertainty, whether it should take any Effect, or ever obtain its defired End-I believe therefore, that the Satiffaction is made for me, that GOD has received the all-sufficient Atonement in Behalf of all my Provocations, and that there is no more Ground of Condemnation for me a vile Sinner, than there is Room for the Profecution of an Infolvent, all whose Debts are defrayed, even to the very last Mite.

The Number of the Saved, expressed in those Words—The Sins of the whole World. This I acknowledge to be the Language of Scripture; and I promise myself you will bear with me, while I offer my Thoughts, concerning the Occasion and the Import of such Language.

In the Antediluvian and Patriarchal Ages, the LORD JEHOVAH confined his Favour to a few particular Families.—When he formed his Israel into a commonwealth, he chose them to himfelf, and separated them from all other Nations. To them he gave his Oracles, his Ordinances and his Covenants, yea, he honoured and indulged them with his divine Presence: In this the Israelites gloried; they appropriated this Privilege to themselves, and held other People at a Distance, looking upon them as Strangers, and without GOD in the World; hence

hence that chosen Seed spares not to say, "We are thine; thou never barest Rule over them; they "were not called by thy Name."-At the Commencement of the MESSIAH's Kingdom, the LORD purposed to change the Scene, and vary the Dispensation, by admitting both Fews and Gentiles to an Interest in the great Salvation; as they were equally chargeable with Sin, and equally liable to the Curse, they should now stand upon a Level: be equally Sharers in that divine SAVIOUR, who fubmits to be made Sin, and to be made a Curse for both alike. This the HOLY GHOST expressly and repeatedly promised, He (that is, the REDEEM-ER which is to come) shall speak Peace unto the Heathen; His Dominion shall be from Sea, even to Sea, and from the River even to the End of the Earth.

Notwithstanding such Prophecies, and such Promises, our LORD himself, when he entered upon his Ministry, acted a discriminating Part, and kept up the Partition Wall; in Pursuance of that declaration, I am not fent, but unto the lost Sheep of the House of Israel. When he sent forth his Disciples to preach and to teach, he gave them also a Command to shew the same partial Regard; Go ve not into the Way of the Gentiles. This Conduct of our LORD, both under the old Testament and the new, confirmed the Jews in their felf flattering Notion, that they were, and ever should be, a favourite Nation, and a peculiar People. The Gentiles on the other Hand, were no less discouraged; apprehending that as they were, so they ever should be, Aliens from the Commonwealth of Ifrael. But in order to convince the Jews of their Mistake, in claiming the Bleffing of Abraham to themselves, and in order order to affure the poor discarded Gentiles that they should be Fellow-Heirs and of the same Body; our LORD, in his last Charge to his Apostles, alters the Stile of his Commission, and enlarges the Sphere of their several Departments. It is now no longer, Go not into the Way of the Gentiles, but quite the reverse, Go teach all Nations, all the World, yea, and every Creature, whosever believeth, whether Jew or Gentile, shall be saved.

Still the Jews were hardly induced to give the right Hand of Fellowship to their Brethren the Gentiles-For St. Peter cries, with some Indignation; Not so, LORD. Still the Gentiles, hardly perfuaded that they should be Partakers of the Grace, reasoned against themselves; The LORD hath utterly separated me from his People .- Therefore the LORD, to intercept all the desponding Objections of the latter, and to bring down the high disdainful Imaginations of the former, declares in a Variety of Places, that the Difference no longer subsists, that CHRIST has thrown down' the Partition Wall, and laid all plain and common, and free.-Though the giving of the Law pertained to Israel only, the LORD JESUS gave himself a Ransom for ALL PROPLE. Though the paschal Lamb extended its Influence only to the Circumcifion, the LAMB of GOD is a Propitiation for the Sins of the whole World, even though it be not circumcifed. And now GOD would have all Men, whether bond or free, Jews or Gentiles, Greeks or Barbarians, to be faved, by coming unto the Knowledge of the Faith.

This Account gives us the true Cause, and points out the intended Use, of such universal Phrases. They are calculated to abate the Pride of the Jews,

to encourage the despised Gentiles, and by excluding none, they give Encouragement for all to come, because, though every individual Person will not be saved, yet whosever cometh shall in no wise be cast out.

—By this Interpretation, the Phrase is neither inconsistent with other Texts, neither does our Church contradict herself.

Upon the whole, you will please to observe, that I should never have touched upon this Subject, had not your Objections, far setched and sorced as they are, given me a kind of Challenge. And now I have touched upon the Subject, it is not as a Champion for the Cause, but only to shew the Weakness and the Inconsistency of your arguing, how little you avail yourself even on a Point, where you think Opposition vain, and your Arm irresistible.

"Believers, who are notorious Transgressors in " themselves, have a finless Obedience in CHRIST;" this Passage you select as faulty, I presume, because it is opposite to your favourite Tenet, " Per-" fection in personal Holiness."-By notorious, I mean acknowledged, confessed, indisputably such. you are not fuch a Transgressor, why do you daily confess yourself " a miserable Sinner?" Why do you acknowledge, that you are "tied and bound with " the Chain of your Sins," and declare before all Men, that " there is no Health in you?" All this Mr. Wesley speaks with his Lips, and I would hope believes in his Heart. Yet all this does not amount " to a notorious Transgressor." Pray then, good Sir, inform us, what Sort of Transgressor is described by all these Expressions.

You cry out, "O Syren Song!" The Pfalmist would have taught you a better Exclamation. If

this is the Case, let us rejoice with trembling-Are we notorious Transgressors in Ourselves? The Consciousness of this is the strongest Motive to Humility-Have we a finless Obedience in CHRIST? The Belief of this is an abundant Source of Joy. When you add " pleasing Sound to James Wheatly! "Thomas Williams! James Relly!" I am quite ashamed of your Meanness, and grieved at your uncharitable Rashness. How unworthy is such a Procedure, either of the Gentleman, the Christian, or the Man of Sense! Unworthy the Gentleman, to stigmatize by Name, and expose to the most public Infamy. Unworthy the Christian, whose Charity concealeth, rather than divulgeth and proclaimeth upon the House Tops .- Unworthy the Man of Senle, who knows that the Miscarriages of a Professor, are no Argument against the Soundness of a Doctrine; if they were, would not your own Principles totter? Nay, how could Christianity itself ffand?

Elijah failed in his Refignation, and even Moses himself spake unadvisedly with his Lips. "It is true, says Mr. Wesley. But if you could likewise fax some Blot upon venerable Samuel, and beloved Daniel, it would prove nothing." I have no Desire to fix a Blor, but if I find it in the most accomplished Character, this proves the Proposition, which Aspasio maintains; "That the very best of Men fall short, that the very best of Men will be found guilty, if tried by the righteous Law—That the very best of Men, have nothing more to plead for Acceptance with the HIGH and HOLY one; than the Criminal, who Yesterday murdered his Besinesactor; To-morrow is to be executed for his Crime,

<sup>46</sup> Crime, and is now flying to the Redemption that <sup>46</sup> is in CHRIST JESUS for the Chief of <sup>46</sup> Sinners.

" No Scripture teaches that the Holiness of Chris-" tians is to be measured by that of any Jew." 1 should be afraid to advance such a Position, after having read that general Exhortation, Be ye Followers of them, who through Faith and Patience inherit the Promises; and those more particular Reservences to the antient Saints, comprized in the eleventh Chapter to the Hebrews. Were not they Jews? Does not the Apostle propose them as Patterns for our Imitation? Is not this his Language, let us also in Conformity to their Practice ?- The Spirit of CHRIST was in them; and " they obtained, (even from the 66 fupreme JUDGE) a good Report." Agreeably to this divine Testimonial, we are directed to learn from Abel, a fiduciary Dependence on the great Atonement, and from Enoch, a Life of Communion with a reconciled GOD. The Prophets are recommended to our Contemplation, as " Examples " of fuffering Affliction, and of Patience." Elijah is fet before us as an Instance of persevering and fuccessful Prayer: And we are directed to walk in the Steps of our Father Abraham's Faith. This was the Counsel of an Apostle to others; this was the Aim of an Apostle with regard to himself; therefore I think, it can never be unworthy of you, or unfit for the most advanced among your Disciples. For my Part, I shall reckon myself truly happy; I shall bless the Day, whereon I was born; if I may but be enabled to follow the Footsteps of these illustrious Leaders, though

> — — Non passibus æquis. O

That

That Christians ought to rise above the Level of the common Jews, Iffreely own. Mr Welley's Mistake feems to lay in confounding the common with the uncommon, in not discerning the Difference between any and every; between some and all. Some Jews were bleffed with extraordinary Endowments, they had diffinguished Communications of the Spirit of Wisdom and Holiness. They were as the "Stones " of a Crown, lifted up as an Enfign upon his " Land." Their great Atchievements and eminent Attainments are described in the aforementioned Chapter, which may truly be stiled the Golden Legend; great Things impossible to Flesh and Blood, they both performed and suffered. Such as characterise a Saint of the highest Rank .- To imitate these is the Duty of all Christians; to equal them is the Privilege of few.

Let me illustrate this Sentiment; the Reader, I apprehend, will hardly think it needs Confirmation .-Every Graduate in the University, much more every Minister of the Gospel, ought to exceed the School Boy in Learning and Knowledge - Yet there have been School Boys with whom few Ministers, and fewer Graduates, will venture to compare themselves. A recent Instance of this Kind, we have in the famous Baratier. This wonderful Youth when he was but four Years old, spoke French to his Mother, Latin to his Father, High Dutch to his Maid. At the Age of fix, he explained the Hebrew Text, as ready as if it had been his native German. When other Lads are scarce able to read with Fluency and Propriety their Mother Tongue, he was not only acquainted with, but Master of, five several Languages. In his eleventh Year he published a learned

learned Latin Differtation, and translated a Book of Travels, out of Hebrew into French. While a mere Boy he was qualified to dispute with Professors of the Sciences, was honoured with a Seat at an ecclesialtical Synod, and admitted to the Degree of Doctor in Philosophy. Upon this Narrative I shall only observe, that many of the Jews, whose Names are immortalized in Scripture, were, in Faith, in Godliness, and all that is exemplary, so many Baratiers.

liness, and all that is exemplary, so many Baratiers. "Do not the best of Men frequently seel Disor-" der in their Affections? Do they not often com-" plain, when I would do good, Evil is present with me?" "I believe not." What a Proof is here! How well suited to its Office; which is to controul the Current, and over-rule the Evidence of ancient and modern Consent. But why don't you believe what Afpasio supposes? Is your Disbelief grounded on Fact? Are you acquainted with any People, who feel no Disorder in their Affections? Who always do good in the completest Manner? And never have Evil present with them? If so, what are their Names? Where do they live? We would go many Miles to fee them. You have no Aversion to the Mention of Names, when Censure is the Motive, and public Difgrace the Effect, why should you be so reluctant, when Honour and distinguished Respect would be the Consequence?

Do they not say, we groan, being burdened with the Workings of inbred Corruption? "This is not the Meaning of the Text. The whole "Context shews the Cause of that Groaning was their longing to be with CHRIST." You need not on this Occasion rummage the Context, or take a Journey to find what is at your Door. The Sentence

tence itself shews, as plainly as Words can shew, the Cause of their Groaning. We groan, it is not faid because we long to be with CHRIST. This might be a Truth; but this is not the Cause asfigned, "We groan because we are burdened." Burdened with what? Aspasso answers with a Body of Sin and Death, or with what the Apostle himfelf stiles to Auglov. This, whatever it means, was the Load that encumbered them, oppressed them. and made them figh ardently for Deliverance. Does not this fignify all the Infirmities and Disorders of the prefent mortal State? Among which the fad Effects of inbred Corruption, are none of the leaft. These gave those magnanimous, but pious Souls, more Uneafiness than all other Kinds of Affliction whatever \*.

The Care of Sin will be perfected in Heaven. Nay, surely in Paradise."—Aspasio knows no Difference between Paradise and Heaven; Paradise is the Kingdom where CHRIST reigns; and is not this Heaven? Paradise is the Region where the Tree of Life grows; and is not this Heaven? Heaven denotes the Place, Paradise describes its Nature, a Place of consummate Bliss and absolute Perfection, where is the Fulness of Joy and Pleasure

<sup>&</sup>quot;We groan, being burdened, with a Senfe of our fpiritual Infirmities, and with the Workings of interpretation." This is Apasio's Interpretation. We groan, being burdened with numberless Infirmities, Temptations and Sins." This is Mr. Wesley's Interpretation in his Expository Notes on the New Testament. Yet here he denies what there he assirms.—It is said, I think of Ismael; His Hand will be against every Man. Mr. Wesley goes a Step farther. His Hand is against himself, as well as against every Body else.

fure for evermore.—However, if it can be proved, that they are different Abodes, and imply different States \*; then Afpasio would be understood to say, the Cure of Sin is completed in Paradise; or as soon as the Believer drops his Flesh, and enters the invisible World.

This (a perfect Conformity to GOD) is a noble Prerogative of the beatific Vision. "No;" says Mr. Wesley. Though St. John, one would think, had settled and ascertained this Point beyond all Contradiction—We shall be like him, for we shall see him, as he is.—"We shall," which intimates, that at present we are not perfectly like him—"For," which denotes the efficient Cause of this Advancement and Felicity; this complete Transformation into the divine Image—"We shall see him," no longer through a Glass, but Face to Face. We shall receive the clearest Manisestation of his inessable Holiness and Glory, which will have just the same Effect upon our Souls as the imprinted Seal has upon the melting Wax.

"It would then come too late. If Sin remains in us till the Day of Judgment, it will remain O 3 "in

<sup>\*</sup> St. Paul, I am aware, speaks of Heaven and speaks of Paradise, 2 Cor. xii. 2, 4. So does David speak of rifing up into the Hill of the LORD, and of standing in his bely Place.—But as the same Thing, though variously expressed, is meant by the Psalmist, I think we may not unreasonably understand the Apostle in the same Manner. If they had been different Habitations, methinks he would have mentioned Paradise first, and then the third Heavens. Otherwise he tells the Story but aukwardly; for he first mentions his Arrival at the third Heavens, and then at Paradise, that is, according to Mr. Wesley; first he was led into the Presence, and then introduced to the Ante-Chamber.

" in us for ever."-You suppose, that the beatific Vision is not enjoyed, till the Day of Judgment. But in this you seem to err, not knowing the Scripture. I have a Desire, says the Apostle, to be disfolved—And what is the Consequence, the immediate Consequence of Dissolution? To be with CHRIST; in his Presence; before his Throne; and is not this the beatific Vision? Willing (says the fame inspired Writer) to be absent from the Body, and present with the LORD.—Here is no Hint of any intermediate State, but the very Moment in which the Saints depart from their Bodies, they are present with the LORD; and if with the LORD, then in the highest Heavens; then at the Fountain Head of Felicity; then amidst the beatistic Vision.—To Heaven Elijah was conveyed in his fiery Chariot; and into Heaven the first Martyr was received by his compassionate SAVIOUR.—Neither of them waited in fome intervening Mansion, as a Kind of Lobby to the Heaven of Heavens. This is the Popish Notion, and very closely connected with the Chimera of Purgatory; fo closely connected, that if you take away the former, the latter drops into nothing—I am forry, your Opinions, Sir, are so much like the Errors of the Man of Sin.

Our present Blessedness does not consist in being free from Sin. "I really think it does." Spoke like Mr. Wesley. "I think," is still the Argumentum Palmarium. "I think," is the heavy Artillery, which is to demolish Brigades at a Blow, only here it is strengthened and enforced by that emphatical Word "really."—But if our present Blessedness does really consist in being free from Sin, where

LET. 9. REV. MR. WESLEY. 199

where are your bleffed Persons? We may truly say,

Apparent rari nantes in gurgite vasto. VIRG.

No; this can hardly be faid, Virgil's Description is too full, instead of seeing a very few, here and there one, popping up their Heads, in the great and wide Ocean of the World; we are not able to find to . much as an Individual; shew us one, only one of these Angels in Flesh and Blood, and it sufficeth us. Whereas, if you perfult in maintaining your finless Perfection, yet cannot produce a single Instance, to exemplify your Notion, will you not give too just a Handle for that farcastic Reslection, used on another Occasion?

With Witnesses many this Cause did abound, With some that were hang'd, and some that were drown'd, And some that were lost, and some never found.

These are Aspasso's Words—" It (our present "Imperfection) perpetually reminds us of a most " important Truth, that our present Blessedness " confifts, not in being free from all Sin, but in " having no Sin imputed to us." He took particular Care to guard his Meaning from Misconstruction, by adding the Word all: Lest this Word, because it is little of Stature, should be overlooked, he printed it in Italics. But all this Precaution is thrown away upon Mr. Wesley. He takes no Notice of this same little Word; nay, he shuts it entirely out of his Quotation; as though he should fay, " Where is 46 the Harm of clapping under the Hatches fuch a " puny infignificant Monosyllable? I would have it " to know, I shall ere long turn adrift more plump " and portly Words than that." Aspasia

Albahe also took Care to confirm his Sentiments by a Reference to Scripture, he supported himself by the Authority of King David .- Mr. Wesley having a little while ago laboured to depreciate, now ventures to contradict the royal Pfalmift. Bleffed, (fays the Psalmist) is the Man-Who is free from Sin? Who is perfectly fanctified? This is not the Doctrine, which the sweet Singer of Israel teaches, but bleffed is he, whose Transgression is forgiven, whose Sin is covered. Deeply impressed, and quite charmed, with the Contemplation of this most substantial Happiness, the facred Writer proclaims it; repeats it; yes, a third Time he celebrates it; crying out with Ardour of Joy, Bleffed is the Man, unto whom the LORD imputeth no Iniquity \*. (Neither that Iniquity which was formerly committed, nor that which still defiles +.) Blessed indeed! May I live under a firm Persuasion of my own particular Interest, in this unspeakable Privilege! May I find it made good to my Soul, at the universal Judgment! Then let others take the Kingdoms of this Werld, and all the Glory of them. - And as for Alpaho, he may reckon his Credit safe, and his Opinion fully authorised, while he espouses the Doctrine, and uses the very Words of the unerring SPIRIT.

"If we are not free from Sin, we are not Christian Believers".—What an Affertion is here! Affertion, for I dare not call it a Truth.—If it was, who then could be faved? Not one of a thousand; not two of a Million; no, nor Mr. John Westey himself, fince

<sup>\*</sup> Pfal. xxxii. 1, 2. Should any Objection arise from the next Sentence, the Reader may see it anticipated, and superseded, in Theron and Aspasio. Vol. I. pag. 289. 290.

† Pfal. xv. 3.

fince out of his own Mouth he stands condemned. He makes this Acknowledgment, concerning himfelf and his Followers, "We know by melancholy " Experience what it is to neglect Works of Righ-" teousness." To corroborate his Confession, he adds, "We know and feel by melancholy Experi-" ence, what it is to swerve from our first Love, "We feel by Experience"-He is willing to run the Hazard of Tautology, rather than any should suspect the Sincerity and Truth of his Protestation.—And can you after such a Confession, after such a Protestation, pretend to be free from Sin? Is all this, which you know of yourself, and feel by Experience, consistent with a finless State? Just as much as a Lethargy is confistent with the Vigour of Health, or a shameful Flight with a glorious Victory. See, Sir, how you are entangled in your own Net, how, without being chased by an Enemy, you run yourself aground. Nor will all your Dexterity, to long as you avow fuch palpable Inconfiftencies, be · able to fet you clear.

You attempt to confirm your Opinion by the Apostle's Declaration; Being made free from Sin.—But he and You mean different Things by the same Words—He means being freed from the Dominion of Sin—This is agreeable to his own Explanation, Sin (ou κυριευσει) shall not lord it over you—It may assault you; it may barass you; it may gain some Advantage over you; but it shall not obtain a sinal Vistory, nor play the Tyrant over you. To the Expedience and Necessity of this Freedom, if ever we would approve ourselves Disciples of CHRIST, or Christians indeed, I readily subscribe—Whereas, you mean being free from the very Remainders of Sin.

"Having

"Having a Purity ('tis your own Explanation) free from all Mixture of its Contrary, and a Refignation excluding every Degree of Self-will." Against the Existence, or the Possibility of this Freedom, so long as we sojourn in a Body of Flesh, I enter my Protest.

If we were perfect in Piety, CHRIST's priestly Office would be superseded. "No, we should still need his Spirit, and consequently his Intercession." But were we perfect, we should receive the Spirit without an Intercessor-An Intercessor implies an Alienation between the two Parties; or fomething which, without the Intervention of a third Person. would create Alienation .- The prieftly Office, whether of atoning, or of interceding, is founded on a State of Guilt, to this it bears an essential and invariable Relation.—Does CHRIST exercise his priestly Office in Behalf of Angels? No, because they excel in Strength, and are perfect in Holiness - Will CHRIST exercise his priestly Office, when all his Saints are received into Glory? No, because then . there will be an absolute Consummation both in Body and Soul, both in Righteousness and Happiness, and the mediatorial Kingdom be delivered up to the FATHER.—Did CHRIST exercise his priestly Office before Adam fell? No, because Sin had no Existence then, and then the Language was. Let Man be bleffed; not, Deliver him from going down into the Pit.

The Objections laid to my Charge in this Paragraph, and the whole Side of the Leaf, proceed upon your favourite Notion; *Perfection* of Holinefs, even while we continue in Houses of Clay. As I look upon your Foundation to be a mere Delusion, I must

of course conclude, all that you build upon it to be chimerical and delusory; therefore, till you prove your Supposition, I have no Reason to concern myself with any of your Consequences deduced from it, or with any of your Allegations relating to it. On one Clause, however, let me bestow a slight Animadversion.

Aspasio says, a Sense of remaining inbred Corruption will reconcile us to Death; Mr. Wesley, replies, " Indeed it will not: Nor will any Thing do this " like perfect Love."-Here I think you have misfed the Mark. Nothing can reconcile us to Death but that which takes away its Sting; and this is done only by the Atonement of CHRIST. Nothing can reconcile us to Death but that which delivers us from its Terror, and this is effected only by the Sacrifice of our great HIGH-PRIEST. which has converted the King of Terrors, into a Messenger of Peace: Nothing can reconcile us to Death, but that which makes it defirable to depart. and Gain to die; and this is owing, wholly owing to him who died for us, that whether we wake or fleep, we fhould live together with him.

Old Simeon found, that nothing could reconcile him to Death, so much as a believing View of the LORD's CHRIST, seeing GOD made Flesh; seeing him as his own SAVIOUR, he was enabled not only to acquiesce in the Summons, but to welcome it as a Deliverance—He was enabled to say with Composure and Complacency, LORD, now lettest thou thy Servant depart in Peace—Not because I am weary of this impersect State; not because I am persect in divine Love; but because mine Eyes have feen thy Salvation.—Though you may not like to imi-

tate a Jew, I most heartily wish for myself; let me die the Death of this most venerable Hebrew, and let my latter End be like his!

If you still persist in your Opinion, that nothing can reconcile you to Dissolution like the imagined Persection of your Love, not the Blood by which the Saints overcame; not the Righteousness, by which they reign in Life; not the Grace and Power, which have swallowed up Death in Victory; I must then caution you to take heed lest you cross, or attempt to cross the River, in the Boat of vain Confidence. You have abridged, if I mistake not, the Pilgrim's Progress, therefore can be at no loss to understand my Meaning.

One Clause, I said—But I correct myself—There is another, so very extraordinary, that you might justly charge me with Inattention little short of Stupidity, if I should pass it over without Notice. These are the Words—" If we were perfect in Piety (St. John's Word is, perfect in Love) we should still be encompassed with Instrmities, and hable to Mistakes, from which Words or Actions might solution, even though the Heart was all Love, which were not exactly right."

This is strange! Wondrous strange indeed! Persect, yet "encompassed with Infirmities!" Persect, "yet doing Actions, and speaking Words not exactly right!" You are as singular in your Idea, as you are strenuous for the Doctrine of Persection.—I know not any Protestant Writer that pretends to maintain the latter, yourself only excepted; and as to the former, I think it could never enter into the Head of any Thing living, but Mr. Wesley's only. Persect, "yet encompassed with Infirmities," is just as sound

found Divinity, as true, yet addicted to lying, is found Morality.

This is not the worst Property of your Notion of Perfection, that it is abfurd and felf-contradictory. A Sentiment may be abfurd, yet not very pernicious. But this is an Error of the most malignant Kind. this was at the Bottom of the Pharifees Pride, and spirited them on to seek Justification by the Works of the Law; they knew full well, that their Obedience was not complete, it did not come up to their facred and exalted Standard, but they had learnt to soften and extenuate their Disobedience, into Matters " not exactly right."-This is the Cause, why People profeffing Christianity, fee no Form or Comeliness in GHRIST, so as to desire him, with Defires that cannot be uttered; 'tis true they are not perfect, they often offend,—but then the Offences are only human Infirmities; Words and Actions on not exactly right." With this, which is indeed 66 the Syren Song," they lull their Souls into an Insensibility of their ruined State, and a Disregard of the all-sufficient REDEEMER.

Curfed (fays the Law) is every one that continueth, not in all Things, whether they be great or small—And will you regard that, as a mere Instrmity, and consistent with Perfection, on which the divine Law demounces a Curse? Which the divine Law threatens with all Misery here, and with everlasting Vengeance hereafter? The Apostle would probably chastife the Author, or Abettor of such a Conceit, in the following Manner; "Wilt thou know, O vain Man, that what thou callest a Matter "not exactly right," is most horribly odious in the Eye of GQD's infinite Purity; deserves eternal Death

"the Estimate of his infinite Justice; and could never have been pardoned but by the atoning Death of his infinitely majestic Son!"

" Encompassed with Infirmities, yet the Heart all Love! Words and Actions not exactly right, yet the Man all Perfection!" These are all Paradoxes which I never saw equalled, only in the Writings of some high-flown Papists. Mr. Wesley's Words are not far from a Translation, they are to a Nicety the Sense, of those very offensive Passages, which I meet with in a Couple of Popish Zealots. Andradius, Interpreter of the Council of Trent, writes thus; Wenialia peccata \* tam funt minuta & levia, ut on non adversentur persectioni charitatis, nec im-" pedire possunt persectam aut absolutam obedien-"tiam."-Lindenus, another Champion for the same bad Cause, expresses himself in a more elegant, but in no less shocking a Manner; " + Levicula vitiola 46 lapsuum quotidianorum, aspergines & nævulæ se funt : quæ per se non maculant & contaminant, 66 fed quasi pulvisculo leviter aspergunt vitam christianam: ut nihilominus tamen per se sint persecta. « & undique immaculata Renatorum opera in hac " vita."-If Mr. Wesley pleases to consider these Passages,

- Venial Sins are so minute and trivial, that they do not oppose the Persection of our Love, nor can they hinder our Obedience from being absolutely persect.
- † The little trifling Faults which are owing to our daily Slips or Mistakes, are like Specks, or almost imperceptible Moles upon the Body, which of themselves do not stain or defile; but as it were, with small Particles of sine Dust, lightly sprinkle the Christian Life; so that nevertheless the Works of the Regenerate may be of themselves perfect, and in all Respects immaculate, even in this Life.

Passages, I hope, he will be induced to alter his Phrase, and rectify his Notions.—If he pleases to translate these Passages, his Followers may have an Opportunity of seeing, how nearly he approaches to some of the worst Errors of Popery. And may bence be admonished, not to imbibe, without due Examination, his Doctrines; nor submit, with an implicit Credulity to his Dictates.

· " The Charges of the Law are all answered."-At this Sentence Mr. Wesley is highly offended. As the Lion is said to lash himself into Rage, so my Objector stirs himself up into a graceful Indignation; thirs bimself, for there is nothing in the Pallage, or in the Context, to awaken such a Flame of Zeal. If Mr. Welley had understood Aspasso, according to the whole Tenour of his Discourse, there would have been no Room for bringing Count Zinzendorf upon the Carpet, nor for making that injurious Conclusion, then neither GOD nor Man, can claim any Obedience to the Law."-This is what Aspasse. means: The Claims of the Law, as a Covenant of Works-The Claims of the Law, as being the Condition of Life and Glory-The Claims of the Law, as requiring perfect Obedience on Pain of eternal Death. These Claims are all satisfied by our most bleffed and gracious SURETY .- If not, they are still incumbent upon us, and upon every Child of Man. A Burden this, which neither we nor our Fathers, were able to bear, which, heavier than the Sands of the Sea, would have funk us all into the nethermost Hell.—This Doctrine, therefore, is not " Antino-" mianism without a Mask;" but it is the Doctrine

of

of Righteoufness without Works\*, and of Justification without the Deeds of the Law +.

"Then neither GOD nor Man can claim any Dedience to the Law." Yes, GOD Almighty may, and GOD Almighty does claim our Obedience to the Law; as a Rule of Life, he requires a Conformity to his Precepts, as to the Image of himfelf; he demands a Performance of its Duties, as the Means of bringing Glory to his Name, and paying Submiffion to his Authority. And none will be for readily disposed, none will be so effectually enabled, to obey the whole Law; as those who see themselves made righteous by the Obedience of CHR 187, who are thereby delivered from that tremendous Curse, denounced on all Ungodliness and Unrighte-susness of Men.

Aspasso thus exhorts his Friend-Let me desire you to imagine, rather may the bleffed SPIRIT enable you to believe, that your Sins are expiated, through the Death of JESUS CHRIST: That a Righteousness is given you, by Virtue of which you may have free and welcome Access to GOD. This s not scriptural Language," fays Mr. Wesley. Therefore it cannot be found Doctrine, is his Way of arguing. Harmless enough I must own. But what follows is not quite fo modest. " I would " fimply fay;" and furely what I would fay, must be \*nexceptionably right: This is the Conclusion we are to make; otherwise what you alledge, is of no Weight at all.—" I would fimply fay, By him we have Ac-44 cess to the FATHER." This is beyond all Objection, proper.—It is taken from the Apostle, and it includes what Aspasso expresses.—The Apostle's Language

<sup>•</sup> Rom. iv. 6.

Language is the Ingot of Gold; Aspasio's Sentiment is a Thread drawn, or a Leaf beaten from it. Methinks before I dismiss this Topic, I would desire you to turn back a Moment, and reconfider what you have affirmed—Your Sins are expiated, is not this scriptural Language?—What else meaneth that Expression of the Apostle? Εις το ιλασκέσθαι τας αμαβιας τε λαε. "To make Expiation for the Sins of the People \*." A Righteousness is given you, is not this the scriptural Way of speaking ? "They who receive the Gift of Righteousness, shall " reign in Life."-By which you have free Access " to GOD;" is not this both the Dialect, and the Doctrine of the HOLY GHOST? "We have 46 Access with Confidence (not through our punctual Performance of any Conditions, but) through " the Faith of him;" by a fiducial Reliance on our LORD's most precious Obedience, Blood, and Merit.

"I have feen such terrible Effects of this unscriptural Way of speaking."—Here I fancy you slip
into a little Mistake, you forgot the Distinction between the Use, and the Abuse of a Doctrine, a Distinction which you can easily make on other Occasions; you have doubtless seen People, who use the
most scriptural Way of speaking, yet act unsuitably
to their Language; what Reslections arose in your
Mind, and what Inserence did you draw upon observing such an Inconsistency? You said perhaps,
Their Voice is Jacob's Voice; but their Hands
are the Hands of Esau—Hence it appears, that

P "they

Heb. ii. 17. Σις το ιλασκισθαι.—Ad expiare, i. c. ne expiaret peccata populi, quibus expiatis, Deus nobis redderetur propitius.

" they are Hypocrites—They pretend one Thing, "and are really another."—Make the same Restection, and draw the same Inserence, when you hear People talking of Imputed Righteousness, yet see them loosing the Reins to Ungooliness, then you will be consistent with yourself, and with Truth; ascribing the terrible Effects, not to the wholesome Doctrine, but to the vitiated Mind.

Where Sin abounded, &c. Mr. Wesley rejects Aspasio's Interpretation of this Text, and offers one of his own, one, which he had given us a little while ago; and now serves a second Time without any considerable Variation at our Table.—I shall only refer the Reader to Page 144, where he will find this Text considered, and Mr. Wesley's Exposition canvassed.

In this and the two following Paragraphs you find Fault with the Phrase Imputed; yet you say, " Con-" cerning the Thing there is no Question." You would discard that particular Form of Expression: yet you add, "As to the Doctrine we are agreed." Then according to your own Confession, all these your Objections are a mere Strife of Words. Surely fuch a Man as Mr. Welley should know how to make a better Use of Pen, Ink, and Paper, than to litigate about Letters and Syllables .- If I thought myfelf contending only about the more precisely proper Form of expressing the same Thing, I should be ashamed of my Employ, and would this Instant lay down my Pen; whereas I apprehend that we are not ageed as to Doctrine, that there is a material and very wide Difference between us .- My Opinion, or rather, my Faith is, that our LORD's Obedience to the moral Law in professed Submission to its Authority,

thority, and in exact Conformity to his Precepts: his Performance of all holy Duties, and his Exercise of all heavenly Graces, that all this is a most essential and diffinguished Part of his Merit, that this is of higher Dignity and greater Value than the whole World, and all the Righteousness in it-That the divine Law is hereby more fignally honoured, than it could have been honoured by the uninterrupted Obedience of Adam and all his Posterity-That GOD's Justice, Holiness, Truth, receive greater Glory from these unparalleled Acts of Duty, than from all the Services of Angels and Men in their feveral wonderful Orders; that this active Righteousness, together with his most meritorious Sufferings, are the Ground and Cause of my Acceptance with GOD, are the very Thing which procures and effects my Justification, making me not barely acquitted from Guilt, but truly righteous, yea, per-fectly righteous, and that before the GOD of infinite Penetration and Purity.—This is a View of the Doctrine, incomparably magnificent and inexpreshibly comfortable. If you agree with your Friend in all these Particulars, speak and write conformably to such Agreement, then you will never again hear from him in this Manner, neither will he receive any more such Favours from you as the Letter now under Consideration, then we shall be persectly joined together " in the same Mind, and in the same " Judgment."

Alas! this Union, I fear, is not so easily to be effected—Mr. Wesley still insists, and still urges, "The Authority of our Church (which Aspasie pleads) and of those eminent Divines (whose Testimony Aspasia alledges) does not touch those par-

"ticular Forms of Expression."—Justification thro' imputed Righteousness, or being made righteous through the Obedience of CHRIST, I suppose are the Forms of Expression intended. These, it seems, none of the Quotations consist, establish, no, nor touch, in Mr. Wesley's Opinion at least; but I am inclined to hope, that the Generality of Readers will be of a different Persuasion, and allow that the Quotations and the Expressions touch and resemble one another, as much as the Wings of the Cherubim in the ancient Sanctuary\*.

"Does not touch." No! not yet? Then we must have Recourse to some other Authority, and such a one I have at Hand as you would hardly venture, or even wish to gainsay, I mean the Authority of John Wesley, M. A. who declares in his Exposition to the New Testament—"This is fully consistent with our being justified by the Imputation of the Righteousness of CHRIST." Now I shall only remonstrate in Imitation of the Apostle; If thou thyself uses to lay it aside? Or, why art thou displeased with others for a Practice which thou allowest in thyself?"

Surely you will not say, Imputation of Righteousness is quite a different Thing from Imputed Righteousness.—Does not the former evidently include the latter? Can there be a Proclamation of Pardon, without a Pardon proclaimed? Can there be the Purchase of an Estate, without an Estate purchased? Or the Imputation of Righteousness, without a Righ-

Both the Cherubims were of one Measure, and one Size: And their Wings touched one another in the midst of the House, 1 Kings vi. 25, 27.

Righteousness imputed? If others should affect such subtile and self-deluding Evasions, Mr. Wesley cannot, Mr. Wesley must not, he has precluded himself, nay, he has, with his own Mouth, given a Verdict against himself. Is it not recorded in those Lines subjoined to your Character of a Methodist?

Let Faith and Love combine
To guard your valiant Breast,
The Plate be Righteousness divine,
Imputed and imprest.

This Imputed Righteousness was once a delightful Theme; your Song in the House of your Pilgrim-Why is it now a burthensome Stone, which you would fain shake off, from yourself and others? Are you become rich in yourself, and increased with Goods of your own acquiring? We know full well for what Reason the Phrase and the Doctrine are rejected, exploded, and reproached by the Romift Superstition, because they display in the brightest Light the Beauty of FREE GRACE. They hold the Door against all kind of human Merit, they cut off every, the most distant Pretensions for glorying in Man; and refer all the Honour of Salvation to 7ESUS CHRIST alone. Admit Justification through the imputed Righteousness of CHRIST, and the grand Bulwark, or the main Pillar of Popery, falls to the Ground; while a folid Foundation is laid for that Triumph and Gratitude, expressed in the inspired Hymn, Let us be glad and rejoice exceedingly, but give the Honour (all the Honour) to HIM\*.

The Righteousness of GOD, fignifies the Righteousness which GOD-Man wrought out, "No;"
P 3 fays

\* Rev. xix. 7.

fays Mr. Welley. Your Reason, Sir, for this Negative? A Child may deny; A Man of Judgment will disprove. - Does not Mr. Wesley disprove, when he adds? "It fignifies GOD's Method of justify-" ing Sinners." - Just as forcibly as the " Fews difof proved the Messiahship of JESUS of Nazareth, "when they cried; "Thou the MESSIAH! " No; thou art a Samaritan and hast a Devil." What they alledged, wanted a Proof altogether as much, as what they denied. - What Mr. Welley here alledges is a Thread-bare Objection, already confidered and already confuted. Yet, fince it relates to a Point of the utmost Moment, and that which is the main Hinge of our Controversy, I shall not be deemed officious, if, as the Shot has been once again discharged, I once again lift up my Shield against it.

"The Righteousness of GOD, signifies GOD's " Method of justifying Sinners." We have already shewn, how low an Interpretation this is; how infipid in itself, and incompatible with the current Language of Scripture: On the other Hand, how fublime and consolatory, is the Sense which Aspasia gives! A Righteousness, which GOD HIMSELF has provided without any Co-operation from his Creatures-The Righteousness of that most exalted, yet most condescending SAVIOUR, who is GOD and Man in one CHRIST, a Righteousness, dignified with all the Perfections of the GODHEAD, therefore, worthy to be the Comfort, the Joy, the never-ceasing Boast of his People; and sufficient, infinitely fufficient, to fave even the most vile, the most base, the most desperately ruined Sinners.

This

This is a Righteousness, as much superior to all human Attainments, to all angelic Accomplishments, as the Heaven of Heavens is higher than a Clod of the Vallies.—This is a Righteoufness which could never have entered into the Heart of Man or Angel to conceive, but will be the Cause of their Admiration, and the Subject of their Wonder to endless Ages—This Sense fully accounts for those rapturous Expressions of the Prophet, when speaking of the all-furpaffing Gift, he thus addresses his fellow Sinners; Rejoice greatly, O Daughter of Sion; Shout, O Daughter of Jerusalem; behold, thy King cometh unto thee-He is righteous, and having Salvation. He is completely righteous in his Nature, has fulfilled all Righteousness in his Life and Death, and has thereby obtained for thee, a full Pardon, a finished Salvation, a fure Title to eternal Glory.-This accounts for those more rapturous Expressions of the sacred Writers, when, in the Fervour of their Gratitude, they call upon the whole Creation, to celebrate the Goodness of the incarnate IEHOVAH. Sing, O ye Heavens; for the LORD hath done it, shout, ye lower Parts of the Earth; break forth into singing, ye Mountains; O Forest and every Tree therein, for the LORD hath (in his own Person, by his own Obedience and Sufferings) redeemed Jacob, and glorified (not human Abilities, not human Works, but) himself, and his own Righteousness, in the Restoration of Ifrael \*.

In

<sup>•</sup> Isa. xliv. 23. Should any one say, is this the Sense of the Prophet? I ask, is not this the fullest, grandest, divinest Sense? Is it not a Sense perfectly true? Is it not warranted by the Gospel Revelation? Is it not demanded P 4 by

In short, this is a Righteousness, which exalts GOD's Justice; which magnifies the Law; difplays all his awful and amiable Attributes in their fullest Lustre.-To contrive it, was unsearchable Wildom, to bestow it, is invaluable Treasure .-It answers in the compleatest Manner, all the grand and gracious Purpoles both of GOD's Glory and of Man's Salvation. True Gospel this! Glad Tidings indeed! An Expedient for our Recovery greater than our Hearts could wish. We may truly fay, while meditating on this Gift of confummate Righteoufness; Where Sin has abounded, Grace has much more abounded. The Bricks are fallen down, but the most glorious Repairer of our Breaches has built with hewn Stone. Well might the Apostle, having this supremely excellent Righteousness in his View, look down with the most sovereign Contempt upon every other (Cause of) Confidence; upon every other Object of Trust; and reckon them Dross and Dung. ---Well

by that Declaration of our SAVIOUR; They (the aneient Scriptures) testify of Me? Some Writers, I am aware, interpret this and other similar Passages, without taking in the unspeakable Bleffings of CHRIST and his Grace. But these Interpreters seem to act a very injudicious, and no less unfaithful Part-I will suppose them expounding and illustrating that great Command of creating Power, Let the Earth bring forth Grafs, and the Herb yielding Seed .- Will they model their Exposition, or fetch their Illustration from what appears on the Ground in the barren Month of December? Surely, if they have any Taste or Judgment, they will form their Comment. by the Fertility, the Plenty, the unbounded Luxuriancy of April and May. There is much the same Difference between the Righteousness of an incarnate SAVIOUR and all other Gifts of divine Goodness, as there is between the vegetable Productions of the vernal, and the al. most total Sterility of the wintry Months.

—Well might he declare, that he would never be ashamed of the Gospel, in which is this transcendently noble Righteousness, in all its Magnificence, Riches and Glory.

Do you think me rather too warm upon the Subject? Let me once again remit you to St. Chryfostom, read his Exposition of that charming Sentence, The Righteousness of GOD,  $\Pi_{OUS}$  &c. This venerable Father of the Church speaks the Thing as it is. He does not mingle our Wine with Water, but gives us the genuine Truth, and triumphs because of the Truth.

The Doctrine of an imputed Righteousness seems to have been typically taught, by the remarkable Manner of Clothing our first Parents. could do for their own Recovery, was like the patched and beggarly Mantle of Fig-leaves; this they relinguished, and GOD himself furnishes them with Apparel; Animals are flain, not for Food, but Sacrifice; and the naked Criminals are arrayed with the Skins of those slaughtered Beasts-The Victims figured the Expiation, made by CHRIST's Death, the Clothing typified the Imputation of his Righteousness. "That does not appear," cries Mr. Wesley. Aspasio has produced an Authority from the samous Milton. I could reinforce it by another from the elegant Withus. If you are not fatisfied with either, or both these Testimonies, I will give you a Reason for the Sentiment-The Victims most properly shadowed forth the Expiation of Guilt, by the Redeemer's Blood; because it is the peculiar End of Sacrifice; to make Atonement for Sins; the Clothing most pertinently denoted the Saviour's Righteousness, which is described, both by the Prophet and the Apostle under this very Image—He bath covered

me with the Robe of Righteousness, says the Prophet Isaiah. The fine Linen which arrays the Bride of the Lamb, is the perfect Righteousness of the Saints \* .fays the beloved Disciple. It is like a royal Vesture, or a rich Suit of Apparel, upon all them that believe, adds the Apostle Paul. The impartial Reader, I promise myself, will allow these Passages, if not to be absolutely decisive, yet to have somewhat more Weight, than that Atom in your Scale, " this does " not appear. As for Sanctification, this may very reasonably rank among the Effects of being cleansed by the Blood, and adorned with the Righteousness of CHRIST. These Bleffings produce Peace of Conscience, and Love of GOD. Just as commodious Cloathing produces Warmth, and promotes Health. And what is Love of GOD, but Holiness of Heart in the Seed, and Holiness of Life in the Fruit?

As this (the Nature of true Holiness) is a Matter of the last Importance; is a Point, on which Multitudes, I fear, are mistaken; I will leave it uppermost in your Thoughts, in the Reader's, and in those of, Rev. Sir, your, &c.

## LETTER

\* Rev. xix. 8. Τα δικαιωμαΐα, being in the plural Number, I think may be translated, Jufitia omnibus numeris abfoluta. A Righteousness of all Kinds, and all Degrees, or comprehending every Kind, and defective in no Degree—Would you see the Beauty of this sine Linen, or the Wardrobe in which it is deposited, consult I/a. xlv. 24. Theron and Afpasio, Vol. II. pag. 340, &c. where the Passage is explained, with Copiousness and Particularity; O! that is was also explained with a Propriety and Energy suitable to its eminent Importance!

## LETTER X.

REV. SIR,

E are now entering upon a new Province. Our Business will be chiefly of the philological Kind. We shall treat principally of Words. But as they are the Words, which the HOLY GHOST teacheth, they are like the Combs erected in yonder Hive. Not empty Syllables, made only for Sound; but rich with divine Sense, and full of the Honey of the Gospel, replete with the Manna of Heaven.—May this Pen be, to the Reader, like Jonathan's Rod; when, dipt in the delicious Juice, it enlightened his Eyes, refreshed his Spirits, and cheared his Heart!

"Almost every Text, you are pleased to affirm, quoted in this and the following Letter, in Support of that particular Form of Expression, (imputed Righteousness) is distorted above Measure
from the plain, obvious Meaning, which is pointded out by the Context."—Let us examine these abused and distorted Texts, in order to discover, from whence the Missortune happened; how the Violence was done; whether by Mr. Wesley's Pen, or by Aspasso's Tongue.

The first is from the Book of Job. Which, as it is greatly venerable for its Antiquity, and singularly to be regarded for its Importance, I shall beg leave

to consider at large.—A Sinner is described, lying under a dangerous Sickness; and brought, by the Force of his Disease, to the Brink of the Grave; by the Multitude of his Sins, to the very Borders of Hell. In this deplorable Condition, If there be a Messenger with Him, an Interpreter, one of a thousand, to shew unto Man his Uprightness; then he is gracious unto him, and saith, deliver him from going down into the Pit; I have found a Ransom\*.

If there be with him a Messenger of the living GOD; a faithful Ambassador of CHRIST, who may administer spiritual Assistance to the poor assisted Creature.—An Interpreter, who knows how to open the Scriptures, and rightly to divide the Word of Truth. Who is a Preacher of Righteousness, and can properly apply the Word of Grace.—This is not every one's Talent; nor within the Compass of every Man's Abilities. He is One of a Thousand, to whom GOD hath given the Tongue of the learned; enabling Him to speak a Word in Season, and suit the Condition of each respective Patient.—To show unto Man his Upright-

\* Job xxxiii. 22, 24. I have the rather chosen to lay this whole Passage before the Reader, because a new Interpretation is given to the Word Messenger. Here, it is supposed to denote a faithful and skilful Minister of the Gospel. In the Dialogues, it is supposed to describe our LORD JESUS CHRIST himself. I scarcely know, which Sense to prefer. Perhaps, both may be included, the Author, as well as the Instrument, of Comfort to the fick and finful Man .- However, the Point in Debate between Mr. Welley and Aspasio, is not affected by the different Application of this Word. His Uprightness, according to either Exposition, may signify the MESSIAH's Obedience and Sufferings; must fignify these Things provided these are the only justifying Righteousness of a Sinner; the only Way of obtaining the divine Favour, and removing every Evil.

Uprightness; that is, says Mr. Wesley, "to convince "Him of GOD's Justice, in so punishing Him."

But is this the Instruction, which such a distressed Sufferer wants? Is this the Word of Reconciliation, which every true Minister, in ancient Times did preach, and in later Times does preach? Or is there any Need of a choice Instructor—One skilled in the Counsel of GOD, to teach what the common Dictates of Reason demonstrate?—In this Interpretation, I can neither discern the true Critic, nor the clear Reasoner, nor the sound Divine.

Not the true Critic. He would acknowledge. that the Antecedent in this Clause לאדם ישרו, is not GOD, but Man. To Man therefore, if we regard grammatical Propriety, the Pronoun his must be referred .- Not the clear Reasoner; He would observe the Emphasis of the Word then \*. Implying some Discovery, or some Conviction, in Consequence of which, Deliverance from Death ensues; or with which it is connected. Can this be a Discovery or a Conviction of GOD's Justice, in punishing Him? No verily.-Much less therefore can I discern the found Divine. He knows, and affirms constantly. that this is the Consequence of the MESSIAH's Righteousness alone. Which being imputed to the Sinner, becomes, for the bleffed Purpose of Justification unto Life, bis +.

So

P Verse 24.

<sup>+ &</sup>quot;The Uprightness, says Mr. Caryl, chiefly intended here, is the Righteousness of CHRIST, in and by which

<sup>&</sup>quot;we are reconciled to and made one with GOD. We re never see, where our Uprightness is, till we see there

<sup>&</sup>quot; is nothing that makes us stand upright in the Court of Heaven, but only CHRIST our Righteousness.—This is

So that Affasso seems to have the Import of Language, and the Scope of the Context, both on his Side. And I may venture to add, he has the consolatory Genius of the Gospel, yet more strongly pleading for his Interpretation. It must yield but cold Comfort, to tell a poor Wretch, confined to the Bed of languishing, and alarmed with Apprehensions of eternal Vengeance; but cold Comfort must it yield, to tell such a one, that he has deserved all this Misery, and is justly punished. Whereas, to inform him of a Righteousness, sufficient to do away all his Transgressions; sufficient to reconcile him, and render

"is the great Duty of the Messengers and Interpreters of CHRIST, to declare to Man this Righteousness for his Uprightness. And that hence it is (as Elibu speaks) that GOD is and will be gracious unto him."

Mr. Caryl, in expounding the Book of Job, has acquitted himself like a Master in Israel. His Thoughts are beautiful and animated. His Criticisms are correct and judicious. His Language, considering the Time in which he wrote, is remarkably pure and strong. His Doctrines are truly edifying, because, generally speaking, they are evangelical.—What is a very necessary, but very difficult Task, in explaining this Part of Scripture, the Connection of the Sentiment is discovered, the Bearings and Dependencies of the Argument are pointed out; and the Transitions from one Passage to another, shewn to be, not wild and disorderly, but just, regular, and graceful.

The only Fault attending this Work, is Prolixity. I wish some skilful Hand would, by rendering it less copious, render it more useful. I cannot but think, it might be a profitable Employ for young Students in Divinity, to exercise themselves in abridging Mr. Caryl, Dr. Owen upon the Hebrews, Mr. Charnock upon the Attributes, or some such valuable, but voluminous Authors. These, and many other Works of the same luxuriant Growth, would if put into the Alembic, afford us the very Spirit of the Gospel, and the richest Cordials for our Souls.

der him acceptable, even to the chastising GOD; sufficient to obtain his Deliverance very probably from Death, most assuredly from Hell; this is a reviving Report indeed. This will make the Bones, which Sin and Misery had broken, to rejoice.

Then, the Sinner and the Sufferer, attentive to

Then, the Sinner and the Sufferer, attentive to this Instruction, and applying this Righteousness, is made Partaker of Pardon. GOD, the sovereign LORD of Life and Death, is gracious unto him; and saith, in the Greatness of his Strength, as well as in the Multitude of his Mercies, deliver him from going down into the Pit of Corruption, as a Pledge of his Deliverance from the Pit of Perdition. For I have found a Ransom, satisfactory to my Law, and to my Justice. I have received an Atonement, in Behalf of this once obnoxious, now reconciled Transgression.

He shall receive the Blessing from the LORD, and Righteousness.—This you would render Holiness. But have you no Hebrew Lexicon to inform you, that the Word which signifies Holiness, is different from the Expression used by the Psalmist? He says nors, whereas Holiness is expressed by wpp.—Besides, have you not observed, that your Interpretation would betray the Psalmist, into apparent Tautology? He had, in the preceding Verses, displayed the Duties of practical Godliness, and the Graces of inherent Holiness. The Person he describes, possesses the latter, and practises the former. To say, therefore, he shall receive Holiness, when he has it already, would not suit David's Correctness; however, it may suit Mr. Wesley's Fancy, or Mr. Wesley's Design.—In this Clause, the evangelical Moralist touches upon another Particular, which enters, as an effential Part, into

into the Character of a godly Man; even the Righ-teousness which is of Faith. Denoted by the Bleffing of Pardon, and the Gift of Righteousness. Take away this, and there is no Acceptance with GOD. Take away this, and the Gates, mentioned in the Close of the Psalm, are unalterably shut. Unless we are furnished with this Passport, the everlasting Doors never lift up their Heads .- If you exclude this Peculiarity, the Description is very imperfect, and the Picture extremely deficient. Whereas, this adds the finishing Touch, and gives true Perfection to both.

Several Passages are quoted, in which the Word ארקה occurs. Sometimes you would have it fignify Mercy; sometimes Justification; sometimes spotless Holiness. But what Proof do I find, for establishing any of these Significations; which differ so much from one another, and still more from the Truth? Nothing but the customary Argument, "So it un-"questionably means."—Now you must unquestionably know, at least every Novice in the Language knows, that the genuine and native Sense of npr is Righteousness. The Word expressive of Mercy, is non; neither in Sense nor Sound alike.-As to Justification, the Phrase never denotes that bleffed Effect, but the divine and meritorious Cause. which produces it.

Shall I, in this Inquiry, appeal to the best Lexicons, the most approved Translations, or the ablest Interpreters? No, I will refer you to the Decision of an Interpreter, who is superior to all Lexicons and all Translations; I mean, the Author of the Epistle to the Hebrews. He translates this very Word, as it enters into the Name of Melchisedec. And he tranflates

translates it, not Mercy, not Justification, no, nor spotless Holiness, but Righteousness. Even that Righteousness, whose Fruit is Peace with GOD. and Peace in our own Conscience. Now, will you play the Critic upon this inspired Writer? and say. Unquestionably it means, not what the Apostle has determined; not what Aspasso, supported by his Authority, has adopted; but what I think fit to dictate?

An Opposer of our LORD's imputed Righteoufness, who had more Discretion or more Subtilty than Mr. Wesley, would have argued in this Manner. "The original Word, I must confess, " ought to be translated Righteousness. This is un-"doubtedly the principal and leading Signification " of the Term. But then the Circumstances and "the Context oblige us to understand it, in the " Notion of Mercy, of spotless Holiness, or of any "Thing else, that serves our Purpose."-This would be more modest and more plausible, though not more just and folid, than your confident Affertion.

Suppose, we should admit this Pretence, what does the Critic gain thereby? Must he not have Recourse to that noble and comfortable Doctrine, for which we plead? Let the Word be translated Mercy, Why is Mercy shewn to Sinners? Is it not on Account of the Righteousness of their SURETY? Let it be translated Goodness. Wherefore is Goodness exercised to rebellious Men? Is it not because of the Satisfaction, made by their crucified LORD? Render it whatever you please, provided it conveys the Idea of Favour vouchfafed, or of the Benefits conferred, it must terminate, still terminate, in that grand Q

grand central Point, the Incarnation, Obedience, and Death of IMMANUEL.

Sion shall be redeemed with Judgment-" After se-" vere Punishment," you say .- The Hebrew Preposition, fignifying after, is ans. I find no Trace of any fuch Word, in my Edition of the Bible. You may as well render or interpret the Passage, in the Midst. And then, if some other Critic should be inclined to translate it, before or round about; we should have a large Compass of Meaning, but where would Precision and Exactness be found?-But why is Sion to be redeemed after severe Punishment? Has her Punishment any Influence or Sway in the Work of her Redemption? Does the Punishment of Man pave the Way for the Salvation of GOD? Are Sinners to wait for Pardon and Reconciliation, till they have been severely punished? This is very discouraging Doctrine. And, blessed be GOD, it is absolutely without Foundation. The Gospel says; To Day, even to Day, Sinners, if ye will hear his Voice, ye shall enter into Rest. You need not tarry, till you have been severely chastised; but this Instant, believe in the LORD JESUS, and you shall be saved. The LORD JESUS has been wounded and bruised in your Stead. He has received all the Punishment, which you have deferved. Yea, as a Ransomer, he has paid double, as a Victim, he has fuffered double\*, for all your Sins,-Confidering these Things, I am still disposed to abide by Aspasio's plain and obvious Interpretation. Not to go out of my Way, in quest of the pricking Briar and grieving Thorn; when I meet with Roses and Lillies in the common Road.

Ιπ

In the LORD have I Righteousness. This will not satisfy our Critic. It must be through the LORD. -What piddling Criticism is this, even in case it was true, and answered some specious End! but it is by no means true. Every Body knows, that the Prefix a fignifies in; and every Body but Mr. Welley would blush to affert the contrary. Neither does it answer any valuable End, but the Reverse. It degrades the exalted Sense, and impoverishes the rich Bleffing. To have Righteousness in the LORD. is abundantly more expressive of glorious Grace. than barely to have Righteousness through the LORD. Mordecai had Riches and Honours, through Abasuerus, and his royal Favour; Esther had Riches and Honours, in Abasuerus, as her royal Husband. He by being a Courtier, she by being a Consort, to the most magnificent Monarch in the World.

If Mr. Wesley piddled in the foregoing, he flashes in the following Passage. He assures us that שדק עלמים means, spotless Holiness. This is really a bold Stroke in Criticism. But, like many other bold Enterprifes, it is likely to prove, not a Birth, but an Abortion.—שלמים spotless! You might as well have rendered it toothless. It has no more to do with the Idea of spotless, than it has to do with the Idea of an Ivory Tooth, or a polished Tooth-pick. Literally translated, it fignifies Ages; and may denote the Perpetuity of this Righteousness, and of its beneficial Effects. It was from the Beginning, it is at this Day, and it will be even unto the End, mighty to fave. It is the one Refuge and Hope of Sinners, in every Age of the World, and under every Dispenfation of Religion. Through all the Changes of Time it has been, and through the unchangeable Eternity Q 2

Eternity it will be, their chief Joy; and their Crown of Rejoicing.

What Righteousness shall give us Peace at the last Day, inherent or imputed? To this Question Aspasso has replied, in a very explicit Manner, by presenting us with a pertinent Extract from Bishop Hall, and by commenting upon a most important Prophecy of Isaiah. In both which, all human Righteousness is set aside, and our Peace is derived entirely from the glorious SHILOH\*. From Him, who made Peace by the Blood of his Cross, and whose Name is THE PRINCE OF PEACE. Having this heavenly Blessing, and the Right of conferring it, as the peculiar Privilege, or unshared Prerogative of his Crown.

Mr. Wesley is pleased to deny this Doctrine, and to associate with the Papists +, in ascribing our Peace (and if our Peace, then our Salvation) "partly " to inherent, partly to imputed Righteousness."—But does our Church so? Hear her own Words. We do not presume to come to this thy Table, O merciful LORD, trusting in our own Righteousness; much less then will she dare to approach his Judgment-Seat, trusting in any such Thing.—Does the

<sup>•</sup> Gen. xlix. 10. היש Schilo. Nomen Messive peculiare, tranquillatorem designans. That is, the Maker of Peace, and the Author of Tranquillity, for rebellious and wretched Men.

<sup>†</sup> The Doctrine of the Papists, avowed by a Writer of their own, is; "Our Confidence and Hope in the Day "of Judgment dependeth, not only upon our Apprehen- fion of CHRIST's Merits by Faith, but also upon our "Conformity to CHRIST, in Charity and good Works."—The Reader may see this Presumption rebuked, and this Error resuted, in Dr. Fulk's Annotations on the Rhemish Testament. 1 John iv. 17.

the Apossel Paul do so? Hear his own Protestation. That I may be found in CHRIST, not having mine own Righteousness, which is of the Law; which consists of my personal Obedience, and inherent Holiness. But having this, as the Source of my Peace, and the Strength of my Salvation, the Righteousness which is of GOD by Faith; even that inconceivably precious Righteousness, which GOD my SAVIOUR wrought, and which a Sinner by Faith receives.—Did Mr. Wesley himself always do so? Let those Lines bear Witness; of which neither the Poet, nor the Divine, need be ashamed.

My righteous Servant and my SON Shall each believing Sinner clear, And all who floop t'abjure their own, Shall in his Righteousness appear.

Will that Righteousness give you Peace, which you abjure? Or, is it pious, is it prudent, is it consistent, to trust in a Righteousness, which you absolutely renounce? That which you abjure (a stronger Word could not be used) You consider, not barely as despicable, but as utterly abominable. Whereas, that which gives you Peace at the awful Tribunal, must not only be excellent, but incomparably excellent and valuable.—See, my Friend, how thine own Mouth condemneth thee, and not I: Yea, thine own Lips testify against thee\*. O! that you may return to your first Sentiments, and to your first Love †! And no longer expose yourself and your

† Job xv. 6. † That Mr. Wesley may not be assamed to retract a mistaken Sentiment, I will break the Ice, and lead the Way. If it be shameful to renounce Error, and sacrifice all to Doctrine, to be a bye Word among the People. If you perfift in such palpable Inconsistencies, who can forbear taking up that taunting Proverb, A double-minded Man is unstable in all bis Ways.

But stop. A Passage from St. John is introduced, to support this Opinion. "CHRIST died for us, and lives in us, that we may have Boldness in the Day of Judgment."—That CHRIST died for us, and lives in us, I readily acknowledge. But where

Truth, I do very willingly take this Shame to myself.—
In a Copy of Verses, which I formerly wrote, sacred to the Memory of a generous Benefactor, I remember the following Lines.

Our Wants reliev'd by thy indulgent Care, Shall give thee Courage at the dreadful Bar, And flud the Crown, thou shalt for ever wear.

These Lines, in whatever Hands they are lodged, and whatever else, of a like Kind, may have dropt from my Pen, I now publicly disclaim. They are the very reverse of my present Belief. In which I hope to perse-

vere, so long as I have any Being.

Far be it from me to suppose, that any Work of mine, should, in order to create my Peace, or cherish my Confidence, be coupled with CHRIST's most boly Ad. I speak the Words of our Church, and I speak the Sense of the Prophet. I will trust, and not be afraid. Wherefore? Because I am inherently holy? Rather, because GOD is my Salvation. GOD manifest in the Flesh, has finished my Transgression, and made an End of my Sin. And in this most magnificently gracious Work will I rejoice. -I speak agreeably to the Declaration of the HOLY GHOST. Fear not, for thou shalt not be ashamed, neither shalt thou be confounded. Why? Because thy inherent Goodness shall prevent thy Confusion? No; but on a Footing, infinitely more folid; for a Reason, infinitely more fatisfactory. Because thy MAKER is thy Husband. The Consequence of which is, all thy Debts and Deficiencies are upon Him; all his consummate Righteousness is upon thee,

where do you find any of the Apostles, from these Premises, drawing your Conclusion? St. John, whom you quote, has no such Logic. His Inference is deduced from a very different Topic. You give us a Fragment of the Apostle's Words; why don't you exhibit the golden Bowl complete? We shall then quickly perceive, that it contains a more sweet and salutary Draught, than you have provided for our Refreshment.

Εν τέτω τετελειώλαι, η αγαπη μεθ' εμων, ινα σαρρησιαν εχωμεν εν τη ημερα χρισεως. Which we translate. Herein is our Love made perfect, that we may have Boldness in the Day of Judgment \*. As you are fond of criticifing upon the original Scriptures, here you might have done it justly and honourably. Here you might have altered and reformed our Translation; while every capable Judge would have owned your Service, to be seasonable and important. The true Sense of med nmwn, is with us, or with regard to us. That is, GOD's Love, celebrated with inimitable + Energy and Beauty in the preceding Verse; GOD's Love towards us is herein made perfect: this is its grand and crowning Effect, that we should Q.4 have.

\* 1 John iv. 17.

† O Θιος αγαπη 15 το, God is Love. This I call inimitable. Nothing can be more simple, yet nothing is more sublime. For my Part, I know not how to attempt an Illustration of the noble Sentiment. It strikes the Mind, as Light strikes the Eye. No Art can make this more bright; and no Paraphrase can make that more delicate, more majestic, more affecting.—How stat, some of the sinest Things, said by the ancient Philosophers; how stat they read, when compared with this animated Stroke of divine Eloquence, the Reader, if he pleases, may see in Contemplations on the starky Heavens.

have, not a bare Hope, but an unappalled Boldness at the Day of Judgment.

As though he had faid, GOD, having reconciled us to himself, by the Blood of his SON—having renewed us after his own Image, by his blessed SPIRIT, testifying of CHRIST in our Hearts—having carried us through all the Dangers of Life, and raised our Bodies from the Dust of Death—He crowns and consummates all these most indulgent Acts of his Grace, by giving us an undaunted and triumphant Considence, at the Day of universal Audit,—According to this Interpretation, your own Text is against your Opinion, and refers this joyful Assurance, not to our Love of GOD, but to his Love of us; not to inherent Righteousness, but to free Grace.\*

Aspasio thus translates St. Peter's Words: Who have obtained like precious Faith in the Righteousness of our GOD and our SAVIOUR JESUS CHRIST.

Mr. Wesley gives us to understand, that this Translation is wrong. It should be Faith through—and not through the Righteousness, but through the Mercy of our GOD and SAVIOUR.—He will not allow the Greek Preposition w to signify in; though I can prove it, to have been in peaceable Possession of this Signification, for more than two thousand Years.

<sup>•</sup> Should it be said, in case you thus interpret the first Part of the Text, how will it connect with what follows? Perfectly well.—And none need wonder, that we shall appear with such Boldness at his Coming; since they cannot but observe, that as He is, so are We in this World. We are actuated by his SPIRIT; we resemble Him in all our Conversation; and hence it is evident, that we are one with Him.

<sup>† 2</sup> Pet. 1. 1. Τοις ισοθιμου ημιν λαχυσ: σεις τι εν δικαιασνία το Θιυ ημων κή σωθηρος ημων Ιησυ Χριςυ.

Years. And the Substantive Analogum must not denote Righteousness, though it pleads, as a Warrant for this weighty Sense, the incontestable Authority of St. Paul. Give me Leave to tell you, Sir, that I can produce a Multitude of Prooss, to overthrow your first puny Alteration; but produce, if you can, a single Passage from the whole New Testament\*, to uphold your last daring Innovation.

Here, I cannot but observe; you abandon your favourite Commentator Bengelius; of whose Merit and Excellence you speak so highly and so justly. He fays, in his Notes upon the Place, the Righteoufness of GOD our SAVIOUR, is the Righteoufness of CHRIST; which Faith apprehends, and which is opposed to a Man's own Righteousness.— What is more furprifing, you depart from your own Comment; nay, you expressy contradict your own Comment. To edify the Readers of your Exposition, you inform and affure them, that this Phrase fignifies " both the active and paffive Righteousness" of CHRIST. To gainfay what Aspassio has advanced, you more than infinuate, that it fignifies no fuch Thing, but only "the Mercy of our LORD." -Nay, to corroborate the true Sense, and determine the Words invariably to the active and passive Righteousness of CHRIST, you add, "It is this " alone, by which the Justice of GOD is satisfied." If then Mr. Wesley would reconcile, what he writes in his expository Notes, with what he writes in his Ani-

The Righteousness of GOD, the Righteousness of GOD our SAVIOUR, never denotes, in all the apostolical Writings, the Attribute of Mercy. If it does, and Mr. Wesley can make it appear, I will confess myself mistaken and thank him for correcting my Error.

Animadversions on Aspasso, he must maintain, that by the Mercy of GOD alone, his Justice is satisfied.

I will not exclaim, on this Occasion, as you have too freely and not very genteely done, in your Letter to Mr. Law, "Exquisite Nonsense\*!" But this I may venture to say, Contradiction, didst thou ever know, so trusty a Friend, or so faithful a Devotee? Many People are ready enough to contradict others. But it seems all one to this Gentleman, whether it be another or himself, so he may but contradict.

Permit me, for a Moment, seriously to expostulate the Case. Why should you be so averse to the Righteousness of our GOD and SAVIOUR? Why should you ransack all the Stores of your Learning and Knowledge; nay, descend to unwarrantable Criticisms, and quite unworthy your superior Abilities, in order to exclude this most glorious Truth from the Bible; in order to exterminate this most precious Privilege from the Church? Attempt. if you think proper, to pluck the Sun from the Firmament, to hide the Light from our Eyes, and withdraw the Air from our Lungs. But do not attempt to rob us of what is far more valuable than all these Bleffings, by depriving us of this inestimable Treasure, the Righteousness of CHRIST. Which,

• Mr. Wesley, in the Abridgment of his Letter to Mr. Law, inserted in the Preservative from unsettled Notions, has expunged this and some other indecently harsh Expressions. In so doing, he has done well. Since the contemptuous and the reproachful, even when really deferved, can have no Tendency to confirm our Argument, but to provoke Resentment. They are not the most promising Means of joining us together, in the same Mind and the same Judgment; but rather the sure Way, to widen the Breach, and increase Animosity.

Which, being a Righteousness, immaculate, all-furpassing, divine, swallows up and annihilates our Guilt; as the immense Waves of the Ocean, would swallow up and annihilate the Drop of Ink, that now hangs on the Point of my Pen.—Which, being a Righteousness, immaculate, all-surpassing, divine, will present us before our GOD, and before his Angels, without Spot and Blemiss; in Robes, more beautiful than the Colours of that resplendent Bow, which is bended on the Skirts of yonder Cloud.

Therein is revealed the Righteousness of GOD-"GOD's Method of justifying Sinners." See this Interpretation examined, and this Objection answered before.

We establish the Law, as we expect no Salvation, without a perfect Conformity to it \*-namely, by CHRIST. " Is not this a mere Quibble?" fays Mr. Welley .- Quite the reverse. It is no low Conceit, but an exceeding ferious and momentous Truth. It is no Play upon the Sound of Words, but expresses a Doctrine of great Solidity, and of the last Importance. Tell me, ye that cavil at this Method of establishing the Law, by what other Expedient you propose to effect it?-By your past Conduct? That, you must acknowledge, has been more or less a Violation of the Law.—By the present Obedience? That, you cannot deny, falls short of the sublime Requirements of the Law.—By your future Behaviour? Well, I will suppose, that, in some future Period.

<sup>•</sup> The Reader is defired to peruse Aspasso's own Words, Vol. II. Pag. 369, 370. There his Sentiments are more fully explained; but the Passage is not transcribed, on Purpose to avoid increasing the Size of this Piece. Which already swells to a larger Bulk than the Writer proposed.

Period, you reach the very Summit of Perfection. Still the Law will have much to complain of, and will lay much to your Charge. You have not magmified it by a holy Nature. You have not presented it, with the confummate Righteousness of your whole Heart, and your whole Conversation. You have not begun, from the first Moment of your Existence, and persevered in this persect Conformity, to the last Breath you drew. In this Case, either the Law must recede from its most righteous Demands, and the immutable GOD must compromise Matters with his Creatures, or else you can never enter into Life. Unless you renounce all such impotent Attempts, and arrogant Conceits; talk no more of oractifing it, in its full Extent," but betake yourfelf to CHRIST, who is the End of the Law \*, for accomplishing that Righteousness, which its Precepts demand, but which the Frailty of Man cannot perform.

Thus we establish the Law, as the consummate Standard of Righteousness; as the original Condition of Life; and as that most venerable System, with which, as well as with its divine Author, there is no Variableness or Shadow of changing.—And does this Method of securing the Dignity of the Law, hinder

or:

CHRISTUS, saith St. Augustine, est legis simis, interficiens & perficiens. The ceremonial Law he has slain, and taken out of the Way. The moral Law he has fulfilled for us, and we in him. Inasmuch as, through Faith in

his Name, his Obedience becometh ours.

<sup>\*</sup> Rom. x. 8. CHRISTUS, faith Bengelius, est redag tope; justitian & vitam, quam Lex ostendit, sed dare nequit, stribuens. CHRIST is the End of the Law. How? By bringing in that Righteousness, and giving that Life, which the Law shews, and shews the Want of, but neither itself gives, nor can enable us to acquire.

or discourage a dutiful Observance of its Commands? If not, your Objection derived from that well-known Text, Without Holiness no Man shall see the LORD, is

## - Telum imbelle sine ictu.

If this be the most rational, and the most sure Way of producing the Love of GOD, which is the very Essence of true Holiness, then your Objection recoils, and falls upon the Head of your own Cause.—Can there be a more powerful, a more endearing Motive to love the LORD my GOD, than a Persuasion of his inestable Love to me, in giving his dear SON, so to fulfil, so to satisfy the Law on my Behalf, that I am thereby delivered from all my Offences; am vested with a persect Righteousness; and, on the Foot of Justice, as well as Mercy, stand entitled to eternal Life?

"Though I believe, that CHR LST hath lived and died for me, yet I would speak very tenderly and sparingly of the former."—How widely then does your Practice differ from the Apostle's? We believe, and therefore have spoken, confidently and incessantly, in Season, and out of Season. No, says Mr. Wesley, "We believe, and therefore we speak tenderly and sparingly."—If you believe, that CHR IST has lived for you, and sulfilled all Righteousness in your Stead, surely you should give him the Honour of this wonderful Loving-Kindness, and both preach, and talk, and sing of his Goodness. It should be as a Fire shut up in your Bones; and you should speak, that yourself may be refreshed, and your LORD may be glorished.

But you "fear dreadful Consequences:" What? Where the divine Holiness fears none? And the divine

vine Prescience sees none? Are you then more deepsighted, to discern these distant Evils, than Omniscience? Methinks, I would not have spoken thus,
unless I had been wifer than the SPIRIT of Inspiration.—Do you not, by cherishing, and avowing
such Apprehensions, find Fault with the glorious
Gospel, in which this Righteousness is revealed?
Revealed, as its most eminent Article, and most distinguishing Peculiarity?—A Doctrine taught, and
a Blessing granted, and both from Heaven! Yet not
sit to be displayed, inculcated, and insisted on! What
a contemptible Idea must this give of our holy Resligion, and of our holy Revelation, to an inquiring
Insidel?

"I would never speak of them (the active and passive Righteousness of CHRIST) separately." -This infinuates, what Aspasio disavows; and what you cannot hint, without apparent Injustice to his Sentiments.—" I would speak of it (the former) as spar-"ingly as do the Scriptures." Here, you appeal to those Writings, which must either condemn your Conduct, or their own Propriety. At your Leisure confider the Case, and you will find the Dilemma unavoidable.-In the mean Time, be fo candid as to read a short Note, inserted in Theron and Aspasso, Vol. II. Page 304. Where you may see, that the Scriptures are far from speaking sparingly on this Point. It is their favourite and fundamental Topic. It runs through them, as a golden Woof through a Warp of Silver; or as the vital Blood through the animal Structure.—And whatever you, Sir, may be inclined to do, I hope, no Lover of CHRIST will be persuaded to secrete this invaluable Truth of the Gospel. Shall fuch a Truth skulk in a Corner, or fpeak

fpeak only in a Whisper? No; let us proclaim it upon the House-Tops; and wish, that the joyful Sound may reach the very Ends of the Earth.

The Gift of Righteousness must fignify a Righteousness not their own.—Aspasio's Expression is, not originally their own. Originally he said, with a View of hinting, that, in some other Sense, it was and is their own. Their own, by way of Imputation, though not by way of Operation. This Word, in order to make the Sentence appear absurd, Mr. Wesley drops. But whether such a Practice be free from Guile, or what the Apostle calls cunning Crastiness, let the impartial Reader judge.

Aspasso's Interpretation of the Phrase, authenticated by the Language of Scripture, Mr. Wesley sets aside; and introduces another, whose only Recommendation to the Public is, "I come from Mr. Wesley's Pen."—Do you so? Then we will allow you all proper Regard. But, because you come from Mr. Wesley's Pen, must you therefore displace Propriety, and supplant Truth? Make an inspired Writer argue incorrectly, nay, jar with himself? This is rather too much for you to assume, even though you came recommended by a greater Name.

"The Gift of Righteousnics signifies the Righ"teousness or Holiness which GOD gives to and
"works in them." Let us observe the Apostle's
Aim, and the Process of his Reasoning.—His Aim
is to illustrate the Manner of our Justification. For
this Purpose, he forms a Contrast between Adam's
Transgression, and CHRIST's Obedience. Adam's
Transgression, which be himself committed, ruins
all that spring from him. This is the leading Proposition. Now, if the sacred Disputant knows how

to reason accurately, or to draw a Conclusion justly, the Conclusion must be to this Effect; So likewise CHRIST's Obedience, which he himself performed, recovers all who believe in Him. Through Adam's Disobedience, without the Consideration of their own Misdoings, the former are made Sinners. Through CHRIST's Obedience, without the Consideration of their own good Qualities, the latter are made righteous.—Though I am far, very far from disesteeming the Holiness wrought in us, yet what Place has it here? In the Article of Justification it is utterly excluded. It has no share in the Accomplishment of that great Work; and every attentive Reader will see, that it enters not into the Apostle's present Argumentation .- Besides; if the Gift of Righteousness signifies the Holiness wrought in us, then we shall reign in Life, by means of a personal, not of an imputed Righteoufness, by means of an imperfect, not of a complete Obedience.—Then all the People of GOD will be justified, not by the Obedience of ONE, but each by his own, severally and distinctly. Which is contrary, not only to a fingle, but to many express Passages of this very Chapter.

I faid, "Every attentive Reader will fee"—Some, perhaps, may fay within themselves; Is not this spoken in Mr. Wesley's Manner? The loose presumptive Way of Arguing, which you blame in Him?—To which it is answered; I am far from resting my Point upon this presumptive Proof. It is not the Pillar, which supports my Cause; but only a Festoon, which adorns my Pillar.—However, was it accompanied with no Proofs, satisfactory to others; it must to Mr. Wesley, whom I suppose one of the attentive.

attentive Readers, have the Force of Demonstration. Hear his own Words, in his Comment on this very Portion of Scripture. " As the Sin of Adam, with-" out the Sins which we afterwards committed, 66 brought us Death: So the Righteousness of " CHRIST, without the good Works, which " we afterwards perform, brings us Life \*."-It is a Righteousness, without the good Works, which we afterwards perform; therefore, it is a Righteousness, not originally our own, but Another's. It is not that, which GOD works in us, but prior to it, and independent on it. If Aspasio had suborned an Evidence, and put Words into his Mouth, he could not have devised a more direct and full Confirmation of his Doctrine, than this volunteer Witness deposeth. -I thank you, Sir, forgiving me fo valuable an Explanation of the Gift of Righteousness, and its bleffed Effects. I thank you likewise, for furnishing Aspasso with so incontestable a Vindication, against the Objections of the Author of The Preservative.

The Obedience of ONE, so highly extolled by the Apostle, is CHRIST's actual Performance of the whole Law.—This you deny I wish you had favoured me with your Reasons for this Denial. But my Wishes of this Kind are constantly disappointed. However, I will follow our LORD's Direction, and do unto others, even as I would they should do unto me. I will give you a Reason for my own or Aspasso's Interpretation.—The Apostle is treating of Adam's actual Breach of the Law. If so, the proper Antithesis must be CHRIST's actual Performance of R

Here Mr. Wesley speaks in perfect Agreement with St. Chrysostom; Ο Χριτος τοις ιξ αυθε, καιθοιγε ε δικαιοπεραγισασι, γεγονι προξειών της δικαιοσυτής.

the Law.—In the following Verses he explains himfelf.—Let them be the Comment on our Text, and the Gift of Righteousness means, The Righteousness of ONE; the Obedience of ONE. This Righteousness we have in JESUS CHRIST our LORD; all other is inherent in ourselves. Justification by this Righteousness, is alone consistent with free Grace; Justification by any other, is (inconsistent with it,

is) subversive of it. Farther; as you are a Critic in the Greek, you need not be informed, that St. Paul uses three feveral Words, δικαιωμα, δικαιοσυίη, υπακοη. Νον can you shew any Passages, in which all these Words are used to fignify Sufferings or Death? Nay, can you shew me any single Passage, in which any one of them, occurs in this Signification? If you cannot, what Shadow of Authority have you for putting this Construction upon the Words, in the present Cafe? What Shadow of Authority for faying, with that unlimited Confidence; CHRIST's dying for " Man, is certainly the chief Part, if not the vohole " which is meant by that Expression \*?"-If you attend to the Tenour of the Apoffle's Argument, or enquire into the Import of his Language, perhaps, you will see Cause, not only to alter, but even to reverse, this your positive Assertion.

Let me subjoin an Extract from St. Chrysostom; suited to this and the preceding Paragraph; and worthy

<sup>\*</sup> The Obedience of One, St. Chrysoftom expounds by, καθορθωσανθ. Would Mr. Westey venture to affirm, that dying avell, not doing avell, is certainly the chief Thing fignified in καθορθωσανθεί A pretty daring Criticism this! Does not the Word rather fignify, A Course of Welldoing; terminated (if you please) in, not constituted by, a correspondent Death?

worthy of our ferious Consideration. From which it will appear, that Aspasso is by no means singular in his Sentiments, but speaks the Doctrine of the ancient Church. Tung sein Ines Xpies & Adam. wως τυπ ( , φησιν; οι ωσπερ εκειν τοις εξ αυία, xailoiye un payerir ano te Eule, yeyorer aili@ Davale TE dia The Bowsie Eleax deel @, 810 x o Xpis@ tois et auls, xailoige s dixaiomeagneasi, yegove wpogeros \* dixaiocurns, no dia te saupe wacir mair εχαρισαίο δια τείο ανω κ καίω τε ΕΝΟΣ εχείαι, κ συνεχως τέδο εις μεσον φερει λεγων, Ωσπερ δι ΕΝΟΣ ανθρωπε η αμαρια εις τον ποσμον εισηλθε' κ, εν τω τε ΕΝΟΣ σαραπίωμαι οι σολλοι απεθανον κ, Ουχ ως di ENOΣ αμαρίησανίω, το δωρεμα κ, Το κριμα εξ ΕΝΟΣ τις καθακριμα· κό ωαλιν, Ει γαρ τω τε ΕΝΟΣ waραπίωμα]ι ο θαναί⊕ εδασιλευσε δια τε εν⊕· κ. Αραεν ως δι ΈΝΟΣ παραπίωμαί Φ. κ παλιν, Ωσπερ δια της παρακοης τε ΕΝΟΣ ανθρωπε αμαρίωλοι κατες αθησαν οι πολλοι κί κα αφις αίαι τε ΕΝΟΣ, ιν οίαν λεγη σοι ο Ικθαίο, ωως, ενών καθορθωσανίου τκ Χρισε, η οικεμενη εσωθη; δυνηθης αυθω λεγειν, ωως, ενος ταρακεσανίο τε Αδαμ, η οικεμενη καθεκριθη 🕇. R 2 That

\* Προξειος, an expressive Word! It seems to denote such a Procuring of Righteousness for Sinners, as corresponds with the Provision made, by some hospitable Housholder, for the Strangers who are come to be his Guests. In which they bear no Part, either of the Expence, or of the Trouble. Προξειω σοι τείο, Ηκήκις τεί sum tibi austor; bancrem tibi comparo. Steph. Thesaur. in voc.

<sup>†</sup> Chrysoft. Tom. III. pag. 71, 72. Edir. Savil. "Adam" is a Type of CHRIST. How! In this Respect; as "the former was the Cause of Death to all his Descendents, though they did not (like him) eat of the former bidden Fruit; so CHRIST is the Cause (ωροξενος) Author, Procurer of Righteousness to all his Seed, though they have not (like Him) been personally obedient;

That the Righteousness of the Law might be fulfilled in us. That is, by our Representative, and in our Nature.—" Amazing!" cries Mr. Wesley. But why amazing? Is not this the common Import of the most common Actions? Do not you and I make Laws in and by our Representatives in Parliament? May not every Debtor, when his Surety has given full Satisfaction to the Creditor, say; I have satisfied, I have paid, in my Bondsman?

To invalidate this Interpretation, you alledge; That the Apostle " is not speaking here of the " Cause of our Justification, but the Fruits of it." Among all the excellent Things, which, in your Studies and in your Travels, you have learned; have you never learned, that, between saying and proving,

even of that Righteousness, which he finished for us on " the Crofs. For this Reason, -to ascertain and appropries ate the Honour of this Righteousness to CHRIST; as a . Work, not wrought by us, nor wrought in us, but completed " for us on the cursed Tree .- He infifts and dwells upon "that very observable Circumstance, One. He iterates " and reiterates the emphatical Word ONE. He intro-"duces it again and again, and can hardly prevail upon " himself to discontinue the Repetition. As by one Man "Sin entered into the World-Through the Offence of " One many be dead-Not as it was by One that finned, " fo is the free Gift-The Judgment was by One to Conse demnation-Byone Man's Offence Death reigned by One -As by the Offence of One, Judgment came upon all " Men unto Condemnation—As by the Disobedience of " One many were made Sinners .- Thus does the Apostle " again and again introduce the Word ONE, and can " hardly prevail on himself to discontinue the Repetition. "That if a Jew should ask, How can the World be saved " by the Well-doing of One, or by the Obedience of "CHRIST? You may be able to reply on his own " Principles; How could the World be condemned by the " Evil-doing of One, or by the Disobedience of Adam?" proving, there is a wide Difference? Never did I meet with a Person, who seemed so totally ignorant of this very obvious Truth.—Welll; we must take your Word, without Proof; but I hope, not without Examination. "The Apostle is speaking of the "Fruit." Is then the fulfilling of the Law, the Fruit of Justification? This is the first Time, I apprehend, that any such Thing was deliberately affirmed. It is the Cause, the adequate, the immediate, and indeed the only proper Cause of Justification. But the Fruits are Peace of Conscience, and Love of GOD; the Spirit of Adoption, and the Hope of Glory.

Shew me, Sir, where δικαιωμα, in Conjunction with τε νομε, fignifies the Fruits of Justification; and not those Demands of the Law, which must necessarily be satisfied, before Justification can take place.—Especially, when the Phrase is corroborated by that other strong Expression, ωληρωθη. An Expression, used by our LORD, concerning himself, and the Design of his coming into the World. Applicable to Him alone, who is the End of the Law for Righteousness; and descriptive of that Obedience, by which alone the Law is magnified.

This Sense, says Aspasso, agrees with the Tenour of the Apostle's Arguing. "Not here;" replies Mr. Wesley.—Let us then consider the Aim, and trace the Progress, of the Apostle's Reasoning. He is clearing up and confirming that great Privilege of the Gospel, There is no Condemnation to them that are in JESUS CHRIST. This, you will allow, is not the Fruit of Justification, but Justification itself. As this wants no Argument to confirm it, let us proceed in our Attention to the sacred Writer.

R 3

There is no Condemnation to those, who are true Believers in JESUS CHRIST. Who, in Confequence of this Belief, walk not after the Flesh, but after the SPIRIT.

Perhaps, some Man will say; How can this be? Since even true Believers sall short. Nay, they offend; and therefore must be liable to the Curse.—For this Reason, they are delivered from Condemnation; because the Law of the SPIRIT of Life in CHRIST JESUS, that new Dispensation, introduced in the room of the old Law, promises the Privilege of Pardon, and the Gift of the SPIRIT, in which Things the true Life and real Happiness of Mankind consist. Promises both freely, without any Works, purely on Account of the Righteousness which is in CHRIST JESUS. And hereby, this new, gracious, blessed Dispensation, hath made me free from the Law; which convinced me of Sin; condemned me for Sin; and bound me over unto Death.

These are glad Tidings, doubtless. But are they not attended with two Inconveniences? Does not this Procedure deprive the Law of its due Honour, and screen the Sinner from his deserved Punishment?-By no Means. For that which was an ablalute Impossibility, on Account of the Strictness of the Law, and the Weakness of buman Nature, GOD, to whom nothing is impossible, has most wonderfully accemplished. By sending his own SON, in the Likenels of finful Flesh, to live among Sinners; to come under their Obligations; and perform the Obedience demanded from them. By fending Him also to be a Sacrifice for Sin; to be charged with its Guilt, and undergo its Punishment. By this grand Expedient, he has provided for the Honour and perfect AccomAccomplishment of the Law. He has also condemned and punished Sin, with the utmost Severity. And both these in the Flesh; in that very Nature, which was guilty, disabled, ruined.

Should you farther alk; Wherefore is all this? To lay the surest Foundation, or make the most complete Provision, for our Justification. That the Righteous fine Law, both its righteous Sentence and its righteous Precepts, whatever either of Suffering or of Obedience it required from Transgressors, being sulfilled in CHRIST might be sulfilled in us. As it was all done in our Name; and as He and we are one. One in civil Estimation, for He is our Representative. One in legal Estimation, for He is our Bridegroom. For which Cause, his righteous Acts are ours, and his atoning Death is ours.

There was a Time, when you embraced these Sentiments. When you had such Views of Things. When fuch Language came out of your Mouth. Which even now stands upon Record, under your own Hand. See your "Principles of a Methodist." If you have forgotten them, permit me to remind you of them. "CHRIST, you fay, is now the 66 Righteousness of all them, that truly believe in "Him. He for them paid the Ransom by his " Death; He for them fulfilled the Law in his Life. "So that now, in Him and by Him, every Be-" liever may be called a Fulfiller of the Law."-Since you pronounce my Sense of the Apostle's Words unnatural, I adopt, I espouse yours \*. And ſo R A

<sup>\*</sup> Should Mr. Wesley say; Though I used these Words, I never intended them for a Comment on this Passage.

If

fo much the more readily, as it will puzzle Sagacity itself, to discern a Difference between them.

46 I totally dony the Criticism on diagnoous and 46 δκαιωμα." Then be so good as to suggest a better. Or, if this should be somewhat difficult, at least favour us with a Reason for this your total Denial. Not a Word of either. Strange! That a Man of ordinary Discernment; should offer to obtrude upon the Public, such a Multitude of naked, unsupported, magisterial Assertions! Should ever be able to persuade himself, that a positive Air will pass for Demonstration, or supply the Place of Argument! If this be to demonstrate, if this be to confute, the Ideot is as capable of both, as the Philosopher.-May I not cry out, in your own Strain? O how deep an Aversion to the imputed Righteousness of CHRIST, does this Arminian Scheme discover! Since it will make a Man gainfay, when he knows not why, or wherefore,

St. Paul declares, that the Gentiles who followed not after Righteousness, had attained unto Righteousness. Upon which Aspasio observes, that the Righteousness, here mentioned, could not be any personal Righteousness. To which Mr. Wesley replies, "It was." And to render his Reply quite irresistible, a persect Thunderbolt in Argumentation; he adds, "Certainly it was."—How, Sir! Did they attain personal Righteousness without seeking after it? Are you becoming a Calvinist? You that had rather be an Atheist? Could the Zealot of Geneva go greater Lengths?

If you did not, I imagine, the Compilers of our Homilies, from whom they are taken, did. At least they regarded this Text as a Foundation, a Warrant, a Proof of their Doctrine.

Lengths?—Apasia will not deny, that these Gentiles were sanctissed, as well as justified, but he will venture to affirm, that no Degree of Sanctification can make the Persons righteous, who are once become Sinners. CHRIST, like Elijah, first casts his Mantle over them; and then, like Elisha, they forsake all, and follow Him.

The Righteousness, which the Gentiles attained, could not be a personal Righteousness. "Certainly " it was." Then it was the Righteousness of the Law. Whereas, the Righteousness which they attained, is expressly said, to be the Righteousness of Faith.—Then it was a Righteousness consisting of good Works and godly Tempers. Whereas, their Righteousness consisted in believing, according to the Apostle's own Explanation. With the Heart Man believeth unto Righteousness. - Then it was the Righteousness of Man. Personal Righteousness and implanted Holiness pass, in the Scriptures, under that Denomination. Whereas, these Gentiles submitted themselves to the Righteousness of GOD .-If, what these Gentiles attained, had been a personal Righteousness, it would have been no Stumbling-Block to the Yews. Even they would have fallen in with fuch a System of Religion, as should ascribe Righteousness and Salvation to their own Duties and their own Deeds.

You say; "It was implanted, as well as imputed." Here, then, you acknowledge an imputed Righte-ousness. You yourself use the Phrase. You affirm it to be, if not the Whole, Part at least, of the Apostle's Doctrine. I wish, you had been of this Mind, when you began your Letter. Then you would not have conjured me, by all that is venerable

and important, to discontinue an Expression, which conveys—your own—the Apostle's Meaning—and the Meaning of the HOLY GHOST.

You join imputed and implanted Righteoufness. So, in Case this Address to yourself should nais through the Printer's Hand, would I join a handfome Type and pertinent Reasoning. Yet I apprebend, when you fit down to examine the Effay, you will regard only the latter.-What you affociate, you affociate properly. The first is the Trunk, the last is one of the Branches, which foring from it. But the Apostle seems, in the Place before us, to be considering the first only. The last he reserves. for fome future Occasion.—He is speaking of the Righteousness, by which we are saved; and that is folely the imputed Righteousness of CHRIST .-He is speaking of the Righteousness, which was an Eve-Sore and an Offence to the felf-conceited Yews: and this was only the imputed Righteousness of CHRIST .- He is speaking of a Righteousness, contradiftinguished to that Righteousness, which is described by He that doeth these Things; and this can be nothing elfe, but the imputed Righteoufness of CHRIST,-Therefore, though Love of GOD. and Conformity to his Image; though the pure Heart, and the devout Affection; are the inseparable Concomitants, or rather the genuine Produce, of imputed Righteousness; yet here they come not under Consideration. To force them into this Passage. is to make them appear out of due Seafon. Such an Exposition, may bespeak a zealous Officiousness, not a diftinguishing Judgment. Because, it confounds the Order of the Apostle's Plan; it deseats the the Design of his Argument, if it does not introduce Self-Contradiction into his arguing.

This Righteousness came upon the Gentiles, as the former and latter Rain upon the Earth. To them was fulfilled the Word spoken by the Prophet Isaiab: Let the Skies pour down Righteoufness. As the Earth engendereth not the Rain; has not the least Influence, in forming, or the least Agency, in procuring, the refreshing Showers; but only receiveth them, as the mere Gift of Providence; so these Gentiles had not the least Influence in effecting, nor the least Agency in procuring, this Righteousness .- When the good News came into their Territories, they were totally destitute of it; they were utterly unconcerned about it; they knew nothing at all concerning it. But seeing it revealed in the Gospel; seeing it displayed, as the Work of GOD, and hearing it offered, as the Gift of GOD; they were not difobedient to the heavenly Invitation. They believed the Report; they accepted the Bleffing; and relied upon it, for Life and Salvation .- Then, as the Rain coming down and the Snow from Heaven, returneth not thither again, but watereth the Earth, and maketh it bring forth and bud; that it may give Seed to the Sower. and Bread to the Eater: So, this inestimable Truth being admitted into the Soul, CHRIST and his Righteousness being received to dwell in the Heart . all the Powers of intellectual Nature, or what St. Paul calls the inner Man, are exhilarated, quickened. and fructified. They bud as the Rose, and blossom as the Lily; they bring forth the Fruits of inward Love, of outward Obedience, of universal Godliness.

For

For Instruction in Righteousness, in the Righteousness of CHRIST. "Was there ever such a Comment before?" May I not answer, in your own Words; was there ever such a Method of Consutation used before?—But you add; "The plain "Meaning is, For training up in Holiness of Heart and of Life." I wish, you had thought of introducing this Interpretation, by the following short Preface, "I take it for granted." You would then have been sure of saying one Truth.—But if this does not appear plain to me, as you see it did not to Aspasso, methinks, you should lend me your Spectacles, or favour me with your Reasons.

If you please to examine the Passage, you will find Holiness of Heart and Life, comprehended in one of the preceding Expressions. Προς επανορθωσιν signifies, for Restoration of the Man, to a State of moral Uprightness. Which must include a Renovation of the Mind, and a Reformation of the Conduct. After this comes, very properly, and without any Tauto-logy, very needfully, and to the exceeding Comfort of the Sinner; another most valuable Property of the Scriptures. They instruct the Reader in the Christian Righteousness; in the justifying Righteousness; in that mysterious, but incomparably precious Righteousness, which no other Book in the World displays, mentions, or so much as hints. Yet, without which, we could never stand in the Judgment; never find Acceptance with GOD; nor be admitted into the Realms of Glory.—If you reject this Sense, the Apostle's Character of the sacred Volumes, is very defective. It leaves out, what is their supreme Excellence, and most distinguishing Peculiarity. What is first, and above all other Things, necessary for

for our fallen Race.—A Traveller undertakes to give an Account of some celebrated Picture Gallery. He describes the Dimensions of the Structure, the Form of the Windows, the Ornaments of the Roof, but he quite forgets, at least he totally omits, the Article of the Paintings. Is this a masterly Execution of his Design? Is this satisfactory to the Hearer's Curiosity?

He shall convince the World of Righteousness—"That "I am not a Sinner, but innocent and holy." How flat and jejune is this Exposition! Nothing can be more so, to my Taste.—"Innocent and holy!" Is this all the SPIRIT witnesses, concerning the most adorable and infinitely deserving SON of GOD? Does this come up to the inconceivable Dignity of his Person, and the immensely glorious Persection of his Work! Is this sufficient to comfort the Conscience, smitten with a Sense of most damnable Guilt, and alarmed with the Terrors of eternal Vengeance?

The whole Clause contains a Platform or Summary of evangelical Truth. Of that all-important Truth, which Ministers are to teach and preach; which the HOLY SPIRIT will own and accompany with his Influence; and which is thereby made the Power of GOD to the Salvation of the Hearers.—He shall convince the World of Sin; of the guilty and miserable State, in which all Mankind are plunged by Nature; and in which every individual Person continues, so long as he is destitute of an Interest in CHRIST; so long as he believeth not in HIM, who died upon the Cross, and is gone to the FATHER.—Of Righteousness; He shall reveal the REDEEMER's most persect and magnificent Righ-

Righteousness in their Hearts. That Righteousness, which satisfies the Justice of the MOST HIGH, and brings complete Redemption to Transgressors. Testifying, not barely that he is innocent, such was Adam in Paradife: Not barely that he is holy, such are Angels in Heaven: Shall the eternal CREA-TOR, even after his Humiliation unto Death, have no higher a Testimony, than a Set of mere Creatures? Yes, verily; the HOLY GHOST will convince the World, that CHRIST's Righteousnels is the grand and capital Bleffing, which the Prophets foretold; and which not only fufilis, but magnifies the Law. That it is the Righteousnels, the very Righteousness of the incarnate IEHOVAH: and therefore renders every Soul, to whom it is impated, umblameable, unreprovable, complete. Glorious Office this! Worthy to be the Object of the almighty COMFORTER's Agency! In performing which, he administers strong Confolation .-Then he shall convince of Judgment. Shall condemn and cast out the Prince of this World, introducing a most happy Change into the Heart and Life. Shall begin and carry on the Work of Grace, Sanctification, Obedience. And all, through the joyful Knowledge, together with the personal Appropriation, of this justifying Righteousnels.

That we might be made the Righteousness of GOD in Him. Which cannot be intrinsically, but must be imputatively.—This Interpretation Aspasso establishes, attempts at least to establish, from the Tenour of the Context; from the Apostle's Antithesis; and from several venerable Names. But what are all these to Mr. Wesley? No more than the Arrow and the Spear to Leviathan. Nay, not so much.

That

That fealy Monster esteemeth Iron as Straw, and Brass as rotten Wood. But Mr. Wesley, cased in his own Self-Sufficiency, esteemeth all the aforementioned Evidences, as mere Nothings. He totally disregards them. Reason, Grammar, Precedents, are eclipsed by his bare Negative; and vanish into an Insignificancy, not worthy of Notice.

When Afpasio, supported by such great Authorities, fays, This cannot be intrinsically, but must be imputatively. Mr. Wefley, supported by his greater Self, replies, " Both the one and the other."-But does he duly advert to the Apostle's Subject, or follow the Clue of the Context? The Subject is Re-conciliation to GOD, Juftification before GOD, or that, whatever it be, which is implied in not inputing Trespasses. The Context intimates, that intrinsic Holiness is not yet taken into Consideration, but is referved for the next Chapter. There the Apostle exharts the Corinthians, not to receive this infinitely rich Grace of free Justification, in vain; but to fliew its Efficacy, to fliew its Excellency, and recommend it to the unbelieving World, by an unblameable Conversation, giving no Offence in uny Thing.

Justification then is the only Point, which the Apostle, in this Passage, considers; and Justification is the Fruit of imputed Righteousness solely, not of inherent Righteousness in any Degree. This we must allow, unless we preser the Impositions of Trent, before the Confession of our Church. "Faith fays unto us, it is not I that take away your Sins, but CHRIST only; and to Him only I send you for that Purpose, forsaking therein all your good Words, Thoughts, and Works, and only put-

"ting your Trust in CHRIST." Thus speaks and thus teaches our reformed Church.—"If any one fay, that Man is justified only by the Imputation of CHRIST's Righteousness, or only by the Remission of Sins, without the Co-operation of inherent Grace and holy Love, let him be activated "." Thus dogmatizes, and thus anathematizes, that Mother of Falshoods.—Choose now your Side. For my Part, I renounce and abjure the proud and iniquitous Decree. If you persist in your present Opinion, there will be an apparent Harmony between yourself and Rome, but an essential Difference between yourself and Aspasio.

"GOD through him, first accounts, and then makes . " us righteous." How? Does GOD account us righteous, before he makes us so? Then his Judgment is not according to Truth. Then he reckons us to be righteous, when we are really otherwise. Is not this the Language of your Doctrine? This the unavoidable Consequence of your Notion? But how harsh, if not horrid, does it sound in every Ear? Is not this absolutely irreconcileable with our Ideas of the supreme BEING, and equally incompatible with the Dictates of Scripture? There we are taught that GOD justifieth the Ungodly .- Mark the Words. The Ungodly are the Objects of the divine Justifica-But can He account the Ungodly righteous? Impossible!—How then does he act? He first makes them righteous + .- After what Manner? By imputing

\* Si quis dixerit, hominem justificari, vel sola imputatione justitia, vel sola remissione peccatorum, exclusa gratia & charitate, anathema esto. Sest. vi. Can. 11.

<sup>†</sup> Agreeably to this, our Church speaks; In Justification of unjust we are made just before GOD; and adds, This is the strong Rock and Foundation of Christian Religion. Hom. of Justif. Part 2.

puting to them the Righteourness of his dear SON.

Then he pronounces them righteous, and most truly. He treats them as righteous, and most justly. In short, then he absolves them from Guilt; adopts them for his Children; and makes them Heirs of his eternal Kingdom.—In the grand Transaction, thus regulated, Mercy and Truth meet together. All proceeds in the most harmonious and beautiful Consistency, with the several Attributes of GOD; with his whole revealed Will; and with all his righteous Law.

" The Righteousness which is of GOD by Faith, is both imputed and inherent."-Then it is like interweaving Linen and Woollen; the motley Mixture, forbidden to the Ifraelites. Or rather, like weaving a Thread of the finest Gold, with a hempen Cord. or a Spider's Web. The Righteousness which is of GOD, is perfect, confummate, everlasting. Not so inherent Righteousness, your own self being Judge, and your own Pen being Witness.—In the Righteousness which is of GOD, the Apostle defires to be found, before the great and terrible Tribunal of the LORD. His own Righteousness, or the Righteousness which is inherent, he abandons, as absolutely improper for this great Purpose. Being no more fitted to give him Boldness at the Day of Judgment, than Dung and Filth are fit to introduce a Person, with Credit and Dignity, to Court. -The Righteousness which is of GOD, is unknown to Reason; is revealed from Heaven; and without the Works of the Law. Whereas, the Righteoufness inherent, is discoverable by Reason; was known to the Heathens; and confifts in a Conformity of Heart and Life to the Precepts of the Law.—By the latteř

latter, we act, we obey, and offer our spiritual Sacrifices unto GOD. By the former, we work nothing; we render nothing unto GOD; but only receive of his Grace.

They are, therefore, not the same, but totally diffinct. To blend and confound them, betrays Unskilfulness in the Word of Righteousness; derogates from the Honour of CHRIST, and tends to cherish a legal Frame, or, what the Scripture calls, A Spirit of Bondage.-If you would approve yourfelf a Workman, that need not be ashamed, rightly dividing the Word of Truth, thus you should speak, and thus you should write; the Righteousness of GOD, is always imputed. But, being imputed, it. produces the Righteousness inherent. Being justified by the former, faved from Hell, and rendered meet for Heaven; we are fanctified also, and difposed to love the LORD, who has dealt so bountifully with us. And if to love, then to worship him: to ferve him; to imitate him.

My Faith fixes on both the meritorious Life and atoning Death of CHRIST. "Here we clearly agree."—How can you clearly agree, either with Afpasio; or with yourself; or with common Sense?—How with Aspasio? Since you question, in direct Contrariety to his Sentiments, whether the Death of CHRIST be not the whole of what St. Paul stiles The Obedience of ONE.—How with yourself? For, did you not declare, a little while ago, that sallen Man "is not justified by perfect Obedience?" Is not CHRIST's meritorious Life perfect Obedience? If your Faith fixes on this perfect Obedience, is it not for the Purpose of Justification?—How with Cemmon Sense? Since you suppose, that the "Scrip-

e true

"ture ascribes the whole of our Salvation to the "Death of CHRIST," so entirely ascribes it to the Death of CHRIST, that "there was no Need "of his fulfilling the moral Law, in order to pur- chase Redemption for us;" what Reason, or Shadow of Reason can you have, to fix upon what we call the Merit of his Life?—If, what you suppose and affirm, be true, there was no Kind of meritorious Efficacy in his Life. His Life, and all his Labours, were, in this Respect, a mere Superfluity. Salvation might have been obtained, and Redemption purchased, without their Concurrence. Therefore, to fix upon them, is to fix upon a Phantom, and to rest your Hopes upon a Thing of Nought.

But stay. Am I not repeating the Misconduct, which proved so fatal to the samous Earl of Warwick and his Forces? At the Battle of Gladmore, while the Scale of Victory hung in Suspense, they saw a considerable Body of Troops advancing. Supposing them to be Enemies, the Bowmen made a general Discharge, and galled them with their Arrows. But they soon perceived their Mistake; that they had been opposing their Friends, and annoying their Allies. Perhaps, by this Time, you are become my Ally. You may have seen your Errors; may have corrected your Notions; saying, in Ratification of both, "we agree."

That is, "I would no longer exclude the meritorious "Obedience of CHRIST. But this, together with his atoning Death, I look upon as the only Cause of my Justification.—This I call his Righteous ness; and this, being imputed to me, becomes my Plea, my Portion, and rational Foundation for my everlasting Felicity.—This I receive by

66 Faith. Which I now look upon, not as consti-" tuting any Part of my Recommendation, but on-" ly as receptive of the Fulness, laid up for me in " CHRIST .- Though the Law of Works faith, so do and live; I am now made fensible, that the " Law of Faith fays, be verily perfuaded, that " CHRIST is sufficient for thy Acceptance, without any doing of thy own at all.—Since CHRIST " is given to me, in the facred Record, given to me, " as a Sinner; to be received, without any Condi-"tions, I joyfully accept the Gift. I am satisfied " with his doing and fuffering. They are divinely " excellent, and infinitely sufficient. I neither wish " for, nor think of, any Thing more, to obtain my complete Salvation.—This Way of Salvation ef-" fectually excludes boafting; and, at the fame " Time, produces those desirable Effects-that Love " of GOD; that Delight in his Perfections; that " Conformity to his Will; which the Law of Works requires in vain."

If this is what you mean, by "we agree," I would seal and ratify the Agreement, with the last Wish, and the last Words, of the celebrated Father Paul, Esto perpetua. Be this the Case, and you shall have, not only the right Hand of Fellowship, but the right Hand of Pre-eminence.—Only I crave one Favour in Return. Dismiss those injurious Insinuations, which cause your Readers to suspect, that Aspassic considers the meritorious Life of CHRIST, separate from his atoning Death. Whereas, he affirms them to be inseparable, like the Correspondence of Motion between the two Eyes. Try, if you can make one of your Eyes move to the right, while the other wheels off to the left. When you have done this,

this, then, and not till then, may you have some reasonable Pretence for these your Suggestions.

Alas! Quantâ de spe decidi! I find my Hopes were too sanguine. We are not come to the desired Coalition. In this very Paragraph, you begin to sty off. By talking of imputed Righteousness, you tell us, "We are exposed to an exceeding great Hazard; "even the Hazard of living and dying without Hoseighteousness." Pray Sir have you say a living Birds. "lines."—Pray, Sir, have you seen a little Piece, written upon this Subject by the Rev. Mr. Witherspoon? If you have not, let me recommend it to your Perusal. In case you are ignorant of that powerful Influence, which Justification through the Righteouiness of CHRIST, has upon Sanctification and true Holiness, from this Treatise you may learn some valuable Knowledge. In case the Author of this Treatife is mistaken, in maintaining the indisfoluble Connection of Justification with true Godliness; and the never-failing Efficacy of the REDEEM-ER's Righteousness, to bring forth willing Obedience in the Believer; you may have an Opportunity of rectifying his Sentiments. You may give us, in your next Publication, a Preservative, not only against unsettled, but against unsound Notions in Religion.

Theron, speaking of Gems, says, when nicely polished, and prodigal of their Lustre, they stand Candidates for a Seat, on the virtuous fair one's Breast.

—This displeases Mr. Wesley. Would he then have Gems placed on the vicious or lascivious Breast? Or would he have them put to no Use at all, but buried in Darkness? Did the ALMIGHTY pour such Brilliancy upon them, only that they might be configned over to Obscurity? Did he not rather array them,

them with Lustre and with Charms, that they might display something of his own Brightness; incite his rational Creatures to admire his transcendent Excellency, and teach his faithful People to apprehend the Emphasis of that animating Promise, They shall be mine, in the Day that I make up my Jewels.

"I can't reconcile this with St. Paul. He fays, "not with Pearls: by a Parity of Reason, not with "not with Pearls: by a Parity of Reason, not with Diamonds."—Do you rightly understand St. Paul? Don't you dwindle his manly and noble Idea, into a Meanness and Littleness of Sense? Such as besits the superstitious and contracted Spirit of a Hermit, rather than the generous and exalted Temper of a Believer; who stands fast in the Liberty, wherewith CHRIST hath made him free?—Our LORD says not, they that are splendidly apparelled, are apparatured. relled unfuitably to Christianity, or in a Manner inconsistent with the Fear of GOD. But they are in King's Courts, and their Dress is adapted to their Station.—Neither does St. Paul forbid the Use of Pearl, or costly Array, when a Person's Circumstan-Pearl, or costly Array, when a Person's Circumstances will afford them, and his Situation in Lise may require them. He rather cautions against the Abuse, against looking upon these glittering Things, as any Part of their true Dignity, on which they value themselves, or by which they would be recommended to others. The Word is not endurant put on on the not place their Excellency in such mean Distinctions; no, nor covet to distinguish themselves, by these superficial Decorations; but rather by the superficial Ornaments of real Godliness, and good "Works." "Works,

<sup>.</sup> Mark vi. 9.

<sup>†</sup> Matt. xi. 8.

Works. Which will render both them and their Religion truly amiable."

The Apostle Peter observes the same Propriety of Speech, and the same Correctness of Sentiment. Whose adorning, let it not be that outward adorning of wearing of Gold, or plaiting the Hair, or putting on of Apparel. Was this an absolute Prohibition of the several Particulars mentioned, it would forbid all kind of Cloathing, or the putting on of any Apparel. Take the Passage in your rigorous Sense, and it concludes as forcibly against Garments, as against Ornaments; we must even go naked, and lay aside our Cloaths, as well as our Gems. Whereas, underflood according to the natural Signification of the Words (ων ο ποσμος, not ων το ενδυμα) it conveys a very important, and a very feafonable Exhortation; "Christians, scorn to borrow your Recommenda-"tions from the Needle, the Loom, or the Toy-Shop. This may be the Fashion of a vain World. "But let your Embellichments, or that which beau-46 tifies and diffinguishes your Character, be of a su-" perior Nature. Let it be internal; not such as the "Sheep have wore, or the Silk-Worms spun; but 46 fuch as is peculiar to the immortal Mind, or the 46 hidden Man of the Heart. Let it be substantial; 46 not such as the Moth corrodes, or such as perishes " in using; but that which is not corruptible. Which being planted on Earth, will be transplanted into "Heaven, and being fown in Time, will flourish to Eternity.-Let it be that adorning, whose Excellency is unquestionable, and whose Praise is ef "GOD, even the Ornament of a meek and quiet Spi-66 rit. Which will render you, not indeed like the "Grandees of the Earth, but like the SON of the "HIGH-S 4

"HIGHEST. Shewing, that you are united to him; interested in him; and Partakers of his divine Nature."

"In all Things I perceive, your are too favoura-" ble, both to the Desire of the Flesh, and the Desire " of the Eye."-I rather think, Mr. Wesley is too censorious of others, and too indulgent to himself. Why may not Theron wear his richly emboffed Gold Watch, and his Lady use her golden Buckle set with Diamonds, as well as you and I wear a filver Buckle\*, or make Use of our filver Watch? Why may not an Earl or a Countess +, put on their Robes, fumptuous with Embroidery, or their Coronet, glittering with Jewels, as inoffenfively, as you and I put on a Beaver-Hat, or trail after us a prunella Gown? There is no Necessity for this our Spruceness. A fustian Jacket would keep our Backs warm, and a flannel Cap our Heads, as well as our more elegant Array.-Methinks, therefore, we should either abstain from all needless Finery in our own Dress, or else forbear to censure it in others. Rather, we should all, in our respective Stations, and accord-

\* That Mr. Wesley may not suspect I am pleading for Self-Indulgence, I will give him my Word, that I have never wore my silver Buckles, since I was in Mourning for his Royal Highness. Neither shall I have one uneasy

Thought, if I never put them on again.

+ Should it be faid, The Persons, to whom St. Peter wrote, were in mean Circumstances. Therefore such Grandees are out of the Question. I answer. The Persons for whom St. Peter wrote, were all Christians to the very End of the World. Among these, though there may not be many grand, nor many noble, yet, blessed be GOD, there are some. And when these go to receive their celestial Crown, we trust, it will be said,

<sup>—</sup> Uno ablato non deficit alter Aureus.

according to our respective Circumstances, use these Things, as not abusing them. Remembering, that the Fashion of this World passeth away. Looking, therefore, for that City of the living GOD, whose Wall is of Jasper, whose Buildings are of pure Gold, and whose Foundations are garnished with all Manner of precious Stones. But whose external Splendor is infinitely surpassed by the Glory of GOD, which lightens it, and by the Presence of the LAMB, which is the Light thereof.-When we are bleffed with clear Apprehensions of this ineffable Glory, which shall be revealed; when we live under a delightful Persuasion, that GOD hath given to us this eternal Life: Gems will have but little Lustre in our Eye, and less and less Allurements for our Heart. All the Pomp of this transient World will appear to us, as the Palace of Versailles, or the Gardens of Stowe, would appear to some superior Being; who, from an exalted Stand in Æther, should contemplate the terraqueous Globe: and at one View take in its vast Dimensions, its prodigious Revolutions, and its most copious Furniture.

"You are a gentle Casuist as to every Self-Indulgence, which a plentiful Fortune can surnish."—
I would consider the End, for which these Things were created; and point out, and enforce, their proper Improvements. They were created, not to tantalize, but to treat us; not to ensnare, but to gratify us. Then they are properly improved, when we enjoy them with Moderation, and render them Instruments of Usefulness. When they are regarded as pregnant Tokens of our CREATOR's Love, and act as endearing Incitements of our Gratitude.—
What you call my Casuistry, is built upon a Maxim, which

which will never be controverted; Every Creature of GOD is good, if received with Thanksgiving. It is nothing else, but an Attempt to display what is affirmed in the former Clause, and to enforce, what is prescribed in the latter.

In the fixth Letter, in the ninth, and in other Parts, Theron enumerates some of the finest Productions, and most choice Accommodations, which the Earth, the Air, the Seas afford .- In Imitation of the Apostle, who, in one Sentence, expresses abundantly more, than my three Volumes contain; HE giveth us all Things richly to enjoy .- In Imitation of the Pfalmist also, who, in several of his Hymns, especially in Psalm civ. celebrates the profuse Munificence of JEHOVAH; profuse, even in temporal Bleffings, and with regard to our animal Nature. Does our Nature call for fomething to support it? Here is Bread, which strengthens Man's Heart, and is the Staff of his Life. Does our Nature go farther, and covet something to please it? Here is Wine, that maketh glad the Heart of Man; regaling his Palate, and exhilarating his Spirits. Is our Nature yet more craving, and defirous of fomething to beautify it? Here is Oil, that maketh the Face to shine. That the Countenance may appear, both chearful and amiable. That Gaiety may sparkle in the Eye, while Beauty glows in the Cheek .- Now I cannot perfuade myself, nor is all Mr. Wesley's Rhetoric powerful enough to convince me, that it is any Discredit, or any Error, to follow fuch Examples.

"But I mention the exquisite Relish of Turbot, and the Deliciousness of Sturgeon. And are not

<sup>&</sup>quot; fuch Observations beneath the Dignity of a Mi-

<sup>&</sup>quot; nister of CHRIST?" Mr. Wesley does not ob-

ferve from whom these Remarks proceed. Not from Aspasio, but Theron. To make him speak like a Minister of CHRIST, or like a Christian of the first Rank would be entirely out of Character. It would have betrayed an utter Ignorance, or a total Disregard of Horace's Rule;

## Reddere personæ scit convenientia cuique.

However, I am willing to take all upon myself, and be responsible for the obnoxious Sentiments. I would only ask, is any Thing spoken of, which the AL-MIGHTY has not made? and shall I think it beneath my Dignity, to magnify the Work of his Hands? Is any Thing spoken of, which the AL-MIGHTY has not bestowed? and shall I think it a Diminution of my Character, to acknowledge the various Gifts of his Bounty? Has GOD most High thought it worthy of his infinite Majesty, to endue the Creatures with such pleasing Qualities, as render them a delicious Entertainment to our Appetites? and shall I reckon it a mean unbecoming Employ, to bear Witness to this condescending Indulgence of the DEITY?-Particularity in recounting Benefits. is seldom deemed a Fault. It comes under no such Denomination, in my System of Ethics. If Mr. Wefley has a better, in which Neglect and Infenfibility are ranked among the Virtues, I must undoubtedly, upon those Principles, drop my Plea. Where they are commendable, my Conduct must be inexcusable, and if inexcusable, I fear, irreclaimable. For I shall never be ashamed to take a Fish, a Fowl, or a Fruit in my Hand, and say, "A Present this, from " our all-bountiful CREATOR! See its Beauty, 66 taste its Sweetness, admire its Excellency, and " Tave " love and adore the great Benefactor. To us he hath freely granted these, and other Delights.

"Though He Himself, in the Days of his Flesh,

" had Gall to eat, and Vinegar to drink."

46 But the mentioning these in such a Manner, is " a strong Encouragement of Luxury and Sensua-" lity."-If, to enumerate a few of these Dainties \*. be a strong Encouragement to Luxury, how much more, to create them all, and clothe them with fuch inviting Properties, and recommend them by such delicate Attractives?-But "The mentioning them in such a Manner." What! Is this an Encouragement to Sensuality? To mention them, as so many Instances of divine Beneficence, and so many Motives to human Gratitude? This, methinks, is the Way to prevent the Abuse of our Animal Enjoyments, and to correct their pernicious Tendency. This is the Way to endear their adorable GIVER, and render them Incentives to Love. And the Love of GOD, is a better Guard against Luxury, a better Preservative from Sensuality, than all the rigid Rules of the Cloyster or Monastery.

Upon the whole, however well affected Mr. Wefley may be to our civil, he feems to be a Kind of Malecontent, with regard to our spiritual Liberties. Those I mean, which are consigned over to us, in the Magna Charta of the Gospel.

We have Liberty, through JESUS CHRIST, to use not one only, but every Creature of GOD. And to use them in a sanctified Manner, so that they shall not sensualize our Affections, but refine and exalt them, by knitting our Hearts more inseparably to their muniscent CREATOR. According to that

<sup>\*</sup> The Scripture calls them, Royal Dainties, Gen. xlix. 20.

that Clause in the heavenly Deed, All Things are yours. This you would curtail and diminish.

We have Liberty to look upon ourselves, as justified before GOD, without any Works of our own; made persectly righteous in his Sight, without any personal Obedience whatever. Entirely through our Representative and Surety, what he has suffered, in our Name and in our Stead. According to those gracious Declarations, In the LORD have I Righteousness, and by the Obedience of ONE shall many be made righteous. This you would supersede and abolish.

We have Liberty to claim and receive this unspeakable Privilege, without performing any Conditions, or seeking any Pre-requisites. Having no
other Qualification, than that of being lost Sinners,
and needing no other Warrant, than the divine
Grant, made and recorded in the Word of the Gospel. According to that most generous Invitation,
Come, buy Wine and buy Milk, without Money and without Price. According to that most gratuitous Concession, Whoever will, let him take of the Water of
Life freely. This you would clog and embarrass.

We have Liberty, through our LORD's Atonement, to look upon ourselves as made free from all Guilt. To consider our Sins, as absolutely blotted out, never to appear again, either to our utter Condemnation, or to our least Consulion.

The three first Articles of the Charge, I think, are made clear, too clear and undeniable, in the Course of the preceding Letters. Should you challenge me to prove the last, I refer you to your Assize Sermon. There you tell us, that the Sins of true Believers, as well as of Unbelievers, will be brought to Light, and

and exposed before the whole World, at the Day of universal Judgment.

Here, I must do you the Justice to acknowledge. that you have not, as in your epistolary Animadverfions on Aspasio, required your Audience to affent, merely because you affirm. You attempt to establish your Opinion by the Authority of Solomon: GOD shall bring every Work into Judgment, with every secret Thing, whether it be good, or whether it be evil. But you feem to forget, that the Sins of the Believer are ra un osla, Things that are not. CHRIST has blotted out, as a thick Cloud, our Transgressions; yea, as a thin Cloud, our Sins \*. - Confider them as moral Stains, or Causes of Defilement; they are washed away by the Blood of FESUS. And furely the Blood of GOD, must have as powerful an Effect on our Souls, as the Waters of Jordan had upon Naaman's Body + .- Confider them as contracting Guilt, or deserving Punishment; they are vacated; they are disannulled; and, like the Scape-Goat, dismissed into the pathless inaccessible Wilderness, when fought for, they shall not be found .- Consider them in either of these Respects, or under any other Character, and they are, not only covered or fecreted. but abolished. Just as the Darkness of the Night is abolished,

† 2 Kings v. 14.

<sup>\*</sup> Isi. xliv. 22. In Nubes, in Nubecula. Thus Houbigant translates the Words; and, I think, very justly. The first seems to denote an immense Arrangement of Clouds, covering the Sky. The second signifies a loose detached Fragment, floating in the upper Regions. The first, fixed like a Vault; the last, sailing like a Skiff. This Sense divertises the Prophet's Imagery, and, instead of Tautology, presents us with a beautiful Gradation.

abolished, by the Splendor of this clear, serene, delightful Morning.

Bring to our Sight, if you can, the Millstone, that is cast into the Depths of the Sea. Restore to its former Consistence, the Cloud that is dissolved in Rain. Or find one Dreg of Filthiness in the newfallen Snow. Then may those Iniquities be brought again into Notice, which have been done away by the High-Priest of our Profession. Which have been expiated by the perfect, most effectual, and glorious Oblation of Himself .- His People, when rifing from the Bed of Death, will have no more Conscience of Sin in themselves. They are fully and for ever free from the Accusation of others. So free. that Sin shall not so much as be mentioned unto them; no, nor even remembered by the LORD their GOD any more. They are made holy, unblameable, and unreprovable in his Sight. And they shall be presented, at the great Day, without Spot, or Wrinkle, or any fuch Thing.

Thus may we, and thus may our Readers, be presented! So shall we meet each other with Comfort at the awful Tribunal; with Joy amidst the Angels of Light, and with everlasting Transport around the Throne of the LAMB.—To promote this blessed Event, is the sole Aim of these Remonstrances, and the unseigned Desire of,

Rev. Sir, Your, &c.

LETTER

## LETTER XI.

REV. SIR,

Y last concluded with a Sketch of our Christian Liberty, extracted from the Charter of the Gospel. We have Liberty—to use all the Creatures, and in a sanctified Manner—to consider ourselves, as made perfectly righteous, through the Obedience of CHRIST—to receive this grand Prerogative, without performing any Conditions—to look upon all our Sins, as totally and finally done away, through the Blood of JESUS.

Perhaps, you will ask; Where is your Liberty from the Power of Sin? Does not this come within the Extent of your Charter?—Most certainly. You injure our Doctrine, if you deny it. We are undone irreparably, if we continue destitute of it. Every other Immunity, without this crowning Privilege, would be like the magnificent Palace and the beautiful Gardens of Pharaoh; while Swarms of Locusts filled them, with their loathed Intrusion.

But observe, Sir; Freedom from the Dominion of Sin, is the Result of all the preceding Blessings. By revealing these in our Hearts, and CHRIST the Author of them, the HOLY SPIRIT acts as the SPIRIT of Liberty. You are a Philosopher. You understand the Theory of Light. From the Association of various Rays, or the Mixture of many

Parent-Colours, springs that first of Elements, and best of material Gifts, Light. So, from the Union, and united Enjoyment of all those heavenly Treasures, springs that most desirable Liberty, the Liberty of Righteousness .- This is that Truth, which makes us free. This is that Knowledge, by which we are renewed after the Image of HIM, that created us. And these are the exceeding precious Promises, by which we are Partakers of the divine Nature.—Hence we are taught to love the LORD our GOD, and to delight in his adorable Perfecti-By this Means, they look with a smiling Aspect upon us, and are unspeakably amiable to us. Under fuch Views, we say of Sin; we say of all our evil and corrupt Affections; Do not I hate them, O LORD, that hate Thee? And am I not grieved with those, that rise up against Thee? I hate them with a perfect Hatred: I count them mine Enemies.

Our SAVIOUR's Obedience. This Phrase disgusts Mr. Wesley. Therefore he cries; "O say "with the good old Puritans, our SAVIOUR's "Death and Merits."—Aspasso speaks with St. Paul; By the Obedience of One.—He speaks with St. Peter; Faith in the Righteousness of our GOD and SAVIOUR.—He speaks with the Prophets Isaiah and Fereniah; In the LORD have I Righteousness; and FEHOVAH is our Righteousness.—Having these Precedents, he need not be very solicitous, who else is for him, or who is against him.

Though not very solicitous about this Matter, he is somewhat surprised at your vehement Address; that you should exhort him so earnestly to "speak with the good old Puritans." Has not your Printer committed a Mistake? Did not the Clause stand

thus in your Manuscript? "With my good Friends "the Arminians." They indeed disapprove this Expression. Because it is, when rightly understood, a Dagger in the Heart of their Cause.—But as to the Puritans, they are, one and all, on the contrary Side. Their Language is a perfect Union with Aspasso's. They glory in the meritorious Obedience of their great MEDIATOR. They extol his imputed Righteousness in almost every Page; and pour Contempt upon all other Works, compared with their LORD's.—What will not an Author affirm, who ventures to affirm or infinuate that the Puritan Writers disuse this Manner of speaking? For my Part, I know not any Set of Writers in the World, for eminently remarkable, for this very Doctrine, and this very Diction. I said, in a former Letter, we would enquire into this Particular. But the Enquiry is quite unnecessary. It would be like Uriel's searching for the Sun, while he stands in its Orb, and is furrounded with its Luftre.

We fwarm with Antinomians."—And we must swarm with Persons, whose Hearts are Enmity against the Law of GOD, so long as your Tenets find Acceptance. Who can delight in a Law, which neither has been, nor can be suffilled by them? Which bears Witness against them, and is the Ministration of Death unto them? Testisying, like the Hand-Writing on Belshazzar's Wall, Thou art weighed in the Balances, and found wanting:—Whereas, when we see it sully satisfied on our Behalf, by our SURETY's Obedience; no longer denouncing a Curse, but pronouncing us blessed; not pursuing us, like the Avenger of Blood, but opening a City of Resuge, for the Sasety of our Souls; we shall hen

then be reconciled to its Constitution and Design. We shall then take Pleasure in its Precepts and Prohibitions. We shall say with the Psalmist; LORD, what Love have I unto thy Law! All the Day long is my Study in it.

My Mouth shall shew forth thy Righteousness and thy Salvation—" Thy Mercy which brings my Salva-" tion," says Mr. Westey, in Opposition to the Sense, assigned by Aspasso. Which Sense has been vindicated already. I shall therefore not renew my Arguments but only express my Wonder.

As Mr. Wesley is a Minister of the Gospel, I wonder, that he should studiously set aside, what is the Peculiarity and Glory of the evangelical Revelation. Mercy which brings Salvation, is what an unenlightened Jew might have preached; nay, what a more ignorant Heathen might have taught. But Salvation through a divine Righteousness, as the adequate and meritorious Cause thereof, is the distinguishing Doctrine and the sovereign Excellency of the Gospel.

As Mr. Wesley is a Sinner, I wonder, he should chuse to weaken the Foundation of his own and our Hope. Why Mercy alone? Is it not better to put our Trust in Mercy, erecting its Throne on a Propitation, and thence holding forth the golden Sceptre? By the Obedience of IMMANUEL, the Law is satisfied, as to its Penalty; is suffilled, as to its Precept; and is in every Respect, unspeakably magnified. This shews us the inexhaustible Fountain of Mercy, unsealed; and every Obstruction to its free and copious Flow, removed.

T 2

- **A** 

As Mr. Wesley is zealous for the Honour of GOD, I wonder, he should not prefer that Method of Salvation, by which every divine Attribute is most abundantly gloristed. This is not done, by expecting Pardon and Acceptance from Mercy alone; but by expecting and receiving them, through our REDEEMER's Righteousness and Blood. Then we have a Display, not only of infinite Love, but of inflexible Justice, and incomprehensible Wisdom. Here, they mingle their Beams, and shine forth with united and eternal Splendour.

Considering These Things, I am still inclined to embrace Aspasio's Interpretation of this, and such-like Passages of Scripture. Wherein Salvation is ascribed to divine Mercy, exercised through the Oberdience and Death of CHRIST. Which gives as great a Heightening to the Blessing, as the Atmosphere gives to the Rays of Light, or as the Light itself imparts to the Scenes of Creation.

Those divine Treasures, which spring from the Imputation of CHRIST's Righteousness. "Not "a Word of his atoning Blood:"—I wish, you would turn back to Aspasu's Definition of this Phrase, as it is laid down at the Beginning of the Conserence; to be the Ground-Work of all the Dialogues, and of all the Letters. You will then perceive, that there is not a Word of this Kind, but CHRIST's atoning Blood is included in it. Without this, his Righteousness had not been perfect. Without this, his Righteousness could not be imputed.—Some People have a treacherous Memory, and really forget Things. Others have a perverse Mind, and resolve not to regard them. Which of these is Mr. Wesley's

Case, I presume not to say; let his own Conscience determine.

'Tis true, we "love to speak of the Righteous-" ness of CHRIST." Yet not because " it af-" fords a fairer Excuse for our own Unrighteousness." For indeed it affords no Excuse at all. On the contrary, it renders Unrighteousness quite inexcusable. Because it yields new and nobler Motives to all holy Obedience. But we love to speak of the Righteousness of CHRIST, because it is the most comprehenfive Expression, and the grandest Theme in the World .- The most comprehensive Expression; as it denotes all that he has done and suffered, both his meritorious Life, and his atoning Blood.—The grandest Theme. Consider all those Bleffings, which have been vouchsafed to GOD's People, before our SAVIOUR appeared on Earth; add all the Bleffings. which will be vouchfafed, until the Confummation of all Things: Confider all that Good, which is comprised in a Deliverance from the nethermost Hell; together with all that Bliss, which is contained in the Pleasures and Glories of the heavenly State. All these to be enjoyed, through a boundless Eternity; and by Multitudes of redeemed Sinners, numberless as the Sands upon the Sea-Shore. Then ask; What is the procuring Cause of all? Whence do these inestimable Benefits proceed? From the Righteousness, the sole Righteousness of 7ESUS CHRIST .-- Is it not then worthy to be uppermost in our Thoughts, and foremost on our Tongues? Might not the very Stones cry out, and reproach our Insensibility, if we did not love to talk of this divinely precious Righteousness?

T 3

Faith

Faith is a Persuasion, that CHRIST has shed his Blood for me, and fulfilled all Righteousness in my Stead. "I can by no Means subscribe to this "Definition."-You might very safely subscribe to this Definition, if you would suffer St. Peter to speak his genuine Sentiments. Describing the Faith of the primitive Christians, he calls it HIGHEN SINGIoguen, Faith in the Righteoufness. He says nothing of the atoning Blood. But does he therefore exclude it? He speaks of nothing but the justifying Righteourners. And will you totally discard it? It is the central Point in his Faith, and shall it have no Place in yours?-Righteousness, he assures us, was the Object of the Believer's Faith, even the Righteoufness of our GOD and SAVIOUR JESUS CHRIST. But how could this be the Object of their Faith, if it was not fulfilled in their Stead? Or how could they truly believe in this Righteousness, if they did. not regard it, as performed for them, and imputed to them?

"There are Hundreds, yea Thousands of true Believers, who never once thought, one Way or the other, of CHRIST's fulfilling all Righte- ousness in their Stead."—Then their Faith is like the Sight of the Person, who saw Men as Trees walking. He saw them indeed, but very dimly, indistinctly, consusedly. And 'tis pity, but they were more thoroughly instructed unto the Kingdom of GOD.—Not one of those Thousands, provided he fixes his Hope wholly upon the Merits of CHRIST, would reject this delightful Truth, if it was offered, with scriptural Evidence, to his Understanding. Reject it! No surely. He would joyfully embrace it, if offered, with that single, but undeniable Evidence;

dence; CHRIST was made Sin for us, though He knew no Sin, that we might be made the Righteougness of GOD in Him.

"You personally know many, who to this Hour "have no Idea of CHRIST's Righteousness."— Surely then it behoves you, as a Lover of Souls, and as an Ambassador of CHRIST, to teach them the Way of GOD more perfectly \*. So doing, you will be employed much more fuitably to your Function, and much more profitably to your Brethren, than in your present Attempt. By which, you would weaken the Hands, and deseat the Designs of those, who endeavour to spread abroad the Savour of this Knowledge, in every Place. And who, notwithstanding all that you personally know, must unalterably perfift in their Method. Which is, to regulate their Definition of Faith, not by the State of your supposed Believers, but by the express Declaration of the unerring Word. And from this, they have Authority to maintain, that Faith in the imputed Righteousness of CHRIST, is a fundamental Principle to every Believer, who understands upon what Foundation he is faved.

These your Acquaintance, though they have no Idea of CHRIST's Righteousness, yet "have "each of them a divine Evidence and Conviction, "CHRIST loved me, and gave Himself for me."—In this Case, don't you take rather too much upon you? Have you then the apostolical Gift of discerning Spirits? If not, it will be impossible for you to Table Management.

Mr. Westey cannot say; What! Teach a Doctrine, which I disapprove? Since he himself has allowed it; has approved it; has set his Seal to it; again and again, in the Course of these his Remarks.

know the Man, exclusive of yourself, who is certainly possessed of this divine Evidence. You may form a charitable Judgment or a prevailing Hope. Which feems to be the utmost you can warrantably claim with regard to others. And while you entertain this Hope, we shall allow it to indicate the Benevolence of your Heart; but cannot admit it, as a Proof of your Point: That People may be full of Faith and Love, yet have no Idea of CHRIST's Righteousness.—We would also caution you to take Heed, lest, through an immoderate Fondness for increasing the Number of your Converts, you are led to deceive yourself and others; registering those as real Believers, whom the LORD hath not registered. By this Means, you may be confirmed in your Unscriptural Notion, that the Righteous fall away, and the Faithful apostatize. Whereas, they who fall away, were righteous only in Appearance, and they who apostatize, were no otherwise than professionally faithful. What you fee drop from the Sky, is not a Star, but a Meteor only.

Faith is the Hand which receives all that is laid up in CHRIST—Aspasio expresses himself thus; CHRIST is a Store-House of all Good. Whatever is necessary to remove our Guilt, whatever is expedient for renewing our Nature, whatever is proper to fit us for the eternal Fruition of GOD, all this is laid up in CHRIST. And all this is received by Faith, for our Application, Use, and Enjoyment.—To this Mr. Wesley subjoins a Word of Objection; but not in due Season. Aspasio is displaying the Efficacy of Faith; Mr. Wesley's Argument is levelled against the Indesectibility of Faith. However, as it is your savourite Objection, it shall not:

not be treated as an Intruder. "If we make Ship-"wreck of the Faith, how much soever is laid up in "CHRIST, from that Hour we receive nothing."

Have you never heard of the Answer, which the Spartan States returned, to an insolent and barbarous Embassy, from Philip of Macedon? You may read it, in the Book you are censuring; and may receive it as a Reply to this, and your other Surmises of this Nature. It was all comprised in that single Monosyllable IF.—A mere Prosessor may make Shipwreck of the Doctrine of Faith; a true Believer does not make Shipwreck of the Grace of Faith. No, nor ever will, unless CHRIST's Intercession be made of none Effect; I have prayed, that such Faith fail not.

288. Answered already, in Number 285.

Aspasio, describing the dreadful Nature of the Command, given to Abraham, fays; Thy Hands must lift the deadly Weapon; thy Hands must point it to the beloved Breast; thy own Hands must urge its Way, through the gushing Veins and the shivering Flesh, till it be plunged in the throbbing Heart. -" Are not these Descriptions far too strong?" This is submitted to the Judgment of the Reader. I would only observe, that the more strongly the Horrors of the tremendous Deed are represented, the more striking will the Difficulty of the Duty appear. Consequently, the more efficacious and triumphant the Power of Faith.-" May not these Descriptions " occasion unprofitable Reasonings in many Rea-" ders?" What unprofitable Reasonings may be occasioned, I do not pretend to guess. But the just and natural Reflection, arifing from the Confideration of such a Circumstance; is; "What has Faith " wrought! wrought! It purifies and exalts the Affections. It invigorates and ennobles the Soul. Makes it bold to undertake, and strong to execute, every great and heroic Work. I see, therefore, it is not in vain, that the Scripture so frequently inculcates Faith; lays so remarkable a Stress upon Faith; and places it in the very Front of all Christian Duties." This is the Victory that overcometh the World, overcometh Self, overcometh all Things.

How could be (Abraham) justify it to the World? "Not at all."-True; not to the unbelieving World. They will argue, as Mr. Welley on another Occasion. "What! Stab his Son, his best-beloved, his only Son to the Heart? Could the GOD of Goodness command such a Piece of Barbarity? Impossible! I could sooner be a Deist, yea an Atheist, than I could believe this. It is less absurd to deny the very Being of a GOD, than to make Him an almighty Tyrant."-But to the believing World. who fear the LORD, and hearken to the Voice of his Servants, Abraham's Conduct will never stand in Need of a Vindication. By them it will be highly extolled, and greatly admired. It will be an undeniable Demonstration of the Reality and Sincerity of his Faith; of its very superior Elevation, and invincible Strength.

You take the direct and certain Way to obtain fubstantial Comfort. The Righteousness of our LORD JESUS CHRIST, after which you enquire, about which you are solicitous, is a never-sailing Source of Consolation. Thus Apassa writes to Theron—" What! Without the Atonement?" cries Mr. Wesley. To which he adds, in a sollowing Paragraph; So the Death of CHRIST is not so much

" as named." This puts me in Mind of an Objection, no very formidable one, made against that introductory Exhortation to the Common Prayer, Dearly beloved *Brethren*. So then, said a candid Examiner, *Women* have no Part in your Worship. They are not so much as named. But I forbear. If you are not assumed of repeating, I am assumed of refuting, so frequently resuting such an empty Cavil. And, I believe, the Reader is tired with us both.

206. I have no great Objection to your Alteration of Aspasu's Comment. Suppose, we compromise Matters, and consider the Oil, poured on Aaron's Head, and emptying itself from Zechariah's Olive-Tree, as typical both of the Merits and the SPIRIT of CHRIST. Which, like Light and Heat in the Sun, are indisfolubly connected. Or, to make use of a facred Illustration, are like the living Creatures and the Wheels in Ezekiel's Vision. When the living Creatures went, the Wheels went by them. When the living Creatures were lift up from the Earth, the Wheels were lift up. Whither soever the former were to go. the latter went also.—For the sake of obliging Mr. Welley, I call this a Compromise. But if he can prevail on himself to read the Paraphrase on the two Passages, without Prepossession, he will find this Affociation of Senses anticipated by Aspasso.

Has the Law any Demand, says Apasso? It must go to CHRIST for Satisfaction. From which you draw this injurious Consequence, "Then I am not obliged to love my Neighbour. CHRIST has satisfied the Demand of the Law for me." This Objection has already received an Answer.

I shall therefore content myself with shewing, why
I call your Conclusion injurious. Because, like the
deaf

deaf Adder, it stoppeth the Ear, against my own Explication of my own Phrase. A Note is added, on purpose to limit its Sense, and obviate your Missepresentation. This you totally disregard, and argue as if no such Precaution was used.—The Note informs you, that the Law—the commanding Law is satisfied with nothing less than persect Obedience, and the broken Law insists upon condign Punishment. Now if it must not, for Satisfaction to both these Demands, go to CHR IST our divine Husband, where will it obtain any such Thing? Who is able to give it, among all the Children of Adam?

However, lest we offend, needlesly offend any Reader; I promise, that in case the Providence of GOD and the Favour of the Public call for a new Edition, Aspasio shall alter his Language. Thus the Paragraph shall stand; "Does the Law demand perfect Purity of Nature, and perfect Obedience of Life? It must go to HIM for Satisfaction. Do we want Grace, and Glory, and every good Gift? We may look to HIM for a Supply. To HIM, in whom it hath pleased the FATHER, that all Fulness should dwell."

For all his People—With this Phrase Mr. Wesley is chagrined. This he will not suffer to pass with out Animadversion. Though he must know, if Prejudice has not blinded his Understanding, that it is pure Scripture. Why does he not shew the same Distatisfaction, with the Angel that appeared unto Joseph, and with Zachariah the Baptist's Father? The former of whom says; He shall save his People from their Sins. The latter declares; He shall give Knowledge of Salvation unto his People, by the Remission of their Sins. Why does he not put the

fame Question unto them, and draw the same Inference upon them? "But what becomes of all
"other People?"—Sometimes Mr. Wesley is so attached to the Scriptures, that nothing will please
him, but scriptural Expressions. Here he is so wedded to self-Opinion, that even scriptural Expressions
will not pass current, when they seem to thwart his
ewn Notions.

For all his People—From this Expression, though used by a Prophet, authorised by an Angel, and to be found in many Places of Scripture, Mr. Wesley deduces some very offensive and dreadful Consequences. So dreadful, that he "would sooner be" a Turk, a Deist, yea an Atheist, than he could be-"lieve them."—My dear Sir, let me give you a Word of friendly Advice, before you turn Turk, or Deist, or Atheist—See, that you sirst become an bonest Man. They will all disown you, if you go over to their Party, destitute of common Honesty.

Methinks, I hear you faying, with some Emotion: What do you mean by this Advice? Or what Relation has this to the Subject of our prefent Enquiry?-A pretty near Relation. Out of Zeal to demolish the Doctrine of Election, you scruple not to overleap the Bounds of Integrity and Truth.-Mysterious still! I know not, what you aim at .--Then be pleased to review a Passage, in your Book on Original Sin; where you have thought proper to make a Quotation from my Dialogues. It relates to that great Doctrine of the Gospel, CHRIST becoming the Representative and foederal Head of Sinners. Upon this Occasion Aspasia says; " As Adam was a " public Person, and acted in the Stead of all Man-46 kind; so CHRIST was a public Person, and acted

" acted in Behalf of all bis People. As Adam was the first general Representative of this Kind, "CHRIST was the second and last." Here, you substitute the Word Mankind, instead of this Kind; and thereby lead the Reader to suppose, that Aspasio considers our glorious Representative, as standing in this Capacity to the whole human Race; than which nothing can be more injurious to the Sense of his Words.

I at first thought it might possibly be the Effect of Inadvertency. But could a Person of Mr. Wesley's Discernment, allow himself to nod over a Passage, which he knew to be of a critical and controverted Import?—Perhaps, it might be the Printer's Fault: an Error of the Press. I would willingly have admitted one of these extenuating Circumstances, till I came to the Bottom of the Page. Where, to my great Astonishment, I found the following Words, inclosed within the Marks of the same Quotation. and ascribed to Aspasso. " All these Expressions demonstrate, That Adam (as well as CHRIST) " was a Representative of all Mankind." Then I could no longer forbear crying out; There is Treachery, O Ahaziah! A false Quotation, not made only, but repeated, cannot be owing to Negligence, but must proceed from Defign. And this, I should think, can never be defended, no, nor with a good Grace excused, by Mr. Wesley's most devoted Admirers. A Rudious Alteration of our Words, and an evident Perversion of their Meaning, are defensible by no Arguments, are excusable on no Occasion.

Quite inexcusable this Practice. And is not your Language equally offensive? Is not your Conclusion very precipitate? when you suppose Aposto, though

though using the Words of Scripture, yet representing GOD, as "an almighty Tyrant?"-Surely, you had better forbear fuch horrid and shocking Expreffions. Especially, as you cannot deny, that many Passages in Scripture, seem at least to countenance this obnoxious Tenet. As you very well know, that many Persons, eminent for their Learning and exemplary in their Lives, have written in Defence of it, and bled for the Confirmation of it. As we have Proofs more than a few, that you are far from being infallible in your Judgment; yea, far from being invariable in your Opinion. Witness your former Notions of Matrimony: Witness the Character you formerly gave of the Moravian Brethren: And the Esteem which you once had, for the Mystics, and their Writings .- Considering yourself, therefore, it would better become you to be diffident on such a Subject, and fay, " That which I know not, LORD " teach thou me." And I imagine, it can never become you, on any Subject whatever, to break out into fuch Language, as ought not to be named among Christians: Ought to have no Place, but in the bottomless Pit. This is an Admonition, which, while I suggest to you, Sir, I charge on myself.

The three following Paragraphs relate to a Doctrine, which you are fond to attack, and which Afpasso studiously declines. It constitutes no Part of his Plan. It forms not so much as the Out-Works. Be it demolished or established, the grand Privilege, and the invaluable Blessing, of Justification through the Righteousness of CHRIST, remains unshakens stands immoveable.—In applying this to ourselves, we proceed neither upon universal nor particular Redemption, but only upon the divine Grant, and the

divine Invitation. We affure ourselves of present and eternal Salvation, through this perfect Righte-ousness, not as Persons elected, but as Persons, warranted by the Word of GOD; bound by the Command of GOD; and led by the SPIRIT of GOD.—Therefore, while you are encountering this Doctrine, I would be looking unto JESUS; be viewing the Glory of my LORD; contemplating HIS Persection, and my own Completeness in HIM.

If I divert, for a Moment, from this delightful Object, it is only to touch upon one of your Remonstrances. You suppose, that, according to the Calvinistic Scheme, GOD denies what is necessary for present Comfort and final Acceptance, even to some who sincerely seek it. This is contrary to Scripture, and no less contrary to the Doctrine of your Opponents. However, to confirm yourself in this Misapprehension, you ask, "Would you deny it to any, if it were in your Power?"—To shew the Error of such a Sentiment, and the Fallacy of such Reasoning, I shall just mention a recent melancholy Fact.

News is brought, that the Prince George Man of War, Admiral Broderick's own Ship, is burnt, and funk, and above four hundred Souls, that were on board, are perished. Six Hours, the Flames prevailed; while every Means was used, to preserve the Ship and Crew; but all to no Purpose. In the mean Time, Shrieks and Groans, bitter Moanings, and piercing Cries, were heard from every Quarter. Raving, Despair, and even Madness presented themselves, in a Variety of Forms. Some ran to and fro distracted with Terror, not knowing what they did, or what they should do. Others jumped over board, from

from all Parts; and, to avoid the Pursuit of one Death, leaped into the Jaws of another. Those unhappy Wretches, who could not fwim, were obliged to remain upon the Wreck, though Flakes of Fire fell on their Bodies. Soon the Masts went away, and killed Numbers. Those, who were not killed, thought themselves happy, to get upon the floating Timber. Nor yet were they safe; for, the Fire, having communicated itself to the Guns, which were loaded and shotted, they swept Multitudes from this their last Resuge.—What say you, Sir, to this dismal Narrative? Does not your Heart bleed? Would you have stood by, and denied your Succour, if it had been in your Power to help? Would not you have done your utmost, to prevent the fatal Catastrophe? Yet the LORD saw this extreme Distress. He heard their piteous Moans. He was able to fave them, yet withdrew his Affistance. Now, because you would gladly have succoured them if you could, and GOD ALMIGHTY could, but would not send them Aid; will you therefore conclude, that you are above your LORD, and that your Loving-Kindness is greater than His? I will not offer to charge any such Consequence upon you. I am perfuaded you abhor the Thought.

"The Wedding Garment here means Holiness."
—Thus faying, you depart from Bengelius, for whom you profess so high a Regard. Bengelius overlooks your Exposition, and gives his Vote for Aspasso's. Hac vestis est justicia CHRISTI. Awed by so venerable an Authority, you have not ventured to exclude this Sense from your Comment. You have admitted it, into your expository Notes, yet will not allow Aspasso to admit it, into his Discourse with

290

Theren. These are your Words; "The Wedding-"Garment, that is, the Righteousness of CHRIST, "first imputed, then implanted." Which, by the Way, is not perfectly accurate, nor according to the Language of the Gospel. The Gospel distinguishes between the Righteousness of CHRIST, and our own Righteousness. That which is imputed, goes under the former, that which is implanted, under the latter Denomination.

However, let us consider the Circumstances of the Case, and we shall find, that our common Favourite Bengelius has Probability and Reason on his Side. The Guests mentioned in this Parable, consisted of poor Outcasts, collected from the Highways and Hedges. Now we cannot suppose, that People in such a Condition, and coming at a Minute's Warning, should be able to furnish themselves with a Dress of their awn, suitable to the grand Occasion. Here then personal Holiness is put out of the Question.—But we must suppose, (which is conformable to the Eastern Customs) that the King had ordered his Servants, to accommodate each Guest from the royal Wardrobe. That each might have this additional Token of his Sovereign's Favour, and all might be arrayed in a Manner, becoming the magnificent Solemnity. This exactly corresponds with the Nature of imputed Righteousness.

Farther; the Banquet you will readily allow, is the Pardon of Sin, and Peace with GOD, the divine SPIRIT, and eternal Life. From all which, uniting their happy Influence, true Holiness springs. To say, that Holiness is the Wedding-Garment, necessary for our Introduction to this Banquet, savours of Absurdity; like saying Holiness is necessary to Holiness

Holines—it is absolute Legality; for it makes the Performance of all Duties, the Way to the REDEEMER's Grace—it implies an Impossibility; the Sinner, that can exercise Holines, before he receives CHRIST and his SPIRIT, is like the dead Man, who arises and walks, before he is restored to Life.

The grand End which GOD proposes in all his favourable Dispensations to fallen Man, is to demonstrate the Sovereignty of his Grace. " Not so."-Do you mean, Aspasso has not spoken so? That you have misrepresented his Sense? Have clipped and disfigured his Coin? If this is your Meaning, you speak an undoubted Truth. His Words are, "To " demonstrate the Sovereignty, and advance the "Glory of his Grace." Why did you suppress the last Clause? Was you afraid it would supply the Deficiency, which you charge on Afpafio, and express the Idea of imparting Happiness? If so, your Fears are just enough.-Why did you not take into Consideration those Texts of Scripture, with which Afpasso confirms his Tenet? Ought you not to have overthrown those Testimonies, before you deny his Doctrine? Otherwise, you oppose your own Authority, to the Decision of a Prophet and of an Apostle.

"Not so, proceeds Mr. Wesley. To impart Hap"piness to his Creatures, is his grand End herein."
—The devout Prophet speaks otherwise; I have created Him for my Glory. The wise Moralist speaks otherwise; The LORD bath created all Things for Himself. The holy Apostle speaks otherwise; To the Praise of the Glory of his Grace. From which, and from innumerable other Places of Scripture, from U 2

the Reason of the Thing, and from the very Nature of the SUPREME BEING, it appears, that the primary leading Aim, in all GOD's Works, and all GOD's Dispensatious, is his own Glory. The Felicity of his Creatures, though not separated from it, though evidently included in it, is still subordinate to it. And furely it is very meet and right fo to be. Can there be a nobler End, or more worthy of an infinitely wife Agent, than the Display of those sublime Persections, for which, and through which, and to which, are all Things? Could GOD make any other Being the principal End of his acting, He would undeify himself, and give his Glory to another. Does any Creature imagine his own Happiness to be a higher End, than the divine Glory? He thereby usurps the GODHEAD, making, as far as in him lies, IEHOVAH the Subject, and Himself the Sovereign.

"Barely to demonstrate his Sovereignty." The Word barely is not used by Aspasia. But it gives another Specimen of Mr. Wesley's Integrity, in stating Truth, and doing Justice to his Opponents.—It is not said, the sole, but the grand End. Therefore, would any unprejudiced Person conclude, there must be some other, though inserior Purpose. No, says Mr. Wesley; hence I inser, that it was barely to demonstrate his Sovereignty. Do you so? Then your Inserence is of a Piece with the Quotation, that as valid, as this is faithful.

<sup>24</sup> Barely to demonstrate his Sovereignty," is a Principle of Action sit for the great Turk, not for the most high GOD. Such a fraudulent Quotation I have not often seen, no, not in the Critical Reviewers. To mark the Sentence with Commas, and

and thereby affign it to Afpasio, is really a Master-piece, especially, after you have thrust in the Word barely, and lopped off the Word Grace. You have treated the Passage worse than Nahash King of Ammon, treated the Ambassadors of David. They were ashamed to shew their Faces, under such Marks of Abuse and Disgrace. I am no less ashamed of the Clause, as you have mangled and disguised it. But restore it to its true State; let it wear its native Aspect; then see what is blameable, or what is offensive in it.

The grand End, which GOD proposes, in all his favourable Dispensations to sallen Man, is, to demonstrate the Sovereignty, and advance the Glory of his Grace.—The Glary, that it may appear rich, unbounded, and infinitely surpassing, all we can wish or imagine.—The Sovereignty, that it may appear free, undeserved, and absolutely independent on any Goodness in the Creature.—That Sinners may receive it, without waiting for any amiable Qualities, or performing any recommending Conditions.—That, when received, it may stop the Mouth of boasting; may cut off all Pretensions of personal Merit; and teach every Tongue to say, Not unto us, O LORD, not unto us, but unto thy Name be the Praisse.

And should we not greatly rejoice in this Method of the divine Procedure? That the LORD orders all Things relating to our Salvation, to the Praise of the Glory of his Grace? Can any Thing be more honourable to our Nature, or more transporting to our Souls? Can any Thing so firmly establish, or so highly exalt our Hopes? Angels, Principalities, and Powers; all intellectual Creatures, in all Ages, and

294

all Worlds; are to look unto us, unto us Men they are to look, for the most consummate Display of GOD's Grace. Our Exaltation and Felicity are to be the Mirror, in which the wondering Cherubim and Seraphim will contemplate the superabundant Goodness of JEHOVAH. How great must that Honour and that Happiness be, which are intended to exhibit the sullest, fairest, brightest View of GOD's infinitely glorious Grace! How fure must that Honour and Happiness be, which are so intimately connected with the Glory of the omnipotent King! Which can no more fail of their Accomplishment, than the amiable Attributes of GODHEAD can be stripped of their Lustre!

GOD is a boundless Ocean of Good. "Nay, "that Ocean is far from boundless, if it wholly passes by nine Tenths of Mankind."—What, if it had passed by all Mankind, as it certainly did all the Devils, would it have been the less boundless on that Account? I wish, methinks, you would study the Evil of Sin more, and not so frequently obtrude upon us a Subject, of which neither you not I seem to be Massers. Then we should neither have hard Thoughts of GOD, nor high Thoughts of ourselves.

You cannot suppose, GOD would enter into a fresh Covenant, with an insolvent and attainted Creature \*. These are Aspasso's Words. To which Mr. Wesley replies; "I both suppose and know He did." Satis cum imperio. Then be pleased, Sir, to shew us, where the Almighty entered into a Covenant with fallen Adam; for of him we are speaking. Produce

<sup>\*</sup> Insolvent and attainted Creature, Mr. Wesley has changed into " a Rebel."

duce the original Deed; at least favour us with a Transcript. And we will take your Word, when it is backed with such Authority.

GOD made the new Covenant with CHRIST, and charged Him with the Performance of the Conditions. "I deny both these Assertions." And what is your Reason for this Denial? Is it deduced from Scripture? Nothing like it. Is it founded on the Nature of Things? No Attempt is made towards it. But you yourself affirm, that these Assertions "are the central Point, wherein Calvinism and Antinomianism meet." Or, in other Words, they tend to establish, what you dislike; and to overthrow, what you have taught. This is all the Cause, which you assign for your Denial. I cannot but wish, that, whenever I engage in Controversy, my Adversary may be furnished with such Arguments.

You deny the Assertions now. But don't you forget, what you allowed and maintained a little while ago? when you yourself, adopting a Passage from Theren and Aspasso, called CHRIST " a scederal "Head?"—Pray, what is a scederal Head, but a Person with whom a Covenant is made, in Behalf of himself and others? Here your Judgment was according unto Truth. Fit, persectly sit for such an Ossice, is CHRIST; whose Life is all his own, who is able to merit, and mighty to save. But absolutely unsit for it, utterly incapable of it, is sallen Man; whose Life is forseited, whose moral Ability is lost, and whose very Nature is Enmity against GOD.

I have made a Covenant with my chosen.—
"Namely, with David my Servant." True; with David cas in CHRIST, or rather as a Type of CHRIST.

CHRIST. You cannot be ignorant, that CHRIST is called by this very Name. The LORD, speaking by the Prophet Ezekiel, says, I will set up one Shepherd over them, and he shall seed them, even my Servant David.—Was David beloved \*? CHRIST was incomparably more so.—Was David GOD's chosen one? CHRIST was so likewise, and in a far sublimer Sense, and for infinitely more momentous Purposes.—Was David GOD's Servant? So was JESUS CHRIST; and, by his Services, brought unspeakably greater Honour to the LORD his GOD, than all Kings on Earth, and all the Princes of Heaven.—Several Parts of this Psalm, must be applied to CHRIST; and if several of them must, the principal of them may and ought.

He will wash you in the Blood, which atones, and invest you with the Righteousness, which justifies. "Why should you thus put asunder contimually what GOD has joined?" How difficult is it, to please Mr. Westey! When Aspasa spoke of CHRIST's Righteousness, without particularly mentioning his Blood, you said it was better to mention them both together; it behoved us, never to name the former, without the latter. Yet here, when both are mentioned, and the particular Use of each is specified, you complain of his putting as sunder what GOD has joined, which, in Truth, is no disjoining, but an Illustration and Amplification of the unsearchable Riches of CHRIST.

GOD himself, at the last Day, pronounces them righteous, because they are interested in the Obedience of the REDEEMER. "Rather, because they are washed in his Blood, and renewed by his "SPI-

<sup>\*</sup> Beloved. This is the Meaning of דויר David's Name.

297

" SPIRIT." GOD will justify them in the last Day, in the very same Way, whereby he justified them in this World; namely, because they are interested in the Obedience of the REDEEMER. As for their Renewal by the SPIRIT, though it will then be perfect, yet it will be no Cause of their Acquittal, but the Privilege of those who are acquitted. -A Proof of this, at least an Attestation of it, the World has received from your own Pen. " neither our own inward nor outward Righteouf-" ness is the Ground of our Justification. " of Heart as well as Holiness of Life, is not the " Cause, but the Effect of it. The sole Cause of " our Acceptance with GOD, is the Righteousness " and Death of CHRIST, who fulfilled GOD's " Law, and died in our Stead." Excellent Sentiments! In these may I ever abide! To these may your alfo return !

## FINIE.

BOOKS written by the late Reverend Mr. HER-VEY; and fold by J. F. and C. RIVINGTON, at the Bible and Crown, N° 62, St. Paul's Church-Yard.

## I. MEDITATIONS and CONTEM-PLATIONS. Containing,

Vol. I. Meditations among the Tombs, Reflections on a Flower-Garden, and a Descant on Creation.

Vol. II. Contemplations on the Night, Contemplations on the Starry Heavens, and a Winter-Piece. In Two Volumes in Octavo. Price 6 s. bound.

II. THERON and ASPASIO; or, A Series of Dialogues and Letters upon the most important and interesting Subjects, in three Volumes in Octavo, Price 12 s.

III. A Collection of the Rev. Mr. Hervey's Letters: Which exhibit in their Purport, Composition, and various Tendencies, a striking and amiable Picture of the Ingenuity, Learning, Candour, and Piety of their excellent Author. To which is prefixed, An Account of his Life and Death. In Two Volumes in Octavo. Price 8s. bound.

IV. Letters to the Right Honourable Lady Frances Shirley. In One Volume in Octavo. Price

4s. bound.

V. Sermons and Miscellaneous Tracts: Containing, 1. Three Fast Sermons. 2. A Visitation Sermon. 3. Considerations on visiting on Sundays. 4. Remarks on Lord Bolingbroke's Letters on the Study and Use of History. 5. The Ministry of Reconciliation. 6. A Treatise on the Religious Education of Daughters. 7. A Latin Epistle on the Book of Job. In one Volume in Octavo. Price 35. bound.

The above, with this Volume of Letters, may be had bound in Ten Volumes. Price 11. 17s. of J. F. and C. RIVINGTON, No. 62, St. Paul's Church-

yard.











