This is a reproduction of a library book that was digitized by Google as part of an ongoing effort to preserve the information in books and make it universally accessible.





https://books.google.com

James Everett

The WITNESS of the SPIRIT.

Ą

SERMON

O N

Romans viii. 16.

By JOHN WFSLEY, M. A.



DUBLIN:

Printed by S. Powell, Printer, in Dame-Street, opposite to Forones's-Street.

M DCC LXIX.

(Price One Penny.)

6



ROMANSVIII. 16.

The Spirit itself beareth witness with our spirit, that we are the children of God.

I. 1. ONE who believes the Scriptures to be the word of God can doubt the *Importance* of fuch a truth as this : A truth revealed therein, not once only, not obfcurely, not incidentally; but frequently, and that in express terms; but folcomly and of fet purpole, as denoting one of the peculiar privileges of the Children of God.

2. And it is the more neceffary to explain and defend this truth, because there is a danger on the right hand and on the left. If we deny it, there is a danger left our Religion degenerate into mere Formality: Left bawing a form of godinefs, we neglect, if not ainy the power of it. If we allow it, but do not under and what we allow, we are liable to run into all the wildnefs of Euthuliafin. It is therefore needful in the higheft degree, to guard those who fear God from both these dangers, by a foriptural and rational illustration and confirmation of this momentous truth.

3. It may feem fomething of this kind is the more needful becaufe fo little has been wrote on the fubject with any clearnefs: unlets fome Difcourfes on the wrong fide of the queltion, which explain it quite away. And it cannot be doubted, but thele were orcationed, at leaft in great measure, by the crude, unicriptural, irrational explications of others, who knew not what they facke, nor whereof they affirmed.

4 It more nearly concerns the Merbeau, i, fo called, clearly to underliand, explain and defend this doctrine, becaule it i one grand part of the Teffimony, which God has given them to bear to all man- Λz kind.

kind. It is by his particular bleifing upon them in fearching the fcriptures, confirmed by the experience of his children, that this great evangelical truth has been recovered, which had been for many years well nigh loft and forgotten.

II. t. But what is the Witnels of the Spirit ? The original word, maerven, may be rendered either, (as it is in feveral places.) the witnels, or lets ambiguoully, the tellinory or the record : fo it is rendered in our translation, 1 7 cbn v. 11. This is the record (the te limony, the fum of what God tettines in all the inspired Writings) That God bath given unto us eternal life, and this life is in his Son. The teltimony now under confideration is given by the Spirit of God to and with our fpirit. He is the perion reitifying. What he teflifies to us is I bat we are the children of Gad. The immediate refult of this tellimony, is the fruit of the Spirie; namely, Love, joy, peace; long-luffering, gentlenels, geedne's. And without these the tellimony ittelf cannot continue. For it is inevitably deftroved, not only by the commission of any outward Sin, or the omiffion of known Duty, but by giving way to any inward fin : in a word, by whatever grieves the Holy Spirit of God.

2. I obferved many years ago, " It is hard to had words in the language of men, to explain the deep things of God. Indeed there are none that will adequately express, what the Spirit of God works in his children. But perhaps one might fay (defiring any who are taught of God, to correct, foften or ftrengthen the expression) By the teffimony of the Spirit I mean, an inward Imprettion of the Soul, where y the tritit of Ged immediately and directly withelles to my fpirit, that I am a child of God, that John Cirif harb loved me, and given himself for me. I nat all my fins are blotted out, and I, even I am reconciled to God." Scrmons, Vol. I.

3. Alter twenty years farther confideration, I fe no caule to retract any part of this. Neither do l conceive, how any of these Expressions may be altered

1

Digitized by Google · ·

A 44 **

tered, fo as to make them more intelligible. I cononly add, That if any of the Children of God will point out any other expressions, which are more clear, or more agreeable to the Word of God, I will readily lay these aside.

4. Meantime let it be observed. I do not mean hereby, that the Spirit of God tefficies this by any Outward voice: No, nor always by an inward voice, altho he may do this fometimes. Neither do I fuppole, that he always applies to the heart, (tho he orien may) one or more texts of Scripture. But he fo works upon the Soul by his immediate influence, and by a fitrong, tho' inexplicable operation, that the flormy wind and troubled waves fubfide, and there is a fweet caim: the heart retting as in the arms of Lefus, and the finner being clearly fatisfied, that God is reconciled, that all his *iniquities are forgiven*, and bis fins covered.

5. Now what is the matter of dipute concerning this? Not, whether there be a Witnels or Tethinony of the Spirit? Not, whether the Spirit does teilify with our fpirit, that we are the children of God? None can deny this, without flatiy contradicting the Scripture, and charging a lie upon the God of truth. Therefore that there is a teltimony of the Spirit, is acknowledged by all parties.

6. Neither is it quettioned, Whether there is an indirect Witnefs or Tethinony, that we are the children of God. This is nearly, if not exactly the fame with the teffimony of a good conficience toward God; and is the refult of reason, or reflection on what we feel in our own fouls. Strictly speaking it is a Conclusion drawn partly from the word of God, and partly from our own experience. The word of God fays, every one who has the fruit of the Spirit is a child of God. Experience, or inward Conficients tells me, that I have the fruit of the Spirit. And hence I rationally conclude, Therefore I am a child of God. This is likewife allowed on all hands, and fo is no matter of controverfy.

A 3

7. Nor

7 Nor do we affert. That there can be any real rettimony of the Spilit, without the fruit of the Spirit. We affert, on the contrary, that the trun of the Spirit immediately fprings from this testimony: Not always indeed in the tase degree, even when the tellimony is hill given. And much lets afterwards ; neither joy nor peace are always at one flay. No, nor Love: As neither is the testimony itielt always equally firong and clear.

8. But the point in question is, Whether there be any dried infimony of the Spirit at all? Whether there be any other teilinions of the Spirit, than that which arifes from a Contenoither's of the fruit?

III. 1. I believe there is, Becaule that is the plain, notural meaning of the text, The S but while bearetb nutness north our 'first that are are the children of God. It is marifelt, he e are two withelics mentioned, who together teility the fame thing, the Spirit of God, and our own thirit. The late Bihop of London in his fermon on this text, feens attombed that any one can doubt of this, which appears upon the very face of the words. Now, " the tellomony of our cash if rit, fave the Bifliop is one, which is the Conferentiets of our own incerity " Or, to express the fame thing a little more clearly, the confcioutne's of the fluit of the spirit. When our fpirit is confeious of this, of love, joy, peace, long-tuffering, gentionefs, goodnets, it cally in ers from the e-premiles, that we are the children of God.

2. It is true, that Great Man Supposes the other witness to be ' the confciou ne's of our own good works." This, he affirms, is the testimony of God's spirit. But this is included in the testimony of God's own spirit; Yea, and in Succerity, even according to the common fende of the word. So the Apolite, Our rejecting is thus, the testimony of our confeience, that in simplecity and god's since ity are barb and our conversation in the word? Where it is plain functivy refers to cur words and actions, at least as much as to our inward dispositions. So that this is not another witness, but the

į

the very same that he mentioned before: The Conficioufnets of our good works being only one branch of the conficioutnets of our fincerity. Confequently here is only one witnets still. If therefore the text tpeaks of two witnetles, one of these is not the conficioutnets of our good works, neither of our fincerity: All this being manifelly contained in the testimony of our own fpirst.

3. What then is the other witnefs? This might eatily be learned, if the text itielf were not inficiently clear, from the verife immediately preceding. Te base received, not the Spirit of bondage, but the Spirit of adoption, whereby we cry, Abba, Father. It follows, The Spirit uffelf beareth without fpirit, that we are the children of God.

4. This is farther explained by the parallel text, Gal iv. 6. Becaufe 3c are fins, God bath lent forth the Spirit of his Scientific your hearts, crying Abba, Father, Is not this fomething immediate and dired, not the refut of reflection or argumentation? Does not this Spirit cry Abba, Father in cur hearts, the moment it is given? Antocedently to any reflection upon our fincerity, yea, to any realoning whatloever r And is not this the plain, natural fende of the words, which fluikes any one, as foon as he hears them? All there a direct teltimony of the Spirit.

5. That the telliments of the spirit of God, muft, in the very nature of things, be antecedent to the tellimons of our own fairer, may appear from this fingle confideration. We muft be holy in heart and life, before we can be conficious that we are fo. But we muft love God before we can be holy at all, this being the root of all holinefs. Now we cannot love God, 'till we know he loves us: We love him, becaule be field loved us. And we cannot know his love to us, 'till his Spirit witheffics it to our fpirit. 'Till then we cannot believe it: we cannot fay, The life which I now live, I live by faith in the fon of God, who loved me, and gave himfill for me.

Then

Then, only then we feel Our interest in his blood, And cry with joy unspeakable, Thou art my Lord, my God.

Since therefore the tellimony of his Spirit mult precede the love of God and all holinets, of contequence it mult precede, our conficioutnets thereof.

6. And here properly comes in, to confirm this for iptural doctrine, the experience of the children of God: The experience not of two or three, not of a few, but of a great multitude, which no man can number. It has been confirmed, both in this and in all ages by a cleud of living and dying wrme fee. It is confirmed by your experience and mine. The Spirit itfelf bore witnets to my tpirit, that I was a child of God, gave me an evidence hereof, and I immediately cried, Abba, Farber ! and this I did, (and to did you) before I reflected on, or was conficious of any fruit of the Spirit. It was from this tellimony received, that love, joy, prace, and the whole fruit of the Spirit flowed. I not I heard,

1

Thy fins are forgiven ! Accepted thou art ! Hittened, and Heaven iprung up in my heart.

7. But this is confirmed, not only by the experience of the child, on of God, thousands of whom can declare, I hat they never did know themfelves to be in the favour of God, 'till it was directly witheffed to them by his Spirit; but by all those who are convinced of fin, who feel the wrath of God abiding on them. These cannot be fatisfied with any thing lets than a direct tellimony from his Spirit, that he is merciful to these unrighteowinels, and remembers their firs and iniquities no more. Tell any of thele, " You are to know you are a child, by reflecting on what he has wrought in you, on your love, joy and peace :" And will he not immediately toply, By all this I know, I am a child of the devil. I have no more tove to God than the devil has: my carnal mind is enmitv

enuity against God. I have no joy in the Holy Ghoft: my foul is forrowful even unto death. have no peace : my heart is a troubled fea : I am all storm and tempest. And which way can these fouls possibly be comforted, but by a divine testimony, (Not that they are good, or fincere, or conformable to the Scripture in heart and life, but) That God sufficed the ungedly: Him that 'till the moment he is justified, is all ungodly, void of all true holinefs ? Him that worketb not, that worketh nothing that is truly good, 'till he is confcious that he is accepted, not for any works of righteo fnefs which he bath done, but by the mere, free mercy of God? Wholly and folely for what the fon of God hath done and fuffered for. him ? And can it be any otherwife, if a man is justified by fairb, without the works of the law? If fo, what inward or autward goodneis can he be confcious of, antecedent to his Juflification? Nay, is not the Laving nothing to pay, that is, the being confcious, that there aweileib in us no good iling, neither inward nor outward goodnefs, effentially, indifpentiably neceffary, be one we can be justified freely, thro' the redemption that is in Jelus Chrift? Was ever any man juftified fince Lis coming into the world, or can any man ever be juttified, 'till he is brought to that point.

> " I give up every plea, befide Lord, I am damned-But thou haft died !"

8. Every one therefore who denies the existence of fuch a tettimony, does in effect deny Justificationby faith. It follows, That either he never experienced this, either he never was justified, or that he has forgotten, (as St. Peter speaks) $\tau \dot{v}$ xa \Im xerous $\tau w r$ with a superior, the purification from this former fine, the experience he then had himstelf, the manner wherein God wrought in his own foul, when his former fins were blotted out.

9 And the experience even of the children of the world, here confirms that of the children of God. Many of these have a defire to pleate God: for e of them them take much pains to pleafe him. But do they not, one and all, count it the higheft abfurdity, for any to talk of "*knowing* his fins are forgiven? Which of *them* even pretends to any fuch thing? And yet many of them are confcious of their own fincerity. Many of them undoubtedly have, in a degree, the tellimony of their own fpirit, a confciouffiers of their own uprightnets. But this brings them no confciouffiels, that they are forgiven, no knowledge that they are the children of God. Yea, the more fincer they are, the more uneafy they generally are, for want of knowing it: Flainly flewing that this cannot be known in a facistationy manner, by the bare tellimony of our own Spirit, without God's directly tellifying, that we are his children.

IV. But abundance of Objections have been made to this; the chief of which it may be well to confider.

1. It is objected first, "Experience is not functient, to prove a doctrine which is not founded on Scripture." This is undoubtedly true; and it is an important truth: but it does not affect the pretent question. For it has been shewn, that this doctrine is founded on scripture. Therefore experience is properly alledged to confirm it.

2. "But madmen, French Prophets and Enthufialts of every kind have imagined they experienced this witnefs." They have for And performs not a few of them did, although they did not retain it long, But if they did not, this is no proof at all, that others have not experienced it: As a madman's imagining himfelf a king, does not prove, that the e are no real kings.

" Nay, many who pleaded fliongly for this, have utterly decryed the Bible."——Pathaps for but this was no necessary confequence: Thoulands plead for it, who have the highest effect for the biote

"Yea, but many have fatally deceived themicives hereby, and got above all conviction "

And yet a foriptural doctrine is no worfe, the' menabuic it to their own destruction.

3. " But

3. "But I lay it down as an undoubted truth, the fruit of the Spirit is the witnefs of the Spirit." Not undoubted; thousands doubt of, yea flatly deny it: but let that pais.——"If this witnefs be fufficient there is no need of any other. But it is fufficient, unlefs in one of thefe cafes, 1. The total absence of the finit of the Spirit."——And this is the cafe, when the direct witnefs is hifl given:——2" The not perceiving it. But to contend for it in this cafe, is to contend for being in the favour of God, and not knowing it." True, not knowing it at that time any otherwise, than by the tellimony which is given for that end. And this we do contend for : We contend, that the direct with is may fline clear, even while the indirect one is under a cloud.

4. It is objected, Secondly, the defign of the witnels contenued for, as to prove that the projettion we nake is genuine. But it does not prove this. I aniwer, the proving this, is not the defign of it. It is antecedent to our making any profetiion at all, but that of being loft, undone, guilty, helplets finners. It is deligned to allure those to whom it is given, that they are the children of God ; that they are justified preely by bis grace, thre' the redemption that is in Jeins Ciriff. And this does not suppose, that their preceding thoughts, words and actions, are conformable to the rule of feripture. It supposes quite the revenie, namely, that they are finners all over, finners both in heart and life Were it otherwife, God would juffify the godly ; and their own works would be counten to them for righteoufness. And I cannot but lear that a suppolition of our being justified by works, is at the root of all their objections. For whoever cordially believes, that God imputes to all that are jultified righteoufnets without works, will find no difficulty in allowing the witnefs of his fpirit, preceding the fruit of it.

5. It is objected, Thirdly, "One Evangelift fays, Your heaven's Father will give the Holy Spirit to them that a/k him. The other Evangelift calls the fame thing good gifts; abundantly demonstrating, that the Spinit's way of bearing witness, is by giving good gifts," Nay. Nay, here is nothing at all about *bearing with fs.* either in one text, or the other. Therefore 'till this demonfiration is more abundantly demonstrated, I let it fland as it is.

6. It is objected, Fourth'y, " The Scripture tays, The tree is known by its fruits. Prove all things. Try the fririts. Examine purseives." Molt true : therefore let every man who believes he bath the suitants in tim-Jelf, try whether it he of God; if the fauit follow, it is, otherwile it is not. For certainly the tree is known by its fruit: Hereby we prove, if it be of Ged. " But the direct withets is never referred to in the book of God." Not as flanding alone, not as a fingle witnefs, but as connected with the other : As giving a joint tettimony, tetlifving with our lipitit, that we are children of God. And who is able to prove, That it is not thus referred to, in this very feripture. Examine your/elaces ruberber you be in the faith : prove your onunfelves. Know ye not your ownfelves, that Jelus (briff is in you? It is by no means clear, that they did not know this, by a direct as well as a remote witness. How is it proved that they did not know it, first, by inward contcioutnets, and then by love, joy and peace?

7. "But the teltimony ariting from the internal and external change, is conitantly reserved to in the Bible."——It is to. And we conftantly refer thereto, to confirm the tellimony of the Spirit.

" Nay, all the marks you have given, whereby to diffinguith the operations of God's Spirit from delufion, refer to the change wrought in us and upon us." This likewile is undoubtedly true.

8. It is objected, fithly, that " the direct witnefs of the Spirit, does not fecure us from the greatest delutions. And is that a witnefs fit to be trutted, who'e testimony cannot be depended on it. That is forced to fly to fomething elie, to prove what is allerts;" ——I answer. To fecure us from all delution, God gives us two witneffes that we are his children. And this they testify conjointly. Therefore *aubat Goa bath istned togetver*, *iet not man put of under*. And while they are joined, we cannot be deluded : their testimony can

ľ

can be depended on. They are fit to be trufted in 'she higheft degree, and need nothing elfe to prove what they affert.

"Nay, the direct witnels only afferts, but does not prove any thing." By two witneffes shall every word be established. And when the Spirit animeffes avith our Spirit, as God defigns it to do, then it fully proves that we are children of God.

9. It is objected, fixthly, "You own the change wrought is a fufficient teltimony, unlefs in the cafe of fevere trials, fuch as that of our Saviour upon the crofs. But none of us can be tried in that manner." But you or I may be tried in fuch a manner, and fo may any other child of God, that it will be impollible for us to keep our filial confidence in God, without the direct witnefs of his Spirit.

10. It is objected, laftly, "The greateft contenders for it, are fome of the proudeft and most uncharitable of men."—Perhaps fome of the *botteft* contenders for it, are both proud and uncharitable. But many of the *firmeft* contenders for it, are eminently nicek and lowly in heart: and indeed in all other respects also,

True Followers of their lamb-like Lord.

The preceding Objections are the most confiderable that I have heard, and I believe contain the fitrength of the caufe. Yet I apprehend whoever calmly and impartially confiders thole Objections and the answers together, will easily fee, that they do not deftroy, no, nor weaken the evidence of that great truth, I hat the Spirit of God does *directly* as well as *indirectly* tettify, that we are children of God.

V. 1. The fum of all is this. The teftimony of the Spirit is an inward impression on the fouls of believers, whereby the Spirit of God directly teslifies to their spirit, that they are the children of God And it is not questioned, whether there is a testimony of the Spirit r but whether there is any direct testimony? Whether there is any other than that which arises from a contciousness of the fruit of the Spirit? We B believe believe there is: Becaufe this is the plain, natural meaning of the text, illustrated both by the preceding words, and by the parallel patfage in the Fpittle to the Gatatian: Becaufe, in the nature of the thing, the teffimony mult precede the fruit which fprings from it, and becaufe this plain meaning of the word of God is confirmed by the experience of innumerable children of God: Yea, and by the experience of all who are convinced of fin, who can never reft, 'till they have a direct witnels: And even of the children of the world, who not having the witnels in themfelves, one and all declare, none can know his tins forgiven.

2. And whereas it is objected, That experience is not fufficient to prove a doctrine unfupported by Scripture : That madmen and enthuliaits of every kind, have imagined such a witness: That the defian of that witness is to prove our profetlion genuine, which delign it does not aniwer : That the Scipture tays, The tree is known by its front ; Examine yourfelves ; trove your oumfelves; and mean time the direct with is is never referred to in all the book of God: That it does not fecure us from the greatest delusions : And, lafly, that the change wrought in us is a fufficient teftimony, unlefs in fuch trials as Chrift alone fuffered :----- We answer, 1. Experience is fufficient to confirm a doctrine, which is grounded on Scripture: 2. Tho' many fancy they experience what they do not, this is no prejudice to real experience : 3. The defign of that witnels is, to affure us we are children of God. And this defign it does antwer. 4 The true witnefs of the Spirit is known by its fruit, love, peace, joy; not indeed preceding, but following it: c. It cannot be proved, that the direct, as well as the indirect witnefs, is not referred to in that very text, Know ye not your own/elves that Jejus Christ is in you? 6. The fpirit of God withe fing with our spirit does lecure us from all delution : And, lattly, we are all liable to trials, wherein the tellimony of our own fpirit is not fufficient; wherein nothing lefs than the direct testimoi.y

mony of God's Spirit can affure us that we are his children.

3. Two inferences may be drawn from the whole, The first, Let none ever prefume to reft, in any fuppoled rettimony of the Spirit, which is feparate from the fruit of it. If the Spirit of God does really teftify that we are children of God, the immediate confequence will be the fruit of the fpirit, even love, jor, peace, long-fuffering, gentleness, goodness; fidelisy, meckness, temperance. And however this fruit may be clouded for a while, during the time of ftrong temptation, fo that it does not appear to the tempted perfon, while Satan is fifting him as wheat, yet the substantial part of it remains, even under the thickeft cloud. It is true, loy in the Holy Ghoft may be withdrawn, during the hour of trial: Yea, the foul may be exceeding forroautul, while the bour and power of darkness continues. But even this is generally reftored with increase, and he rejoyces with joy unspeakable and full of glory.

4. The fecond Inference is, Let none reft in any fupposed Fruit of the Spirit without the witness. I here may be fortaites of joy, of peace, of love, and those not delusive, but really from God, long before we have the witnefs in outfelves, before the Spirit of God witnelles with our Spirits, that we have redemprion in the blood of Jefus, even the forgiveness of sins. Yea. there may be a degree of long-fuffering, of gentlenefs, of fdelity, meeknefs, temperance, (not a lhadow thereof but a real degree, by the preventing grace of God hefore we are accepted in the beloved, and confequently before we have a tellimony of our acceptance. But it is by no means adviseable to reft here; it is at the peril of our fouls if we do. If we are wife we shall be continually crying to God, until his Spiris cry in our heart, Alba, Father ! This is the privilege of all the children of God, and without this we can never be affured that we are his children. Without this we cannot retain a fleddy peace, nor avoid perplexing doubts and fears. But when we have once received this Spirit of adoption. that peace which paffes all understanding, and which expels all painful doubl

doubt and fear, will *keep our bearts and minds in Chrift Yelus* And when this has brought forth its genuine fruit all inward and outward Holinels, it is undoubtedly the will of him that calleth us, to give us always what he has once given. So that there is no need, that we fhould ever more be deprived of either the testimony of God's bpirit, or the testimony of our own, the confciouthels of our walking it all righteoninels and true Holinels.

Newry, April 4, 1767.

F

I N I



S.