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## E S S A Y I.

Of the Delicacy of Taste and Passion.

$\infty$OME People are fubject to a certain delicacy of pafion, which makes them extremely fenfible to all the accidents of life, and gives them a lively joy upon every profperous event, as well 'as a piercing grief, when they meet with misfortunes and adverfity. Favours and good offices eafily engage their friendhip; while the fmalleft injury provokes their refentment. Any honour or mark of diftinction elevatés them above meafure; but they are as fenfibly touched with contempt: People of this character have; no doubt, more lively enjoyments, as well as more pungent forrows, than men of cool and fedate tempers : But, I believe, when every thing is balanced, there is no one, who would not rather be of the latter character, were he entirely mafter of his own difpofition. Good or ill fortune is very little at our - difpofal: And when a perfon, that has this fenfibility of temper, meets with any misfortune, his forrow or refentment takes entire poffeffion of him, and deprives him of all relifh in the common occurrences of life; the right enjoyment of which forms the chief part of our happinefs. Great pleafures are much lefs frequent than great pains; fo that a fenfible temper muft meet with fewer trials in the former way than in the latter. Not to mention, that men of fuch lively paffions are apt to be tranfported beyond all bounds of prudence and difcretion,
and to take falfe fteps in the conduct of life, which are often irretrievable.

There is a delicacy of tafte obfervable in fome men, which very much refembles this delicacy of pafion, and produces the fame fenfibility to beauty and deformity of every kind, as that does to profperity and adverfity, obligations and injuries. . When you prefent a poem or a picture to a man poffeffed of this talent, the delicacy of his feeling makes him be fenfibly touched with every part of it ; nor are the mafterly ftrokes perceived with more exquifite relifh and fatisfaction, than the negligences or abfurdities with difguft and uneafinefs. A polite and judicious converfation affords him the higheft entertainment ; rudenefs or impertinence is as great a punifhment to him. In fhort, delicacy of tafte has the fame effect as delicacy of paffion: It enlarges the fphere both of our happinefs and mifery, and makes us fenfible to pains as well as pleafures, which efcape the reft of mankind.

I believe, however, every one will agree with me, that, notwithftanding this refemblance, delicacy of tafte is as much to be defired and cultivated, as delicacy of paffion is to be lamented, and to be remedied, if poffible. The good or ill accidents of life are very little at our difpofal; but we are pretty much mafters what books we fhall read, what diverfions we fhall partake of, and what company we fhall keep. Philofophers have endeavoured to render happinefs entirely independent of every thing external. That degree of perfection is impoffible to be attained: But every wife man will endeavour to place his happinefs on fuch objects chiefly as depend upon himfelf: And that is not to be attained fo much by any other means as by this delicacy of fentiment. When a man is poffeffed of that talent, he is more happy by what pleafes his tafte, than by what gratifies his appetites, and receives more
enjoyment
enjogment from a poem or a piece of reafoning than the moft expenfive luxury can afford.

Whatever connexion there may be originally between thefe two fpecies of delicacy, I am perfuaded, that nothing is fo proper to cure us of this delicacy of paffion, as the cultivating of that higher and more refined tafte, which enables us to judge of the characters of men, of compofitions of genius, and of the productions of the nobler arts. A greater or lefs relihh for thofe obvious beauties, which frike the fenfes, depends entirely upon the greater or lefs fenfibility of the tẹmper: But with regard to the fciences and liberal arts, a fine tafte is, in fome meafure, the fame with frong fenfe, or at leaft deperds fo much upon it, that they are infeparable. In order to judge aright of a compofition of genius, there are fo many views to be taken in, fo many circumftances to be compared, and fuch a knowledge of human nature requifite, that no man, who is not poffefled of the foundeft judgment, will ever make a tolerable critic in fuch performances. And this is a new reafon for cultiyating a relifh in the liberal arts. Our judgment will ftrengthen by this exercife: We fhall form jufter notions of life: Many things, which pleafe or afflict others, will appear to us too frivolous to engage our attention: And we fhall lofe by degrees that fenfibility and delicacy of paffion, which is fo incommodious.

But perhaps I have gone too far, in faying that a cultivated tafte for the polite arts extinguifhes the paffions, and renders us indifferent to thofe objects, which are fo fondly purfued by the reft of mankind. On farther reflection, I find, that it rather improves our fenfibility for all the tender and agreeable paffions; at the fame time that it renders the mind incapable of the rougher and more boifterous emotions.

6 ESSAYI.

> Ingenuas didicife fideliter artes, Emollit mores, nec finit effe feros.

For this, I think there may be affigned two very natural reafons. In the firft place, nothing is fo improving to the temper as the ftudy of the beauties, either of poetry, eloquence, mufic, or painting. They give a certain elegance of fentiment to which the reft of mankind are ftrangers. The emotions which they excite are foft and tender: They draw off the mind from the hurry of bufinefs and intereft; cherifh reflection; difpofe to tranquillity; and produce an agreeable melancholy, which, of all difpofitions of the mind, is the beft fuited to love and friendfhip.

In the fecond place, a delicacy of tafe is favourable to love and friendhip, by confining our choice to few people, and making us indifferent to the company and converfation of the greater part of men. You will feldom find that mere men of the world, whatever ftrong fenfe they may be endowed with, are very nice in diftinguifhing characters, or in marking thofe infenfible differences and gradations, which make one man preferable to another. Any one, that has competent fenfe, is fufficient for their entertainment : They talk to him, of their pleafure and affairs, with the fame franknefs. that they would to another; and finding many, who are fit to fupply his place, they never feel any vacancy or want in his abfence. But to make ufe of the allufion of a celebrated French * author, the judgment may be compared to a clock or watch, where the moft ordinary machine is fufficient to tell the hours; but the moft elaborate alone

[^0]can point out the minutes and feconds, and diftinguifh the fmalleft differences of time. One that has well digefted his knowledge both of books and men, has little enjoyment but in the company of a few felect companions. He feels too fenfibly, how much all the reft of mankind fall thort of the notions which he has entertained. And, his affections being thus confined within a narrow circle, no wonder he carries them further, than if they were more general and undiftinguifhed. The gaiety and frolic of a bottle companion improves with him into a folid friendhip: And the ardours of a youthful appetite become an elegant paffion.

## (9)

## E S S A Y II.

## Of the Liberty of the Priss,

NOTHIN G is more apt to furprize a foreigner, than the extreme liberty, which we enjoy in this country, of communicating whatever we pleafe to the public, and of openly cenfuring every meafure, entered into by the king or his minifters. If the adminiftration refolve upon war, it is affirmed, that, either wilfully or ignorantly, they miftake the interefts of the nation, and that peace, in the prefent fituation of affairs, is infinitely preferable. If the paffion of the minifters lie towards peace, our political writers breathe nothing but war and devaftation, and reprefent the pacific conduct of the government as mean and pufillanimous. As this liberty is not indulged in any other government, either republican or monarchical; in Holland and Venice, more than in France or Spain ; it may very naturally give occafion to a queftion, How it happens that Great Britain alone anjoys this peculiar privilege?

The reafon, why the laws indulge us in fuch a liberty feems to be derived from our mixed form of government, which is neither wholly monarchical, nor wholly republican. It will be found, if I miftake not, a true obfervation in politics, that the two extremes in government, liberty and flavery, commonly approach neareft to each other; and that, as you depart from the extremes, and mix a little of monarchy with liberty, the government
becomes always the more free; and, on the other hand, when you mix a little of liberty with monarchy, the yoke becomes always the more grievous and intolerable. In a government, fuch as that of France, which is abfolute, and where law, cuftom, and religion concur, all of them, to make the people fully fatisfied with their condition, the monarch cannot entertain any jealoufy againft his fubjects, and therefore is apt to indulge them in great liberties both of fpeech and action. In a government altogether republican, fuch as that of Holland, where there is no magiffrate \{o eminent as to give jealoufy to the ftate, there is no danger in intrufting the magiftrates with large difcretionary powers; and though many advantages refult from fuch powers, in preferving peace and order, yet they lay a confiderable reftraint on men's actions, and make every private citizen pay a great refpect to the government. Thus it feems evident, that the two extremes of abfolute monarchy and of a republic, approach near to each other in fome material circumftances. In the firft, the magiftrate has no jealouly of the people: in the fecond, the people have none of the magiffrate : Which want of jealoufy begets a mutual confidence and truft in both cafes, and produces a fpecies of liberty in monarchies, and of arbitrary power in republics.

To juftify the other part of the foregoing obfervation, that, in every government, the means are moft wide of each other, and that the mixtures of monarchy and liberty render the yoke either more eafy or more grievous; I, muft take notice of a remark in Tacitus with regard to the Romans under the emperors, that they neither could bear total flavery nor total liberty, Nee totam fervitutem, nec totam libertatem pati poffunt. This remark a celebrated poet has tranflated and applied to the

Enclish, in his lively defcription of queen Elizabeth's policy and government,

> Et fit aimer fon joug à l' Anglois indompt', Qui ne peut ni fervir, ni vivre en liberté.
> Henriade, liv. $\mathbf{~ H .}$

According to thefe remarks, we are to confider the Roman government under the emperors as a mixture of defpotifm and liberty, where the defpotifm prevailed; and the Engists government as a mixture of the fame kind, where the liberty predominates. The confequences are conformable to the foregoing obfervation; and fuch as may be expected from thofe mixed forms of government, which beget a mutual watchfulnefs and jealoufy. The Roman emperors were, many of them, the moft frightful tyrants that ever difgraced human nature; and it is evident, that their cruelty was chiefly excited by their jealou $\int y$, and by their obferving that all the great men of Rome bore with impatience the dominion of a family, which, but a little before, was no wife fuperior to their own. On the other hand, as the republican part of the government prevails in England, though with a great mixture of monarchy, it is obliged, for its own prefervation, to maintain a watchful jealoufy over the magiftrates, to remove all difcretionary powers, and to fecure every one's life and fortune by general and inflexible laws. No action muft be deemed a crime but what the law has pláinly determined to be fuch: No crime muft be imputed to a man but from a legal proof before his judges; and even thefe judges muft be his fellow-fubjects, who are obliged, by their own intereft, to have a watchful eye over the encroachments and violence of the minifters. From thefe caufes it proceeds, that there is as much liberty, and
and even, perhaps, licentioufnefs in Great Britaing, as there were formerly flavery and tyranny in Rome.

Thefe principles account for the great liberty of the prefs in thefe kingdoms, beyond what is indulged in any other government. It is apprehended, that arbitrary power would fteal in upon us, were we not careful to prevent its progrefs, and were there not an eafy method of conveying the alarm from one end of the kingdom to the other. The fpirit of the people muft frequently be rouzed, in order to curb the ambition of the court; and the dread of rouzing this fpirit muft be employed to prevent that ambition. Nothing fo effectual to this purpofe as the liberty of the prefs, by which all the learning, wit, and genius of the nation may be employed on the fide of freedom, and every one be animated to its defence, As long, therefore, as the republican part of our government can maintain itfelf againft the monarchical, it will naturally be careful to keep the prefs open, as of importance to its own prefervation.

It muft however be allowed, that the unbounded liberty of the prefs, though it be difficult, perhaps impoffible, to propofe a fuitable remedy for it, is one of the cevils, attending thofe mixt forms of government.

## 13 )

## E S S A Y III.

## That Politics may be reduced to a Science.

1T is a queftion with feveral, whether there be any effential difference between one form of government and another? and, whether every form may not become good or bad, according as it is well or ill adminiftered *? Were it once admitted, that all governments are alike, and that the only difference confifts in the character and conduct of the governors, moft political difputes would be at an end, and all Zeal for one conftitution above another, muft be efteemed mere bigotry and folly. But, though a friend to moderation, I cannot forbear condemning this fentiment, and fhould be forry to think, that human affairs admit of no greater ftability, than what they receive from the cafual humours and characters of particular men.

It is true; thofe who maintain, that the goodnefs of all government confifts in the goodnefs of the adminiftration, may cite many particular inftances in hiftory, where the very fame government, in different hands, has varied fuddenly into the two oppofite extremes of good and bad. Compare the French government under Henry III. and under Henry IV. Oppreffion, levity, artifice on the part of the rulers; faction, fedition, treachery, rebellion, difloyalty on the part of the fubjects: Thefe compofe the character of the former miferable æra. But

[^1]when the patriot and heroic prince, who fucceeded, was once fiumly feated on the throne, the government, the people, every tining feemed to be totally changed; and all from the difference of the temper and conduct of thefe two fovereigns. Infances of this kind may be multiplied, almoft without number, from ancient as well as modern hiftofy, foreign as well as domeftic.

But here it may be proper to make a diftinction. All abfolute governments muft very much depend on the adminiftration ; and this is one of the great inconveniences attending that form of government. But a republican and free government would be an obvious abfurdity, if the particular checks and controuls, provided by the conftitution, had really no influence, and made it not the intereft, even of bad men, to act for the public good. Such is the intention of thefe forms of govern ment, and fuch is their real effect, where they are wifely conflituted: As on the other hand, they are the fource of all diforder, and of the blackeft crimes, where either ikill or honefty has been wanting in their original frame and inflitution.

So great is the force of laws; and of particular forms of government, and fo little dependence have they on the humours and tempers of men, that confequences almoft as general and certain may fometimes be deduced from them, as any which the mathematical fciences afford us.

The conflitution of the Roman republic gave the whole legillative power to the people, without allowing a negative voice either to the nobility or confuls. This unbounded power they poffeffed in a collective, not in a reprefentative body. The confequences were: When the people, by fuccefs and conqueft, had become very numerous, and had fpread themfelves to a great diftance.
from the capital, the city-tribes, though the moft contemptible, carried almoft every vote: They were, therefore, moft cajoled by every one that affected popularity: They were fupported in idlenefs by the general diftribution of corn, and by pariticular bribes, which they received from almoft every candidate: By this means, they became every day mote licentious, and the Campus Martius was a perpetual fcene of tumult and fedition: Armed flaves were introduced among thefe rafcally citizens; fo that the whole government fell into anarchy, and the greateft happinefs, which the Romans could look for, was the defpotic power of the Cexsars. Such are the effects of democracy without a reprefentative.

A Nobility may poffefs the whole, or any part of the legiflative power of a ftate, in two different ways. Either every nobleman thares the power as part of the whole body; or the whole body enjoys the power as compofed of parts; which have each a diftinct power and authority. The Venetian ariftocracy is an inftance of the firf kind of government: The Polish of the fecond. In the Venetian government the whole body of nobility poffeffes the whole power, and no nobleman has any authority which he receives not from the whole. In the Polish government every nobleman, by means of his fiefs, has a diftinct hereditary authority over his vaffals, and the whole body has no authority but what it receives from the concurrence of its parts. The different operations and tendencies of thefe two fpecies of government might be made apparent even a priori. A Venetian nobility is preferable to a Polish, let the humours and education of men be ever fo much varied. A nobility, who poffefs their power in common, will preferve peace and order, both among themfelves, and their fubjects; and no member can have authority enough to controul
the laws for a moment. The nobles will preferve their authority over the people, but without any grievous tyranny, or any breach of private property; becaufe fuch a tyrannical government promotes not the interefts of the whole body, however it may that of fome individuals. There will be a diftinction of rank between the nobility and people, but this will be the only diftinction in the ftate. The whole nobility will form one body, and the whole people another, without any of thofe private feuds and animofities, which fpread ruin and defblation every, where. It is eafy to fee the difadvantages of a PoLish nobility in every one of thefe particulars.

It is poffible fo to conftitute a free government, as that a fingle perfon, call him doge, prince, or king, fhall poffefs a large thare of power; and fhall form a propet balance or counterpoife to the other parts of the legiflature. This chief magiftrate may be either elective or bereditary; and though the former inftitution may, to a fuperficial view; appear the moft advantageous; yet a more accurate infpection will difcover in it greater incon $\alpha$ veniencies than in the latter, and fuch as are founded on caufes and principles eternal and immutable. The filling of the throne, in fuch a government, is a point of too great and too general interef, not to divide the whole people into factions: Whence a civil war, the greateft of ills, may be apprehended, almoft with certainty, upon'every vacancy. The prince elected muft be either a Foreigner or a Native: The former will be ignorant of the people whom he is to govern; fufpicious of his new fubjects, and fufpected by them 3 giving his confidence entirely to ftrangers, who will have no other care but of enriching themfelves in the quickeft manner, while their mafter's favour and authority are able to fupport them. A native will carry into the throne all
his private animofities and friendfhips, and will never be viewed in his elevation; without exciting the fentiment of envy in thofe, who formerly confidered him as their equal. Not to mention that a crown is too high a reward ever'to be given to merit alone, and will always induce the candidates to employ force; or money, or intrigue; to procure the votes of the electors: So that fuch an election will give no better chance for fuperior merit in the prince, than if the ftate had trufted to birth alone for determining their fovereign.

It may therefore be pronounced as an univerfal axiom in politics, That an bereditary prince, a nobility withoult vaffals, and a people voting by their reprefentatives, form the beft monarchy, aristocracy, and democracy. But in order to prove more fully, that politics admit of general truths, which are invariable by the humour or education either of fubject or fovereign, it may not be amifs to obferve fome other principles of this fcience, which may feem to deferve that character.

It may eafily be obferved, that; though free governments have been commonly the moft happy for thofe who partake of their freedom; yet are they the moft ruinous and oppreffive to their provinces: And this obfervation may; I believe, be fixed as a maxim of the kind we are here fpeaking of. When a monarch extends his dominions by conqueft, he foon learns to confider his old and his new fubjects as on the fame footing; becaufe, in reality, all his fubjects are to him the fame, except the few friends and favourites; with whom he is perfonally acquainted. He does not, therefore, make any diftinction between them in his general laws; and, at the fame time, is careful to prevent all particular acts of oppreflion on the one as well as on the other.' But a free ftate neceffarily makes a great diftinction, and muft always do Vol. I.

C
fo,
fo, till men learn to love their neighbours as well as themfelves. The conquerors, in fuch a government, are all legifators, and will be fure to contrive matters, by reftrictions on trade, and by taxes, fo as to draw fome private, as well as public, advantage from their conquefts. Provincial governors have alfo a better chance, in a republic, to efcape with their plunder, by means of bribery or intrigue; and their fellow-citizens, who find their own ftate to be enriched by the fpoils of the fubject provinces, will be the more inclined to tolerate fuch: abufes. Not to mention; that it is a neceffary precaution in a free ftate to change the governors frequently; which obliges thefe tempozary tyrants to be more expeditious and rapacious, that they may accumulate fufficient wealth before they give place to their fucceffors. What cruel tyrants were the Romans over the world during the time of their commonwealth! It is true, they had laws to prevent oppreffion in their provincial magiftrates; but Cicero informs us, that the Romans could not better confult the interefts of the proviaces than by repealing thefe very laws. For, in that cafe, fays he, our magiftrates, having entire impunity; would plunder no more than would fatisfy their own rapacioufnefs; whereas, at prefent, they muft alfo fatisfy that of their judges, and of all the great men in Rome, of whofe protection they fland in need. Who can read of the cruelties and oppreffions of Verres without horror and aftonifhment? And who is not touched with indignation to hear, that, after Cicero had exhaufted on that abandoned criminal all the thunders of his eloquence, and had prevailed fo: far as to get him condemned to the utmoft extent of the laws; yet that cruel tyrant lived peaceably to old age, in opulence and eafe, and, thirty years afterwards, was fut inte the profcription by Mark Anthony, on
account of his exorbitant wealth, where he fell with Cicero himfelf, and all the moft virtuous men of Rome? After the diffolution of the commonwealth, the Roman yoke became eafier upon the provinces; as TAcitus informs us*; and it may be obferved, that many of the worft emperors, Domitian $\dagger$, for inftance; were careful to prevent all oppreffion on the provincees. In $\ddagger$ Tibekius's time, Gaul was efteemed richer than Italy itfelf: Nor, do I find, during the whole time of the Roman monarchy, that the empire became lefs rich or populous in any of its provinces; though indeed its vàlour and military difcipline were always upon the decline. The oppreffion and tyranny of the Carthaginians over their fubject fates in Afrića went fo far, as we learn from Polybius $\|$, that, not content with exacting the half of all the produce of the land; which of itfelf was a very high rent, they alfo loaded them with many other taxes. If we pafs from ancient to modern times; we thall fill find the obfervation to hold. The provinces of abfolute monarchies are always better treated than thofe of free ftates. Compare the Pais conquis of Frances with Ireland, and you will be convinced of this truth; though this latter kingdom, being, in a good meafure, peopled from England, poffeffes fo many rights and privileges as fhould naturally make it challenge better treatment than that of a conquered province. Corsicat is alfo an obvious inftance to the fame purpofe,

[^2]\| Lib. 1. cap. ${ }^{72}$.

There is an obfervation in Machiavel, with regard to the conquefts of Alexander the Great, which, I think, may be regarded as one of thofe eternal political truths, which no time nor accidents can vary. It may feem ftrange, fays that politician, that fuch fudden conquefts, as thofe of Alexander, fhould be poffeffed fo peaceably by his fucceffors, and that the Persians, during all the confufions and civil wars among the Greeks, never made the fmalleft effort towards the recovery of their former independent government. To fatisfy us concerning the caufe of this remarkable event, we may confider, that a monarch may govern his fubjects in two different ways. He may either follow the maxims of the caftern princes, and ftretch his authority fo far as to leave no diftinction of rank among his fubjects, but what proceeds immediately from himfelf; no advantages of birth; no hereditary honours and poffeffions; and, in a word, no credit among the people, except from his commiffion alone. Or a monarch may exert his power after a milder manner, like other European princes; and leave other fources of honour, befide his fmile and favour: Birth, titles, poffeffions, valour, integrity, knowledge, or great and fortunate atchievements. In the former fpecies of government, after a conqueft, it is impoffible ever to thake off the yoke; fince no one poffeffes, among the people, fo much perfonal credit and authority as to begin fuch an enterprize: Whereas, in the latter, the leaft misfortune, or difcord among the victors, will encourage the vanquifhed to take arms, who have leaders ready to prompt and conduct them in every undertaking*.

Such is the reafoning of Machiavel, which feems folid and conclufive; though I wifh he had not mixed falfehood with truth, in afferting, that monarchies, governed according to eaftern policy, though more eafily kept when once fubdued, yet are the moft difficult to fubdue; fince they cannot contain any powerful fubject, whofe difcontent and faction may facilitate the enterprizes of an enemy. For befides, that fuch a tyrannical government enervates the courage of men, and renders them indifferent towards the fortunes of their fovereign ; befides this, I fay, we find by experience, that even the temporary and delegated authority of the generals and magiftrates; being always ${ }_{2}$ in fuch governments, as abfolute within its fphere, as that of the prince himfelf; is able, with barbarians, accuftomed to a blind fubmiffion, to produce the moft dangerous and fatal revolutions, So that, in every refpect, a gentle government is preferable, and gives the greateft fecurity to the fovereign as well as to the fubject.

Legiflators, therefore, ought not to truft the future government of a fate entirely to chance, but ought to provide a fyftem of laws to regulate the adminiftration of public affairs to the lateft pofterity. Effects will always correfpond to caufes; and wife regulations, in any commonwealth, are the moft valuable legacy that can be left to future ages. In the fmalleft court or office, the ftated forms and methods, by which bufinefs muft be conducted, are found to be a confiderable check on the natural depravity of mankind. Why fhould not the cafe be the fame in public affairs? Can we afcribe the ftability and wifdom of the Venetian government, through fo many ages, to any thing but the form of government? And is it not eafy to point out thofe defects in the original C 3. conftitution ${ }_{3}$
conftitution, which produced the tumultuous governments of Athens and Rome, and ended at laft in the ruin of thefe two famous republics? And fo little dependance has this affair on the humours and education of particular men, that one part of the fame republic may be wifely conducted, and another weakly, by the very fame men, merely on account of the difference of the forms and inftitutions by which thefe parts are regulated. Hiftorians inform us that this was aqually the cafe with GeNOA. For while the ftate was always full of fedition, and tumult, and diforder, the bank of St. George, which had become a confiderable part of the people, was conducted, for feveral ages, with the utmoft integrity and wifdom*.

The ages of greatef public fpirit are not always moft eminent for private virtue. Good laws may beget order and moderation in the government, where the manners and cuftoms have inftilled little humanity or juftice into the tempers of men. The moft illuftrious period of the Roman hiftory, confidered in a political view, is that between the beginning of the firft and end of the laft Punic war; the duc balance between the nobility and people being then fixed by the contefts of the tribunes, ánd not being yet loft by the extent of conquefts. Yet at this very time, the horrid practice of poifoning was fo common, that, during part of a feafon, a Protor punifhed

[^3]capitally for this crime above three thoufand * perfons in a part of Italy; and found informations of this nature ftill multiplying upon him. There is a fimilar, or rather a worfe inftance $t$, in the more early times of the commonwealth. So depraved in private life were that people, whom in their hiftories we fo much admire. I doubt not but they were really more virtuous during the time of the two Triumvirates; when they were tearing their common country to pieces, and fpreading flaughter and defolation over the face of the earth, merely for the choice of tyrants $\ddagger$.

Here, then, is a fufficient inducement to maintain, with the utmoft Zeal, in every free ftate, thofe forms and inftitutions, by which liberty is fecured, the public good confulted, and the avarice or ambition of particular men reftrained and punifhed. Nothing does more honour to human nature, than to fee it fufceptible of fo noble a paffion; as nothing can be a greater indication of meannefs of heart in any man, than to fee him deftitute of it. A man who loves only himfelf, without regard to friendhip and defert, merits the fevereft blame; and a man; who is only fufceptible of friendhip, without public fpirit, or a regard to the community, is deficient in the moft material part of virtue.

But this is a fubject which needs not be longer infifted on at prefent. There are enow of zealots on both fides who kindle up the paffions of their partizans, and under

[^4]pretence of public good, purfue the interefts and ends of their particular faction. For my part, I Mhall always be more fond of promoting moderation than zeal; though perhaps the fureft way of producing moderation in every party is to increafe our zeal for the public. Let us therefore try, if it be poffible, from the foregoing doctrine, to draw a leffon of moderation with regard to the parties, into which our country is at prefent divided; at the fame time, that we allow not this moderation to abate the induftry and paffion, with which every individual is bound to purfue the good of his country.

Thofe who either attack or defend a minifter in fuch a government as ours, where the utmoft liberty is allowed, always carry matters to an extreme, and exaggerate his merit or demerit with regard to the public. His enemies are fure to charge him with the greateft enormities, both in domeftic and foreign management; and there is no meannefs or crime, of which, in their account, he is not capable. Unneceffary wars, fcandalous treaties, profufion of public treafure, oppreffive taxes, every kind of mal-adminiftration is afcribed to him. To aggravate the charge, his pernicious conduct, it is faid, will extend its baneful influence even to pofterity, by undermining the beft conftitution in the world, and difordering that wife fyftem of laws, inftitutions, and cuftoms, by which our anceftors, during fo many centuries, have been fa happily governcd. He is not only a wicked minifter in himfelf, but has removed every fecurity provided againft wicked minifters for the future.

On the other hand, the partizans of the minifter make his panegyric run as high as the accufation againft him, and 1 celebrate his wife, fteady, and moderate conduct in every
part of his adminiftration. The honour and intereft of the nation fupported abroad, public credit maintained at home, perfecution reftrained, faction fubdued; the merit of all thefe bleffings is afcribed folely to the minifter. At the fame time, he crowns all his other merits by a xeligious care of the beft conftitution in the world, which he has preferved in all its parts, and has tranfmitted entire, to be the happinefs and fecurity of the lateft poferity.

When this accufation and panegyric are received by the partizans of each party, no wonder they beget an extraordinary ferment on both fides, and fill the nation with violent animofities, But I would fain perfuade thefe party-zealots, that there is a flat contradiction both in the accufation and panegyric, and that it were impoffible for either of them to run fo high, were it not for this contradiction. If our conflitution be really that noble fabric, the pride of Britain, the envy of our neighbours, raifed by the labour of fo many centuries, repaired at the expence of fo many millions, and cemented by fuch a profufion of blood*; I fay, if our conftitution does in any degree deferve thefe eulogies, it would never have fuffered a wicked and weak minifter to govern triumphantly for a courfe of twenty years, when oppofed by the greateft geniufes in the nation, who exercifed the utmoft liberty of tongue and pen, in parliament, and in their frequent appeals to the people. But, if the minifter be wicked and weak, to the degree fo ftrenuoufly infifted on, the conftitution muft be faulty in its original principles, and pe cannot confiftently be charged with undermining the

[^5]beft form of government in the world. A conftitution is only fo far good, as it provides a remedy againft maladminiftration; and if the British, when in its greateft vigour, and repaired by two fuch remarkable events, as the Revolution and Acceffion, by which our ancient royal family was facrificed to it; if our conftitution, I fay, with fo great advantages, does not, in fact, provide any fuch remedy, we are rather beholden to any minifter who undermines it, and affords us an opportunity of erecting a better in its place.

I would employ the fame topics to moderate the zeal of thofe who defend the minifter. Is our confitution $f$ pxcellent? Then a change of miniftry can be no fuch dreadful event; fince it is effential to fuch a conftitution, in every miniftry, both to preferve itfelf from violation, and to prevent all enormities in the adminiftration. Is our confitution very bad? Then fo extraordinary a jealoufy and apprehenfion, on account of changes, is ill placed; and a man fhould no more be anxious in this cafe, than a hulband, who had married a woman from the ftews, fhould be watchful to prevent her infidelity. Public affairs, in fuch a government, muft neceffarily go to confufion, by whatever hands they are conducted; and the zeal of patriots is in that cafe much lefs requifite than the patience and fubmiffion of pbilofophers. The virtue and good intentions of Cato and Brutus are highly laudable; but to what purpofe did their zeal ferve? Only to haften the fatal period of the Roman government, and render its convulfions and dying agonies more violent and painful.

I would not be underftood to mean, that public affairs deferve no care and attention at all. Would men be. moderate
moderate and confiftent, their claims might be admitted; at leaft might be examined. The country-party might ftill affert, that our conflitution, though excellent, will admit of mal-adminiffration to a certain degree; and therefore, if the minifter be bad, it is proper to oppofe him with a fuitable degree of zeal. And, on the other hand, the court-party may be allowed, upon the fuppofition that the miniffer were good, to defend, and with fome zeal too, his adminiftration. I would only perfuade men not to contend, as if they were fighting pro aris $\mathcal{G}$ focis, and change a good conftitution into a bad one, by the violence of their factions.
I have not here confidered any thing that is perfonal in the prefent controverfy. In the beft civil conftitution, where every man is reftrained by the moft rigid laws, it is eafy to difcover either the good or bad intentions of a minifter, and to judge, whether his perfonal character deferve love or hatred. But fuch queftions are of little importance to the public, and lay thofe, who employ their pens upon them, under a juff fufpicion either of malevolence or of fattery.

## E S S A Y IV.

## Of the First Princtiples of Government.

NOTHING appears more furprizing to thofe; who confider human affairs with a philofophical eye, than the eafinefs with which the many are governed by the few; and the implicit fubmiffion, with which men refign their own fentiments and paffions to thofe of their rulers. When we enquire by what means this wonder is effected, we fhall find, that, as Force is always on the fide of the governed, the governors have nothing to fupport them but opinion. It is, therefore, on opinion only that government is founded; and this maxim extends to the moft defpotic and moft military governments, as well as to the moft free and moft popular. The foldan of Egypt, or the emperor of Rome, might drive his harmlefs fubjects, like brute beafts, againft their fentiments and inclination: But he muft, at leaft, have led his mamalukes, or pratorian bands, like men, by their opinion.

Opinion is of two kinds, to wit, opinion of interest, and opinion of right. By opinion of intereft, I chiefly underftand the fenfe of the general advantage which is reaped from government; together with the perfuafion, that the particular government, which is eftablihed, is equally advantageous with any other that could eafily be fettled. When this opinion prevails among the generality

## ESSAY IV.

of a ftate, or among thofe who have the force in their hands, it gives great fecurity to any government:

Right is of two kinds, right to Power and right to Property. What prevalence opinion of the firft kind has over mankind, may eafily be underftood, by obferving the attachment which all nations have to their ancient government, and even to thofe names which have had the fanction of antiquity. Antiquity always begets the opinion of right; and whatever difadvantageous fentiments we may entertain of mankind, they are always found to be prodigal both of blood and treafure in the maintenance of public juftice. There is, indeed, no particular, in which, at firft fight; there may appear a greater contradiction in the frame of the human mind than the prefent. When men act in a faction, they are apt, without fhame or remorfe, to neglect all the ties of honour and morality; in order to ferve their party ; and yet, when a faction is formed upon a point of right or principle, there is no occafion, where men difcover a greater obftinacy, and a more determined fenfe of juftice and equity. The fame focial difpofition of mankind is the caufe of thefe contradictory appearances.

It is fufficiently underfood, that the opinion of right to property is of moment in all matters of government: A noted author has made property the foundation of all government; and moft of our political writers feem inclined to follow him in that particular. This is carrying the matter too far ; but ftill it muft be owned, that the opinion of right to property has a great influence in this fubject.

$\dot{U}_{\text {por }}$

Upon thefe three opinions, therefore, of public interef; of right to power, and of right toproperty, are all governments founded, and all authority of the few over the many. There are indeed other principles; which add force to thefe, and determine, limit, or alter their operation; fuch as felf-interef, fear, and affection: But ftill we may affert, that thefe other principles can have no influence alone, but fuppofe the antecedent influence of thofe opinions above-mentioned. They are, therefore, to be efteemed the fecondary, not the original principles of government.

For, firft, as to felf-intereft, by which I mean the expectation of particular rewards, diftinct from the genesal protection which we receive from government, it is evident that the magiftrate's authority mult be antecedently eftablifhed, at leaft be hoped for, in order to produce this expectation. The profpect of reward may augment his authority with regard to fome particular perfons; but can never give birth to it, with regard to the public. Men naturally look for the greateft favours from their friends and acquaintance; and therefore, the hopes of any confiderable number of the ftate would never center in any particular fet of men, if thefe men had no other title to magiftracy, and had no feparate influence over the opinions of mankind. The fame obfervation may be extended to the other two principles of fear and affection. No man would have any reaton to, fear the fury of a tyrant, if he had no authority over any but from fear; fince, as a fingle man, his bodily force can reach but a fmall way, and all the farther power he poffeffes muft be founded either on our own opinion, or on the prefumed opinion of others. And though affection to ,wifdom and virtue in a fovereign extends very far,
and has great influence; yet he muft antecedently be fuppofed invefted with a public character, otherwife the public efteem will ferve him in no fead, nor will his virtue have any influence beyond a narrow fphere:
A Government may endure for feveral ages, though the balance of power, and the balance of property do not coincide. This chiefly happens, where any rank or order of the ftate has acquired a large fhare in the property; but, from the original conflitution of the government, has no thare in the power. Under what pretence would any individual of that order affume authority in public affairs? As men are commonly much attached to their ancient government; it is not to be expected, that the public would ever favour fuch ufurpations. But where the original conflitution allows any fhare of power, though fmall, to an order of men, who poffefs a large fhare of the property, it is eafy for them gradually to ftretch their authority, and bring the balance of power to coincide with that of property. This has been the cafe with the houfe of commons in England:
Moft writers, that have treated of the British government, have fuppofed, that, as the lower houfe reprefents all the commons of Great Britain, its weight in the fcale is proportioned to the propetty and power of all whom it reprefents. But this principle muft not be received as abfolutely true. For though the people are apt to attach themfelves more to the houre of commons; than to any other member of the conflitution: that houfe being chofen by them as their reprefentatives, and as the public guardians of their liberty; yet are there inftances where the houfe, even when in oppofition to the crown, has not been followed by the people; as we may particularly obferve of the tary houfe of commons in
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the reign of king William. Were the members obliged to receive infructions from their conftituents, like the Dutch deputies, this would entirely alter the cafe; and if fuch immenfe power and riches, as thofe of all the commons of Great Britain, were brought into the fcale, it is not eafy to conceive, that the crown could either influence that multitude of people, or withftand that overbalance of property. It is true, the crown has great influence over the collective body in the elections of members; but were this influence, which at prefent is only exerted once in feven years, to be employed in bringing over the people to every vote, it would foon be wafted ; and no fkill, popularity, or revenue could fupport it. I muft, therefore, be of opinion, that an alteration in this particular would introduce a total alteration in our government, and would foon reduce it to 2 pure republic; and, perhaps, to a republic of no inconvenient form. For though the people, collected in a body like the Roman tribes, be quite unfit for government, yet, when difperfed in fmall bodies, they are more fufceptible both of reafon and order; the force of popular currents and tides is, in a great meafure, broken; and the public intereft may be purfued with fome method and conftancy. But it is needlefs to reafon any farther concerning a form of government, which is never likely to have place in Great Britain, and which feems not to be the aim of any party amongft us. Let us cherifh and improve our ancient government as much as poffible, without encouraging a paffion for fuch dangerous novelties.

## 35 )

## E S S A Y V.

## Of the Orioin of Governmentit

MAN; born in a family, is compelled to maintain fociety, from neceffity, from natural inclination, and from habit. The fame creature, in his farther progrefs, is engaged to eftablifh political fociety, in order to adminifter juftice; without which there can be no peace among them, nor fafety; hor mutual intercourfe. We are, therefore; to look upon all the valt apparatus of our government, as having ultimately no other object or purpofe but the diffribution of juftice, or, in other words, the fupport of the twelve judges. Kings and parliaments, fleets and armies, officers of the court and revenue, ambaffadors, minifters, and privy-counfellors; are all fubordinate in their end to this part of adminiftration. Even the clergy, as their duty leads them to inculcate morality, may juftly be thought, fo far as regards this world, to have no other ufeful object of their inflitution.

All men are fenfible of the neceffity of juftice to maintain peace and order jand all men are fenfible of the neceffity of peace and order for the maintenance of fociety. Yet; notwithftanding this ftrong and obvious neceffity; fuch is the frailty or perverfenefs of our nature ! it is impofible to keep men, faithfully and unerringly, in the paths of juftice. Some extraordinary circumftances may happen, in which a man finds his in-
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terefts to be more promoted by fraud or rapine, than hurt by the breach which his injuftice makes in the focial union. But much more frequently, he is feduced from his great and important, but diftant interefts, by the allurement of prefent, though often very frivolous temptations. This great weaknefs is incurable in human nature.

Men muft, therefore, endeavour to palliate what they cannot cure. They muft inftitute fome perfons, under the appellation of magiftrates, whofe peculiar office it is, to point out the decrees of equity, to punifh tranfgreffors, to correct fraud and violence, and to oblige men, however reluctant, to confult their own real and permanent interefts. In a word, Obedience is a new duty which muft be invented to fupport that of Justice; and the ties of equity muft be corroborated by thofe of allegiance.
, But fill, viewing matters in an abfract light, it may be thought, that nothing is gained by this alliance, and that the factitious duty of obedience, from its very nature, lays as feeble a hold of the human mind, as the prithitive and natural duty of juftice. Peculiar interefts and prefent temptations may overcome the one as well as the other. They are equally expofed to the fame inconvenience. And the man, who is inclined to be a bad neighbour, muft be led by the fame motives, well or ill underftood, to be.,arbąd citizen and fubject. Not to mention, that the magiffrate himfelf may often be negligent, or partial, or unjuft in his adminiftration.

Experience, however, proves, that there is a great difference between the cafes. Order in fociety, we find, is much better maintained by means of government; and our duty to the magiftrate is more ftrictly guarded
by the principles of human nature, than our duty to our fellow-citizens. The love of dominion is fo ftrong in the breaft of man, that many not only fubmit to, but court all the dangers, and fatigues, and cares of government ; and men, once raifed to that ftation, though often led aftray by private paffions, find, in ordinary cafes, a vifible intereft in the impartial adminiftration of juftice. The perfons, who firf attain this diftinction by the confent, tacit or exprefs, of the people, muft be endowed with fuperior perfonal qualities of valour, force, integrity, or prudence, which command refpect and confidence: and, after government is eftablifhed, a regard to birth, rank, and ftation, has a mighty influence over men, and enforces the decrees of the magiftrate. The prince or leader exclaims againft every diforder which difturbs his fociety. He fummons all his partizans and all men of probity to aid him in correcting and redreffing it: and he is readily followed by all indifferent perfons in the execution of his office. He foon acquires the power of rewarding thefe fervices; and in the progrefs of fociety, he eftablifhes fubordinate minifters and often a military force, who find an immediate and a vifible intereft, in fupporting his authority. Habit foon confolidates what other principles of human nature had imperfectly founded; and men, once accuftomed to obedience, never think of departing from that path, in which they and their anceftors have conftantly trod, and to which they are confined by fo many urgent and vifible motives.

But though this progrefs of human affairs may appear certain and inevitable, and though the fupport which allegiance brings to juftice, be founded on obvious principles of human nature, it cannot be expected
that
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 E S S A Y V.that men fhould beforehand be able to difcover them, or forefee their operation. Government commences more cafually and $m$ re imperfectly. It is probable, that the firft afcendant of one man over multitudes begun during a ftate of war ; where the fuperiority of courage and of genius difcovers itfelf moft vifibly, where unanimity ard concert are moft requifite, and where the pernicious cffects of diforder are moft fenfibly felt. The lorg continuance of that ftate, an incident common arrong favage tribes, enured the people to fubmifion; and if the chicftain polfelfed as much equity as prudence and valour, he became, even during peace, the arbiter of all differences, and could gradually, by a mixture of force and confent, eftablifh his authority. The benefit fenfibly felt from his influence, made it be cherifhed by the people, at leaft by the peaceable and well-difpofed among them; and if his fon enjoyed the fame good qualities, government advanced the fooner to maturity and perfection; but was ftill in a feeble ftate, till the farther progrefs of improvement procured the magiftrate a revcnue, and enabled him to beftow rewards on the feveral infruments of his adminiftration, and to inflict punifhments on the refractory and difobedient. Before that period, each exertion of his influence mult have been particuiar, and founded on the peculiar circumftances of the cafe. After it, fubmiffion was no longer a matter of choice in the bulk of the community, but was rigoroully exaited by the authority of the fupreme magiffrate.

In all governments, there is a perpetual inteftine fruggle, open or fecret, between Authority and LiBERTY; and ncither of them can ever abfolutely prevail in the conteft. A great facrifice of liberty mult necel-
farily be made in every government; yet even the authority, which confines liberty, can never, and perhaps ought never, in any conftitution, to become quite entire and uncontroulable. The fultan is mafter of the life and fortune of any individual ; but will not be permitted to impofe new taxes on his fubjects : a French monarch can impofe taxes at pleafure; but would find it dangerous to attempt the lives and fortunes of individuals. Religion alfo, in moft countries, is commonly found to be a very intractable principle; and other principles or prejudices frequently refift all the authority of the civil magiftrate; whofe power, being founded on opinion, can never fubvert other opinions, equally rooted with that of his title to dominion. The government, which, in common appellation, receives the appellation of free, is that which admits of a partition of power among feveral members, whofe united authority is no lefs, or is commonly greater, than that of any monarch; but who, in the ufual courfe of adminiffration, muft act by general and equal laws, that are previoully known to all the members, and to all their fubjects. In this fenfe, it muft be owned, that liberty is the perfection of civil fociety; but ftill authority muft be acknowledged effential to its very exiftence: and in thofe contefts, which fo often take place between the one and the other, the latter may, on that account, challenge the preference. Unlefs perhaps one may fay (and it may be faid with fome reafon) that a circumftance, which is effential to the exiftence of civil fociety, muft always fupport itfelf, and needs be guarded with lefs jealoufy, than one that contributes only to its perfection, which the indolence of men is fo apt to neglect, or their ignorance to over+100k.

## E S S A Y VI.

## Of the Independency of Parliament.

POLITICAL writers have eftablifhed it as a maxim, that, in contriving any fyftem of government, and fixing the feveral checks and controuls of the confitution, every man ought to be fuppofed a knave, and to have no other end, in all his actions, than private in tereft. By this intereft we muft govern him, and, by means of it, make him, notwithftanding his infatiable avarice and ambition, co-operate to public good. Without this, fay they, we thall in vain boaft of the advantages of any conflitution, and fhall find, in the end, that we have no fecurity for our liberties or poffefions, except the good-will of our rulers; that is, we fhall have no fecurity at all.
It is, therefore, a juft political maxim, that every man muft be juppofed a knave: Though, at the fame time, it appears fomewhat ftrange, that a maxim fhould be true in politics, which is falfe in fact. But to fatisfy us on this head, we may confider, that men are generally more honeft in their private than in their public capacity, and will go greater lengths to ferve a party, than when their own private intereft is alone concerned. Honour is a great check upon mankind: But where a confiderable pody of men act together, this check is, in a great
meafure, removed; fince a man is fure to be approved of by his own party, for what promotes the common intereft; and he foon learns to defipife the clamours of adverfaries. To which we may add, that every court or fenate is determined by the greater number of voices; fo that, if felf-intereft influences only the majority (as it will always do), the whole fenate follows the allurements of this feparate intereft, and acts as if it contained not one member, who had any regard to public intereft and liberty.

When there offers, therefore, to our cenfure and examination, any plan of government, real or imaginary, where the power is diftributed among feveral courts? and feveral orders of men, we fhould always confider the feparate intereft of each court, and each order; and, if we find that, by the fkilful divifion of power, this intereft muft neceffarily, in its operation, concur with public, we may pronounce that government to be wife and happy. If, on the contrary, feparate intereft be not checked, and be not directed to the public, we ought to look for nothing but faction, diforder, and tyranny from fuch a government. In this opinion I am juftified by experience, as well as by the authority of all philofophers and politicians, both antient and modern.

How much, therefore, would it have furprifed fuch a genius as Cicero, or Tacitus, to have been told, that, in a future age, there fhould arife a very regular fyftem of mixed government, where the authority was fo diftributed, that one rank, whenever it pleafed, might fwallow up all the reft, and engrofs the whole power of the conftitution. Such a government, they would fay, will not be a mixed government. For fo great is the natural ambition of men, that they are never fatisfied with
with power ; and if one order of men, by purfuing its. own intereft, can ufurp upon every other order, it will certainly do fo, and render itfelf, as far as poffible, abfolute and uncontroulable.

But, in this opinion, experience fhews they would have been miftaken. For this is actually the cafe with the British conftitution. The fhare of power, allotted by our conffitution to the houfe of commons, is fo great, that it abfolutely commands all the other parts of the government. The king's legiflative power is plainly no proper check to it. For though the king has a negative in framing laws; yet this, in fact, is efteemed of fo little moment, that whatever is voted by the two houfes, is always fure to pafs into a law, and the royal affent is little better than a form. The principal weight of the crown lies in the executive power. But befides that the executive power in every government is altogether fubordinate to the legiflative; befides this; I fay, the exercife of this power requires an immenfe expence; and the commons have affumed to themfelves the fole right of granting money. How eafy, therefore, would it be for that houfe to wreft from the crown all thefe powers, one after another ; by making every grant conditional, and choofing their time fo well, that their refufal of fupply fhould only diftrefs the government, without giving foreign powers any advantage over us? Did the houfe of commons depend in the fame manner on the king, and had none of the members any property but from his gift, would not he command all their refolutions, and be from that moment abfolute? As to the houfe of lords, they are a very powerful fupport to the Crown, fo long as they are, in their turn, fupported by it; but both experience and reafon fhew, that they have no force or authority
authority fufficient to maintain themfelves alone, without fuch fupport.

How, therefore, fhall we folve this paradox ? And by what means is this member of our conftitution confined within the proper limits; fince, from our very conflitution, it mult neceffarily have as much power as it demands, and can only be confined by itfelf? How is this confiftent with our experience of human nature? I anfwer, that the intereft of the body is here reftrained by that of the individuals, and that the houle of commons ftretches not its power, becaufe fuch an ufurpation would be contrary to the intereft of the majority of its members. The crown has fo many offices at its difpofal, that, when affifted by the honeft and difinterefted part of the houfe, it will always command the refolutions of the whole, fo far, at leaft, as to preferve the antient conflitution from danger. We may, therefore, give to this influence what name we pleafe; we may call it by the invidious appeilations of corruption and dependence; but fome degree and fome kind of it are infeparable from the very nature of the conftitution, and neceffary to the prefervation of our mixed government.

Infead then of afferting * abfolutely, that the dependence of parliament, in every degree, is an infringement of British liberty, the country-party fhould have made fome conceffions to their adverfaries, and have only examined what was the proper degree of this dependence, beyond which it became dangerous to liberty. But fuch a moderation is not to be expected in party-men of any kind. After a conceffion of this nature, all declamation muft be abandoned; and a calm enquiry into the

[^6]proper

proper degree of court-influence and parliamentary dependence would have been expecied by the readers. And though the advantage, in fuch a controverfy, might poffibly remain to the country-party; yet the victory would not be fo complete as they wifh for, nor would a true patriot have given an entire loofe to his zeal, for fear of running matters into a contrary extreme, by diminifhing too ${ }^{*}$ far the influence of the crown. It was, therefore, thought beft to deny, that this extreme could ever be dangerous to the confitution, or that the crown could ever have too little influence over members of parHiament.

All queftions concerning the proper medium between extremes are difficult to be.decided; both becaufe it is not eafy to find words proper to fix this medium, and becaufe the good and ill, in fuch cafes, run fo gradually into each other, as even to render our fentiments doubtful and uncertain. But there is a peculiar difficulty in the prefent cafe, which would embarrafs the moft knowing and moft impartial examiner. The power of the crown is always lodged in a fingle perfon, either king or minifter ; and as this perfon may have either a greater or lefs degree of ambition, capacity, courage, popularity, or fortune, the power, which is too great in one hand, may become too little in another. In pure republics, where the authority is diftributed among feveral affemblies or fenates, the checks and controuls are more regular in their operation; becaufe the members of fuch numerous affemblies may be prefumed to be always nearly equal in capacity and virtue; and it is only their number, riches, or authority, which enter into confider-
ation. But a limited monarchy admits not of any fuch ftability; nor is it poffible to affign to the crown fuch a determinate degree of power, as will, in every hand, form a proper counterbalance to the other parts of the conftitution. This is an unavoidable difadvantage, among the many advantages, attending that fpecies of government.

## ( 47 )

## E S S A Y VII.

Whether the British Governmentinclines more to Absolute Monarchy, or to a Republic?

IT affords a violent prejudice againft almoft every fcience, that no prudent man, however fure of his principles, dares prophefy concerning any event, or foretel the remote confequences of things. A phyfician will not venture to pronounce concerning the condition of his patient a fortnight or a month after : And ftill lefs dares a poditician foretel the fituation of public affairs a few. years hence. Harrington thought himfelf fo fure of his general principle, that the balance of power depends on that of property, that he ventured to pronounce it impoffible ever to re-eftablifh monarchy in England: But his book was fcarcely publifhed when the king was reftored; and we fee, that monarchy has ever fince fubfifted upon the fame footing as before. Notwithftanding this unlucky example, I will venture to examine an important queftion, to wit, Whether the British government inclines more to ab,olute monarchy, or to a republic; and in which of thefe two fpecies of government it will moft probably terminate? As there feems not to be any great danger of a fudden revolution either way, I thall at leaft efcape the ihame attending my temerity, if I fhould be found to have been miftaken.

Thofe
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Thofe who affert, that the balance of our government inclines towards abfolute monarchy, may fupport their opinion by the following reafons: That property has a great influence on power cannot poffibly be denied; but yet the general maxim, that the balance of one depends on the balance of the other, muft be received with feveral limitations. It is evident, that much lefs property in a fingle hand will be able to counterbalance a greater property in feveral; not only becaufe it is difficult to make many perfons combine in the fame views and meafures; but becaufe property, when united, caufes much greater dependence, than the fame property, when difperfed. A hundred perfons, of $1900 \%$ a year a-piece, can confume all their income, and nobody fhall ever be the better for them, except their fervants and tradefmen, who juftly regard their profits as the product of their own 1abour. But a man poffeffed of $100,000 \mathrm{l}$. a year, if he has either any generofity, or any cunning, may create a great dependence by obligations, and ftill a greater by expéctations. Hence we may obferve, that, in all free governments, any fubject exorbitantly rich has always created jealoufy, even though his riches bore no proportion to thofe of the ftate. Crassus's fortune, if I remember well, amounted only to about two millions and a half of our money; yet we find, that though his genius was nothing extraordinary, he was able, by means of his riches alone, to counterbalance, during his lifetime, the power of Pompey as well as that of CreSAR, who afterwards became mafter of the world. The wealth of the Medici made them mafters of FloRENCE; though, it is probable, it was not confiderable, compared to the united property of that opulent republic.

Thefe

Thefe confiderations are apt to make one entertain a magnificent idea of the British firit and love of liberty; fince we could maintain our free government, during fo many centuries, againft our fovereigns, who, béfides the power and dignity and majefty of the crown, have always been poffeffed of much more property than any fubject has ever enjoyed in any commonwealth. But it may be faid, that this fpirit, however 'great, will never be able to fupport itfelf againft that immenfe property, which is now lodged in the king, and which is ftill encreafing. Upon a moderate computation, there are near three millions a year at the difpofal of the crown.' The civil lift amounts to near a million; the collection of all taxes to another;' and the employments in the army and navy, together with ecclefiaftical preferments, to above a third million : An enormous fum, and what may fairly be computed to be more than a thirtieth part of the whole income and labour of the kingdom. When we add to this great property, the increafing luxury of the nation, our pronenefs to corruption, together with the great power and prerogatives of the crown, and the command of military force, there is no one but muft defpair of being àbe, without extraordinary efforts, to fupport our free government much longer under thefe difadvantages.

On the other hand, thofe who maintain, that the bias of the British government leans towards a republic, may fupport their opinion by fpecious arguments. It may be faid, that, though this immenfe property in the crown be joined to the dignity of firft magiftrate, and to many other legal powers and prerogatives, which Should naturally give it greater influence; yet it really becomes lefs dangerous to liberty upon that very account.

Were

Were England a republic, and wère any private màm poffeffed of a revenue, a third, or even a tenth part as large as that of the crown, he would very juftly excite jealouly; becaufe he would infallibly have great authority in the government. And fuch an irregalar authority, not avowed by the laws, is always more dangerous than a much greater authority, derived from them. A man, poffeffed of ufurped power, can fet no bounds to his pretenfions: His partizans. have liberty to hope for every thing in his favour : His enemies provoke his ambition, with his fears, by the violence of their oppofition : And the government being thrown into a ferment, every corrupted humour in the ftate naturally gathers to him. On the contrary, a legal authority, though great, has always fome bounds, which terminate both the hopes and pretenfions of the perfon poffeffed of it: The laws mult have provided a remedy againft its exceffes: Such an eminent magiftrate has much to fear, and little to hope from his ufurpations: And as his legal authority is quietly fubmitted to, he has fmall temptation and fmall opportunity of extending it farther. Befides, it happens, with regard to ambitious aims and projects, what may be obferved with regard to fects of philofophy and religion. A new fect excites, fuch a ferment, and is both oppofed and defended with fuch vehemence, that it always fpreads fafter, and multiplies its partizans with greater rapidity, than any old eftablifhed opinion, recommended by the fanction of the laws and of antiquity. Such is the nature of novelty, that, where any thing pleafes, it becomes doubly agreeable, if new; but if it difpleafes, it is doubly difpleafing, upon that very account. And, in moft cafes, the violence of enemies is favourable to ambitious projects, as well as the zeal of partizans.

It may farther be faid, that, though men be much governed by intereft ; yet even intereft itfelf, and all human affairs, arę entirely governed by opinion. Now, there has been a fudden and fenfible change in the opinions of men within thefe laft fifty years, by the progrefs of learning and of liberty. Moft people, in this illand, have divefted themfelves of all fuperfitious reverence to names and authority: The clergy have much loft their credit : Their pretenfions and doctrines have been ridiculed; and even religion can fcarcely fupport itfelf in the world. The mere name of king commands little refpect ; and to talk of a king as GOD's vicegerent on earth; or to give him any of thofe magnificent titles, which formerly dazzled mankind; would but excite laughter in every one. Though the crown, by means of its large revenue, may maintain its authority, in times of tranquillity; upon private intereft and influence; yet; as the leaft fhock or convulfion muft break all thefe interefts to pieces, the royal power, being no longer fupported by the fettled principles and opinions of men, will immediately dif: folve. Had men been in the fame difpofition at the revolution, as they are at prefent, monarchy would have run a great rifque of being entirely loft in this ifland.

Durft I venture to deliver my own fentiments amidft thefe oppofite arguments; I would affert; that, unlefs there happen fome extraordinary convulfion, the power of the crown, by means of its large revenue, is rather upon the increafe; though; at the fame time, I own, that its progrefs feems very flow, and almoft infenfible. The tide has run long, and with fome rapidity, to the fide of popular government, and is juft beginning to turn towards monarchy.

It is well known, that every government muft come to a period, and that death is unavoidable to the political as well as to the animal body. But, as one kind of death may be preferable to another, it may be enquired, whether it be more defirable for the British conftitution to terminate in a popular government, or in abfolute monarchy? Here I would frankly declare, that, though liberty be preferable to llavery, in almoft every care ; yet I fhould rather wifh to fee an abfolute monarch than a republic in this ifand. For, let us confider, what kind of republic we have reafon to expect. The queftion is not concerning any fine imaginary republic, of which a man may form a plan in his clofet. There is no doubt, but a popular government may be imagined more perfect than abfolute monarchy, or even than our prefent confitution. But what reafon have we to expect that any fuch government will ever be eftablifhed in Great Britain, upon the diffolution of our monarchy? If any fingle perfon acquire power enough to take our conflitution to pieces, and put it up a-new, he is really an atfolute monarch; and we have already had an inftance of this kind, fufficient to convince us, that fuch a perfon will never refign his power, or eftablif any free government. Matters, therefore, muft be trufted to their natural progrefs and operation; and the houre of commons, according to its prefent conflitution, muft be the only legiflature in fuch a popular government. The inconveniences attending fuch a fituation of affairs, prefent themfelves by thoufands. If the houfe of commons, in fuch à cafe, ever diffolve itfeif, which is not to be expected, we may look for a civil war every election. If it continue itfelf, we fhall fuffer all the tyranny of a faction, fubdivided into new factions. And, as fuch a
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violent government cannot long fubfift, we fhall, at laft, after many convulfions, and civil wars, find repofe in abfolute monarchy, which it would have been happier for us to have eftablifhed peaceably from the beginning. Abfolute monarchy, therefore, is the eafieft death, the true Euthanafia of the British conftitution.

Thus, if we have reafon to be more jealous of monarchy, becaufe the danger is more imminent from that quarter; we have alfo reafon to be more jealous of popular government, becaufe that danger is more terrible. This may teach us a leffon of moderation in all our political controverfies.

## E 3

## ( 55 )

## E S S A Y VIII.

## Of Parties in General.

0F all men, that diftinguifh themfelves by memorable atchievements, the firft place of honour feems due to Legiglators and founders of ftates, who tranfmit a fyftem of laws and inftitutions to fecure the peace, happinefs, and liberty of future generations. The influence of ufeful inventions in the arts and fciences may, perhaps, extend farther than that of wife laws, whofe effects are limited both in time and place; but the benefit arifing from the former, is not fo fenfible as that which refults from the latter. Speculative fciences do, indeed, improve the mind; but this advantage reaches only to a few perfons, who have leifure to apply themfelves to them. And as to practical arts, which encreafe the commodities and enjoyments of life, it is well known, that men's happinefs confifts not fo much in an abundance of thefe, as in the peace and fecurity with which they poffefs them; and thofe bleffings can only be derived from good government, Not to mention, that general virtue and good morals in a ftate, which are fo requifite to happinefs, can never arife from the moft refined precepts of philofophy, or even the fevereft injunctions of religion; but muft proceed E. 4
entirely
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entirely from the virtuous education of youth, the effect of wife laws and inftitutions. I muft, therefore, prefume to differ from Lord Bacon in this particular, and muft regard antiquity as fomewhat unjuft in its diftribution of honours, when it made gods of all the inventors of ufeful arts, fuch as Ceres, Bacchus, Æsculapius; and dignify leginators, fuch as Romulus and Theseus, only with the appellation of demigods and heroes.

As much as legiflators and founders of ftates ought to be honoured and refpected among men, as much ought the founders of fects and factions to be detefted and hated; becaule the influence of faction is directly contrary to that of laws. Factions fubvert government, render laws impotent, and beget the fierceft animofities among men of the fame nation, who ought to give mutual affiftance and protection to each other. And what Mhould render the founders of parties more odious, is the difficulty of extirpating thefe weeds, when once they have taken root in any ftate. They naturally propagate themfelves for many centuries, and feldom end but by the total diffolution of that government, in which they are fown. They are, befides, plants which grow moft plentifully in the richeft foil; and though abfolute governments be not wholly free from them, it muft be confeffed, that they rife more eafily, and propagate themfelves fafter in free governments, where they always infect the legiflature itfelf, which alone could be able, by the fteady application of rewards and punifhmẹnts, to eradicate them.

Factions may be divided into Personal and Real; that is, into factions, founded on perfonal friendfhip or animofity among fuch as compofe the contending parties, and into thofe founded on fome real difference of fentiment pr intereft. •The reafon of this diftinction is obvious; though
though I muft acknowledge, that parties are feldom found pure and unmixed, either of the one kind or the other, It is not often feen, that a government divides into factions, where there is no difference in the views of the conftituent members, either real or apparent, trivial or material : And in thofe factions, which are founded on the moft real and moft material difference, there is always obferved a great deal of perfonal animofity or affection. But notwithftanding this mixture, a party may be denominated either perfonal or real, according to that principle which is predominant, and is found to have the greateft influence.

Perfonal factions arife moft eafily in fmall republics. Every domeftic quarrel, there, becomes an affair of ftate. Love, vanity, emulation, any paffion, as well as ambition and refentment, begets public divifion. The Neri and Bianchi of Florence, the Fregosi and Adorni of Genoa, the Colonesi and Orsini of modern Rome, were parties of this kind.

Men have fuch a propenfity to divide into perfonal factions, that the fmalleft appearance of real difference will produce them. What can be imagined more trivial than the difference between one colour of livery and another in horfe-races? Yet this difference begat two moft inveterate factions in the Greek empire, the Prasini and Veneti, who never fufpended their animofities, till they ruined that unhappy government.

We find in the Roman hiftory a remarkable diffenfion between two tribes, the Pollía and Papiria, which continued for the fpace of near three hundred years, and difcovered itfelf in their fuffrages at every election of magifrates*. This faction was the more remarkable, as

- As this faet has not been much obferved by antiquaries or politicians, Ghall deliver it in the words of the Roman biforian. Populus Tuscu-
it could continue for fo long a tract of time; even. though it did not fpread itfelf, nor draw any of the other tribes into a fhare of the quarrel. If mankind had not a ftrong propenfity to fuch divifions, the indifference of the reft of the community muft have fuppreffed this foolifh animofity, that had not any aliment of new benefits and injuries, of general fympathy and antipathy, which never fail to take place, when the whole fate is rent into two equal factions.

Nothing is more ufual than to fee parties, which have begun upon a real difference, continue even after that difference is loft. When men are once inlifted on oppofite fides, they contract an affection to the perfons with whom they are united, and an animofity againft their antagonifts; And thefe paffions they often tranfmit to their pofterity, The real difference between Guele and Ghibbelline was long loft in Italy, before thefe factions were extinguifhed, The Guelfs adhered to the pope, the Ghibbellines to the emperor; yet the family of SFORZA, who were in alliance with the emperor, though they were Guelfs, being expelled Milan by the king * of France, affifted by Jacoma Tripulzio and the Ghibbellines, the pope concurred with the latter, and they formed leagues with the pope againtt the emperor.

[^7]The civil wars which arofe fome few years ago in Morocco, between the blacks and whites, merely on account of their complexion, are founded on a pleafant difference, We laugh at them; but I believe, were things rightly examined, we afford much more occafion of ridicule to the Moors. For, what are all the wars of religion, which have prevailed in this polite and knowing part of the world? They are certainly more abfurd than the Moorish civil wars. The difference of complexion is a fenfible and a real difference: But the controverfy about an article of faith, which is utterly abfurd and unintelligible, is not a difference in fentiment, but in a few phrafes and expreffions, which one party accepts of, without underftanding them; and the other refufes in the, fame manner.

Real factions may be divided into thofe from interef, from principle, and from affection. Of all factions, the firft are the moft reafonable, and the moft excufablev Where two orders of men, fuch as the nobles and people, have a diftinct authority in a government, not very accurately balanced and modelled, they naturally follow a diftinct intereft; nor can we reafonably expect a different conduct, confidering that degree of felfifhnefs implanted in human nature. It requires great fkill in a legiflator to prevent fuch parties; and many philofophers are of opinion, that this fecret, like the grand elixir, or perpetual motioń, may amufe men in theory, but can never poffibly be reduced to practice. In defpotic governments, indeed, factions often do not appear; but they are not the lefs real; or rather, they are more real and more pernicious, upon that very account. The diftinct orders of men, nobles and people, foldiers and merchants, have all a diftinct intereft; but the more powerful oppreffes the weaker
weaker with impunity, and without refiftance; which begets a feeming tranquillity in fuch governments.

There has been an attempt in England to divide the landed and trading part of the nation; but without fuccefs. The interefts of thefe two bodies are not really diftinct, and never will be fo, till our public debts encreafe to fuch a degree, as to become altogether opprefive and intolerable.

Parties from principle, efpecially abftract fpeculative principle, are known only to modern times, and are, perhaps, the moft extraordinary and unaccountable phazomenon that has yet appeared in human affairs. Where different principles beget a contrariety of conduct, which is the cafe with all different political principles, the matter may be more eafily explained. A man, who efteems the true right of government to lie in one man, or one family, cannot eafily agree with his fellow-citizen, who thinks that another man or family is poffeffed of this right. Each naturally wifhes that right may take place, according to his own notions of it. But where the difference of principle is attended with no contrariety of action, but every one may follow his own way, without interfering with his neighbour, as happens in all religious controverfies; what madnefs, what fury can beget fuch unhappy and fuch fatal divifions?

Two men travelling on the highway, the one eaft, the other weft, can eafily pafs each other, if the way be broad enough: but two men, reafoning upon oppofite principles of religion, cannot fo eafily pafs, without fhocking; though one fhould think, that the way were alfo, in that cafe, fufficiently broad, and that each might proceed, without interruption, in his own courfe. 'But fuch is the nature of the human mind, that it always lays hold on cevery mind that approaches it ; and as it is wonderfully fortified
fortified by an unanimity of fentiments, fo is it fhocked and difturbed by any contrariety. Hence the eagernefs, which moft people difcover in a difpute; and hence their impatience of oppofition, even in the moft fpeculative and indifferent opinions.

This principle, however frivolous it may appear, feems to have been the origin of all religious wars and divifions. But as this principle is univerfal in human nature, its effects would not have been confired to one age, and to one fect of religion, did it not there concur with other more accidental caufes, which raife it to fuch a height, as to produce the greateft mifery and devaftation. Moft religions of the ancient world arofe in the unknown ages of government, when men were as yet barbarous and uninftructed, and the prince, as well as peafant, was difpofed to receive, with implicit faith, every pious tale or fiction, which was offered him. The magiftrate embraced the religion of the people, and entering cordially into the care of facred matters, naturally acquired an authority in them, and united the ecclefiaftical with the civil power. But the Chriftian religion arifing, while principles directly oppofite to it were firmly eftablifhed in the polite part of the world, who defpifed the nation that firf broached this noyelty; no wonder, that, in fuch circumftances, it was but little countenanced by the civil magiftrate, and that the prieft. hood was allowed to engrofs all the authority in the new fect. So bad a ufe did they make of this power, even in thofe early times, that the primitive perfecutions may, perhaps, in part *, be afcribed to the violence inftilled by them into their followers. And the fame principles of prieftly government continuing, after Chriftianity became

* See NOTE [C].
the eftablifhed religion, they have engendered a firit of perfecution, which has ever fince been the poifon of human fociety, and the fource of the moft inveterate factions in every government. Such divifions, therefore, on the part of the people, may juftly be efteemed factions of principle; but, on the part of the priefts, who are the prime movers, they are really factions of interef.

There is another caufe (befide the authority of the priefts, and the feparation of the ecclefiaftical and civil powers) which has contributed to render Christendom the feene of religious wars and divifions. Religions, that arife in ages totally ignorant and barbarous, confift moftly of traditional tales and fictions, which may be different in every fect, without being contrary to each other; and even when they are contrary, every one adheres to the tradition of his own fect, without much reafoning or difputation. But as philofophy was widely fpread over the world, at the time when Chriftianity arofe, the teachers of the new fect were obliged to form a fyftem of fecculative opinions; to divide, with fome accuracy, their articles of faith; and to explain, comment, confute, and defend with all the fubtilty of argument and ficience. Hence naturally arofe keennefs in difpute; when the Chriftian religion came to be fplit into new divifions and herefies: And this keennefs affifted the priefts in their policy, of begetting a mutual hatred and antipathy among their deluded followers. Sects of philofophy, in the ancient world, were more zealous than parties of religion; but in modern times, parties of religion are more furious and enraged than the moft cruet factions that ever arofe from intereft and ambition.

I have mentioned parties from affection as a kind of real parties, befide thofe from intereft and principle. By farties from affection, I underftand thofe which are
founded on the different attachments of men towards particular families and perfons, whom they defire to rule over them. Thefe factions are often very violent; though, I muft own, it may feem unaccountable, that men fhould attach themfelves fo ftrongly to perfons, with whom they are no wife acquainted, whom perhaps they never faw, and from whom they never received, nor can ever hope for, any favour. Yet this we often find to be the cafe, and even with men, who, on other occafions, difcover no great generofity of fpirit, nor are found to be eafily tranfported by friendhip beyond their own intereft. We are apt to think the relation between us and our fovereign very clofe and intimate. The fplendour of majefty and power beftows an importance on the fortunes even of a fingle perfon. And when a man's good-nature does not give him this imaginary intereft, his ill-nature will, from fpite and oppofition to perfons whofe fentiments are different from his own.
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## Of the Parties of Great Britain.

WERE the British government propofed as•a fubject of fpeculation, one would immediately perceive in it a fource of divifion and party, which it would be almoft impoffible for it, under any adminiftration, to avoid. The juft balance between the republican and monarchical part of our conflitution is really, in itfelf, fo extremely delicate and uncertain, that, when joined to men's paffions and prejudices, it is impoffible but different opinions muft arife concerning it, even among perfons of the beft underftanding. Thofe of mild tempers, who love peace and order, and deteft fedition and civil wars, will always entertain more favourable fentiments of monarchy, than men of bold and generous fpirits, who are paffionate lovers of liberty, and think no evil comparable to fubjection and flavery. And though all reafonable men agree in general to preferve our mixed government; yet, when they come to particulars, fome will incline to truft greater powers to the crown, to beftow on it more influence, and to guard againft its encroachments with lefs caution, than others who are terrified at the moft diftant approaches of tyranny and defpotic power. Thus are there parties of Principle involved in the very nature of our conftitution, which may properly enough be denominated thofe of Court and Country.

The

The ftrength and violence of each of thefe parties wilf much depend upon the particular adminiftration. An adminiftration may be fo bad, as to throw a great majority into the oppofition; as a good adminiftration will reconcile to the court many of the moft paffionate lovers of liberty. But however the nation may fluctuate between them, the parties themfelves will always fubfift, fo long as we are governed by a limited monarchy.

But, befides this difference of Principle, thofe parties are very much fomented by a difference of Interest, without which they could fcatcely ever be dangerous or violent. The crown will naturally beftow all truft and power upon thofe, whofe principles, real or pretended, are moft favourable to monarchical government; and this temptation will naturally engage them to go greater lengths than their principles would otherwife carry them. Their antagonifts, who are difappointed in theit ambitious aims, throw themfelves into the party whofe fentiments incline them to be moft jealous of royal power, and naturally carry thofe fentiments to a greater height than found politics will juftify. Thus Court and Country, which are the genuine offspring of the British government, are a kind of mixed parties, and are influenced both by principle and by intereft. The heads of the factions are commonly moft governed by the latter motive ; the inferior members of them by the former.

As to ecclefiaftical parties; we may obferve, that, in all ages of the world, priefts have been enemies to liberty; and it is certain, that this fteady conduct of theirs muft have been founded on fixed reafons of intereft and ambition. Liberty of thinking, and of expreffing our thoughts, is always fatal to priefly power, and to thofe pious frauds, on which it is commonly founded; and, by
an infall:. .e connexion, which prevails among all kinds of liberty, this privilege can never be enjoyed; at leaft has never yet been enjoyed, but in a free government: Hence it muft happen, in fuch a conflitution as that of Great Britain, that the eftablifhed clergy, while things are in their natural fituation, will always be of the Court-party; as, on the contrary, differters of all kinds will be of the Country party 3 fince they can never hope for that toleration, which they ftand in need of, but by means of our free government. All princes, that have aimed at defpotic power, have known of what import 2 ance it was to gain the eftablihed clergy: As the clergy; on their part, have fhewn a great facility in entering into the views of fuch princes*. Gustayus $\mathrm{Vaza}_{\text {was; }}$ perhaps, the only ambitious monarch that ever depreffed the church, at the fame time that he difeouraged liberty. But the exorbitant power of the bihops in SwEDEN, who; at that time, overtopped the crown itfelf, together with their attachment to a foreign family, was the reafon of his embracing fuch an unufual fyftem of politics.

This obfervation, concerning the propenfity of priefts to the government of a fingle perfon, is not true with regard to one fect only. ' The Prefbyterian and Calviniftic clergy in Horiland were profeffed friends to the family of Orange; as the Arminians, who were efteemed heretics; where of the Louvestein faction, and zealous for liberty. But if a prince have the choice of both, it is eafy to fee, that he will prefer the epifcopal to the prefby terian form of government, both becaufe of the greater

[^8]affinity between monarchy and epifcopacy, and becaufe of the facility, which he will fuisd, in fuch a government, of ruling the clergy, by means of their ecclefiaftical fu-. periors*.

If we confider the firf rife of parties in England, during the ereat rebelion, ve fhall obferve, that it was conformable to this gencral theory, and that the fpecies of government gave birth to them, by a regular and infallible operation. The Engligh conftitution, before that period, had lain in a kind of confufion; yet fo, as that the fubjeits poffeffed many noble privileges, which, though not exactly bounded and fecured by law, were univerfally deemed, from long pofiefion, to belong to them as their birth-right. An ambitious, or rather a mifguided, prince arofe, who deemed all thefe privileges to be concefions of his predeceflors, revocable at pleafure; and, in profecution of this principle, he openly acted in violation of literty, during the courfe of feveral years. Necefity, at laft, conftrained him to call a parliament: The fpint of liberty arofe and fipread itfelf: The prince, being without any fupport, was obliged to grant every thing required of him: And his enemies, jealous and implacable, fet no bounds to their pretenfions. Here then began thofe contefts, in which it was no wonder, that men of that age were divided into different parties; fince, even at this day, the impartial are at a lofs to decide. concerning the jufice of the quarrel. The pretenfions of the parliament, if yielded to, broke the balance of the conftitution, by rendering the government almoft entirely republican. If not yielded to, the nation was, perhaps, ftill in danger of abfolute power, from the fettled principles and inveterate habits of the king, which had plainly appeared in every conceffion that he had been con-

* Populi imperium juxta libertatem : paucorum dominatio regia libidini propriored. TAcit. $A n z$. lib, vi.
ftraincd to make to his people. In this queftion, fo delicate and uncertain, men naturaily fell, to the fide which was moft conformable to their ufual principles; and the more paffionate favourers of monarchy declared for the king, as the zealous friends of liberty fided with the parliament. The hopes of fuccefs being nearly equal on both fides, intereft had no general influence in this conteft: So that Round-head and Cavalier were merely parties of principle; neither of which difowned either monarchy or liberty; but the former party inclined moft to the republican part of our government, the latter to the monarchical. In this refpect, they may be confidered as court and country-party, enflamed into a civil war, by an unhappy concurrence of circumftances, and by the turbulent fpirit of the age. 'The commonwealth's men, and the partizans of abfolute power, lay concealed in both parties, and formed but an inconfiderable part of them.

The clergy had concurred with the king's arbitrary defigns; and, in return, were allowed to perfecute their adverfaries, whom they called heretics and fchifmatics. The eftablifhed clergy were epifcopal; the non-con-' formifts prefbyterian: So that all things concurred to throw the former, without referve, into the king's party; and the latter into that of the parliament.

Every one knows the event of this quarrel; fatal to the king firft, to the parliament afterwards. After many confurions and revolutions, the royal family was at laft reftored, and the ancient government re-eftablifhed. Charles II. was not made wifer by the example of his father ; but profecuted the fame meafures, though, at firf, with more fecrecy and caution. New parties arofe, under the appellation of Whig and Tory, which have continued ever fince to confound and diftract our govern-

$$
\mathrm{F}_{3} \quad \text { ment. }
$$

ment. :To determine the nature of thefe parties is, per: haps, one of the moft difficult problems that can be met with, and is a proof that hiftory may contain queftions, as uncertain as any to be found in the moft abftract fciences. We have feen the conduct of the two parties, during the courfe of feventy years, in a vaft variety of circumftances, poffeffed of power, and deprived of it, during peace, and during war: Perfons, who profefs themfelves of one fide or other, we meet with every hour, in company, in our pleafures, in our ferious occupations: We ourfelves are conftrained, in a manner, to take party'; and living in a country of the higheft liberty, every one may openly declare all his fentiments and opinions: Yct are we at a lofs to tell the nature, pretenfions, and principles of the different factions.

When we compare the, parties of Whig and Tory with thofe of Round-head and Cavalier, the moft obvious diffcrence, that appears between them, conffits in the principles of paflive obedience, and indefeafible right, which were but little heard of among the Cavaliers, but became the univerfal doctrine, and were efteemed the true characteriftic of a Tory. Were thefe principles pufhed into their moft obvious confequences, they imply a formal renunciation of all our libertics, and an avowal. of abfolute monarchy; fince nothing can be a greater abfurdity than a limited power, which muft not be refifted, even when it exceeds its limitations. But as the moft rational principles are often but a weak counterpoife to paffion; it is no. wonder that thefe abfurd principles were found too weak for that effect. The TobIES, as men, were enemies to oppreffion; and alfo as Englishmen, they were enemies to arbitrary power. Their zeal for liberty, was, perhaps, lefs fervent than What of their antagonifts; but was fufficient to make them
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them forget all their general principles, when they faw themfelves openly threatened with a fubverfion of the ancient government. From thefe fentiments arofe the revolution; an event of mighty confequence, and the frmeft foundation of British liberty. The conduct of the Tories, during that event, and after it, will afford us a true infight into the nature of that party.

In the firf place, they appear to have had the genuine fentiments of Britons in their affection for liberty, and in their determined refolution not to facrifice it to any abftract principle whatfoever, or to any imaginary rights of princes. This part of their character might juftly have been doubted of before the revolution, from the obvious tendency of their avowed principles, and from their compliances with a court, which feemed to make little fecret of its arbitrary defigns. The revolution thewed them to have been, in this refpect, nothing, but a genuine court party, fuch as might be expected in a British government: That is, Lovers of liberty, but greater lovers of monarchy. It muft, however, be confeffed, that they carried their monarchical principles farther, even in practice, but more fo in theory, than was, in any degree, confiftent with a limited government.

Secondly, Neither their principles nor affections concurred, entirely or heartily, with the fettlement made at the revolution, or with that which has fince taken place. This part of their character may feem oppofite to the former; fince any other fettlement, in thofe circumftances of the nation, muft probably have been dangerous, if not fatal to liberty. But the heart of man is made to reconcile contradictions; and this contradiction is not greater than that between paffeve obectience, and the réfifance employed at the revolution. A TORY, there$\mathrm{F}_{4}$ fore,
fore, fince the revolution, may be defined in a few words, to be a lover of monarchy, though without abandoning liberty; and a partizan of the family of Stuart. As a Whig may be defined to be a lover of liberty, though without renouncing monairlly; and a friend to the fettlement in the Protestant line.

Thefe different views, with regard to the fettlement of the crown, were accidental, but natural additions to the principles of the court and country parties, which are the genuine divifions in the British government. A paffionate lover of monarchy is apt to be difpleafed at any change of the fucceffion; as favouring too much of a commonwealth : A paffionate lover of liberty is apt to think that every part of the government ought to be fubordinate to the interefts of liberty.

Some, who will not venture to affert, that the real difference between $W_{\text {hig a }}$ and Tory was loft at the wroolution, feem inclined to think, that the difference is now abolifhed, and that affairs are fo far returned to their natural fate, that there are at prefent no other parties among us but court and country; that is, men, who, by intereft or principle, are attached either to monarchy or liberty. The Tories have been fo long obliged to talk in the republican. ftyle, that they feem to have made converts of themfelves by their hypocrify, and to have embraced the fentiments, as well as language of their adverfaries. There are, however, very confiderable remains of that party in England, with all their old prejudices; and a proof that court and country are not our only parties, is, that almof all the difenters fide with the court, and the lower clergy, at leaft, of the church of England, with the oppofition. This
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may conviace us; that fome bias ftill hangs upon our conflitution, fome extrinfic weight, which turns it from its natural courfe, and caufes a confufion in our parties*.

- Some of the opinions delivered in thefe Effays, with regard to the public tranfactions in the laft century, the Author, on more accurate examination, found reafon to retract in his Hiffry of Great britain. And as he would not enflave himfelf to the fyftems of either party, neither would be fetter his judgment by his own preconceived opinions and principles; nor is he athamed to acknowledge his mittakes. Thefe mittakes were indeed, at that time, almoft univerfal in this kingdom.
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## Of Superstition and Enthusiasm,

$\boldsymbol{T}$HAT the corruption of the beft things produces the worf, is grown into a maxim, and is commonly proved, among other inftances, , by the pernicious effects of fuperfition and enthufiafm, the corruptions of true religion.

Thefe two fpecies of falfe religion, though both pernicious, are yet of a very different, and even of a contrary nature.' The mind of man is fubject to certain unaccountable terrors and apprehenfions, proceeding either from the unhappy fithation of private or public affairs, from ill health, from a gloomy and melancholy difpofition, or from the concurrence of all thefe circumftances. In fuch a ftate of mind, infinite unknown evils are dreaded from unknown agents; and where real objects of terror are wanting, the foul, active to its own prejudice, and foftering its predominant inclination, finds imaginary ones, to whofe power and malevolence it fets no limits. As thefe enemies are entirely invifible and unknown, the methods taken to appeafe them are pqually unaccountable, and confift in ceremonies, ob6 fervances,
fervances, mortifications, facrifices, prefents, or in any practice, however abfurd or frivolous, which either folly or knavery recommends to a blind and terrified credulity: Weaknefs, fear, melancholy, together with ignorance, are, therefore, the true fources of Superstition.
But the mind of man is alfo fubject to an unaccountable elevation and prefumption, arifing from profperous fuccefs, from luxuriant health, from ftrong fipirits, or from a bold and confident difpofition. In fuch a fate of mind, the imagination fwells with great, but confufed conceptions, to which no fublunary beauties or enjoyments can correfpond. Every thing mortal and perifhable vanifhes as unworthy of attention. And a full range is given to the fancy in the invifible regions, or world of fpirits, where the foul is at liberty to indulge itfelf in every imagination, which may beft fuit its prefent tafte and difpofition. Hence arife raptures, tranfports, and furprifing fights of fancy; and confidence and prefumption fill encreafing, thefe raptures, being altogether unaccountable, and feeming quite beyond the reach of our ondinary faculties, are attributed to the immediate infpiration of that Divine Being, who is the object of devotion. In a little time, the infpired perfon cornes to regard himfelf as a difinguifhed favourite of the Divinity; and when this frenzy once takes place, which is the fummit of enthufiafm, every whimfy is confecrated: Human reafon, and even morality, are rejected as fallacious guides: And the fanatic madman delivers himfelf over, blindly, and without referve, to the fuppofed illapfes of the fpirit, and to infpiration from above. Hope, pride, prefumption, a warm imagination, together with ignorance, are, therefore, the true fources of Enthussasm.

There

Thefe two fpecies of falfe religion might afford occafion to many fpeculations; but I fhall confine myfelf, at prefent, to a few refleftions concerning their different influence on government and fociety.

My firft reflection is, That fuperfition is favourable to priefly power, and enthufiafm not lefs or rather more contrary to it, than found reafon and philofopby. As fuperftition is founded on fear, forrow, and a depreffion of fpirits, it reprefents the man to himfelf in fuch defpicable colours, that he appears unworthy, in his own eyes, of approaching the divine prefence, and naturally has recourfe to any other perfon, whofe fanctity of life, or, perhaps, impudence and cunning, have made him be fuppofed more favoured by the Divinity. To him the fuperftitious entruft their devotions: To his care they recommend their prayers, petitions, and facrifices : And by his means, they hope to render their addreffes acceptable to their incenfed Deity. Hence the origin of Priests, who may juftly be regarded as an invention of a timorous and abject fuperfition, which, ever diffident of itfelf, dares not offer up its own devotions, but ignorantly thinks to recommend itfelf to the Divinity, by the mediation of his fuppofed friends and fervants. As fuperftition is a confiderable ingredient in almoft all religions, even the molt fanatical ; there being nothing but philofophy able entirely to conquer thefe unaccountable terrors; hence it proceeds, that in almoft every fect of religion there are priefts to be found: But the Atronget mixture there is of fuperftition, the higher is the authority of the priefthood.

On the other hand, it may be obferved, that all enthufiatts have been free from the yoke of ecclefiaftics,
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and have expreffed great independence in their devotion ; with a contempt of forms, ceremonies, and traditions; The quakers are the moft egregious, though, at the fame time, the moft innocent enthufiafts that have yet been known 3 and are, perhaps, the only fect, that have never admitted priefts amongft them: The independents, of all the English fectaries; approach neareft to the quakers in fanaticifm, and in their freedom from prieftly bondage. The preffyterians follow after; at an equal diftance in both particulars. In fhort, this obfervation is founded in experience; and will alfo appear to be founded in reafon, if we confider, that; as enthufiarm arifes from a prefumptuous pride and confidence, it thinks itfelf fufficiently qualified to approach the Divinity, without any human pediator. Its rapturous devotions are fo fervent, that it even imagines itfelf actually to approach him by the way of contemplation and inward converfe; which makes it neglect all thofe outward ceremonies and obfervances, to which the affiftance of the priefts appears fo fequifite in the eyes of their fuperfitious votaries. The fanatic confecrates himfelf, and beftows on his own perfon a facred character, much fuperior to what forms and ceremonious inflitutions can confer on any other.
My fecond refection with regard to thefe fpecies of falfe religion is, that religions, which partake of entbufajm, are, on their firf rife, more furious and violent than thofe wwich partakc of Juperfition ; but in a little time become miore gentle and moderate. The violence of this fpecies of religion, when excited by novelty, and animated by oppofition, appears from numberlefs inflances; of the anabaptifts in Germany, the camifars in France, the levellers and other fanatics in England, and the covenanters in Scotland. Enthufiafm being founded of ftrong
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ftrong fpirits, and a prefumptuous boldnefs of character, it naturally begets the moft extreme refolutions; efpecially after it rifes to that height as to infpire the deluded fanatic with the opinion of divine illuminations, and with a contempt for the common rules of reafon, morality, and prudence.

It is thus enthufiafm produces the moft cruel diforders in human fociety ; but its fury is like that of thunder and tempeft, which exhauft themfelves in a little time, and leave the air more calm and ferene than before. When the firft fire of enthufiaim is fpent, men naturally, in all fanatical fects, fink into the greateft remiffnefs and coolnefs in facred matters; there being no body of men among them, endowed with fufficient authority, whofe intereft is concerned to fupport the religious fpirit: No rites, no ceremonies, no holy obfervances, which may enter into the common train of life, and preferve the facred principles from oblivion. Superfition, on the contrary, fteals in gradually and infenfibly; renders men tame and fubmiffive; is acceptable to the magiftrate, and feems inoffenfive to the people: Till at laft the prieft, having firmly eftablifhed his authority, becomes the tyrant and difturber of human fociety, by his endlefs contentions, perfecutions, and religious wars. How fmoothly did the Romish church advance in her acquifition of power? But into what difmal convulfions did the throw all Europe, in order to maintain it? On the other hand, our fectaries, who were formerly fuch dangerous bigots, are now become very free reafoners; and the quakers feem to approach nearly the only regular body of deifts in the univerfe, the literati, or the difciples of Confuciur in China*.

[^9]My thirdobfervation on this head is, that fuperfition is an enemy to civil liberty, and entbufiafm a friend to it. As fuperftition groans under the dominion of priefts, and enthufiafm is deftructive of all ecclefiaftical power, this fufficiently accounts for the prefent obfervation. Not to mention, that enthufiafm, being the infirmity of bold and ambitious tempers, is naturally accompanied with a fpirit of liberty; as fuperfition, on the contrary, renders men tame and abject, and fits them for flavery. We learn from English hiftory, that, during the civil wars, the independents and deifts, though the moft oppofite in their religious principles; yet were united in their political ones, and were alike paffionate for a commonwealth. And fince the origin of whig and tory, the leaders of the whigs have either been deifts or profeft latitudinarians in their principles; that is, friends to toleration, and indifferent to any particular fect of chrifians: While the fectaries, who have all a ftrong tincture of enthufiafm, have always, without exception, concurred with that party, in defence of civil liberty. The refemblance in their fuperftitions long united the high-church tories, and the Roman catholics, in fupport of prerogative and kingly power; though experience of the tolerating fpirit of the whigs feems of late to have reconciled the catholics to that party.

The molinifts and janfenifts in France have a thoufand unintelligible difputes, which are not worthy the reflection of a man of fenfe : But what principally diftinguifhes thefe two fects, and alone merits attention, is the different fpirit of their religion. The molinifs conducted by the jefuits, are great friends to fuperftition, rigid obfervers of external forms and ceremonies, and devoted
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devoted to the authority of the priefts, and to tradition. The janfenifs are enthufiafts, and zealous promoters of the paffionate devotion, and of the inward life; little influenced by authority; and, in a word, but half catholics. The confequences are exactly conformable to the foregoing reafoning. The jefuits are the tyrants of the people, and the flaves of the court: And the janfenifts preferve alive the fmall, fparks of the love of liberty, which are to be found in the French pation.

Vol. I. G
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## Of the Dignity or Meanness of Human Nature.

MHERE are certain rects, which fecretly form themfelves in the learned world, as well as factions in the political; and though fometimes they come not to an open rupture, they give a different turn to the ways of thinking of thofe who have taken part on either fide. The moft remarkable of this kind are the feets, founded on the different fentiments with regard to the dignity of buman nature; which is a point that feems to have divided philofophers and poets, as well as divines, from the beginning of the world to this day. Some exalt our fpecies to the fkies, and reprefent man as a kind of human demigod, who derives his origin from heaven, and retains evident marks of his lineage and defcent. Others infift upon the blind fides of human nature, and can difcover nothing, except vanity, in which man furpaffes the other animals, whom he affects fo much to defpife. If an author poffers the talent of rhetoric and declamation, he commonly takes part with the former ; If his turn lie towards irony and ridicule, he naturally throws himfelf into the other extreme.

I am far from thinking, that all thofe, who have depreciated our fpecies, have been enemies to virtue, and have expofed the frailties of their-fellow-creatures with any bad intention. On the contrary, I am fenfible that a delicate fenfe of morals, efpecially when attended with a fplenctic temper, is apt to give a man a difguft of the world, and to make him confider the common courfe of human affairs with too much indignation. I muft, however, be of opinion, that the fentiments of thofe, who are inclined to think favourably of mankind, are more advantageous to virtue, than the contrary principles, which give us a mean opinion of our nature. When a man is prepoffeffed with a high notion of his rank and character in the creation, he will naturally endeavour to act up to it, and will fcorn to do a bafe or vicious action, which might fink him below that figure which he makes in his own imagination. Accordingly we find, that all our polite and fafhionable moralifts infift upon this topic, and endeavour to reprefent vice as unworthy of man, as well as odious in itfelf.

We find few difputes, that are not founded on fome ambiguity in the expreffion; and I am perfuaded, that the prefent difpute, concerning the dignity or meannefs of human nature, is not more exempt from it than any other. It may, therefore, be worth while to confider, what is real, and what is only verbal, in this controverfy.

That there is a natural difference between merit and demerit, virtue and vice, wifdom and folly, no reafonable man will deny : Yet is it evident, that in affixing the term, which denotes either our approbation or blame, we are commonly more influenced by comparifon than by any fixed unalterable ftandard in the nature of things.
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things. In like manner, quantity, and extenfion, and bulk, are by every one acknowledged to be real things: But when we call any animal great or little, we always Form a fecret comparifon between that animal and others of the fame fpecies; and it is that comparifon which regulates our judgment concerning its greatnefs. A dog and a horfe may be of the very fame fize, while the one is admired for the greatnefs of its bulk, and the other for the fmallnefs. When I am prefent, therefore, at any difpute, I always confider with myrelf, whether it be a queftion of comparifion or not that is the fubject of the controverfy; and if it be, whether the difputants compare the fame objects together, or talk of things that are widely different.

In forming our notions of human nature, we are apt to make a comparifon between men and animals, the only creatures endowed with thought that fall under our fenfes. Certainly this comparifon is favourable to mankind. On the one hand, we fee a creature, whofe thoughts are not limited by any narrow bounds, either of place or time; who carries his refearches into the moft diftant regions of this globe, and beyond this globe, to the planets and heavenly bodies; looks backward to confider the firft origin, at leaft, the hiftory of human race; cafts his eye forward to fee the influence of his actions upon pofterity, and the judgments which will be formed of his character a thoufand years hence; a creature, who traces caufes and effeets to a great length and intricacy; extracts general principles from particular appearances; improves upon his difcoveries; corrects his miftakes; and makes his very errors profitable. On the other hand, we are prefented with a
creature the very reverfe of this; limited in its obfervations and reafonings to a few fenfible objects which furround it; without curiofity, without forefight; blindly conducted by inftinct, and attaining, in a fhort time, its utmoft perfection, beyond which it is never able to advance a fingle ftep. What a wide difference is there between thefe creatures! And how exalted a notion muft we entertain of the former, in comparifon of the latter!

There are two means commonly employed to deftroy this conclufion : Firft, By making an unfair reprefentation of the cafe, and infifting only upon the weaknefles of human nature. And fecondly, By forming a new and fecret comparifon between man and beings of the moft perfect wifdom. Among the other excellencies of man, this is one, that he can form an idea of perfections much beyond what he has experience of in himfelf; and is not limited in his conception of wifdom and virtue. He can eafily exalt his notions and conceive a degree of knowledge, which, when compared to his own, will make the latter appear very contemptible, and will caufe the difference between that and the fagacity of animals, in a manner, to difappear and vanih. Now this being a point, in which all the world is agreed, that human underftanding falls infinitely fhort of perfect wifdom ; it is proper we fhould know when this comparifon takes place, that we may not difpute where there is no real difference in our fentiments. Man falls much more Thort of perfect wifdom, and even of his own ideas of perfect wifdom, than animals do of man; yet the latter difference is fo confiderable, that nothing but a comparifon with the former can make it appear of little moment.

Dignity or Meanness of Human Nature. 87
It is alfo ufual to compare one man with another; and finding very few whom we can call wife or virtuous, we are apt to entertain a contemptible notion of out fpecies in general. That we may be fenfible of the fallacy of this way of reafoning, we may obferve, that the honourable appellations of wife and virtuous, are not annexed to any particular degree of thofe qualities of wifdom and virtue; but arife altogether from the comparifon we make between one man and another. When we find a man, who arrives at fuch a pitch of wifdom as is very uncommon, we pronounce him a wife man: So that to fay, there are few wife men in the world, is really to fay nothing; fince it is only by their fearcity, that they merit that appellation. Were the loweft of our fpecies as wife as Tully, or lord Bacon, we fhould fill have reafon to fay that there are few wife men. For in that cafe we fhould exalt our notions of wifdom, and fhould not pay a fingularhonourto any one, who was not fingularly diftinguifhed by his talents. In like manner, I have heard it obferved by thoughtlefs people, that there are few women poffeffed of beauty, in comparifon of thofe who want it; not confidering, that we beftow the epithet of beantiful only on fuch as poffefs a degree of beauty; that is common to them with a few. The fame degree of beauty in a woman is called deformity, which is treated as real beauty in one of our fex.

As it is ufual, in forming a notion of our fpecies, to compare it with the other fecies above or below it, or to compare the individuals of the fpecies among themfelves; fo we often compare together the different motives or actuating principles of human nature, in order to regulate our judgment concerning it, And, indeed, this is
the only kind of comparifon, which is worth our attention, or decides any thing in the prefent queftion. Were our felfifh and vicious principles fo much predominant above our focial and virtuous, as is afferted by fome philofophers, we ought undoubtedly to entertain a contemptible notion of human nature.

There is much of a difpute of words in all this controverfy. When a man denies the fincerity of all public fpirit or affection to a country and community, I am at $a$ lofs what to think of him. Perhaps he never felt this paffion in fo clear and diftinct a manner as to remove all his doubts concerning its force and reality. But when he proceeds afterwards to reject all private friendhip, if no intereft or felf-love intermix itfelf; I am then confident that he abufes terms, and confounds the ideas of things ; fince it is impoffible for any one to be fo felfin, or rather fo ftupid, as to make no difference between one man and another, and give no preference to qualities, which engage his approbation and efteem. Is he alfo, fay $I$, as infenfible to anger as he pretends to be to friendflip? And does injury and wrong no more affect him than kindnefs or benefits? Impoffible : He does not know himfelf: He has forgotten the movements of his heart; or rather he makes ufe of a different language from the reft of his countrymen, and calls not things by their proper names. What fay you of natural affection? (I fubjoin). Is that alfo a fpecies of felf-love? Yes: All is felflove. Your children are loved only becaufe they are yours : Your friend for a like reafon: And your country engages you only fo far as it has a connexion with yourfelf: Were the idea of felf removed, nothing would affect you: You would be altogether unactive and infenfible: Or, if you ever gave yourfelf any movement, it would
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would only be from vanity, and a defire of fame and reputation to this fame felf. I am willing, reply I, to receive your interpretation of human actions, provided you admit the facts., That fpecies of felf-love, which difplays itfelf in kindnefs to others, you muft allow to have great influence over human actions, and even greater, on many occafions, than that which remains in its original hape and form. For how few are there, who, having a family, children, and relations, do not fpend more on the maintenance and education of thefe than on their own pleafures? This, indeed, you juftly obferve, may proceed from their felf-love, fince the profperity of their family and friends is one, or the chief of their pleafures, as well as their chief honour. Be you alfo one of thefe felfifh men, and you are fure of every one's good opinion and good will ; or, not to fhock your ears with thefe expreffions, the felf-love of every one, and mine among the reft, will then incline us to ferve you, and fpeak well of you.

In my opinion, there are two things which have led aftray thofe philofophers, that have infifted fo much on the felfifhnefs of man. In the firft place, they found, that every act of virtue or friendhip was attended with a fecret pleafure; whence they concluded, that friendihip and virtue could not be difinterefted. But the fallacy of this is obvious. The virtuous fentiment or paffion produces the pleafure, and does not arife from it. I feel a pleafure in doing good to my friend, becaufe I love him; but do not love him for the fake of that pleafure.

In the fecond place, it has always been found ${ }_{2}$ that the virtuous are far from being indifferent to praife; and therefore they have been reprefented as a fet of vain-glozious men, who had nothing in view but the applaufes
of others. But this allo is a fallacy. It is very unjuft in the world, when they find any tincture of vanity in a laudable action, to depreciate it upon that account, or afcribe it entirely to that motive. The cafe is not the fame with vanity, as with other paffions. Where avarice or revenge enters into any feemingly virtuous action, it is difficult for us to determine how far it enters, and it is natural to fuppofe it the fole actuating principle. But vanity is fo clofely allied to virtue, and to love the fame of laudable actions approaches fo near the love of laudable actions for their own fake, that thefe pafions are more capable of mixture, than any other kinds of affection; and it is almoft impoffible to have the latter without fome degree of the former. Accordingly, we find, that this paffion for glory is always warped and varied according to the particular tafte or difpofition of the mind on which it falls. Nero had the fame vanity in driving a chariot, that Trajan had in governing the empire with juftice and ability. To love the glory of virtuous deeds is a fure proof of the love of virtue.
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## Of Civil Liberty,

THOSE who employ their pens on political fubjects, free from party-rage, and party-prejudices, cultivate a fcience, which, of all others, contributes moft to public utility, and even to the private fatisfaction of thofe who addict themfelves to the ftudy of it. I am apt, however, to entertain a fufpicion, that the world is ftill too young to fix many general truths in politics, which will remain true to the lateft pofterity. We have not as yet had experience of three thoufand years; fo that not only the art of reafoning is ftill imperfect in this fcience, as in all others, but we even want fufficient materials upon which we can reafon. It is not fully known, what degree of refinement, either in virtue or vice, human nature is fufceptible of; nor what may be expected of mankind from any great revolution in their education, cuftoms, or principles. Machiavel was certainly a great genius; but having confined his ftudy to the furious and tyrannical governments of ancient times, or to the little diforderly principalities of Italy, his reafonings, efpecially upon monarchical government, have been found extremely defective; and there fcarcely is any maxim in his Prince, which fubfequent experience bas not entirely refuted. A weak prince, fays he, is incapable of recciving good counsel; for if be confult with feveral, be will not be able no cboofe among their different counfels. If
be abanton bimfelf to one, that minifer may, perbaps, bavie capacity; but be uill not long be a minifter: He will be fure to difpoffefs bis mafter, and place bimfelf and bis family upon the throne. I mention this, among many inftances of the errors of that politician, proceeding, in a great meafure; from his having lived in too early an age of the world, to be a good judge of political truth. Almoft all the princes of Europe are at prefent governed by their minifters; and have been fo for near two centuries; and yet no fuch event has ever happened, or can poffibly happen. Sejanus might project dethroning the Cexsars; but Fleury, though ever fo vicious, could not, while in his fenfes, entertain the leaft hopes of difpoffeffing the Bourbons.

Trade was never efteemed an affair of fate till the laft century; and there fcarcely is any ancient writer on politics, who has made mention of it*. Even the Italians have kept a profound filence with regard to it, though it has now engaged the chief attention, as well of minifters of ftate, as of fecculative reafoners. The great opulence, grandeur, and military atchievements of the two maritime powers feem firft to have inftructed mankind in the importance of an extenfive commerce.

Having, therefore, intended in this efflay to make a full comparifon of civil liberty and abfolute government, and to thow the great advantages of the former above the latter ; I began to entertain a fufpicion, that no man in this age was fufficiently qualified for fuch an undertaking; and that whatever any one fhould advance on that head would, in all probability, be refuted by further experience, and be rejected by pofterity. Such mighty

[^10]revolutions have happened in human affairs, and fo many events have arifen contrary to the expectation of the ancients, that they are fufficient to beget the fufpicion of ftill further changes.

It had been obferved by the ancients, that all the arts and fciences arofe among free nations; and, that the Persians and Egyptians, notwithftanding their eafe, opulence, and luxury, made but faint efforts towards a relifh in thofe finer pleafures, which were carried to fuch perfection by the Greeks, amidft continual wars, attended with poverty, and the greateft fimplicity of life and manners. It had alfo been obferved, that, when the Greeks loft their liberty, though they increafed mightily in riches, by means' of the conquefts of AlexANDER; yet the arts, from that moment, declined among them, and have never fince been able to raife their head in that climate. Learning was tranfplanted to Rome, the only free nation at that time in the univerfe; and having met with fo favourable a foil, it made prodigious fhoots for above a century; till the decay of liberty produced alfo the decay of letters, and fpread a total barbarifm over the world. From thefe two experiments, of which each was double in its kind, and Shewed the fall of learning in abfolute governments, as well as its rife in popular ones, Longinus thought himfelf fufficiently juftified, in afferting, that the arts and fciences could never flourifh, but in a free government: And in this opinion, he has been followed by feveral eminent writers * in our own country, who either confined their view merely to ancient facts, or entertained too great a partiality in favour of that form of government, eftablifhed amongft us.

But what would thefe writers have faid, to the inftances of modern Rome and of Florence? Of which the former carried to perfection all the finer arts of fculpture, painting, and mufic, as well as poetry, though it groaned under tyranny, and under the tyranny of priefts: While the latter made its chief progrefs in the arts and fciences, after it began to lofe its liberty by the ufurpation of the family of Medicr. Ariosto, Tasso, Galileo, more than Raphael, and Michael Angelo, were not born in republics. And though the Lombard fchool was famous as well as the Roman, yet the Venetians have had the fmalleft fhare in its honours, and feem rather inferior to the other Italians, in their genius for the arts and fciences. Rubens eftablifhed his fchool at Antwerp, not at Amsterdam: Dresden, not Hamburg, is the centre of politenefs in Germany.

But the moft eminent inftance of the flourifhing of jearning in abfolute governments, is that of France, which fcarcely ever enjoyed any eftablifhed liberty, and yet has carried the arts and fciences as near perfection as any other nation. The English are, perhaps, greater philofophers; the Italians better painters and muficians; the Romans were greater orators: But the French are the only people, except the Greeks, who have been at once philofophers, poets, orators, hiftorians, painters, architects, fculptors, and muficians. With regard to the ftage, they have excelled even the Greeks, who far excelled the English. And, in common life, they have, in a great meafure, perfected that art, the moft ufeful and agreeable of any, l'Art de Vivre, the art of fociety and converfation.

If we confider the ftate of the fciences and polite arts in our own country, Horace's obfervation, with regard to the Romans, may, in a great meafure, be applied to the British.
——Sed in longum tamen avoum Man', erunt, bodieque manent veftigia ruris.
The elegance and propriety of ftyle have been very much neglected among us. We have no dictionary of our language, and fcarcely a tolerable grammar. The firf polite profe we have, was writ by a man who is ftill alive *. As to Sprat, Locke, and even Temple, they knew too little of the rules of art to be efteemed elegant writers. The profe of Bacon, Harrington, and Milton, is altogether ftiff and pedantic; though their fenfe be excellent. Men, in this country, have been fo much occupied in the great difputes of Religion, Politics, and Pbilofophy, that they had no relifh for the feemingly minute obfervations of grammar and criticifm. And though this turn of thinking muft have confiderably improved our fenfe and our talent of reafoning; it muft be confeffed, that, even in thofe fciences above-mentioned, we have not any ftandard-book, which we can tranfmit to pofterity: And the utmoft we have to boaft of, are a few effays towards a more juft philofophy; which, indeed, promife well, but have not, as yet, reached any degree of perfection.

It has become an eftablifhed opinion, that commerce can never flourifh but in a free government; and this opinior feems to be founded on a longer and larger experience than the foregoing, with regard to the arts and fciences. If we trace commerce in its progrefs through Trre, Athens, Syracuse, Carthage, Venice, Flo-

[^11]rence, Genoa, Antwerp, Holland, England; \&ic: we fhall always find it to have fixed its feat in free governments. The three greateft trading towns now in Europe, are London, Amsterdam, and Hamburgh ; all free cities, and proteftant cities; that is, enjoying a double liberty. It muft, however, be obferved, that the great jealoufy entertained of late, with regard to the commerce of France, feems to prove, that this maxim is no more certain and infallible than the foregoing, and that the fubjects of an abfolute prince may become our rivals in commerce, as well as in learning.

Durft I deliver my opinion in an affair of fo much uncertainty, I would affert, that, notwithftanding the efforts of the French, there is fomething hurtful to commerce inherent in the very nature of abfolute government, and infeparable from it: Though the reafon I fhould affign for this opinion, is fomewhat different from that which is commonly infifted on. Private property feems to me almoft as fecure in a civilized EuroPEAN monarchy, as in a republic; nor is danger much apprehended in fuch a government, from the violence of the fovereign ; more than we commonly dread harm from thunder, or earthquakes, or any accident the moft unufual and extraordinary. Avarice, the fpur of induftry, is fa obftinate a paffion, and works its way through fo many real dangers and difficulties, that it is not likely to be fcared by an imaginary danger, which is fo fmall, that it fcarcely admits of calculation, Commerce, therefore, in my opinion, is apt to decay in abfolute governments, not becaufe it is there lefs fecure, but becaufe it is lefs bonourable. A fubordination of ranks is abfolutely neceffary to the fupport of monarchy. Birth, titles, and place, muft be honoured above induffry and riches.

And
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And while thefe notions prevail, all the confiderable traders will be tempted to throw up their commerce, in order to purchafe fome of thofe employments, to which privileges and honours are annexed.

Since I am upon this head, of the alterations which time has produced, or may produce in politics; 1 muft obferve, that all kinds of government, free and abfolute, feem to have undergone, in modern times, a great change for the better, with regard both to foreign and domeftic management. The balance of power is a fecret in politics, fully known only to the prefent age; and I muft add, that the internal Police of fates has alfo received great improvements within the laft century. We are informed by Sallust, that Catiline's army was much augmented by the acceffion of the highwaymen about Rome ; though I believe, that all of that profeffion, who are at prefent difperfed over Europe, would not amount to a regiment. In Cicero's pleadings for Milo, I find this argument, among others, made ufe of to prove, that his client had not affaffinated Clodius. Had Milo, faid he, intended to have killed Czodius, he had not attacked him in the day-time, and at fuch a diftance from the city : He had way-laid him at night, near the fuburbs, where it might have been pretended, that he was killed by robbers; and the frequency of the accident would have favoured the deceit. This is a furprizing proof of the loofe police of Rome, and of the number and force of thefe robbers; fince Clodius* was at that time attended by thirty flaves, who were completely armed, and fufficiently accuftomed to blood and danger in the frequent tumults excited by that feditious tribune.

> * Iide. Ajc, Ped. in Orat. pro LGione.
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But though all kinds of government be improved in modern times, yet monarchical government feems to have made the greateft advances towards perfection. It may now be affirmed of civilized monarchies, what was formerly faid in praife of republics alone, that they are a government of Laws, not of Men. They are found furceptible of order, method, and conftancy, to a furprifing degree. Property is there fecure ; induftry encouraged; the arts flourifh; and the prince lives fecure among his fubjects, like a father among his children. There are, perhaps, and have been for two centuries, near two hundred abfolute princes, great and fmall, in Europe; and allowing twenty years to each reign, we may fuppofe, that there have been in the whole two thoufand monarchs or tyrants, as the Greeks would have called them : Yet of thefe there has not been one, not even Phllip II. of Spain, fo bad as Tiberius, Caligula, Nero, or Domitian, who were four in twelve amongft the Roman emperors. It muft, howcver, be confeffed, that, though monarchical governments have approached nearer to popular ones, in gentlenefs and ftability; they are ftill inferior. Our modern education and cuftoms inftil more humanity and moderation than the ancient; but have not as yet been able to overcome entirely the difadkantages of that form of government.

But here I muft beg leave to advance a conjecture, which feems probable, but which pofterity alone can fully judge of. I am apt to think, that, in monarchical governments there is a fource of improvement, and in popular governments a fource of degeneracy, which in time will bring thefe fpecies of civil polity ftill nearer an equality. The greateft abufes, which arife in France, the molt perfect model of pure monarchy, proceed not
from the number or weight of the taxes, beyond what are to be met with in free countries; but from the expenfive, unequal, arbitrary, and intricate method of levying them, by which the induftry of the poor, efpecially of the peafants and farmers, is, in a great meafure, difcouraged, and agriculture rendered a beggarly and flavifh employment. But to whofe advantage do thefe abufes tend? If to that of the nobility, they might be efteemed inherent in that form of government; fince the nobility are the true fupports of monarchy; and it is natural their intereft fhould be more confulted, in fuch a conftitution, than that of the people. But the nobility are, in reality, the chief lofers by this oppreffion; fince it ruins their eftates, and beggars their tenants. The only gainers by it are the Finangiers, a race of men rather odious to the nobility and the whole kingdom. If a prince or minifter, therefore, fhould arife, endowed with fufficient difcernment to know his own and the public intereft, and with fufficient force-of mind to break through ancient cuftoms, we might expect to fee thefe abufes remedied; in which cafe, the difference between that abfolute government and our free one, would not appear fo confiderable as at prefent.

The fource of degeneracy, which may be remarked in free governments, confifts in the practice of contracting debt, and mortgaging the public revenues, by which taxes may, in time, become altogether intolerable, and all the property of the ftate be brought into the hands of the public. This practice is of modern date. The Athenians, though governed by a republic, paid near two hundred per Cent. for thofe fums of money, which any emergence made it neceffary for them to borrow;
as we learn from Xenophon *. Among the moderns, the Du'tch firf introduced the practice of borrowing great fums at low intereft, and have well nigh ruined themfelves by it. Abfolute princes have alfo contracted debt; but as an abfolute prince may make a bankruptcy when he pleafes, his people can never be oppreffed by his debts. In popular governments, the people, and chiefly thofe who have the highef offices, being commonly the public creditors, it is difficult for the fate to make ufe of this remedy, which, however it may fometimes be noceflary, is always cruel and barbarous. This, therefore, feems to be an inconvenience, which nearly threatens all free governments; efpecially our own, at the prefent juncture of affairs. And what a ftrong motive is this, to encreafe our frugality of public money; left, for want of it, we be reduced, by'the multiplicity of taxes, or what is worfe, by our public impotence and inability for defence, to curfe our very liberty, and wifh ourfelves in the fame ftate of fervitude with all the nations that furround us?

[^12]
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## Of Eloeuence.

THOSE, who confider the periods and revolutions of human kind, as reprefented in hiftory, are entertained with a fpectacle full of pleafure and variety, and fee, with furprize, the manners, cuftoms, and opinions of the fame fpecies fufceptible of fuch prodigious shanges in different periods of time. It may, however, be obferved, that, in civill hiftory, there is found a much greater uniformity than in the hiftory of learning and fcience, and that the wars, negociations, and politics of one age, refemble more thofe of another, than the tafte, wit, and fecculative principles. Intereft and ambition, honour and thame, friendihip and enmity, gratitude and revenge, are the prime movers in all public tranfactions ; and thefe paffions are of a very ftubhorn and intractable nature, in comparifon of the fentiments and underftanding, which are eafily varied by education and example. The Goths were much more inferior to the Romans, in tafte and fience, than in courage and virtue.

But not to compare together nations fo widely different; it may be obferved, that even this later period of human learning is, in many refpects, of an oppofite sharacter to the ancient ; and that, if we be fuperior in $\mathrm{H}_{3} \quad$ philo-
philofophy, we are fill, notwithftanding all our refinements, much inferior in eloquence.
: In ancient times, no work of genius was thought to require fo great parts and capacity, as the fpeaking in public; and fome eminent writers have pronounced the talents, even of a great poet or philofopher, to be of an inferior nature to thofe which are requifite for fuch an undertaking. Greece and Rome produced, each of them, but one accomplifhed orator; and whatever praifes the other celebrated fpeakers might merit, they were fill efteemed much inferior to thefe great models of eloquence. It is obfervable, that the ancient critics could fcarcely find two orators in any age, who deferved to be placed precifely in the fame rank, and poffeffed the fame degree of merit. Calyus, Cenlius, Curio, Hortensius, Casar, rofe one above another: But the greateft of that age was inferior to Cicero, the moft eloquent fpeaker that had ever appeared in Rome. Thofe of fine tafte, however, pronounced this judgment of the Roman orator, as well as of the Grecian, that both of them furpaffed in eloquence all that had ever appeared, but that they were far from reaching the perfection of their art, which was infinite, and not only exceeded human force to attain, but human imagination ta conceive. Cicero declares himfelf diffatisfied with his own performances; nay, even with thofe of Demosthenes. Ita funt avida छ capaces mea aures, fays he ${ }_{2}$ Eo femper aliquid immenfum, infinitumque defiderant.

Of all the polite and learned nations, England alone poffeffes a popular government, or admits into the legif $\rightarrow$ lature fuch numerous affemblies as can be fuppofed to

- lie under the dominion of eloquence. But what has England to boaft of in this particular? In enumerating.
the great men, who have done honour to our country, we exult in our poets and philofophers; but what orators are ever mentioned? Or where are the monuments of their genius to be met with? There are found, indeed, in our hiftories, the names of feveral, who directed the refolutions of our parliament: But neither themfelves nor others have taken the pains to preferve their fpeeches; and the authority, which they poffeffed, feems to have been owing to their experience, wifdom, or power, more than to their talents for oratory. At prefent, there are above half a dozen fpeakers in the two houfes, who, in the judgment of the public, have reached very near the fame pitch of eloquence; and no man pretends to give any one the preference above the reft, This feems to me a certain proof, that none of them have attained much beyond a mediocrity in their art, and that the fpecies of eloquence, which they afpire to, gives no exercife to the fublimer faculties of the mind, but may be reached by ordinary talents and a flight application. A hundred cabinet-makers in London can work a table or a chair equally well; but no one poet can write verfes with fuch firit and elegance as Mr. Pope.

We are told, that, when Demosthenes was to plead, all ingenious men flocked to Athens from the moft remote parts of Greece, as to the moft celebrated fpectacle of the world *. At London you may fee men fauntering in the court of requefts, while the moft im-

- Ne illud quidem intelligunt, non modo ita memoria proditum effe, fed ita neceffe fuiffe, cam Demosthenes dieturus effoty ut concurfus, audiendi caufa, ex tota Gricia fierent. At cumifti Atticidicunt, non modo a corona (quod eft ipfum miferabile) fed etiam ab advocatis relina quuntur.


## Cicero de Claris Oratoribus.
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portant debate is carrying on in the two houfes; and many do not think themfelyes fufficiently compenfated, for the lofing of their dinners, by all the eloquence of our molt celebrated fpeakers. When old Cibber is ta. act, the curiofity of feveral is more excited, than when our prime minifter is to defend himfelf from a motion for his removal or impeachment.

Even a perfon, unacquainted with the noble remains of ancient orators, may judge, from a few ftrokes, that the ftyle or fpecies of their eloquence was infinitely more fublime than that which modern orators afpire to. How. abfurd would it appear, in our temperate and calm speakers, to make ufe of an Apofrophe, like that noble one of Demostheness, fo much celebrated by Quintilian and Longinus, when juftifying the unfucceffful battle of Cheronea, he breaks out, No, my FellowCitizens, No: You bave not crred. I fwear by the manes of thofe beroes, who fought for the fame caufe in the plains of Marathon and Platefa. Who could now endure fuch a bold and poetical figure, as that which Cicero cmploys, after defcribing in the moft tragical terms the crucifixion of a Roman citizen: Should I paint the borrors of this fceine, nat to Roman citizens, not to the allies of: our flate, not to thofe who have ever beard of the Roman Name, not cven to men, but to brute-creatures; or, to go farther, Bould I lift ut my voice in the moof defolate folitude, to the rocks and mountains, yet foould I furely fee thofe rude. and inanimate parts of nature moved with horror and indignation at the recital of. So enormous an action*. With what

[^13]what a blaze of eloquence muft fuch a fentence be fure founded to give it grace, or caufe it to make any impreffion on the hearers? And what noble art and fublime talents are requifite to arrive, by juft degrees, at a fentiment fo bold and exceffive: To inflame the audience, fo as to make them accompany the fpeaker in fuch violent paffions, and fuch elevated conceptions: And to conceal, under a torrent of eloquence, the artifice, by which all this is effectuated! Should this fentiment even appear ta us exceffive, as perhaps it juftly may, it will at leaft ferve to give an idea of the ftyle of ancient eloquence, where fuch. fwelling expreffions were not rejected as. wholly monftrous and gigantic.

Suitable to this vehemence of thought and expreffion, was the vehemence of action, otferved in the ancient orators. The fupplofio pedis, or famping with the foot, was one of the moft ufual and moderate geftures which they made ufe of *; though that is now efteemed too violent, either for the fenate, bar, or pulpit, and is only admitted into the theatre, to accompany the moft violent paffions, which are there reprefented,

One is fomewhat at a lofs, to what caufe we may afcribe fo fenfible a decline of eloquence in later ages. The genius of mankind, at all times, is, perhaps, equal : The moderns have applied themfelves, with great

[^14]induftry and fuccefs, to all the other arts and fciences : And a learned nation poffeffes a popular government; a circumftance which feems requifite for the full difplay of thefe noble talents: But notwithftanding all thefe advantages, our progrefs in eloquence is very inconfiderable, in comparifon of the advances, ${ }_{2}$ which we have made in all other parts of learning.

Shall we affert, that the ftrains of ancient eloquence are unfuitable to our age, and ought not to be imitated by modern orators? Whatever reafons may be made ufe of to prove this, I am perfuaded they will be found, upon examination, to be unfound and unfatisfactory.

Firf, it may be faid, that, in ancient times, during the flourihing period of Grerk and Roman learning, the municipal laws, in every ftate, were but few and fimple, and the decifion of caufes was, in a great meafure, left to the equity and common fenfe of the judges. The ftudy of the laws was not then a laborious occupation, requiring the drudgery of a whole life to finifh it, and incompatible with every other ftudy or profeffion. The great ftatefmen and generals among the Romans were all lawyers; and Cicero, to fhew the facility of acquiring this fcience, declares, that, in the midft of all his occupations, he would undertake, in a few days, to make himfelf a complete civilian. Now ${ }_{2}$ where a pleader addreffes himfelf to the equity of his judges, he has much more room to difplay his eloquence, than where he muft draw his arguments from ftrict laws, ftatutes, and precedents. In the former cafe, many circumftances muft be taken in; many perfonal confiderations regarded; and even favour and inclination, which it belongs to the orator, by his art and eloquence, to conciliate, may be difguifed under the appearance of
equity. But how fhall a modern lawyer have leifure to quit his toilfome occupations, in order to gather the flowers of Parnassus? Or what opportunity fhall he have of difplaying them, amidft the rigid and fubtle arguments, objections, and replies, which he is obliged to make ufe of? The greateft genius, and greateft orator, who fhould pretend to plead before the Chancellor, after a month's ftudy of the laws, would only labour to make himfelf ridiculous.

I am ready to own, that this circumftance, of the multiplicity and intricacy of laws, is a difcouragement to eloquence in modern times : But I affert, that it will not entirely account for the decline of that noble art. It may banifh oratory from Westminster-hall, but not from either houfe of parliament. Among the Athenians, the Areopagites exprefsly forbad all allurements of eloquence; and fome have pretended that in the Grebk orations, written in the judiciary form, there is not fa bold and rhetorical a ftyle, as appears in the Roman. But to what a pitch did the Athenians carry their eloquence in the deliberative kind, when affairs of ftate were canvaffed, and the liberty, happinefs, and honour of the republic were the fubject of debate? Difputes of this nature elevate the genius above all others, and give the fulleft fcope to eloquence; and fuch difputes are very frequent in this nation.

Sccondly, It may be pretended that the decline of eloquence is owing to the fuperior good fenfe of the mom derns, who reject with difdain all thofe rhetorical tricks, employed to feduce the judges, and will admit of nothing but folid argument in any debate of deliberation. If a man be acculed of murder, the fact mult be proved by witneffes and evidence; and the laws will afterwards determine
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determine the punifhment of the criminal. It would be ridiculous to defcribe, in ftrong colours, the horror and cruelty of the action: To introduce the relations of the dead; and, at a fignal, make them throw themfelves at the feet of the judges, imploring juftice with tears and lamentations: And fill more ridiculous would it be, to employ a picture reprefenting the bloody deed, in order to move the judges by the difplay of fo tragical a fpectacle: Though we know, that this artifice was fometimes practifed by the pleaders of old *. Now, banifh the pathetic from public difcourfes, and you reduce the fpeakers merely to modern eloquence; that is, to good fenfe, delivered in proper expreffion.

Perhaps it may be acknowledged, that our modern cuftoms, or our fuperior good fenfe, if you will, fhould make our orators more cautious and referved than the ancient, in attempting to inflame the paffions, or elevate the imagination of their audience : But, I fee no reafon, why it fhould make them defpair abfolutely of fucceeding in that attempt. It fhould make them redouble their art, not abandon it entirely. The ancient orators feem alfo to have been on their guard againft this jealoufy of their audience; but they took a different way of eluding it + . They hurried away with fuch a torrent of fublime and pathetic, that they left their hearers no leifure to perceive the artifice, by which they were deceived. Nay, to confider the matter aright, they were not deceived by any artifce. The orator, by the force of his own genius and eloquence, firft inflamed himfelf with anger, indignation, pity, forrow; and then communicated thofe im, petuous movements to his audience.

[^15]Does any man pretend to have more good fenfe than Julius Casar? yet that haughty conqueror, we know, was fo fubdued by the charms of Cicero's eloquence, that he was, in a manner, conftrained to change his fettled purpofe and refolution, and to abfolve a criminal, whom, before that orator pleaded, he was determined to condemn.
Some objections, I own, notwithftanding his vaff fuccefs, may lie againft fome paffages of the Roman orator. He is too florid and rhetorical: His figures are too ftriking and palpable: The divifions of his difcourfe are drawn chiefly from the rules of the fchools: And his wit difdains not always the artifice even of a pun, rhyme, or jingle of words. The Grecian addreffed himfelf to an audience much lef's refined than the Roman fenate or judges. The loweft vulgar of Athens were his fovereigns, and the arbiters of his eloquence *. Yet is his manner more chafte and auftere than that of the other. Could it be copied, its fuccefs would be infallible over a modern affembly. It is rapid harmony, exactly adjufted to the fenfe: It is vehement reafoning, without any appearance of art : It is difdain, anger, boldnefs, freedom, involved in a continued frcam of argument: And of all human productions, the orations of Demosthenes prefent to us the models, which approach the neareft to perfection.
Tbirdly, It may be pretended, that the diforders of the ancient governments, and the enormous crimes, of whick the citizens were often guilty, afforded much ampler matter for eloquence than can be met with among the moderns. Were there no Verres or Catiline, there
would be no Cicero. But that this reafon can have no great influence, is evident. It would be eafy to find a Philip in modern times; but where shall we find a Demosthenes?

What remains, then, but that we lay the blame on the want of genius, or of judgment in our fpeakers, who either found themfelves incapable of reaching the heights of ancient eloquence, or rejected all fuch endeavours, as unfuitable to the fpirit of modern affemblies? A few fucceffful attempts of this nature might rouze the genius of the nation, excite the emulation of the youth, and accuftom our ears to a more fublime and more pathetic elocution, than what we have been hitherto entertained with. There is certainly fomething accidental in the firft rife and the progrefs of the arts in any nation. I doubt whether a very fatisfactory reafon can be given, why ancient Rome, though it received all its refinements from Greece, could attain only to a relih for ftatuary, painting and architecture, without reaching the practice of thefe arts: While modern Rome has been excited by a few remains found among the ruins of antiquity, and has produced artifts of the greateft eminence and diftinction. Had fuch a cultivated genius for oratory, as Waller's for poetry, arifen, during the civil wars, when liberty began to be fully eftablifhed, and popular affemblies to enter into all the moft material points of government ; I am perfuaded fo illuftrious an example would have given a quite different turn to British eloquence, and made us reach the perfection of the ancient model. Our orators would then have done honour to their country, as well as our poets, geometers, and philofophers, and British Ciceros have appeared, as well as British Archimedeses and Virgils.

It is feidom or never found, when a falfe tafte in poetry or eloquence prevails among any people, that it has been preferred to a true, upon comparifon and reflection. It commonly prevails merely from ignorance of the true, and from the want of perfect models, to lead men into a jufter apprehenfion, and more refined relifh of thofe productions of genius. When thefe appear, they foon unite all fuffrages in their favour, and, by their natural and powerful charms, gain over, even the moft prejudiced, to the love and admiration of them. The principles of every paffion, and of every fentiment, is in every man; and when touched properly, they rife to life, and warm the heart, and convey that fatisfaction, by which a work of genius is diftinguifhed from the adulterate beauties of a capricious wit and fancy. And if this obfervation be true, with regard to all the liberal arts, it muft be peculiarly fo, with regard to eloquence; which, being merely calculated for the public, and for men of the world, cannot, with any pretence of reafon, appeal from the people to more refined judges; but muft fubmit to the public verdict, without referve or limitation. Whoever, upon comparifon, is deemed by a common audience the greateft orator, ought moft certainly to be pronounced fuch, by men of fcience and erudition. And though an indifferent fpeaker may triumph for a long time, and be efteemed altogether perfect by the vulgar, who are fatisfied with his accomplifhments, and know not in what he is defective : Yet, whenever the true genius arifes, be draws to him the attention of every one, and immediately appears fuperior to his rival.

Now to judge by this rule, ancient eloquence, that is, the fublime and paffionate, is of a much jufter tafte than the modern, or the argumentative and rational; and, if properly executed, will always have 'more command
and authority over mankind. We are fatisfied with out mediocrity, becaufe we have had no experience of any thing better : But the ancients had experience of both, and, upon comparifon, gave the preference to that kind of which they have lcft us fuch applauded models. For; if I miftake not, our modern eloquence is of the fame fyle or fpecies with that which ancient critics denominated Atric eloquence, that is, calm, elegant, and fubtile, which inftructed the reafon more than affected the paffions, and never raifed its tone above argument or common difcourfe. Such was the eloquence of Lysias among the Athenians, and of Calvus among the Romans. Thefe were efteemed in their time; but when compared with Demosthenes and Cicero, were eclipfed like a taper when fet in the rays of a meridian fun. Thofe latter orators poffeffed the fame elegance, and fubtilty, and force of argument, with the former; but what rendered them chiefly admirable, was that pathe ic and fublime, which, on proper occafions, they threw into their difcourfe, and by which they commanded the refolution of their audience.

Of this fpecies of eloquence we have fcarcely bad any inftance in England, at leaft in our public fpeakers. In our writers, we have bad fome inftances, which have met with great applaufe, and might affure our ambitious youth of equal or fuperior glory in attempts for the revival of ancient eloquence. L.ord Bolingbroke's productions, with all their defects in argument, method, and precifion, contain a force and energy, which our orators fcarcely ever aim at ; though it is evident, that fuch an elevated ftyle has much better grace in a fpeaker than in a writer, and is affured of more prompt and more aftonifhing fuccefs. It is there feconded by the graces of voice and action : The movements are mutually communicated
nicated between the orator and the audience: And the very afpect of a large affembly, attentive to the difcourfe of one man, muft infpire him with a peculiar elevation, fufficient to give a propriety to the ftrongeft figures and expreffions. It is true, there is a great prejudice againft fet fpeeches; and a man cannot efcape ridicule, who repeats a difcourfe as a fchool-boy does his leffon, and takes no notice of any thing that has been advanced in the courfe of the debate. But where is the neceffity of falling into this abfurdity? A public fpeaker muft know beforehand the queftion under debate. He may compofe all the arguments, objections, and anfwers, fuch as he thinks will be moft proper for his difcourfe *. If any thing new occur, he may fupply it from his invention; nor will the difference be very apparent between-his elaborate and his extemporary compofitions. The mind naturally continues with the fame impetus or force, which it has acquired by its motion; as a veffel, once impelled by the oars, carries on its courfe for fome time, when the original impulfe is fufpended.

I fhall conclude this fubject with obferving, that, even though our modern orators fhould not elevate their ftyle, or afpire to a rivalhip with the ancient ; yet is there, in moft of their fpeeches, a material defect, which they might correct, without departing from that compofed air of argument and reafoning, to which they limit their ambition. Their great affectation of extemporary difcourfes has made them reject all order and method, which feems fo requifite to argument, and without which it is fcarcely poffible to produce an entire conviction on the

[^16]mind. It is not, that one would recommend many divifions in a public difcourfe, unlefs the fubject very evidently offer them: But it is eafy, without this formality, to obferve a method, and make that method confpicuous to the hearers, who will be infinitely pleafed to fee the arguments rife naturally from one another, and will retain a more thorough perfuafion, than can arife from the ftrongeft reafons, which are thrown together in confufion.

## E S S A Y XIV.

## Of the Rise and Progress of the Arts and Sciences.

NO THING requires greater nicety, in our enquiries concerning human affairs, than to diftinguifh exactiy what is owing to chance, and what proceeds from caufes; nor is there any fubject, in which an author is more liable to deceive himfelf by falfe fubtilties and refinements. To fay, that any event is derived from chance, cuts fhort all farther enquiry concerning it, and leaves the writer in the fame ftate of ignorance with the seft of mankind. But when the event is fuppofed to proceed from certain and ftable caufes, he may then difplay his ingenuity, in affigning thefe caufes; and as a man of any fubtilty can never be at a lofs in this particular, he has thereby an opportunity of fwelling his volumes, and difcovering his profound knowledge, in obferving what efcapes the vulgar and ignorant.

The diftinguifhing between chance and caufes muft depend upon every particular man's fagacity, in confidering every particular incident. But, if I were to affign any general rule to help us in applying this diftinction, it would be the following, What depends upon a few perfons is, in a great menfure, to be afcribed to chance, or fecret and unknown caufes: What arifes from a great num-

$$
\mathrm{I}_{2} \quad \cdots \quad b_{i r}
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ber, may often be accounted for by determinate and known caufes.

Two natural reafons may be affigned for this rule. Firf, If you fuppofe a dye to have any bias, however fmall, to a particular fide, this bias, though, perhaps, it may not appear in a few throws, will certainly prevail in a great number, and will caft the balance entirely to that fide. In like manner, when any caufes beget a particular inclination or paffion, at a certain time, and among a certain people ; though many individuals may efcape the contagion, and be ruled by pafions peculiar to themfelves; yet the multitude will certainly be feized by the common affection, and be governed by it in all their actions.

Secondiy, Thofe principles or caufes, which are fitted to operate on a multitude, are always of a groffer and more ftubborn nature, lefs fubject to accidents, and lefs influenced by whim and private fancy, than thofe which operate on a few only. The latter are commonly fo delicate and refined, that the fmalleft incident in the health, education, or fortune of a particular perfon, is fufficient to divert their courfe, and retard their operation; nor is it poffible to reduce them to any genera! maxims or obfervations. Their influence at one time will never affure us concerning their influence at another; even though all the general circumftances fhould be the fame in both cafes.

To judge by this rule, the domeftic and the gradual revolutions of a ftate muft be a more proper fubject of reafoning and obfervation, than the foreign and the violent, which are commonly produced by fingle perfons, and are more influenced by whim, folly, or caprice, than by general paffions and interefts. The depreffion of the lords, and rife of the commons in England, after the ftatutes
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ftatutes of alienation and the encreafe of trade and induftry, are more eafily accounted for by general principles; than the depreffion of the Spanish, and rife of the French monarchy, after the death of Charles Quint. Had Harry IV. Cardinal Richlieu, and Louis XIV. been Spaniards; and Philip II. III. and IV. and Charlés II. been Frenchmbn, the hiffory of thefe two nations had been entirely reverfed.

For the fame reafon, it is more eafy to account for the rife and progrefs of commerce in any kingdom, than for that of learning; and a ftate, which fhould apply itfelf to the encouragement of the one, would be more affured of fucceefs, than one which fhould cultivate the other. Avarice, or the defire of gain, is an univerfal paffion, which operates at all times; in all places, and upon all perfons: But curiofity, or the love of knowledge, has a very limited influence, and requires youth, leifure; education, genius, and example; to make it govern any perfon. You will never want bookfellers, while there are buyers of books: But there may frequently be readers where there are no authors. Multitudes of people, neceffity and liberty, have begotten commerce ini Holland: But ftudy, and application have fcarcely produced any eminent writers:

We may, thetefore; conclude; that there is no fubi ject, in which we muft proceed with more caution, thant in tracing the hiftory of the arts and fciences; left we affign càues which never exifted; and reduce what is merely contingent to fable and univerfal principles: Thofe who cultivate the fciences in any ftate, are always few in number: The paffion, which governs them; limited: Their tafte and judgment delicate and eafily perverted: And their application difturbed with the finalleft accident. Chance, therefore; or fecret and und
known caules, muft have a great influence on the rife and progrefs of all the refined arts.

But there is a reafon, which induces me not to afcribe the matter altogether to chance. Though the perfons, who cultivate the fciences with fuch aftonifhing fuccefs, as to attract the admiration of pofterity, be always few, in all nations and all ages; it is impoffible bat a fhare of the fame firit and genius muft be antecedently diffufed throughout the people among whom they arife, in order to produce, form, and cultivate, from their earlieft intfancy, the tafte and judgment of thofe eminent writers. The mafs cannot be altogether infipid, from which fuch refined fpirits are extracted. There is a God within us, fays Ovid, who breathes that divine fire, by which we are animated*. Poets, in all ages, have advanced this claim to infpiration. There is not, however, any thing fupernatural in the cafe. Their fire is not kindled from heaven. It only runs along the earth; is caught from one breaft to another; and burns brighteft, where the materials are beft prepared, and moft happily difpofed. The queftion, therefore, concerning the rife and progrefs of the arts and fciences, is not altogether a queftion concerning the tafte, genius, and fpirit of a few, but concerining thofe of a whole people; and may, therefore, be ace counted for, in fome meafure, by general caufes and principles. I grant, that a man, who ihould enquire, why fuch a particular pcet, as Homer, for inftance, exifted; at fuch a place, in fuch a time; would throw himfelf headlong intio chimera, and could never treat of fuch a fubject, without a multitude of falle fubtilties and refinements. He might as well pretend to give a reafon, why luch particular

[^17]generals, as Fabius and Scipio, lived in Rome at fuch a time, and why Fabius came into the world before Scrpio. For fuch incidents as thefe, no other reafon carf be given than that of Horace:

Scit genius, natale comes, qui temperat aftrum, "
Notura Deus bumane, mortalis in unum -- 2wodque caput, vultu mutabilis, albus क\% ater.

But I am perfuaded, that in many cafes good reafons might be given, why fuch a nation is more polite and learned, at a particular time, than any of its neighbours. At leaft, this is fo curious a fubject, that it were a pity to abandon it entirely, before we have found whether it be fufceptible of reafoning, and can be reduced to any general principles.

My firft obfervation on this head is, That it is impoffible for the arts and fciences to arife, at firf, among any people, cunlefs that people enjoy the blefing of a free government.

In the firft ages of the world, when men are as yet barbarous and ignorant, they feek no farther fecurity againft mutual violence and injuftice, than the choice of fome rulers, few or many, in whom they place an implicit confidence, without providing any fecurity, by laws or political inflitutions, againft the violence and injuftice of thefe rulers. If the authority be centered in a fingle perfon, and if the people, either by conqueft, or by the ordinary courfe of propagation, encreafe to a great multitude, the monarch, finding itimpoffible, in h's own perfon, to execute every office of fovereignty, in every place, muft delegate his authority to inferior magiftrates, who preferve peace and order in their refpective diftricts. As experience and education have not yet refined the judg. ments of men to any confiderable degree, the prince, who is himfelf unreftrained, never dreams of reftraining
his minifters, but delegates his full authority to every. one, whom he fets over any portion of the people. All general laws are attended with inconveniencies, when applied to particular cafes; and it requires great penetration and experience, both to perceive that thefe inconveniencies are fewer than what refult from full difcre,tionary powers in every magiftrate; and alfo to difcern what general laws are, upon the whole, attended with feweft inconveniencies. This is a matter of fo great difficulty, that men may have made fome advances, even in the fublime arts of poetry and eloquence, where a rapidity of genius and imagination affifts their progrefs, before they have arrived at any great refinement in their municipal laws, where frequent trials and diligent obfervation can alone direct their improvements, It is not, therefore, to be fuppofed, that a barbarous monarch, unreftrained and uninftructed, will ever become a legiflator; or think of reftraining his Ba/baws, in every province, or even his Cadis in every village. We are told, that the late Czar, though actuated with a noble genius, and fmit with the love and admiration of European arts; yet profeffed an efteem for the Turkish policy in this particular, and approved of fuch fummary decifions of caufes, as are practifed in that barbarous monarchy, where the judges are not reftrained by any methods, forms, or laws. He did not perceive, how contrary fuch a practice would have been to all his other endeavours for refining his people. Arbitpary power, in all cafes, is fomewhat oppreffive and debafing; but it is altogether ruinous and intolerable, when contracted into a fmall compafs; and-becomes fill worfe, when the perifon, who poffeffes it, knows that the time of his authority is limited and uncertain. Habet fubjeltos tanquann fuos; viles;
ut alienos *.. He governs the fubjects with full authority, as if they were his own; and with negligence or tyranny, as belonging to another. A people, governed after fuch a manner, are laves in the full and proper fenfe of the word; and it is impofible they can ever afpire to any refinements of tafte or reafon. They dare not fo much as pretend to enjoy the neceffaries of life in plenty or fecurity.

To expect, therefore, that the arts and fciences fhould take their firft rife in a monarchy, is to expect a contradiction. Before thefe refinements have taken place, the monarch is ignorant and uninftructed ; and not having knowledge fufficient to make him fenfible of the neceffity of balancing his government upon general laws, he delegates his full power to all inferior magiftrates. This barbarous policy debafes the people, and for ever prevents all improvements. Were it pofible, that, before fcience were known in the world, a monarch could poffers fo much wifdom as to become a legifator, and govern his people by law, not by the arbitrary will of their fellowfubjects, it might be poffible for that fpecies of government to be the firft nurfery of arts and fciences. But that fuppofition feems fcarcely to be confiftent or rational.

It may happen, that a republic, in its infant ftate, may be fupported by as few laws as a barbarous monarchy, and may entruft as unlimited an authority to its magiftrates or judges. But, befides that the frequent elections by the people are a confiderable check upon authority; it is impoffible, but, in time, the negeffity of teftraining the magiftrates, in order to preferve liberty,
muft at laft appear, and give rife to general laws and ftatutes. The Roman Confuls, for fome time, decided all caufes, without being confined by any pofitive ftatutes, till the people, bearing this yoke with impatience, created the decemvirs, who promulgated the twelve tables; a body of laws, which, though, perhaps, they were not equal in bulk to one English act of parliament, were almoft the only written rules, which regulated property and punifhment, for fome ages, in that famous republic. They were, however, fufficient; together with the forms of a free government, to fecure the lives and properties of the citizens; to exempt one man from the dominion of another; and to protect every one againft the violence or tyranny of his fellow-citizens. In fuch a fituation the fciences may raife their heads and flourifh : But never can have being amidft fuch a fcene of oppreffion and flavery, as always refults from barbarous monarchies, where the people alone are reftrained by the authority of the magiftrates, and the magiftrates are not reftrained by any law or ftatute. An unlimited defpotifm of this nature, while it exifts, effectually puts a ftop to all improvements, and keeps men from attaining that knowledge, which is requifite to inftruct them in the advantages, arifing from a better police, and more moderate authority.

Here then are the advantages of free ftates. Though a republic fhould be barbarous, it neceffarily, by an infallible operation, gives rife to $L_{A W}$, even before mankind have made any confiderable advances in the other fciences. From law arifes fecurity : From fecurity curiofity : And from cupiofity knowledge. The latter fteps of this progrefs may be more accidental; but the former are altogether neceffary. A republic without laws can never have
have any duration: On the contrary, in a monarchical government, law arifes not neceffarily from the forms of government. Moniarchy, when abfolute, contains even fonsething repagnant to law. Great wifdom and reflexion can alone reconcile them. But fuch a degree of wifdom can never be expected, before the greater refine* ments and improvements of human reafon. Thefe refinements require curiofity, fecurity, and law. The firft growth, therefore, of the arts and fciences can never be expected in defpotic governments.

There are other caufes, which difcourage the rife of the refined arts in defpotic governments; though I take the want of laws, and the delegation of full powers to every petty magiftrate, to be the principal. Eloquence certainly fprings up more naturally in popular governments: Emulation too, in every accomplifhment, muft there be more animated and enlivened: And genius and capacity have a fuller fcope and career. All thefe caufes render free governments the only proper nurfery for the arts and fciences.

The next obfervation, which I fhall make on this head, is, That nothing is more favourable to the rife of politenefs and learning, than a number of neighouring and independent fates, connected together by commerce and policy. The emulation, which naturally arifes among thofe neighbouring ftates; is an obvious fource of improvement: But what I would chiefly infift on is the ftop, which fuch limited territories give both to power and to authority.

Extended governments, where a fingle perfon has great influence, foon become abfolute; but fmall ones change naturally into commonwealths. A large governinent is accuftomed by degrees to tyranny; becaufe each act of violence is at firft performed upon a part, which, belng diftant from the majority, is not taken notice of, nor excites any violent ferment. Befides, a large govern-
ment, though the whole be difcontented, may, by a little art, be kept in obedience; while each part, ignorant of the refolutions of the reft, is afraid to begin any commotion or infurrection. Not to mention, that there is a fuperfitious reverence for princes, which mankind natu-. rally contract when they do not often fee the fovereign, and when many of them become not acquainted with him fo as to perceive his weakneffes. And as large ftates can afford a great expence, in order to fupport the pomp of majefty; this is a kind of fafcination on men, and naturally contributes to the enflaving of them.

In a fmall government, any act of oppreffion is immediately known throughout the whole: The murmurs and difcontents, proceed ing from it, are eafily communicated : And the indignation arifes the higher, becaufe the fubjects are not apt to apprehend, in fuch ftates, that the diftance is very wide between themfelves and their fovereign. "No " man," faid the prince of Conde, " is a hero to his "Valet de Cbambre." It is certain that admiration and acquaintance are altogether incompatible towards any mortal creature. Sleep and love convinced even Alexander himfelf that he was not a God: But I fuppofe that fuch as daily attended him could eafily, from the numberlefs weakneffes to which he was fubject, have, given him many ftill more convincing proofs of his humanity.

But the divifions into fmall ftates are favourable to learning, by ftopping the progrefs of authority as well as that of power. Reputation is often as great a fafcination upon men as fovereignty, and is equally deftructive to the freedom of thought and examination. But where a number of, neighbouring ftates have a great intercourfe of ,arts and commerce, their mutual jealoufy keeps them from receiving too lightly the law from each other, in matters of tafte and of reafoning, and makes them exa-
mine every work of art with the greateft care and accuracy. The contagion of popular opinion fpreads not fo eafily from one place to another. It readily receives a check in fome ftate or other, where it concurs not with the prevailing prejudices. And nothing but nature and reafon, or, at leaft, what bears them a ftrong refemblance, can force its way through all obftacles, and unite the moft rival nations into an efteem and admiration of it.

Greece was a clufter of little principalities, which foon became-republics; and being united both by their near neighbourhood, and by the ties of the fame language and intereft, they entered into the clofeft intercourfe of commerce and learning. There concurred a happy climate, a foil not unfertile, and a moft harmonious and comprehenfive language; fo that every circumftance among that people feemed to favour the rife of the arts and fciences. Each city produced its feveral artifts and philofophers; who refufed to yield the preference to thofe of the neighbouring republics: Their contention and debates fharpened the wits of men: A variety of objects was prefented to the judgment, while each challenged the preference to the reft: and the fciences, not being dwarfed by the reftraint of authority, were enabled to make fuch confiderable thoots, as are, even at this time, the objects of our admiration. After the Roman chrifian; or catholic church had fpread itfelf over the civilized world, and had engroffed all the learning of the times; being really one large fate within itfelf, and united under one head; this variety of fects immediately difappeared, and the Peripatetic philofophy was alone admitted into all the fchools, to the utter depravation of every kind of learning. But mankind, having at length thrown off this yoke, affairs are now returned nearly to the fame fituation as before, and Europe is at prefent a copy at large, of what Greece
was formerly a pattern in miniature. We have feen the advantage of this fituation in feveral inftances. What checked the progrefs of the Cartesian philofophy, to which the French nation thewed fuch a ftrong propenfity towards the end of the laft century, but the oppofition made to it by the other nations of Europe, who foon difcovered the weak fides of that philofophy? The fevereft fcrutiny, which Newton's theory has undergone, proceeded not from his own countrymen, but from foreigners ; and if it can overcome the obftacles, which it meets with at prefent in all parts of Europe, it will probably go down triumphant to the lateft pofterity. The English are become fenfible of the fcandalous licentioufnefs of their ftage, from the example of the French decency and morals. The French are convinced, that their theatre has become fomewhat effeminate, by too much love and gallantry; and begin ta approve of the more mafculine tafte of fome neighbouring nations.

In China, there feems to be a pretty confiderable, ftock of politenefs and fcience, which, in the courfe of fo many centuries, might naturally be expected to ripen into fomething more perfect and finifhed, than what has yet arifen from them. But China is one vaft empire fpeaking one language, governed by one law, and fympathizing in the fame manners. The authority of any teacher, fuch as Confucius, was propagated eafily from one corner of the empire to the other. None had ccurage to refift the torrent of popular opinion. And pofterity was not bold enough to difpute what had been univerfally received by their anceftors. This feems to be one natural reafon, why the fciences have made fo flow a progrefs in that mighty empire*.

[^18]If we confider the face of the globe, Europe, of all the four parts of the world, is the moft broken by feas, rivers, and mountains; and Greece of all countries of Europe. Hence thefe regions were naturally divided into feveral diftinct governments. And hence the fciences arofe in Greece; and Europe has been hitherto the moft conftant habitation of them.

I have fometimes been inclined to think, that interruptions in the periods of learning, were they not attended with fuch a deftruction of ancient books, and the records of hiftory, would be rather favourable to the arts and fciences, by breaking the progrefs of authority, and dethroning the tyrannical ufurpers over human reafon. In this particular, they have the fame influence, as interruptions in political governments and focieties. Confider the blind fubmiffion of the ancient philofophers to the feveral mafters in each fchool, and you will be convinced, that little good could be expected from a hundred centuries of fuch a fervile philofophy. Even the Eclectics, who arofe about the age of Augustus, notwithftanding their profeffing to chufe freely what pleafed them from every different fect, were yet, in the main, as favifh and dependent as any of their brethren; fince they fought for truth not in nature, but in the feveral fchools; where they fuppofed the muft neceffarily be found, though not united in a body, yet difperfed in parts. Upon the revival of learning, thofe fects of Stoics and Epicureans, Platonists and Pythagoricians, could never regain any credit or authority; and, at the fame time, by the example of their fall, kept men from fubmitting, with fuch blind deference, to thofe new fects, which have attempted to gain an afcendant over them.

The third obfervation, which I fhall form on this head, of the rife and progrefs of the arts and fciences, is,
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That though the only proper Nurfery of thefe nolle plants be a free fate; yet may they be tranfplanted into any government; and that a republic is moft favourable to the growth of the fciences, a civilized monarchy to that of the polite arts.

To balance a large fate or fociety, whether monarchical or republican, on general laws, is a work of fo great difficulty, that no human genius, however comprehenfive, is able, by the mere dint of reafon and reflection, to effect it. The judgments of many muft unite in this work : Experience muit guide their labour: Time muft bring it to perfcetion: And the feeling of inconveniencies mult correct the miftakes, which they inevitably fall into, in their firft trials and experiments. Hence appears the impoffibility, that this undertaking fhould be begun and carried on in any monarchy; fince fuch a form of government, ere civilized, knows no other fecret or policy, than that of entrufting unlimited powers to every governor or magiffrate, and fubdividing the people into fo many claffes and orders of flavery. From fuch a fituation, no improvement can ever be expected in the fciences, in the liberal arts, in laws, and fcarcely in the manual arts and manufactures. The fame barbarifm and ignorance, with which the government commences, is propagated to all pofterity, and can never come to a period by the efforts or ingenuity of fuch unhappy flaves.

But though law, the fource of all fecurity and happinefs, arifes late in any government, and is the flow product of order and of liberty, it is not preferved with the fame difficulty with which it is produced; but when it has once taken root, is a hardy plant, which will fcarcely ever perifh through the ill culture of men, or the rigour of the feafons. The arts of luxury, and much more the liberal arts, which depend on a refined tafte or fenti-
ment, are eafily loft; becaufe they are always relifhed by: a few only, whofe leifure, fortune, and genius fit them for fuch amufements. But what is profitable to every mortal, and in common life, when once difcovered, can fcarcely fall into oblivion, but by the total fubverfion of fociety, and by fuch furious inundations of barbarous invaders, as obliterate all memory of former arts and civility. Imitation alfo is apt to tranfport thefe coarfer and more ufeful arts from one climate to another, and make them precede the refined arts in their progrefs; though perhaps they fprang after them in their firft rife and propagation. From thefe caufes proceed civilized monarchies; where the arts of government, firft invented in free ftates, are preferved to the mutual advantage and fecurity of fovereign and fubject.

However perfect, therefore, the monarchical form may appear to fome politicians, it owes all its perfection to the republican; nor is it poffible, that a pure defpotifm, eftablifhed among a barbarous people, can ever, by its native force and energy, refine and polifh itfelf. It muit borrow its laws, and methods, and inftitutions, and confequently its ftability and order, from free governments. Thefe advantages are the fole growth of republics. The extenfive defpotifm of a barbarous monarchy, by entering into the detail of the government, as well as into the principal points of adminiffration, for ever prevents all fuch improvements.

In a civilized monarchy, the prince alone is unreftrained in the exercife of his authority, and poffeffes alone a power, which is not bounded by any thing but cuftom, example, and the fenfe of his own intereft. Every minifter or magiftrate, however eminent, muft fubmit to the general laws which govern the whole fociety, and muft exert the authority delegated to him after the YOL. I. K manner
manner which is prefcribed. The people depend on none but their fovereign, for the fecurity of their property. He is fo far removed from them, and is fo much exempt from private jealoufies or interefts, that this dependence is fcarcely felt. And thus a fpecies of government arifes, to which, in a high political rant, we may give the name of Tyranny; but which, by a juft and prudent adminiftration, may afford tolerable fecurity to the people, and may anfwer moft of the ends of political fociety.

But though in a civilized monarchy, as well as in a republic, the people have fecurity for the enjoyment of their property; yet in both thefe forms of government, thofe who poffefs the fupreme authority have the difpofal of many honours and advantages, which excite the ambition and avarice of mankind. The only difference is, that, in a republic, the candidates for office muft look downwards, to gain the fuffrages of the people; in a monarchy, they muft turn their attention upwards, to court the good graces and favour of the great. To be fuccefsful in the former way, it is neceffary for a man to make himfelf $u \int_{\rho} f u l$, by his induftry, capacity, or knowledge: To be profperous in the latter way, it is requifite for him to render himfelf agreeable, by his wit, complaifance, or civility. A ftrong genius fucceeds beft in republics: A refined tafte in monarchies. And, confequently, the fciences are the more natural growth of the one, and the polite arts of the other.

Not to mention, that monarchies, receiving their chief ftability from a fuperfitious reverence to priefts and princes, have commonly abridged the liberty of reafring, with regard to religion and politics, and corfequently metaphyfics and morals. All thefe form the moft confiderable branches of fcience. Mathematics and
and natural philofophy, which only remain, are not half fo valuable.

Among the arts of converfation, no one pleafes more than mutual deference or civility, which leads us to refign our own inclinations to thofe of our companion, and to curb and conceal that prefumption and arrogance, fo natural to the human mind. A good-natured man, who is well educated, practifes this civility to every mortal, without premeditation or intereft. But in order to render that valuable quality general among any people, it feems neceffary to affift the natural difpofition by fome general motive. Where power rifes upwards from the people to the great, as in all republics, fuch refinements of civility are apt to be little practifed; fince the whole ftate is, by that means, brought near to a level, and every member of it is rendered, in a great meafure, independent of another. The people have the advantage, by the authority of their fuffrages: The great, by the fuperiority of their ftation. But in a civilized monarchy, there is a long train of dependence from the prince to the peafant, which is not great enough to render property precarious, or deprefs the minds of the people; but is fufficient to beget in every one an inclination to pleafe his fuperiors, and to form himfelf upon thofe models, which are moft acceptable to people of condition and education. Politenefs of manners, therefore, arifes moft naturally in monarchies and courts; and where that flourihhes, none of the liberal arts will be altogether neglected or defpifed.

The republics in Europe are at prefent noted for want of politenefs. The good-manners of a Swiss civilized in Holland *, is an expreffion for rufticity among the
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French. The English, in fome degree, fall under the fame cenfure, notwithftanding their learning and genius. And if the Venetians be an exception to the rule, they oue it, perhaps, to their communication with the other Italians, moft of whofe governments beget a dependence more than fufficient for civilizing their manners.

It is difficult to pronounce any judgment concerning the refinements of the ancient republics in this particular:But I am apt to fufpect, that the arts of converfation were not brought fo near to perfection among them as the arts of writing and compofition. The fcurrility of the ancient orators, in many inftances, is quite fhocking, and exceeds all belief. Vanity too is often not a little offenfive in authors of thofe ages *; as well as the common licentioufnefs and immodefty of their ftyle, 2uicunque impudicus, adulter, ganeo, manu, ventre, pene, bona patria laceraverat, fays Sallust in one of the graveft and moft moral paffages of his hiftory. Nam fuit ante Helenam Cunnus, teterrima belli caufa, is an expreffion of Horace, in tracing the origin of moral good and evil. Ovid and Lucretius $\dagger$ are almoft as licentious in their ftyle as Lord Rochester; though the former were fine gentlemen and delicate writers, and the latter, from the corruptions of that court, in which he lived, feems to have thrown off all regard to thame and decency. Juvenal inculcates modefty with great zeal ; but fets a very bad ex-

[^20]ample

2mple of it, if we confider the impudence of his expreffrons.

I fhall alfo be bold to affirm, that among the ancients, there was not much delicacy of breeding, or that polite deference and refpect, which civility obliges us either to exprefs or counterfeit towards the perfons with whom we converfe. Cicero was certainly one of the fineft gentlemen of his age; yet I'muft confefs I have frequently been fhocked with the poor figure under which he reprefents his friend Atricus, in thofe dialogues, where he himfelf is introduced as a fpeaker. That learned and virtuous Roman, whofe dignity, though he was only a private gentleman, was inferior to that of no one in Rome, is there fhewn in rather a more pitiful light than Philalethes's friend in our modern dialogues. He is a humble admirer of the orator, pays him frequent compliments, and receives his inftructions, with all the deference which a fcholar owes to his mafter*. Even Cato is treated in fomewhat of a cavalier manner in the dialogues De Finibus.

One of the moft particular details of a real dialogue, which we meet with in antiquity, is related by Pol ybius $\dagger$; when Philip, king of Macedon, a prince of wit and parts, met with Titus Flamininus, one of the politeft of the Romans, as we learn from Plutarch $\ddagger$, accompanied with ambaffadors from almoft all the Greex cities. The Ætolian ambaffador very abruptly tells the king, that he talked like a fool or a madman ( $\lambda n \rho \varepsilon \frac{1}{}$ ). That's evident, fays his majefty, even to a blind man; which

[^21]was a raillery on the blindnefs of his excellency. Yet all this did not pafs the ufual bounds : For the conference was not difturbed; and Flamininus was very well diverted with thefe ftrokes of humour. At the end, when Philip craved a little time to confult with his friends, of whom he had none prefent, the Roman general, being defirous alfo to thew his wit, as the hiftorian fays, tells him, that perbaps the reafon, why he had none of bis friends with bim, was becaufe he had murdered them all; which was actually the cafe. This unprovoked piece of rufticity is not condemned by the hiftorian ; caufed no farther refentment in Philip, than to excite a Sardonian fmile, or what we call a grin; and hindered him not from renewing the conference next day. Plutarch* too mentions this raillery amongft the witty and agreeable fayings of Flamininus.

Cardinal Wolsey apologized for his famous piece of infolence, in faying, Ego et Rex meus, I and my king, by obferving, that this expreffion was conformable to the Latin idiom, and that a Roman always named himfelf before the perfon to awhom, or of whom, he fpake. Yet this feems to have been an inftance of want of civility among that people. The ancients made it a rule, that the perfon of the greateft dignity thould be mentioned firft in the difcourfe ; infomuch, that we find the fpring of a quarrel and jealoufy between the Romans and厄tolians, to have been a poet's naming the Ætomians before the Romans, in celebrating a victory gained by their united arms over the Macedonians $t$. Thus Livia difgufted Tiberius by placing her own name before his in an infcription $\ddagger$.

[^22]No advantages in this world are pure and unmíxed. In like manner, as modern politenefs, which is naturally fo ornamental, runs often into affectation and foppery, difguife and infincerity; fo the ancient fimplicity, which is naturally fo amiable and affecting, often degenerates into rufticity and abufe, fcurrility and obfcenity.

If the fuperiority in politenefs fhould be allowed to modern times, the modern notions of gallantry, the natural produce of courts and monarchies, will probably be affigned as the caufes of this refinement. No one denies this invention to be modern* : But fome of the more zealous partizans of the ancients, have afferted it to be foppifh and ridiculous, and a reproach, rather than a credit, to the prefent age $t$. It may here be proper to examine this queftion.

Nature has implanted in all living creatures an affection between the fexes, which, even in the fierceft and moft rapacious animals, is not merely confined to the fatisfaction of the bodily appetite, but begets a friendihip and mutual fympathy, which runs through the whole tenor of their lives. Nay, even in thofe fpecies, where nature limits the indulgence of this apperite to one feafon and to one object, and forms a kind of marriage or affociation between a fingle male and female, there is yet a vifible complacency and benevolence, which extends farther, and mutually foftens the affections of the fexes towards each other. How much more muft this have place in man, where the confinement of the appetite is not natural; but either is derived accidentally from fome ftrong charm of love, or arifes from reflections on

[^23]duty and convenience? Norking, therefore, can procced lefs from affectation than the paffion of gallantry. It is natural in the higheft degree. Art and education, in the moft elegant courts, make no more alteration on it, than on all the other laudable paffions. They only turn the mind more towards it ; they refine it ; they polifh it ; and give it a proper grace and expreffion.

But gallantry is as generous as it is natural. To correct fuch grofs vices, as lead us to commit real injury on others, is the part of morals, and the object of the moft ordinary education. Where that is not attended to, in fome degree, no human fociety can fubfift. But in order to render converfation, and the intercourfe of minds more eafy and agreeable, good-manners have been invented, and have carried the ratter fomewhat farther. Whereever nature has given the mind a propenfity to any vice, or to any paffion difagrecable to others, réfined breeding has taught men to throw the biafs on the oppofite fide, and to preferve, in all their behaviour, the appearance of fentiments different from thofe to which they naturally incline. Thus, as we are commonly proud and felfifh, and apt to affume the preference above others, a polite man learns to behave with deference towards his companions, and to yield the fuperiority to them in all the common incidents of fociety. In like manner, wherever a perfon's fituation may naturally beget any difagreesble fufpicion in him, it is the part of good-manners to prevent it, by a ftudied difplay of fentiments, directly contrary to thofe of which he is apt to be jealous. Thus, old men know their infirmitics, and naturally dread contempt from the youth: Hence, well-educated youth redouble the inftances of refpect and deference to their elders. Strangers and foreigners are without protection: Hence,
in all polite countries, they receive the higheft civilities, and are entitled to the firft place in every company. A man is lord in his own family, and his guefts are, in a manner, fubject to his authority: Hence, he is always the loweft perfon in the company; attentive to the wants of every one; and giving himfelf all the trouble, in order to pleafe, which may not betray too vifible an affectation, or impofe too much conftraint on his guefts *. Gallantry is nothing but an inftance of the fame generous attention. As nature has given man the fuperiority above woman, by endowing him with greater frength both of mind and body ; it is his part to alleviate that fuperiority, as much as poffible, by the generofity of his behaviour, and by a ftudied deference and complaifance for all her inclinations and opinions. Barbarous nations difplay this fuperiority, by reducing their females to the moft abject flavery; by confining them, by beating them, by felling them, by killing them. But the male fex, among a polite people, difcover their authority in a more generous, though not a lefs evident manner ; by civility, by refpect, by complaifance, and, in a word, by gallantry. In good company, you need not afk, Who is the mafter of the feaft? The man, who fits in the loweft place, and who is always induftrious in helping every one, is certainly the perfon. We muft either condemn all fuch inftances of generofity, as foppiih and affected, or admit of gallantry among the reft. The ancient Muscovites wedded their wives with a whip, inftead of a ring. The fame people, in their own houfes, took always the pre-

[^24]cedency above foreigners, even* foreign ambaffadors. Thefe two inftances of their generofity and politenefs are much of a piece.

Gallantry is not lefs compatible with wifdom and prudence, than with nature and generofity; and when under proper regulations, contributes more than any other invention, to the entertainment and improvement of the youth of both fexes. Among every fpecies of animals, nature has founded on the love between the fexes their fweeteft and beft enjoyment. But the fatisfaction of the bodily appetite is not alone fufficient to gratify the mind; and even among brute-creatures, we find, that their play and dalliance, and other expreffions of fondnefs, form the greateft part of the entertainment. In rational beings, we muft certainly admit the mind for a confiderable fhare. Were we to rob the feaft of all its garniture of reafon, difcourfe, fympathy, friendhip, and gaiety, what remains would fcarcely be worth acceptance, in the judgment of the truly elegant and luxurious.

What better fchool for manners, than the company of virtuous women; where the mutual endeavour to pleafe muft infenfibly polifh the mind, where the example of the female foftnefs and modefty muft communicate itfelf to their admirers, and where the delicacy of that fex puts every one on his guard, left he give offence by any breach of decency?

Among the ancients, the character of the fair-fex was confidered as altogether domeftic; nor were they regarded as part of the polite world, or of good company. This, perhaps, is the true reafon why the ancients have not left us one piece of pleafantry that is excellent (unlefs one may except the Banquet of Xenophon, and the Dia-

[^25]logues
logues of Lucian), though many of their ferious compofitions are altogether inimitable. Horace condemns the coarfe railleries and cold jefts of Plautus: But, though the moft eafy, agreeable, and judicious writer in the world, is his own talent for ridicule very ftriking or refined ? This, therefore, is one cenfiderable improvement, which the polite arts have received from gallantry, and from courts, where it firft arofe.

But, to return from this digreffion, I fhall advance it as a fourth obfervation on this fubject, of the rife and progrefs of the arts and fciences, That when the arts and fciences come to perfection in any fate, from that moment they naturally or rather neceffarily decline, and feldom or never revive in that nation, where they formerly fouribed.

It muft be confeffed, that this maxim, though conformable to experience, may, at firft fight, be efteemed contrary to reafon. If the natural genius of mankind be the fame in all ages, and in almoft all countries (as feems to be the truth), it muft very much forward and cultivate this genius, to be poffeffed of patterns in every art, which may regulate the tafte, and fix the objects of imitation. The models left us by the ancients gave birth to all the arts about 200 years ago, and have mightily advanced their progrefs in every country of Europe: Why had they not a like effect during the reign of 'Trajan and his fucceffors; when they were much more entire, and were ftill admired and ftudied by the whole world? So late as the emperor Justinian, the Poet, by way of diftinction, was underftood, among the Greeks, to be Homer; among the Romans, Vircil. Such admiration fill remained for thefe divine geniufes; though no poet had appeared for many cerrturies, who could juflly pretend to have imitated them.

A man's

A man's genius is always, in the beginning of life, as much unknown to himfelf as to others; and it is only after frequent trials, attended with fuccefs, that he dares think himfelf equal to thofe undertakings, in which thofe, who have fucceeded, have fixed the admiration of mankind. If his own nation be already poffeffed of many models of eloquence, he naturally compares his own juvenile exercifes with thefe; and being fenfible of the great difproportion, is difcouraged from any farther attempts, and never aims at a rivallhip with thofe authors, whom he fo much admires. A noble emulation is the fource of every excellence. Admiration and modefty naturally extinguifh this emulation. And no one is fo liable to an excefs of admiration and modefty, as a truly great genius.

Next to emulation, the greateft encourager of the noble arts is praife and glory. A writer is animated with new force, when he hears the applaufes of the world for his former productions; and, being roufed by fuch a motive, he often reaches a pitch of perfection, which is equally furprizing to himfelf and to his readers. But when the pofts of honour are all occupied, his firf attempts are but coldly received by the public; being compared to productions, which are both in themfelves more excellent, and have already the advantage of an eftablifhed reputation. Were Molibre and Corneille to bring upon the ftage at prefent their early praductions, which were formerly fo well received, it would difcourage the young poets, to fee the indifference and difdain of the public. The ignorance of the age alone could have given admiffion to the Prince of Tyre; but it is to that we owe the Moor: Had Every man in bis bumour been rejected, we had never feen Volpone.

Perhaps, it may not be for the advantage of any nation to have the arts imported from their neighbours in too great perfection. This extinguifhes emulation, and finks the ardour of the generous youth. So many models of Italian painting brought into England, inftead of exciting our artilts, is the caufe of their fmall progrefs in that noble art. The fame, perhaps, was the cafe of Rome, when it received the arts from Greece. That multitude of polite productions in the French language, difperfed all over Germany and the North, hinder thefe nations from cultivating their own language, and keep them ftill dependent on their neighbours for thofe elegant entertainments.

It is true, the antients had left us models in every kind of writing, which are highly worthy of admiration. But befides that they were written in languages, known only to the learned; befides this, I fay, the comparifon is not fo perfect or entire between modern wits, and thofe who lived in fo remote an age. Had Waller been born in Rome, during the reign of Tiberius, his firft productions had been defpifed, when compared to the finifhed odes of Horace. But in this ifland, the fuperiority of the Roman poet diminifhed nothing from the fame of the English. We efteemed ourfelves fufficiently happy , that our climate and language could produce but a faint copy of fo excellent an original.

In fhort, the arts and fciences, like fome plants, require a frefh foil; and however rich the land may be, and however you may recruit it by art or care, it will never, when once exhaufted, produce any thing that is perfect or finifhed in the kind.
-
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## The Epicurean*.

IT is a great mortification to the vanity of man, that his utmoft art and induftry can never equal the meaneft of nature's productions, either for beauty or value. Art is only the under-workman, and is employed to give a few ftrokes of embellifhment to thofe pieces, which come from the hand of the mafter. Some of the drapery may be of his drawing; but he is not allowed to touch the principal figure. Art may make a fuit of clothes: But nature muft produce a man.

Even in thofe productions, commonly denominated works of art, we find that the nobleft of the kind are beholden for their chief beauty to the force and happy influence of nature. To the native enthufiaim of the poets, we owe whatever is admirable in their productions. The greateft genius, where nature at any time fails him (for the is not equal), throws afide the lyre, and hopes not, from the rules of art, to reach that divine harmony,

[^26]which muft proceed from her infpiration alone. How poor are thofe fongs, where a happy flow of fancy has not furnihed materials for art to embellifh and refine!

But of all the fruitlefs attempts of art, no one is fo ridiculous, as that which the fevere philofophers have undertaken, the producing of an artifcial bappinefs, and making us be pleafed by rules of reafon, and by reffection. Why did none of them claim the reward, which Xerxes promifed to him, who thould invent a new pleafure? Unlefs, perhaps, they invented fo many pleafures for their own ufe, that they defpifed riches, and food in no need of any enjoyments, which the rewards of that monarch could procure them. I am apt, indeed, to think, that they were not willing to furnih the Persian court with a new pleafure, by prefenting it with fo new and unufual an object of ridicule. Their fpeculations, when confined to theory, and gravely delivered in the fchools of Grebce, might excite admiration in their ignorant pupils : But the attempting to reduce fuch principles to practice would foon have betrayed their abfurdity.

You pretend to make me happy by reafon, and by rules of art. You muft, then, create me anew by rules of art. For on my original frame and fructure does my happinefs depend. But you want power to effect this; and kill too, I am afraid : Nor can I entertain a lefs opinion of nature's wifdom than of yours. And let her conduct the machine, which the has fo wifely framed. I find, that I fhould only fooil it by my tampering.

To what purpofe fhould I pretend to regulate, refine, or invigorate any of thofe fprings or principles, which nature has implanted in me? Is this the road by which I muft reach happinefs? But happinefs implies eafe, contentment;
tentment, repore, and pleafure; not watchfulnefs, care, and fatigue. The health of my body confifts in the facility, with which all its operations are performed. The ftomach digefts the aliments: The heart circulates the blood: The brain feparates and refines the fipirits : And all this without my concerning myfelf in the matter. When by my will alone I can ftop the blood, as it runs with impetuofity along its canals, then may I hope to change the courfe of my fentiments and paffions. In vain thould I ftrain my faculties, and endeavour to receive pleafure from an object, which is not fitted by nature to affect my organs with delight. I may give myfelf pain by my fruitlefs endeavours; but thall never reach any pleafure.

Away then with all thofe vain pretences of making ourfelves happy within ourfelves, of feafting on our own thoughts, of being fatisfied with the confcioufnefs of well-doing, and of defpifing all affiftance and all fupplies. from external objects. This is the voice of Pride, not of Nature. And it were well, if even this pride could fupport itfelf, and communicate a real inward pleafure, however melancholy or fevere. But this impotent pride can do no more than regulate the outfide; and with infinite pains and attention compore the language and countenance to a philofophical dignity, in order to deceive the ignorant vulgar. The heart, mean while, is empty of all enjoyment: And the mind, unfupported by its proper objects, finks into the deepeft forrow and dejection. Miferable, but vain mortal! Thy mind be happy within itfelf! With what refources is it endowed to fill fo immenfe a void, and fupply the place of all thy bodily fenfes and faculties? Can thy head fubfift without thy other members? In fuch a fituation,

Vol. I. L . What

What foolifb figure muft it makc?
Do nothing elfe but fleep and ake.
Into fuch a lethargy, or fuch a melancholy, muft thy mind be plunged, when deprived of foreign occupations and enjoyments.

Keep me, therefore, no longer in this violent conftraint. Confine me not within myfelf; but point out to me thofe objects and pleafures, which afford the chief enjoyment. But why do I apply to you, proud and ignorant fages, to fhew me the road to happinefs? Let me confult my own paffions and inclinations. In them muft I read the dictates of nature; not in your frivolous difcourfes.

But fee, propitious to my wifhes, the divine, the amiable Pleasure *, the fupreme love of GODS and men, advances towards me. At her approach, my heart beats with genial heat, and every fenfe and every faculty is diffolved in joy; while the pours around me all the embellifhments of the fpring, and all the treafures of the autumn. The melody of her voice charms my ears with the fofteft mufic, as fhe invites me to partake of thofe delicious fruits, which, with a fmile that diffufes a glory on the heavens and the earth, the prefents to me. The fportive Cupids, who attend her, or fan me with their odorifesous wings, or pour on my head the moft fragrant oils, or offer me their fparkling nectar in golden goblets. O! for ever let me fpread my limbs on this bed of rofes, and thus, thus feel the delicious moments, with foft and downy fteps, glide along. But cruel chance! Whither do you fly fo faft? Why do my ardent wifhes, and that load of pleafures, under which you labour, rather baften

- Dia Voluptas. Levary.
than retard your unrelenting pace? Suffer me to enjoy this foft repofe, after all my fatigues in fearch of happinefs. Suffer me to fatiate myfelf with thefe delicacies; after the pains of fo long and fo foolifh an abftinence.

But it will not do. The rofes have loft their hue : The fruit its flavour: And that delicious wine, whofe fumes, fo late, intoxicated all my fenfes with fuch delight, now folicits in vain the fated palate. Pleafure fmiles at my languor. She beckons her fifter, Virtue, to come to her affiftance. The gay, the frolic Virtue obferves the call, and brings along the whole troop of my jovial friends. Welcome, thrice welcome, my ever dear companions, to thefe fhady bowers, and to this luxurious repaft. Your prefence has reftored to the rofe its hue, and to the fruit its flavour. The vapours of this fprightly nectar now again play around my heart; while you partake of my delights, and difcover, in your cheerful looks, the pleafure which you receive from my happinefs and fatisfaction. The like do I receive from yours; and encouraged by your joyous prefence, fhall again renew the feaft, with which, from too much enjoyment, my fenfes were well nigh fated; while the mind kept not pace with the body, nor afforded relief to her o'er-burthened partner.

In our cheerful difcourfes, better than in the formal reafonings of the fchools, is true wifdom to be found. In our friendly endearments, better than in the bollow debates of ftatefmen and pretended patriots, does true virtue difplay itfelf. Forgetful of the paft, fecure of the future, let us here enjoy the prefent; and while we yet poffers a being, let us fix fome good, beyond the power of fate or fortune. To-morrow will bring its own pleafures, along with it: Or fhould it difappoint our fond L 2
wilhes,
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wifhes, we fhall at leaft enjoy the pleafure of reflecting: on the pleafures of to-day.

Fear not, my friends, that the barbarous diffonance of Bacchus, and of his revellers, fhould break in upon this entertainment, and confound us with their turbulent and clamorous pleafures. The fprightly mufes wait around ; and with their charming fymphony, fufficient to foften the wolves and tygers of the favage defert, infpire a foft joy into every bofom. Peace, harmony, and concord reign in this retreat; nor is the filence ever broken but by the mufic of our fongs, or the cheerful accents of our friendly voices.

But hark! the favourite of the mufes, the gentle DAmon ftrikes the lyre; and while he accompanies its harmonious notes with his more harmonious fong, he infpires us with the fame happy debauch of fancy, by which he is himfelf tranfported. " Ye happy youth," he fings, "Ye favoured of heaven*, while the wanton " Spring pours upon you all her blooming honours, let " not glory feduce you, with her delufive blaze, to pars " in perils and dangets this delicious feafon, this prime "s of life. Wifdom points out to you the road to plea" fure: Nature too beckons you to follow her in that " fmooth and flowery path. Will you thut your ears to "t their commanding voice? Will you harden your heart " to their foft allurements? Oh, deluded mortals! thus " to lofe your youth, thus to throw away fo invaluable a " prefent, to triffe with fo perifhing a bleffing. Con" template well your recompence. Confider that glory,

* Animitation of the Syrens fong in Tasso:
"O Giovinetti, mentre Aprile \& Mageio
"6 $\mathrm{V}^{\prime}$ ammantan di fiorité \& verde fpoglie," \&c.
Gierufalemme liberata, Canto $\mathbf{1 4}^{\circ}$
" which fo allures your proud hearts, and feduces you
" with your own praifes. It is an echo, a dream, nay
"s the fhadow of a dream, diffipated by every wind, and "c loft by every contrary breath of the ignorant and ill" judging multitude. You fear not that even death it" felf fhall ravifh it from you. But behold! while you " are yet alive, calumny bereaves you of it ; ignorance " neglects it ; nature enjoys it not; fancy alone, re" nouncing every pleafure, receives this airy recom" pence, empty and unftable as herfelf."

Thus the hours pafs unperceived along, and lead in their wanton train all the pleafures of fenfe, and all the joys of harmony and friendfhip. Smiling innocence clofes the proceffion; and while the prefents herfelf to our ravifhed eyes, fhe embellifhes the whole fcene, and renders the view of thefe pleafures as tranfporting, after they have paft us, as when, with laughing countenances, they were yet advancing towards us.

But the fun has funk below the horizon; and darknefs, ftealing filently upon us, has now buried all nature in an univerfal fhade. "Rejoice, my friends, continue " your repaft, or change it for foft repofe. Though " abfent, your joy or your tranquillity fhall fill be " mine." But whither do you go? Or what new pleafures sall you from our fociety? Is there aught agreeable without your friends? And can aught pleafe, in which we partake not? " Yes, my friends; the joy which I now feek, " admits not of your participation. Here alone I wifh " your abfence: And here alone can I find a fufficient " compenfation for the lofs of your fociety."

But I have not advanced far through the fhades of the thick wood, which fpreads a double night around me, ore, methinks, I perceive through the gloom, the charmL 3 ing
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ing Celifa, the miftrefs of my wifhes, who wanders impatient through the grove, and, preventing the appointed hour, filently chides my tardy fteps. But the joy, which the receives from my prefence, beft pleads my excufe; and, diffipating every anxious and every angry thought, leaves room for nought but mutual joy and rapture. With what words, my fair one, fhall I exprefs my tendernefs, or deferibe the cmotions which now warm my tranfported bofom! Words are too faint to defrribe my love; and if, alas! you feel not the fame flame within you, in vain thall I endeavour to convey to you a juft conception of it. But your every word and every motion fuffice to remove this doubt; and, while they exprefs your paffion, ferve alfo to enflame mine. How amiable this folitude, this filence, this darknefs! No objects now importune the ravifhed foul. The thought, the fenfe, all full of nothing but our mutual happinefs, wholly poffefs the mind, and convey a pleafure, which deluded mortals vainly feek for in every other enjoy? ment. -

But why does your bofom heave with thefe fighs, while tears bathe your glowing cheeks? Why diftract your heart with fuch vain anxieties? Why fo often afk me, How long my love Joall yet endure? Alas! my Cexia, can I refolve this queition? Do I know bow long my life Ball yet endure? But does this alfo difturb your tender breaft? And is the image of our frail mortality for ever prefent with you, to throw a damp on your gayeft hours, and poifon even thofe joys which love infpires? Confider rather, that if life be frail, if youth be tranfitory, we fhould well employ the preient moment, and lofe no part of to perifhable an exittence. Yet a little moment, and thefe fhall be no more. We flall be, as if we had never been?
been. Not a memory of us be left upon earth; and even the fabulous thades below will not afford us a habitation. Our fruitefs anxiețies, our vain projects, our uncertain fpeculations fhall all be fwallowed up and loft. Our prefent doubts, concerning the original caufe of all things, muft never, alas! be refolved. This alone we may be certain of, that if any governing mind prefide, he mult be pleafed to fee us fulfil the ends of our being, and enjoy that pleafure, for which alone we were created. Let this reflection give eafe to your anxious thoughts; but render not your joys too ferious, by dwelling for ever upon it. It is fufficient, once, to be acquainted with this philofophy, in order to give an unbounded loofe to love and jollity, and remove all the fcruples of a vain fuperfition : But while youth and paffion, my fair one, prompt our eager defires, we muft find gayer fubjects of difcourfe, to intermix with thefe amorous careffes.
-
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The Storc*.

THERE is this obvious and material difference in the conduct of nature, with regard to man and other animals, that, having endowed the former with a fublime celeftial fpirit, and having given him an affinity with fuperior beings, fhe allows not fuch noble faculties to lie lethargic or idle; but urges him, by neceffity, to employ, on every emergence, his utmoft art and induffry. Brute-creatures have many of their neceffities fupplied by nature, being cloathed and armed by this beneficent parent of all things : And where their own induftry is requifite on any occafion, nature, by implanting inftincts, ftill fupplies them with the art, and guides them to their good, by her unerring precepts. But man, expofed naked and indigent to the rude elements, rifes flowly from that helplefs ftate, by the care and vigilance of his parents ; and, having attained his utmoft growth and perfection, reaches only a capacity of fubfifting, by his own care and vigilance. Every thing is fold to fkill and labour; and where nature furnifhes the materials, they are ftill rude and unfinifhed, till induftry, ever active

[^27]and intelligent, refines them from their brute fate, and fits them for human ufe and convenience.

Acknowledge, therefore, O man! the beneficence of nature; for the has given thee that intelligence which fupplics all thy necefities. But let not indolence, under the falfe appearance of gratitude, perfuade thee to reft contented with her prefents. Wouldeft thou return to the raw herbage for thy food, to the open fky for thy covering, and to ftones and clubs for thy defence againft the ravenous animals of the defert? Then return alfo to thy favage manners, to thy timorous fuperfition, to thy brutal ignorance; and fink thyfelf below thofe animals, whofe condition thou admireft, and wouldeft fo fondly imitate.

Thy kind parent, nature, having given thee art and intelligence, has filled the whole globe with materials to employ thefe talents: Hearken to her voice, which fa plainly tells thee, that thou thyfelf fhouldeft alfo be the object of thy induftry, and that by art and attention alone thou canft acquire that ability, which will raife thee to thy proper ftation in the univerfe. Behold this artizan, who converts a rude and fhapelefs ftone into a noble metal ; and moulding that metal by his cunning hands, creates, as it were by magic, every weapon for his de. fence, and every utenfil for his convenience. He has not this fkill from nature: Ufe and practice have taught it him : And if thou wouldeft emulate his fuccefs, thou muft follow his laborious foot-fteps.

But while thou ambitioufty afpireft to perfecting thy bodify powers and faculties, wouldeft thou meanly neglect thy mind, and, from a prepofterous foth, leave it ftill rude and uncultivated, as it came from the hands of nature?
ture? Far be fuch folly and negligence from every rational being. If nature has been frugal in her gifts and endowments, there is the more need of art to fupply her defects. If the has been generous and liberal, know that fhe ftill expects induftry and application on our part, and revenges herfelf in proportion to our negligent ingratitude. The richeft genius, like the mof fertile foil, when uncultivated, fhoots up into the rankeft weeds; and inftead of vines and olives for the pleafure and ufe of man, produces, to its flothful owner, the moft abundant crop of poifons.

The great end of all human induftry, is the attainment of happinefs. For this were arts invented, fciences cultivated, laws ordained, and focieties modelled, by the moft profound wifdom of patriots and legiflators. Even the lonely favage, who lies expofed to the inclemency of the elements, and the fury of wild beafts, forgets not, for a moment, this grand object of his being. Ignorant as he is of every art of life, he ftill keeps in view the end of all thofe arts, and eagerly feeks for felicity amiddt that darknefs with which he is environed. But as much as the wildeft favage is inferior to the polifhed citizen, who, under the protection of laws, enjoys every convenience which induftry has invented; fo much is this citizen himfelf inferior to the man of virtue, and the true philofopher, who governs his appetites, fubdues his. paffions, and has learned, from reafon, to fet a juft value on every purfuit and enjoyment. For is there an art and apprenticehip neceffary for every other attainment? And is there no art of life, no rule, no precepts to direct us in this principal concern? Can no particular pleafure be attained without fkill; and can the whole be regulated, without reflection or intelligence, by the blind
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blind guidance of appetite and inftinct? Surely then no miftakes are ever committed in this affair? but every man, however diffolute and negligent, proceeds in the purfuit of happinefs with as unerring a motion, as that which the celeftial bodies obferve, when, conducted by the hand of the Almighty, they roll along the ethereal plains. But if miftakes be often, be inevitably committed, let us regifter thefe miftakes; let us confider their caufes; let us weigh their importance; let us enquire for their remedies. When from this we have fixed all the rules of conduct, we are philofophers: When we have reduced thefe rules to practice, we are Jages.

Like many fubordinate artifts, employed to form the feveral wheels and fprings of a machine: Such are thofe who excel in all the particular arts of life. $H_{e}$ is the mafter workman who puts thofe feveral parts together ; moves them according to juft harmony and proportion; and produces true felicity as the refult of their confpiring order.

While thou.haft fuch an alluring object in view, fhall that labour and attention, requifite to the attainment of thy end, ever feem burdenfome and intolerable ? Know, that this labour itfelf is the chief ingredient of the felicity to which thou afpireft, and that every enjoyment foon becomes infipid and diftafteful, when not acquired by fatigue and induftry. See the hardy hunters rife from their downy couches, Shake off the flumbers which ftill weigh down their heavy eye-lids, and, ere Aurora has yet covered the heavens with her flaming mantle; haften to the foreft. They leave behind, in their own houres, and in the neigbbouring plains, animals of every kind, whofe fleh furnifhes the moft delicious fare, and
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Which offer themfelves to the fatal ftroke. Laborious man difdains fo eafy a purchafe. He feeks for a prey, which hides itfelf from his fearch, or flies from his purfuit, or defends itfelf from his violence. Having exerted in the chafe every paffion of the mind, and every member of the body, he then finds the charms of repofe, and with joy compares its pleafures to thofe of his engaging labours.

And can vigorous induftry give pleafure to the purfuit even of the moft worthlefs prey, which frequently efcapes our toils? And cannot the fame induftry render the cultivating of our mind, the moderating of our paffions, the enlightening of our reafon, an agreeable occupation; while we are every day fenfible of our progrefs, and behold our inward features and countenance brightening inceffantly with new charms? Begin by curing yourfelf of this lethargic indolence; the tafk is not difficult: You need but tafte the fweets of honeft labour. Proceed to learn the juft value of every purfuit; long ftudy is not requifite: Compare, though but for once, the mind to the body, virtue to fortune, and glory to pleafure. You will then perceive the advantages of induftry : You will then be fenfible what are the proper objects of your induftry.

In vain do you feek repofe from beds of rofes: In vain do you hope for enjoyment from the moft delicious wines and fruits. Your indolence itfelf becomes a fatigue: Your pleafure itfelf creates difguft. The mind, unexercifed, finds every delight infipid and loathfome; and ere yet the body, full of noxious humours, feels the torment of its multiplied difeafes, your nobler part is fenfible of the invading poifon, and feeks in vain to re-
lieve
lieve its anxiety by new pleafures, which ftill augment the fatal malady.
I need not tell you, that, by this eager purfuit of pleafure, you more and more expofe yourfelf to fortune and accidents, and rivet your affections on external objects, which chance may, in a moment, ravifh from you. I fhall fuppofe, that your indulgent fars favour you fill with the enjoyment of your riches and poffeffions. I prove to you, that even in the midft of your luxurious pleafures, you are unhappy; and that by too much indulgence, you are incapable of enjoying what profperous fortune fill allows you to poffers.
But furely the inftability of fortune is a confideration not to be overlooked or neglected. Happinefs cannot poffibly exift, where there is no fecurity; and fecurity can have no place, where fortune has any dominion. Though that unftable deity fhould not exert her rage againft you, the dread of it would fill torment you; would difturb your flumbers, haunt your dreams, and throw a damp on the jollity of your moft delicious banquets.
The temple of wifdom is feated on a rock, above the rage of the fighting elements, and inacceffible to all the malice of man. The rolling thunder breaks below; and thofe more terrible inftruments of human fury reach not to fo fublime a height. The fage, while he breathes that ferene air, looks down with pleafure, mixed with compaffion, on the errors. of miftaken mortals, who blindly feek for the true path of life, and purfue riches, nobility, honour, or power, for genuine felicity. The greater part he beholds difappointed of their fond wifhes: Some lament, that having once
poffeffed
poffeffed the object of their defires, it is ravihed from them by envious fortune: And all complain, that even their own vows, though granted, cannot give them happinefs, or relieve the anxiety of their diftracted minds.

But does the fage always preferve himfelf in this philofophical indifference, and reft contented with lamenting the miferies of mankind, without ever employing himfelf for their relief? Does he conftantly indulges this fevere wifdom, which, by pretending to elevate him above human accidents, does in reality harden his heart, and render him carelefs of the interefts of mankind, and of fociety? No; he knows that in this fullen Apathy, neither true wifdom nor true happinefs can be found. He feels too ftrongly the charm of the focial affections ever to counteract fo fweet, fo natural, fo virtuous a propenfity. Even when, bathed in tears, he laments the miferies of human race, of his country, of his friends, and unable to give fuccour, can only relieve them by compaffion; he yet rejoices in the generous difpofition, and feels a fatisfaction fuperior to that of the moft indulged fenfe. So engaging are the fentiments of humanity, that they brighten up the very face of forrow, and operate like the fun, which, fhining on a dufky cloud or falling rain, paints on them the moft glorious colours which are to be found in the whole circle of nature.

But it is not here alone, that the focial virtues difplay their energy. With whatever ingredient you mix them, they are ftill predominant. As forrow cannot overcome them, fo neither can fenfual pleafure obfcure them. The joys of love, however tumultuous, banifh not the
tender fentiments of fympathy and affection. They even derive their chief influence from that generous paffion; and when prefented alone, afford nothing to the unhappy mind but laffitude and difguft. Behold this fprightly debauchee, who profeffes a contempt of all other pleafures but thofe of wine and jollity: Separate him from his companions, like a fpark from a fire, where before it contributed to the general blaze : His alacrity fuddenly extinguifhes; and, though furrounded with every other means of delight, he lothes the fumptuous banquet, and prefers even the moft abftracted ftudy and fpeculation, as more agreeable and entertaining.

But the focial paffions never afford fuch tranfporting pleafures, or make fo glorious an appearance in the eyes both of GOD and man, as when, thaking off every earthly mixture, they affociate themfelves with the fentiments of virtue, and prompt us to laudable and worthy actions. As harmonious colours mutually give and receive a luftre by their friendly union; fo do thefe ennobling fentiments of the human mind. See the triumph of nature in parental affection! What felfifh paffion; what fenfual delight is a match for it! Whether a man exults in the profperity and virtue of his offspring, or flies to their fuccour, through the moft threatening and tremendous dangers?

Proceed ftill in purifying the generous paffion, you will ftill the more admire its fhining glories. What charms are there in the harmony of minds, and in a friendihip founded on mutual efteem and gratitude! What fatisfaction in relieving the diftreffed, in comforting the afflicted, in raifing the fallen, and in ftopping the career of cruel fortune, or of more cruel man, in their infults over the good and virtuous! But what fu-
preme joy in the victories over vice as well as mifery, when, by virtuous example or wife exhortation, our fel-low-creatures are taught to govern their pafions, reform their vices, and fubdue their worf enemies, which inhabit within their own bofoms?

But thefe objects are fill too limited for the humari mind, which, being of celeftial origin, fwells with the divineft and moft enlarged affections, and, carrying its attention beyond kindred and acquaintance, extends its benevolent wifhes to the moft diftant poflerity. It views liberty and laws as the fource of human happinefs, and devotes itfelf, with the utmoft alacrity, to their guardianfhip and protection. Tolls, dangers, death itfelf carry their charms, when we brave them for the pub* lic good, and ennoble that being, which we generoully facrifice for the interefts of our country. Happy the man, whom indulgent fortune allows to pay to virtue what he owes to nature, and to make a generous gift of what muft otherwife be ravifhed from him by cruel neceffity!

In the true fage and patriot are united whatever can diftinguih human nature, or elevate mortal man to a refemblance with the divinity. The fofteft benevolence, the moft undaunted refolution, the tendereft fentiments; the moft fublime love of virtue, all thefe animate fucceffively his tranfported bofom. What fatisfaction, when he looks within, to find the moft turbulent paffions tuned to juft harmony and concord, and every jarring found banifhed from this enchanting mufic! If the contemplation, even of inanimate beauty, is fo delightful; if it ravihes the fenfes, even when the fair form is foreign to us: What muft be the effects of moral beauty? And what influence muft it have, when it em-

Vox. I. $M$ bellifhes
bellifhes our own mind, and is the refult of our own rea flection and induftry?

But where is the reward of virtue? And what recompence has nature provided for fuch important facrifices, as thofe of life and fortune, which we muft often make to it? Oh, fons of earth! Are ye ignorant of the value of this celeftial miftrefs? And do ye meanly enquire for her portion, when ye obferve her genuine charms? But know, that nature has been indulgent to human weaknefs, and has not left this favourite child, naked and unendowed. She has provided virtue with the richeft dowry; but being careful, left the allurements of intereft fhould engage fuch fuitors, as were infenfible of the native worth of fo divine a beauty, fhe has wifely provided, that this dowry can have no charms but in the eyes of thofe who are already tranfported with the love of virtuc. Glory is the portion of virtue, the fweet reward of honourable toils, the triumphant crown, which covers the thoughtful head of the difinterefted patriot, or the dufty brow of the victorious warrior. Elevated by fo fublime a prize, the man of virtue looks down with contempt on all the allurements of pleafure, and all the menaces of danger. Death itfelf lofes its terrors, when he confiders, that its dominion extends only over a part of him, and that, in fpite of death and time, the rage of the elements, and the endlefs vicifitude of human affairs, he is affured of an-immortal fame among all the fons of men.

There furely is a being who prefides over the univerfe; and who, with infinite wifdom and power, has reduced the jarring elements into juft order and proportion. Let fpeculative reafoners difpute, how far this beneficent being extends his care, and whether he prolongs our exiftence beyond the grave, in order to beftow on virtue its
juft reward, and render it fully triumphant. The man of morals, without deciding any thing on fo dubious a' fubject, is fatisfied with the portion, marked out to him by the fupreme difpofer of all things. Gratefully he accepts of that farther reward prepared for him ; but if difappointed, he thinks not virtue an empty name; but, juftly efteeming it its own reward, he gratefully acknowledges the bounty of his creator, who, by calling him into exiftence, has thereby afforded him an opportunity of once acquiring fo invaluable a poffeffion.
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## The Platonist*.

TO fome philofophers it appears matter of furprize, that all mankind, poffeffing the fame nature, and being endowed with the fame faculties, fhould yet differ fo widely in their purfuits and inclinations, and that one fhould utterly condemn what is fondly fought after by another. To fome it appears matter of ftill more furprize, that a man hould differ fo widely from himelf at different times; and, after poffeffion, reject with difdain what, before, was the object of all his vows and wifhes. To me this feverifh uncertainty and irrefolution, in human conduct, feems altogether unavoidable; nor can a rational foul, made for the contemplation of the Supreme' Being, and of his works, ever enjoy tranquillity or fatisfaction, while detained in the ignoble purfuits of fenfual pleafure or popular applaufe. The divinity is a boundlefs ocean of blifs and glory: Humań minds are fmaller ftreams, which, arifing at firft from this ocean, feek ftill, amid all their wanderings, to return to it, and to lofe themfelves in that immenfity of perfection. When checked in this natural courfe, by wice or folly, they become furious and enraged; and,

[^28]welling to a torrent, do then fpread horror and devaftation on the neighbouring plains.

In vain, by pompous phrafe and paffionate expreffion, each recommends his own purfuit, and invites the credulous hearers to an imitation of his life and manners. The heart belies the countenance, and fenfibly feels, even amid the higheft fuccefs, the unfatisfactory nature of all thofe pleafures, which detain it from its true object. I examine the voluptuous man before enjoyment; I meafure the vehemence of his defire, and the importance of his object; I find that all his happinefs proceeds only from that hurry of thought, which takes him from himfelf, and turns his view from his guilt and mifery. I confider him a moment after; he has now enjoyed the pleafure, which he fondly fought after. The fenfe of his guilt and mifery returns upon him with double anguifh: His mind tormented with fear and remorfe; his body depreffed with difguft and fatiety.

But a more auguft, at leaft a more haughty perfonage, prefents bimelf boldly to our cenfure; and, affuming the title of a philofopher and man of morals, offers to fubmit to the moft rigid examination. He challenges, with a vifible, though concealed impatience, our approbation and applaufe; and feems offended, that we fhould hefitate a moment before we break out into admiration of his virtue. Seeing this impatience, I hefitate ftill more: I begin to examine the motives of his feeming virtue : But behold! ere I can enter upon this enquiry, he flings himfelf from me; and, addreffing his difcourfe to that crowd of heedlefs auditors, fondly abufes them by his magnificent pretenfions.

O philo-

O philofopher! thy wifdom is vain, and thy virtuq unprofitable. Thou feekeft the ignorant applaufes of men, not the folid reflections of thy own confcience, or the more folid approbation of that being, who, with one regard of his all-feeing eye, penetrates the univerfe. Thou furely art confcious of the hollownefs of thy pretended probity, whilft calling thyfelf a citizen, a fon, a friend, thou forgetteft thy higher fovereign, thy true father, thy greatef benefactor. Where is the adoration due to infinite perfection, whence every thing good and valuable is derived? Where is the gratitude, owing to thy creator, who called thee forth from nothing, who placed thee in all thefe relations to thy fellow-creatures, and requiring thee to fulfil the, duty of each relation, forbids thee to neglect what thou oweft to himfelf, the moft perfect being, to whom thou art connected by the clofeft tye?

But thou art thyfelf thy own idol: Thou worfippeft thy imaginary perfections: Or rather, fenfible of thy real imperfections, thou feekeft only to deceive the world, and to pleafe thy fancy, by multiplying thy ignorant admirers. Thus, not content with neglecting what is moft excellent in the univerfe, thou defireft to fubftitute in his place what is moft vile and contemptible.

Confider all the works of men's hands;' all the inventions of human wit, in which thou affecteft fo nice a difcernment: Thou wilt find, that the moft perfect production ftill proceeds from the moft perfect thought, and that it is MIND alone, which we admire, while we beftow our applaufe on the graces of a well-proportioned ftatue, or the fymmetry of a noble pile.' The ftatuary, M $_{4}$ the
the architect comes ftill in view, and makes us reflect on the beauty of his art and contrivance, which, from a heap of unformed matter, could extract fuch expreffions and proportions. This fuperior beauty of thought and intelligence thou thyself acknowledgeft, while thou inviteft us to contemplate, in thy conduct, the harmony of affections, the dignity of fentiments, and all thote graces of a mind, which chiefly merit our attention. But why ftoppeft thou fhort? Seeft thou nothing farther that is valuable? Amid thy rapturous applaufes of beauty and order, art thou ftill ignorant where is to be found the moft confummate beauty? the moft perfect order? Compare the works of art with thofe of nature. The one are but imitations of the other. The nearer art approaches to nature, the more perfect is it efteemed. But ftill, how wide are its neareft approaches, and what an immenfe interval may be obferved between them? Art copies only the outfide of nature, leaving the inward and more admirable fprings and principles; as exceeding her imitation; as beyond her comprehenfion. Art copies only the minute productions of nature, defpairing to reach that grandeur and magnificence, which are fo aftonifhing in the mafterly works of her original. Can we then be fo blind as not to difcover an intelligence and a defign in the exquifite and moft ftupendous contrivance of the univerfe? Can we be fo ftupid as not to feel the warmeft raptures of worhip and adoration, upon the contemplation of that intelligent being, fo infinitely good and wife ?

The moft perfect happinefs, furcly, muft arife from the contemplation of the moft perfect object. But what more perfect than beauty and virtue? And where is beauty to be found equal to that of the univerfe? Or virtue,
virtue, which can be compared to the benevolence and juftice of the Deity? If aught can diminifh the pleafure of this contemplation, it muft be either the narrownefs of our faculties, which conceals from us the greateft part of thefe beauties and perfections; or the fhortnefs of our lives, which allows not time fufficient to infruct us in them. But it is our comfort, that, if we employ worthily the faculties here affigned us, they will be enlarged in another ftate of exiftence, fo as to render us more fuitable worfhippers of our maker: And that the tafk, which can never be finilhed in time, will be the bufinefs of an eternity.

## (171.)
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The Sceptic.

IHAVE long entertained a fufpicion, with regard to the decifions of philofophers upon all fubjects, and found in myfelf a greater inclination to difpute, than affent to their conclufions. There is one miftake, to which they feem liable, almoft without exception; they confine too much their principles, and make no account of that vaft variety, which nature has fo much affected in all her operations. When a philofopher has once laid hold of a favourite principle, which perhaps accounts for many natural effects, he extends the fame principle over the whole creation, and reduces to it every phænomenon, though by the moft violent and abfurd reafoning. Our own mind being narrow and contracted, we cannot extend our conception to the variety and extent of nature; but imagine, that the is as much bounded in her operations, as we are in our fecculation.

But if ever this infirmity of philofophers is to be fufpected on any occafion, it is in their reafonings concerning human life, and the methods of attaining happinefs. In that cafe, they are led aftray, not only by the narrownefs of their underftandings, but by that alfo of their paffions. Almoft every one has a predominant inclination,
tion, to which his other defires and affections fubmit, and which governs him, though, perhaps, with fome intervals, through the whole courfe of his life. It is difficult for him to apprehend, that any thing, which appears totally indifferent to him, can ever give enjoyment to any perfon, or can poffefs charms, which altogether efcape his obfervation. His own purfuits are always, in his account, the moft engaging: The objects of his páfion, the moft valuable: And the road, which he purfues, the only one that leads to happinefs.

But would thefe prejudiced reafoners reflect a moment, there are many obvious inftances and arguments, fufficient to undeceive them, and make them enlarge their naxims and principles. Do they not fee the vaft variety of inclinations and purfuits among our fpecies; where each man feems fully fatisfied with his own courfe of life, and would efteem it the greateft unhappinefs to be confined to that of his neighbour ? Do they not feel in themfeives, that what pleafes at one time, difpleafes at another, by the change of inclination; and that it is not in their power, by their utmoft efforts, to recall that tafte or appetite, which formerly beftowed charms on what now appears indifferent or difgreeable? What is the meaning therefore of thofe general preferences of the town or country life, of a life of action or one of pleafure, of retirement or fociety; when, befides the different inclinations of different men, every one's experience may convince him, that each of thefe kinds of life is agreeable in its turn, and that their variety or their judicious mixture chiefly contributes to the rendering all of them agreeable?

But hall this bufinefs be allowed to go altogether at adventures? And muft a man confult only his humour and
and inclination, in order to determine his courfe of life, without employing his reafon to inform him what road is preferable, and leads moft furely to happinefs? Is there no difference then between one man's conduct and another?

I anfwer, there is a great difference. One man, following his inclination, in chufing his courfe of life, may employ much furer means for fucceeding than another, who is led by his inclination into the fame courfe of life, and purfues the fame object. Are ricbes the cbief object of your defires? Acquire fkill in your profeffion; be diligent in the exercife of it; enlarge the circle of your friends and acquaintance; avoid pleafure and expence; and never be generous, but with a view of gaining more than you could fave by frugality. Would you acquire the public efteem? Guard equally againft the extremes of arrogance and fawning. Let it appear that you fet a value upon yourfelf; but without defpifing others. If you fall into either of the extremes, you either provoke men's pride by your infolence, or teach them to defpife you by your timorous fubmiffion, and by the mean opinion which you feem to entertain of yourfelf.

Thefe, you fay, are the maxims of common prudence, and difcretion; what every parent inculcates on his child, and what every man of fenfe purfues in the courfe of life, which he has chofen.-What is it then you defire more? Do you come to a philofopher as to a cunning man, to learn something by magic or witchraft, beyond what can be known by common prudence and difcretion?_Yes; we come to a philofopher to be inftructed; how we fhall chufe our ends, more than the means for attaining thefe ends: We want to know what defire we Shall gratify, what paffion we Ihall comply with,
with, what appetite we fhall indulge. As to the reft, we truft to common fenfe, and the general maxims of the world for our inftruction.

I am forry then, I have pretended to be a philofopher: For I find your queftions very perplexing; and am in danger, if $m y$ anfwer be too rigid and fevere, of paffing for a pedant and fcholaftic; if it be too eafy and free, of being taken for a preacher of vice and immorality. However, to fatisfy you, I fhall deliver my opinion upon the matter, and fhall only defire you to efteem it of as tittle confequence as I do myfelf. By that means you will neither think it worthy of your ridicule nor your anger.

If we can depend upon any principle, which we learn from philofophy, this, I think, may be confidered as eertain and undoubted, that there is nothing, in itfelf, valuable or defpicable, defirable or hateful, beautiful or deformed; but that thefe attributes arife from the particular conflitution and fabric of human fentiment and affection. What feems the moft delicious food to one animal, appears loathfome to another: What affects the feeling of one with delight, produces uneafinefs in another. This is confeffedly the cafe with regard to all the bodily fenfes: But if we examine the matter more accurately, we fhall find, that the fame obfervation holds even where the mind concurs with the body, and mingles its fentiment with the exterior appetite.

Defire this paffionate lover to give you a character of his miftrefs : He will tell you, that he is at a lofs for words to defcribe her charms, and will afk you very ferioufly, if ever you were acquainted with a goddefs or an angel? If you anfwer that you never were: He will then fay, that it is impoffible for you to form a concep- .
tion of fuch divine beauties as thofe which his charmer poffeffes; fo complete a flape; fuch well-proportioned features; fo engaging an air ; fuch fweetnefs of difpofition; fuch gaiety of humour. You can infer nothing, however, from all this difcourfe, but that the poor man is in love; and that the general appetite between the fexes, which nature has infufed into all animals, is in him determined to a particular object by fome qualities, which give him pleafure. The fame divine creature, not only to a different animal, but alfo to a different man, appears a mere mortal being, and is beheld with the utmoft indifference.

Nature has given all animals a like prejudice in favour of their offspring. As foon as the helplefs infant fees the light, though in every other eye it appears a derpicable and a miferable creature, it is regarded by its fond parent with the utmoft affection, and is preferred to every other object, however perfect and accomplifhed. The paffion alone, arifing from the original ftructure and formation of human nature, beftows a value on the moft infignificant object.

We may pufh the fame obfervation further, and may conclude, that, even when the mind operates alone, and feeling the fentiment of blame or approbation, pronounces one object deformed and odious, another beautiful and amiable : I fay, that, even in this cafe, thofe qualities are not really in the objects, but belong entirely to the fentiment of that mind which blames or praifes. I grant, that it will be more difficult to make this propofition evident, and, as it were, palpable, to negligent thinkers; becaufe nature is more uniform in the fentiments of the mind than in moft feelings of the body, and produces a nearer refemblance in the inward
than
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than in the ouitward part of human kind. There is fomething approaching to principles in mental tafte; and critics can reafon and difpute more plaufibly than cooks or perfumers. We may obferve, however, that this uniformity among human kind, hinders not, but that there is a confiderable diverfity in the fentiments of beauty and worth; and that education, cuftom; prejudice, caprice, and humour, frequently vary our tafte of this kind. You will never convince a man, who is not accuftomed to Italian mufic, and has not an ear to follow its intricacies, that a Scotch tune is not preferable. You have not even any fingle argument, beyond your own tafte, which you can employ in your behalf: And to your antagonift, his particular tafte will always appear a more convincing argument to the contrary. If you be wife, each of you will allow, that the other may be in the right; and having many other inftances of this diverfity of tafte, you will both confers, that beauty and worth are merely of a relative nature, and confift in an agreeable fentiment, produced by an object in a particular mind, according to the peculiar ftructure and conftitution of that mind.

By this diverfity of fentiment, obfervable in hurran kind, nature has, perhaps, intended to make us fenfible of her authority, and let us fee what furprizing changes the could produce on the paffions and defires of mankind, merely by the change of their inward fabric, without any alteration on the objects. The vulgar may even be convinced by this argument. But men, accuftomed to thinking, may draw a more convincing, at leaft a more general argument, from the very nature of the fubject.

In the operation of reafoning, the mind does nothing but run over its objects, as they are fuppofed to ftand
in reality, without adding any thing to them, or diminifhing any thing from them. If I examine the Prolomaic and Copernican fyftems, I endeavour only, by my enquiries, to know the real fituation of the planets; that is, in other words, I endeavour to give them, in my conception, the fame relations, that they bear towards each other in the heavens. To this operation of the mind, therefore, there feems to be always a rea!, though often an unknown ftandard, in the nature of things; nor is truth or falfehood variable by the various apprehenfions of mankind. Though all human race fhould for ever conclude, that the fun moves, and the earth remains at reft, the fun ftirs not an inch from his place for all thefe reafonings; and fuch conclufions are eternally falfe and erroneous.

But the cafe is not the fame with the qualities of beautiful and deformed, defirable and odious, as with truth and falfehood. In the former cafe, the mind is not content with merely furveying its objects, as they ftand in themfelves: It alfo feels a fentiment of delight or uneafinefs, approbation ot blame, confequent to that furvey; and this fentiment determines it to affix the epithet beautiful or deformed, defirable or odious. Now, it is evident, that this fentiment muft depend upon the particular fabric or ftructure of the mind, which enables fuch particular forms to operate in fuch a particular manner, and prow duces a fympathy or conformity betweef the mind and its objects. Vary the ftructure of the mind or inwardorgans, the fentiment no longer follows, though the form remains the fame. The fentiment being different from the object, and arifing from its operation upon the organs of the mind, an alteration upon the latter muft vary the effect, nor can the fame object, prefented to a mind totally different, produce the fame fentiment.
.Voz, I.
N
This
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 E S S A Y XVIII.This conclufion every one is apt to draw of himfelf, without much philofophy, where the fentiment is evidently diftinguilhable from the object. Who is not fenfible, that power, and glory, and vengeance, are not defirable of themfelves, but derive all their value from the ftructure of human paffions, which begets a defire towards fuch particular purfuits? But with regard to beauty, either natural or moral, the cafe is commonly fuppofed to be different. The agreeable quality is thought to lie in the object, not in the fentiment; and that merely becaufe the fentiment is not fo turbulent and violent as to diftinguilh itfelf, in an evident manner, from the perception of the object.

But a little reflection fuffices to diftinguif them. A man may know exactly all the circles and elliples of the Copernican fyftem, and all the irregular fpirals of the Ptolomaic, without perceiving that the former is more beautiful than the latter. Euclid has fully explained every quality of the circle, but has not, in any propofition, faid a word of its beauty. The reafon is evident. Beauty is not a quality of the circle. It lies not in any part of the line, whofe parts are all equally diftant from a common center. It is only the effect, which that figure produces upon a mind; whofe particular fabric or ftructure renders it fufceptible of fuch fentiments. In vain would you look for it in the circle, or feek it, either by your fenfes, or by mathematical reafonings, in all the properties of that figure.

The mathematician, who took no other pleafure int reading Virgil, but that of examining Eneas's veyage by the map, might perfectly underftand the meaning of every Latin word, employed by that divine author; and, confequently, might have a diftinct idea of the whole narration.
ration. He would even have a more diftinct idea of it, than they could attain who had not ftudied fo exactly the geography of the poem. He knew, therefore, every thing in the poem: But he was ignorant of its beauty; becaufe the beauty, properly fpeaking, lies not in the poem, but in the fentiment or tafte of the reader. And where a man has no fuch delicacy of temper, as to make him feel this fentiment, he muft be ignorant of the beauty, though poffeffed of the fcience and underftariding of an angel *.

The inference upon the whole is, that it is not from the value or worth of the object, which any perfon purfues, that we can determine his enjoyment, but merely from the paffion with which he purfues it, and the fuccefs which he meets with in his purfuit. Objects have abfolutely no worth or value in themfelves. They, derive their worth merely from the pafion. If that be ftrong, and fteady, and fucceffful, the perion is happy. It cannot reafonably be doubted, but a little mifs, dreffed in a new gown for a dancing-fchool ball, receives as complete enjoyment as the greateft orator, who triumphs. in the fplendor of his eloquence, while he governs the paffions and refolutions of a numerous affembly.

All the difference, therefore, between one man and another with regard to life, confifts either in the.paffion, or in the enjoyment: And thefe differences are fufficient to produce the wide extremes of happinefs and milery.

To be happy, the paffon muft neither be too violent nor too remifs. In the firft cafe, the mind is in a perpetual hurry and tumult; in the fecond, it finks into a difagreeable indolence and lethargy.

## * See NOTE [F].

To be happy, the pafion mult be benign and focial; not rough or fierce. The affections of the latter kind are not near fo agrecable to the feeling, as thofe of the former. Who will compare rancour and animofity, envy and revenge, to friendfhip, benignity, clemency, and gratituče?

To be happy, the paffion muft be cheerful and gay, not gloomy and melancholy. . A propenfity to hope and joy is real riches: One to fear and forrow, real poverty.

Some paffions or inclinations, in the enjoyment of their object, are not fo fleady or conftant as others, nor convey fuch durable pleafure and fatisfaction. Philofopbical deerotion, for inftance, like the enthufiafm of a poet, is the tranfitory effect of high fpirits, great leifure, a fine genius, and a habit of frudy and contemplation: But notwithftanding all thefe circumftances, an abftract, invifible object, like that which nataral religion alone prefents to us, cannot long actuate the mind, or be of any moment in fife. To render the paffion of continuance, we muft find fome method of affecting the fenfes and imagination; and muft embrace fome biftarical, as well as philofophical account of the divinity. Popular fuperfitions and obfervances are even found to be of ufe in this particular.

Though the tempers of men be very different, yet we may fafely pronounce in general, that a life of pleafure cannot fupport itfelf fo long as one of bufinefs, but is much more fubject to fatiety and difguft. The amufements, which are the moft durable, have all a mixture of application and attention in them; fuch as gaming and hunting. And in general, bufinefs and action fill up all the great vacancies in human life.

But where the temper is the beft difpored for any enjoyment, the object is often wanting : And in this refpect; to naffions, which purfue external objects, contribute
not fo much to happinefs, as thofe which reft in ourfelves; fince we are neither fo certain of attaining fuch objects, nor fo fecure in poffeffing them. A paffion for learning is preferable, with regard to happinefs, to one for riches.

Some men are poffeffed of great ftrength of mind; and even when they purfue external objects, are not much affected by a difappointment, but renew their application and induftry with the greateit cheerfulnefs. Nothing contributes more to happinefs than fuch a turn of mind.

According to this fhort and imperfest fhetch of human life, the happieft difpofition of mind is the virtuous; or, in other words, that which leads to action and employment, renders us fenfible to the focial paffions, fteels the heart againft the affaults of fortune, reduces the affections to a juit moderation, makes our own thoughts an entertainment to us, and inclines us rather to the pleafures of fociety and converfation, than to thofe of the fenfes. This, in the mean time, muft be obvious to the moft carelefs reafoner, that all difpofitions of mind are not alike favourable to happinefs, and that one paffion or humour may be extremely defirable, while another is equally difagreeable. And indeed, all the difference between the conditions of life depends upon the mind; nor is there any one fituation of affairs, in itfelf, preferable to another. Good and ill, both natural and moral, are entirely relative to human fentiment and affection. No man would ever be unhappy, could he alter his feelings. Proteus-like, he would elude all attacks, by the continual alterations of his thape and form.

But of this refource nature has, in a great meafure, deprived us. The fabric and conftitution of our mind no more depends on our choice, than that of our body.
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The generality of men have not even the fmalleft notion, that any alteration in this refpect can ever be defirable. As a fream neceffarily follows the feveral inclinations of the ground on which it runs ; 'fo are the ignorant and thoughtlefs part of mankind actuated by their natural propenfities. Such are effectually excluded from all pretenfions to philofophy, and the medicine of the mind, fo much boafted. But even upon the wife and thoughtful, nature has a prodigious influence; nor is it always in a man's power, by the utmoft art and induftry, to correct his temper, and attain that virtuous character, to which he afpires. The empire of philofophy extends over a few ; and with regard to thefe too, her authority is very weak and limited. Men may well be fenfible of the value of virtue, and may defire to attain it; but it is not always certain, that they will be fuccefsful in their wifhes,

Whoever confiders, without prejudice, the courfe of human actions, will find, that mankind are almof entirely guided by conftitution and temper, and that general maxims have little influence, but fo far as they affect, our tafte or fentiment. If a man have a lively fenfe of honour and virtue, with moderate paffions, his conduct will always be conformable to the rules of morality; or if he depart from them, his return will be eafy and expeditious. On the other hand, where one is born of fo perverfe a frame of mind, of fo callous and infenfible a difpofition, as to have no relifh for virtue and humanity, no. fympathy with his fellow-creatures, no defire of efteem and applaufe; fuch a one muft be allowed entirely incurable, nor is there any remedy in philofophy. He reaps no fatisfaction but from low and fenfual objects, or from the indulgence of malignant paffions: He feels no remorfe to controul his vicious inclinations: He has
not even that fenfe or tafte, which is requifite to make him defire a better character: For my part, I know not how I fhould addrefs myfelf to fuch a one, or by what arguments I fhould endeavour to reform him. Should I tell him of the inward fatisfaction which refults from laudable and humane actions, the delicate pleafure of difinterefted love and friendfhip, the lafting enjoyments of a good name and an eftablifhed character, he might ftill reply, that thefe were, perhaps, pleafures to fuch as were fufceptible of them; but that, for his part, he finds himfelf of a quite different turn and difpofition. I mult repeat it; my philofophy affords no remedy in fuch a cafe, nor could I do any thing but lament this perfon's unhappy condition. But then I afk, If any other philofophy can afford a remedy; or if it be poffible, by any fyftem, to render all mankind virtuous, however perverfe may be their natural frame of mind? Experience will foon convince us of the contrary; and I will venture to affirm, that, perhaps, the chief benefit, which refults from philofophy, arifes in an indirect manner, and proceeds more from its fecret, infenfible influence, than from its immediate application.

It is certain, that a ferious attention to the fciences and liberal arts foftens and humanizes the temper, and cherifhes thofe fine emotions, in which true virtue and bonour confift. It rarely, very rarcly happens, that a man of tafte and learning is not, at leaft, an honeft man, whatever fraitties may attend him. The bent of his mind to fpeculative ftudies muft mortify in him the paffions of intereft and ambition, and muff, at the fame time, give him a greater fenfibility of all the decencies and duties of life. He feels more fully a moral diftincfion in characters and manners; nor is his fenfe of this
kind
kind diminifhed, but, on the contrary, it is much encreafed, by feeculation.

Befides fuch infenfible changes upon the temper and difpofition, it is highly probable, that others may be produced by ftudy and application. The prodigious effects of education may convince us, that the mind is not altogether fubborn and inflexible, but will admit of many alterations from its original make and ftructure. Let a man propofe to himfelf the model of a character, which he approves: Let him be well acquainted with thofe particulars, in which his own character deviates from this model: Let him keep a conftant watch over himfelf, and bend his mind, by a continual effort, from the vices, towards the virtues; and I doubt not but, in time, he will find, in his temper, an alteration for the better.

Habit is another powerful means of reforming the mind, and implanting in it good difpofitions and inclinations. A man, who continues in a courfe of fobriety and temperance, will hate riot and diforder: If he engage in bufinefs or ftudy, indolence will feem a punifhment to him : If he conitrain himfelf to practife beneficence and affability, he will foon abhor all inftances of pride and violence. Where one is thoroughly convinced that the virtuous courfe of life is preferable; if he have but refolution enough, for fome time, to impofe a violence on himfelf; his reformation needs not be defpaired of. The misfortune is, that this conviction and this refolution never can have place, unlefs a man be, before-hand, tolerably virtuous.

Here then is the chief triumph of art and philofophy: It infenfibly refines the temper, and it points out to us thofe difpofitions which we fhould endeavour to attain, by a conftant bent of mind; and by repeated habit. Beyond
this I cannot acknowledge it to have great influences and I muft entertain doubts concerning all thofe exhortations and confolations, which are in fuch vogue among fpeculative reafoners.

We have already obferved, that no objects are, in themfelves, defirable or odious, valuable or defpicable; but that objects acquire thefe qualities from the particular character and conftitution of the mind, which furveys them. To diminifh, therefore, or augment any perfon's value for an object, to excite or moderate his paffions, there are no direct arguments or reafons, which can be employed with any force or influence. The catching of \#lies, like Domitian, if it give more pleafure, is preferable to the hunting of wild beafts, like Wibgiam Rufus, or conquering of kingdoms, like Alibxander.

But though the value of every object can be determined only by the fentiment or pafifion of every individual, we may obferve, that the paffion, in pronouncing its verdia, confiders not the object fimply; as it is'in itfelf, but furveys it with all the circumftances, which attend it. A man tranfported with joy, on account of his poffeffing a diamond, confines not his view to the gliftering fone before him: He alfo confiders its rarity, and thence chiefly arifes his pleafure and exultation. Here therefore a philofopher may ftep in, and fuggeft particular views, and confiderations, and circumftances, which otherwife would have efcaped us; and, by that means, he may either moderate or excite any particular paffion.

It may feem unreafonable abfolutely to deny the authority of philofophy in this refpect: But it muft be confefled, that there lies this frong prefumption againt it;' that, if thefe views be natural and obvious; they would have occurred of themelve's without the afiftance of philofophy;
philofophy; if they be not natural, they never can have any influence on the affections. Thefe are of a very delicate nature, and cannot be forced or conftrained by the utmoft art or induftry. A confideration, which we feek for on purpofe, which we enter into with difficulty, which we cannot retain without care and attention, will never produce thofe genuine and durable movements of paffion, which are the refult of nature, and the conftitution of the mind. A man may as well pretend to cure himfelf of love, by viewing his miftrefs through the artificial medium of a microfcope or profpect, and beholding there the coarfenefs of her Kkin , and monftrous difproportion of her features, as hope to excite or moderate any paffion by the artificial arguments of a Seneca or an Epictetus. The remembrance of the natural afpect and fituation of the object, will, in both cafes, fill recurupon him. The reflections of philofophy are too fubtile and diftant to take place in common life, or eradicate any affection, The air is too fine to breathe in, where it is above the winds and clouds of the atmofphere.

Another defect of thofe refined reflections, which philofophy fuggefts to us, is, that commonly they cannot diminifh or extinguifh our vicious paffions, without diminifhing or extinguifhing fuch as are virtuous, and ren. dering the mind totally indifferent and unactive. They are, for the moft part, general, and are applicable to all our affections. In vain do we hope to direct their influence only to one fide. If by inceffant ftudy and meditation we have rendered them intimate and prefent to us, they will operate throughout, and fpread an univerfal infenfibility over the mind. When we deftroy the nerves, we extinguifh the fenfe of pleafure, together with that of pain, in the human body.

It will be eafy, by one glance of the eye, to find one or other of thefe defects in moft of thofe philofophical reflections, fo much celebrated both in ancient and modern times. Let not the injuries or violence of men, fay the philofophers*; ever difcompofe you by anger or batreil. Would you be angry at the ape for its malice, or the tyger for its ferocity? This reflection leads us into a bad opinion of human nature, and muft extinguifh the focial affections. It tends alfo to prevent all remorfe for a man's own crimes; when he confiders, that vice is as natural to mankind, as the particular inftinets to brute-creatures.

All ills arife from the order of the univerfe, which is abfolutely perfect. Would you wifh to difturb fo divine an order for the fake of your oun particular intergf? What if the ills I fuffer arife from malice or oppreffion? Buit the vices and imperfections of men are alfo comprehended in the order of the univerfe:

> If plagues and earthquakes break not beav'n's defigh, Why then a Borgia or a CAtiline?

Let this be allowed; and my own vices will alfo be a . part of the fame order.

To one who faid, that none were happy, who were not above opinion, a Spartan replied, then none are happy but knaves and robbers.t.

Man is born to be miferable; and is be furprized at any particular misfortune? And can be give way to forrow and lamentation upon account of any difafer? Yes: He very reafonably laments, that he fhould be born to be miferable. Your confolation prefents a hundred ills for one, of which you pretend to eafe him.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Plut. De ira cobikenda. } \\
& \text { o Pxut. Lacens Apopbtbeg. }
\end{aligned}
$$

You hould always bave before your eyes death, difeafe, po:verty, blindnefs, exile, calumny, and infamy, as ills which are incident to human nature. If any one of thefe ills fall to your Lot, you will bear it the better, when you bave reckoned upan it. I anfwer, if we confine ourfelves to a general and diftant reflection on the ills of human life, that can have no effect to prepare us for them. If by clofe and intenfe meditation we render them prefent and intimate to us, that is the true fecret for poifoning all our pleafures, and rendering us perpetually miferable.

Your forrow is fruitlefs, and will not change the courfe of .definy. Very true: And for that very reafon I am forry.

Cicero's confolation for deafnefs is fomewhat curious. How many languages are there, fays he, which you do not underfand? 3 . The Punic, Spanish, Gallic, ÆgypTIAN, E'c. With regard to all thefe, you are as if you were deaf, yet you are indifferent about the matter. Is it then fo great a misfortune to be deaf to one language more*?

I like better the repartee of Antipater the Cyrg, nIAC, when fome women were condoling with him for his blindnefs: What! fays he, Do you think there are no pleafures in the dark?

Nothing can be more defiructive, fays Fontenelle, to ambition, and the pafron for conquef, than the true fyfem of aftronomy What a poor thing is even the whole globe in comparifon of the infinite extent of nature? This confideration is evidently too diftant ever to have any effect. Or, if it had any, would it not deftroy patriotifm as well as ambition? The fame gallant author adds with fome reafon, that the bright eyes of the ladies are the only objects, which lofe nothing of their luftre or value from

$$
{ }^{*} \text { Tu9c. 2uff. lib. v. }
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the moft extenfive views of aftronomy, but ftand proof againft every fyftem. Would philofophers advife us to limit our affection to them?

Exile, fays Plutarch to a friend in banifhment, is no coil : Mathematicians tell us, that the whole earth is but a point, compared to the heavens. To change one's country then is little more than to remove from one fireet to another. Man is not a plant, rooted to a certain ppot of earth: All foils and all climates are alike fuited to bim*. Thefe topics are admirable, could they f. 11 only into the hands of banifhed perfons. But what if they come alfo to the knowledge of thofe who are employed in public affairs, and deftrey all their attachment to their native country ? Or will they operate like the quack's medicine, which is equally good for a diabetes and a dropfy?

It is certain, were a fuperior being thruft into a human body, that the whole of life would to him appear fo mean, contemptible, and puerile, that he never could be induced to take part in any thing, and would fcarcely give attention to what paffes around him. To engage him to fuch a condefcenfion as to play even the part of a Philip with zeal and alacrity, would be much more difficult, than to conftrain the fame Philip, after having been a king and a conqueror during fifty years, to mend old fhoes with proper care and attention; the occupation which Lucian affigns him in the infernal regions. Now all the fame topics of difdain towards human affairs, which could operate on this fuppofed being, occur alfo to a philofopher; but being; in fome meafure, difproportioned to human capacity, and not being fortified by the experience of any thing better, they make not a full impreffion on him. He fees, but he feels not fufficiently their truth; and is always a fublime phi-

[^29]lofopher,

lofopher, when he needs not ; that is, as long as nothing. difturbs him, or rouzes his affections. While others play, he wonders at their keennefs and ardour; but he no fooner puts in his own ftake, than he is commonly tranfported with the fame paffions, that he had fo much condemned, while he remained a fimple fpectator.

There are two confiderations chiefly, to be met with in books of philofophy, from which any important effect is to be expected, and that becaufe thefe confiderations are drawn from common life, and occur upon the moft fuperficial view of human affairs. When we reflect on the fhortnefs and uncertainty of life, how. defpicable feem all our purfuits of happinefs? And even, if we would extend our concern beyond our own life, how frivolous appear our moft enlarged and moft generous projects; when we confider the inceffant changes and revolutions of human affairs, by which laws and learning, books and governments are hurried away by time, as by a rapid ftream, and are loft in the immenfe ocean of matter? Such a reflection certainly tends to mortify all our paffions: But does it not thereby counterwork the artifice of nature, who has happily deceived us into an opinion, that human life is of fome importance? And may not fuch a reflection be employed with fuccefs by voluptuous reafoners, in order to lead us, from the paths of action and virtue; into the flowery fields of indolence and pleafure?

We are informed by Thucydides, that, during the famous plague of Athens, when death feemed prefent to every one, a diffolute mirth and gaiety prevailed among the people, who exhorted one another to make the moft of life as long as it endured. The fame obfervation is made by Boccace with regard to the plague of Fiorence, A like principle makes foidies, during war,
be more addicted to riot and expence, than any other race of men. Prefent pleafure is always of importance ; and whatever diminifhes the importance of all other objects muft beftow on it an additional influence and value.

The fecond philofophical confideration, which may often have an influence on the affections, is derived from a comparifon of our own condition with the condition of others. This comparifon we are contmually making, even in common life; but the misfortune is, that we are rather apt to compare our fituation with that of our fuperiors, than with that of our inferiors. A philofopher corrects this natural infirmity, by turning his view to the other fide, in order to render himfelf eafy in the fituation to which fortune has confined him. There are few people, who are not fufceptible of fome confolation from this reflection, though, to a very good-natured man, the view of human miferies fhould rather produce forrow than comfort, and add, to his lamentations for his own misfortunes, a deep compaffion for thofe of others. Such is the imperfection, even of the beft of thefe philofophical topics of confolation *.

I fhall conclude this fubject with obferving, that, though virtue be undoubtedly the beft choice, when it is attainable; yet fuch is the diforder and confufion of human affairs, that no perfect or regular diftribution of happinefs and mifery is ever, in this life, to be expected. Not only the goods of fortune, and the endowments of: the body (both of which are important), not only thefe, advantages, I• fay, are unequally divided between the: virtuous and vicious, but even the mind itfelf partakes; in fome degree, of this diforder, and the moft worthy:

* See NOTE [G].
character, by the very conftitution of the paffions, enjoys not always the higheft felicity.

It is obfervable, that though every bodily pain proceeds from fome diforder in the part or organ, yet the pain is not always proportioned to the diforder ; but is greater or lefs, according to the greater or lefs fenfibility of the part, upon which the noxious humours exert their influence. A tooth-ach produces more violent convulfions of pain than a phthifis or a dropfy. In like manner, with regard to the œconomy of the mind, we may obferve, that all vice is indeed pernicious; yet the difturbance or pain is not meafured out by nature with exact proportion to the degree of vice, nor is the man of higheft virtue, even abftracting from external accidents, always the moft happy. A gloomy and melancholy difpofition is certainly, to our fentiments, a vice or imperfection; but as it may be accompanied with great fenfe of honour and great integrity, it may be found in very worthy characters; thougb it is fufficient alone to imbitter life, and render the perfon affected with it completely miferable. On the other hand, a felfifh villain may poffers a fpring and alacrity of temper, a certain gaiety of beart, which is indeed a good quality, but which is rewarded much beyond its merit, and when attended with good fortune, will compenfate for the uneafinefs and remorfe arifing from all the other vices.

I fhall add, as an obfervation to the fame purpofe, that, if a man be liable to a vice or imperfection, it may often happen, that a good quality, which he poffeffes along with it, will render him more miferable, than if he were completely vicious. A perfon of fuch imbecility of temper as to be eafily broken by affliction, is more unhappy for being endowed with a generous and friendly difpofition, which gives him a lively concern for others,
and expofes him the more to fortune and accidents. A fenfe of fhame, in an imperfect character, is certainly a virtue; but produces great uneafinefs and remorfe, from which the abandoned villain is entirely free. A very amorous complexion, with a heart incapable of friendfhip, is happier than the fame excefs in love, with a generofity of temper, which tranfports a man beyond himfelf, and renders him a total @ave to the object of his paffion.

In a word, human life is more governed by fortune than by reafon; is to be regarded more as a dull paftime than as a ferious occupation; and is more influenced by particular humour, than by general principles. Shall we engage ourfelves in it with paffion and anxiety? It is not worthy of fo much concern. Shall we be indifferent about what happens? We lofe all the pleafure of the game by our phlegm and carelefnefs. While we are reafoning concerning life, life is gone; and death, though per.haps they receive him differently, yet treats alike the fool and the philofopher. To reduce life to exact rule and method, is commonly a painful, oft a fruitlefs occupation : And is it not alfo a proof, that we overvalue the prize for which we contend? Even to reafon fo carefully concerning it, and to fix with accuracy its juft idea, would be overvaluing it, were it not that, to fome tempers, this occupation is one of the moft amufing, in which life could poffibly be employed.
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Of Polygamy and Divorces.

A$S$ marriage is an engagement entered into by mutual confent, and has for its end the propagation of the fpecies, it is evident, that it muft be fufceptible of all the variety of conditions which confent eftablifhes, provided they be not contrary to this end.

A man, in conjoining himfelf to a woman, is bound to her according to the terms of his engagement: In begetting children, he is bound, by all the ties of nature and humanity, to provide for their fubfiftence and education. When he has performed thefe two parts of duty, no one can reproach him with injuftice or injury. And as the terms of his engagement, as well as the methods of fubfifting his offspring, may be various, it is mere fuperftition to imagine, that marriage can be entirely uniform, and will admit only of one mode or form. Did not human thaws reftrain the natural liberty of men, every particular marriage would be as wifferent as contracts or bargains of any other kind or fpecies.

As circumftances vary, and the laws propofe different advantages, we find, that, in different times and places, they impofe different conditions on this important contract. In Toneuin, it is ufual for the failors, when the fhips come into harbour, to marry for the feafon; and
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notwithfanding this precarious engagement, they are aflured, it is faid, of the ftricteft fidelity to their bed, as well as in the whole management of their affairs, from thofe temporary fpoufes.

I cannot, at prefent, recollect my authorities; but I have fomewhere read, that the republic of Athens, having loft many of its citizens by war and peftilence, allowed every man to marry two wives, in order the fooner to repair the wafte which had heen made by thefe calamities. The poet Euripides happened to be coupled to two noify Vixens, who fo plagued him with their jealouiles and quarrels, that he became ever after a profefled woman-hater; and is the only theatrical writer, perhaps the only poet, that ever entertained an averfion to the fex.

In that agreeable romance, called the Hifory of the Seyarambians, where a great many men and a few , women are fuppofed to te fhipwrecked on a defert coaft; the captain of the troop; in order to obviate thofe endlefs quarrels which arofe, regulates their marriages after the following manner: He takes a handfome female to himfelf alone; affigns one to every couple of inferior officers; and to five of the loweft rank he gives one wife in common.

The ancient Britons had a fingular kind of marriage, to be met with among no other people. Any number of them, as ten or a dozen, joined in a fociety together, which was perhaps requifite for mutual defence in thofe barbarous times. In order to link this fociety the clofer, they took an equal number of wives in common; and whatever children were born, were reputed to belong to all of them, and were accordingly provided for by the whole community.

Among the inferior creaturcs, nature herfelf, being the fupreme legiflator, prefcribes all the laws which regulate their marriages, and varies thofe laws according to the different circumftances of the creature. Where fhe furnifines, with eafe, food and defence to the newborn animal, the prefent embrace terminates the marriage; and the care of the offspring, is committed entirely to the female. Where the food is of more difficult purchafe, the marriage continues for one feafon, till the common progeny can provide for itfelf; and then the union immediately diffolves, and leaves each of the parties free to enter into a new engagement at the enfuing feafon. But nature, having endowed man with reafon, has not fo exaclily regulated every article of his marriage contract, but has left him to adjuft them, by his own prudence, according to his particular circumftances and fituation. Municipal laws are a fupply to the wifdom of each individual ; and, at the fame time, by reftraining the natural liberty of men, make private intereft fubmit to the intereft of the public. All regulations; therefore, on this head are equally lawful, and equally conformable to the principles of nature; though they are not all equally convenient, or equally ufeful to fociety: The laws may allow of polygamy, as among the Eaftern nations; or of voluntary divorces, as among the Greeks and Romans; or they may confine one man to one woman, during the whole courfe of their lives, as among the modern Europeans. It may not be difagreeable to confider the advantages and difadvantages, which refult from eąch of thefe inftitutions.

The advocates for polygamy may recommend it as the only effectual remedy for the diforders of love, and the only expedient for freeing men from that flavery to the $\mathrm{O}_{3}$ females, Digiteod oy Google
females, which the natural violence of cur paffions has impofed upon us. By this means alone can we regain our right of fovereignty; and, fating our appetite, re-' effablifh the authority of reafon in our minds, and, of confequence, our own authority in our families. Man, like a weak fovereign, being unable to fupport himfelf againft the wiles and intrigues of his fubjects, muft play one facion againf another, and become abfolute by the mutual jealoufy of the females. To divide and to govern is an univerfal maxim; and by neglecting it, the Europeans undergo a more grievous and a more ignominious flavery than the Turks or Prrsians, who are fubjected indeed to a fovereign, that lies at a diftance from them, but in their domeftic affairs rule with an uncontroulable fway.

On the other hand, it may be urged with better reafon, that this fovereignty of the male is a real ufurpation, and deftroys that nearnefs of rank, not to fay equality, which nature has eftablifhed between the fexes. We are, by nature, their lovers, their friends, their patrons: Would we willingly exchange fuch endearing appellations, for the barbarous title of mafter and tyrant?
In what capacity fhall we gain by this inhuman proceeding? As lovers, or as hufbands? The lover, is totally annihilated; and courthip, the moft agreeable fcene in life, can no longer have place, where women have not the free difpofal of themfelves, but are bought and fold, like the meaneft animal. The bufband is as little a gainer, having found the admirable fecret of extinguifhing every part of love, except its jealoufy. No rofe without its thorn; but he muft be a foolifh. wretch indeed, that throws away the rofe and preferves. only the thorn.

But the Asiatic manners are as deftructive to friendIhip as to love. Jealoufy excludes men from all intimacies and familiarities with each other. No one dares bring his friend to his houfe or table, left he bring a lover to his numerous wives. Hence all over the eaft, each family is as much feparate from another, as if they were fo many diftinct kingdoms. No wonder then, that Solomon, living like an eaftern prince, with his feven hundred wives, and three hundred concubines, without one friend, could write fo pathetically concerning the vanity of the world. Had he tried the fecret of one wife or miftrefs, a few friends, and a gre at many companions, he might have found life fomewhat more agreeabie. Deftroy love and friendifhip; what remains in the world worth accepting?

The bad education of children, efpecially children of condition, is another unavoidable confequence of thefe eaftern inftitutions. Thofe who pafs the early part of life among flaves, are only qualified to be, themfelves, flaves and tyrants; and in every future intercourfe, either with their inferiors or fuperiors, are apt to forget the natural equality of mankind. What attention, too, can it be fuppofed a parent, whofe feraglio affords him fifty fons, will give to inftilling principles of morality or fcience into a progeny, with whom he himfelf is fcarcely acquainted, and whom he loves with fo divided an afficiion, Barbarifm, therefore, appears, from reafon as well as experience, to be the infeparable attendant of polygamy.

To render polygamy more odious, I need not recount the frightful effects of jealoufy, and the conftraint in which it holds the fair-fex all over the eaft. In thofe countries men are not allowed to have any commerce with $\mathrm{O}_{4}$ the
the females, not even phyficians, when ficknefs may be fuppofed to have extinguifhed all wanton paffions in the bofoms of the fair, and, at the fame time, has rendered them unfit objects of defire. Tournefort tells us, that, when he was brought into the grand fignior's feraglio as a phyfician, he was not a little furprized, in looking along a gallery, to fee a great number of naked arms, ftanding out from the fides of the room. He could not imagine what this could mean; till he was told, that thofe arms, belonged to bodies, which he muft cure, without knowing any more about them, than what he could learn from the arms. He was not allowed to afk a queftion of the patient, or even of her attendants, left he might find it neceffary to enquire concerning circumftances, which the delicacy of the feraglio allows not to be revealed. Hence phyficians in the eaft pretend to know all difeafes from the pulfe; as our quacks in Europe undertake to cure a perfon merely from feeing his water. I fuppofe, had Monfieus Tournefort been of this latter kind, he wouldnot, in Constantinople, have been allowed by the jealous Turks to be furnifhed with materials requifite for exercifing his art.

In another country, where polygamy is alfo allowed, they render their wives cripples, and make their feet of no ufe to them, in order to confine them to their own houfes. But it will, perhaps, appear ftrange, that, in a European country, jealoufy can yet be carried to fuch a height, that it is indecent fo much as to fuppofe that a woman of rank can have feet or legs. Witnefs the following ftory, which we have from very good authority $t$. When the mother of the late king of Spain was.

[^30]on her road towards Madrid, fhe paffed through a little town in Spain, famous for its manufactory of gloves and ftockings. The magiftrates of the place thought they could not better exprefs their joy for the reception of their new queen, than by prefenting her with a fample of thofe commodities, for which alone their town was remarkable. The major domo, who conducted the princefs, received the gloves very gracioully: But when the ftockings were prefented, he flung them away with great indignation, and feverely reprimanded the magiftrates for this egregious piece of indecency. Know, fays he, that a queen of Spain bas no legs. The young queen, who, at that time, underftood the language but imperfectly, and had often been frightened with ftories of Spanish jealoufy, imagined that they were to cut off her legs. Upon which he fell a crying, and begged them to conduct her back to Germany; for that fhe never could endure the operation: And it was with fome difficulty they could appeafe her. Philip IV. is faid never in his life to have laughed heartily, but at the recital of this ftory.

Having rejected polygamy, and matched one man with one woman, let us now confider what duration we fhall affign to their union, and whether we thall admit of thofe voluntary divorces, which were cuftomary among the Greeis and Romans. Thofe who would defend this practice may employ the following reafons.

How often does difguft and averfion arife after marriage, from the moft trivial accidents, or from an incompatibility of humour; where time, inftead of curing the wounds, proceeding from mutual injuries, fefters them every day the more, by new quarrels and reproaches? Let us feparate hearts, which were not made to affociate together. Each of them may, perhaps, find
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find another for which it is better fitted. At leaft, noz thing can be more crue! than to preferve, by violence, an union, which, at firft, was made by mutual love, and is now, in effect, diffolved by mutual hatred.

But the liberty of divorces is not only a cure to hatred and domeftic quarrels: It is alfo an admirable prefervative againft them, and the only fecret for keeping alive that love, which firf united the married couple. The heart of man delights in liberty: The very image of conftraint is grievous to it: When you would confine it by violence, to what would otherwife have been its choice, the inclination immediately changes, and defire is turned into averfion. If the public intereft will not allow us to enjoy in polygamy that variety, which is fo agreeable in love: at leaft, deprive us not of that liberty, which is fo effentially requifite. In vain you tell me, that I had my choice of the perfon, with whom I would conjoin myfelf. I had my choice, it is true, of my prifon; but this is but a fmall comfort, fince it muft ftill be a prifon.

Such are the arguments which may be urged in favour of divorces: But there feem to be thefe three unanfwerable objections againft them. Firft, What muft become of the children, upon the feparation of the parents? Muft they be committed to the care of a fteps mother ; and inftead of the fond attention and concern of a parent, feel all the indifference or hatred of a ftranger or an enemy? Thefe inconveniencies are fufficiently felt, where nature has made the divorce by the doom inevitable to all mortals: And fhall we feek to multiply thofe inconveniencies, by multiplying divorces; and putting it in the power of parents, upon every cat price, to render their pofterity miferable.

Secondly, If it be true, on the one hand, that the heart of man naturally delights in liberty, and hates every thing to which it is confined; it is alfo true, on the other, that the heart of man naturally fubmits to neceffity, and foon lofes an inclination, when there appears an abfolute impoffibility of gratifying it. Thefe principles of human nature, you'll fay, are contra~ dictory: But what is man but a heap of contradictions! Though it is remarkable, that where principles are, after this manner, contrary in their operation, they do not always deftroy each other; but the one or the other may predominate on any particular occafion, according as circumftances are more or lefs favourable to it. For inftance, love is a reftlefs and impatient paffion, full of caprices and variations : arifing in a moment from a feature, from an air, from nothing, and fuddenly extinguifhing after the fame manner. Such a paffion requires liberty above all things; and therefore Eloisa had reafon, when, in order to preferve this paffion, fhe refufed to marry her beloved Abelard.

> How oft, when preft to marriags, bave I faid, Curfe on all laws but thofe which love bas made: Love, free as air, at foght of human ties, Spreads his light wings, and in a moment fies.

But friend/bip is a calm and fedate affection, conducted by reafon and cemented by habit; fpringing from long acquaintance and mutual obligations; without jealoufies or fears, and without thofe feverifh fits of heat and cold, which caufe fuch an agreeable torment in the amorous paffion. So fober an affection, therefore, as friendhip, rather thrives under conftraint, and never rifes
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rifes to fuch a height, as when any ftrong intereft or reis cefity binds two perfons together, and gives them fome common object of purfuit. We necd not, therefore, be afraid of drawing the marriage-knot, which chiefly fubfifts by friendihip, the clofeft poffible. The amity between the perfons, where it is folid and fincere, will rather gain by it: And where it is wavering and uncertain, this is the beft expedient for fixing it. How many frivolous quarrels and difgufts are there, which people of common prudence endeavour to forget, when they lie under a neceffity of paffing their lives together ; but which would foon be inflamed into the moft deadly hatred, were they purfued to the utmoft, under the profpect of an eafy feparation ?

- In the third place, we muft confider, that nothing is more dangerous than to unite two perfons fo clofely in all their interefts and concerns, as man and wife; without rendering the union entire and total. The leaft poffibility of a feparate intereft muft be the fource of endlefs quarrels and fufpicions. The wife, not fecure of her eftablifhment, will ftill be driving fome feparate end or project; and the hufband's felfifhnefs, being accompanied with more power, may be ftill more dangerous.

Should thefe reafons againft voluntary divorces be deemed infufficient,' I hope no body will pretend to refufe the teftimony of experience. At the time when divorces were moft frequent among the Romans, marriages were moft rare; and Augustus was obliged, by penal laws, to force men of fathion into the married ftate : A circumftance which is fearcely to be found in any other age or nation. The more ancient laws of Rome, which prohibited divorces, are extremely praifed
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by Dionysius Halycarnassfeus *. Wonderful was the harmony, fays the hiftorian, which this infeparable union of intere?s produced between married perfons; while each of them confidered the inevitable necefity by which they were linked together, and abandoned all profpet of any other choice or eftablifhment.

The exclufion of polygamy and divorces fuficiently recommends our prefent European practice with regard to marriage.
. ${ }^{\text {Lith. }} \mathrm{i}=$
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Of Simplicity and Refinement in Writing,

FIN E writing, according to Mr. Addison, confifts of fentiments, which are natural, without being obvious. There cannot be a jufter, and more concife definition of fine writing.

Sentiments, which are merely natural, affect not the mind with any pleafure, and feem not worthy of our attention. The pleafantries of a waterman, the obfervations of a peafant, the ribaldry of a porter or hackney coachman, all of thefe are natural, and difagreeable. What an infipid comedy fhould we make of the chit-chat of the tea-table, copied faithfully and at full length ? Nothing can pleafe perfons of tafte, but nature drawn with all her graces and ornaments, la belle nature; or if we copy low life, the ftrokes muft be ftrong and remarkable, and muft convey a lively image to the mind. The abfurd naïveté of Sancho Pancbo is reprefented in fuch inimitable colours by Cervantes, that it entertains as much as the picture of the moft magnanimous hero or fofteft lover.

The cafe is the fame with orators, philofophers, critics, or any author who fpeaks in his own perfon, without
out introducing other fpeakers or actors. If his language be not elegant, his obfervations uncommon, his fenfe ftrong and mafculine, he will in vain boaft his nature and fimplicity. He may be correct; but he never will be agreeable. It is the unhappinefs of fuch authors, that they are never blamed or cenfured. The good fortune of a book, and that of a man, are not the fame. The fecret deceiving path of life, which Horace talks of, fallentis femita vita, may be the happieft lot of the one; but is the greateft misfortune, which the other can poffibly fall into.

On the other hand, productions, which are merely furprifing, without being natural, can never give any lafting entertainment to the mind. To draw chimeras, is not, properly fpeaking, to copy or imitate. The juftnefs of the reprefentation is loft, and the mind is difpleafed to find a picture, which bears no refemblance to any original. Nor are fuch exceffive refinements more agreeable in the epiftolary or philofophic ftyle, than in the epic or tragic. Too much ornament is a fault in every kind of production. Uncommon expreffions, ftrong flafhes of wit, pointed fimilies, and epigrammatic turns, efpecially when they recur too frequently, are a disfigurement, rather than any embellifhment of difcourfe. As the eye, in furveying a Gothic building, is diftracted by the multiplicity of ornaments, and lofes the whole by its minute attention to the parts; fo the mind, in perufing a work overfocked with wit, is fatigued and difgufted with the conftant endeavour to thine and furprife. This is the cafe where a writer overabounds in wit, even though that wit, in itfelf, fhould be juft and agreeable. But it commonly happens to fuah writers, that they feek for their favourite ornamentso even where the fubject does not afford them; and by
that means, have twenty infipid conceits for one thought which is really beautiful.

There is no fubject in critical learning more copious, than this of the juft mixture of fimplicity and refinement in writing ; and therefore, not to wander in too large a field, I fhall confine myfelf to a few general obfervations on that head.

Firf, I obferve, That though exceffes of both kinds are to be avoided, and though a proper medinm ought to be ftudied in all productions; yet this medium lies not in a point, but admits of a confiderable latitude. Confider the wide diftance, in this refpect, between Mr. Pope and Lucretius. Thefe feem to lie in the two greateft extremes of refinement and fimplicity, in which a poet can indulge him"felf, without being guilty of any blameable excefs. All this interval may be filled with poets, who may differ from each other, but may be equally admirable, each in his peculiar ftyle and manner. Corneílile and Congreve, who carry their wit and refinement fomewhat farther than Mr. Pope (if poets of fo different a kind can be compared together), and Sophocles and Terence, who are more fimple than Lucretius, feem to have gone out of that medium, in which the moft perfect productions are found, and to be guilty of fome excefs in thefe tppofite characters. Of all the great poets, Virgil and Racine, in my opinion, lie neareft the center, and are the fartheft removed from both the extremities.

My fecond obfervation on this head is, That it is very difficult, if not impofible, to explain by words, where the juft medium lies between the exceffes of fimplicity and refinement, or to give any rule by which we can know precifely the bounds between the fault and the beauty. A critic may not only difcourfe very judicioully on this head, without inAtructing his readers, but even without underfanding the
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matter perfectly himfelf. There is not a finer piece of criticifm than the difertation on paforals by Fontenelee; in which, by a number of reflections and philofophical reafonings, he endeavours to fix the juft medium, which is fuitable to that fecies of writing. But let any one read the paftorals of that author, and he will be convinced; that this judicious critic, notwithftanding his fine reafonings, had a falfe tafte, and fixed the point of perfection much nearer the extreme of refinement than paftoral poetry will admit of. The fentiments of his fhepherds are better fuited to the toilettes of Paris, than to the forefts of Arcadia. But this it is impoffible to difcover from his critical reafonings. He blames all exceffive painting and ornament as much as Vingil could have done, had that great poet writ a differtation on this fpecies of poetry. However different the taftes of men, their general difcourfe on thefe fubjects is commonly the fame. No criticifm can be inftructive, which defcends not to particulars, and is not full of examples and illuftrations. It is allowed on all hands, that beauty, as well as virtue, always lies in a medium; but where this medium is placed, is a great queftion, and can never be fufficiently explained by general reafonings.

I fhall deliver it as a third obfervation on this fubject, That we ought to be more on our guard againft the excefs of refinement than that of fimplicity; and that becaufe the former excefs is both lefs beautiful, and more dangerous than' the latter.

It is a certain rule, that wit and paffion are entirely incompatible." When the affections are moved, there is no place for the imagination. The mind of man being naturally limited, it is impoffible that all its faculties can operate at once: And the more any one predominates, the lefs room is there for the others to exert their
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vigour. For this reafon, a greater degree of fimplicity is required in all compofitions, where men, and actions, and paffions are painted, than in fuch as confitt of reHections and obfervations. And as the former fpecies of writing is the more engaging and beautiful, one may fafely, upon this account, give the preference to the extreme of fimplicity above that of refinement.

We may alfo obferve, that thofe compofitions, which we read the ofteneft, and which every man of tafte has got by heart, have the recommendation of fimplicity, and have nothing furprifing in the thought, when divefted of that elegance of expreffion, and harmony of numbers, with which it is clothed. If the merit of the compofition lie in a point of wit, it may Arike at frrt; but the mind anticipates the thought in the fecond perufal, and is no longer affected by it. When I read an epigram of Martial, the firft line recalls the whole; and I have no pleafure in repeating to myfelf what I know already. But each line, each word in Catullus, has its merit; and I am never tired with the perufal of him. . It is fufficient, to run over Cowley once: But Parnel, after the fiftieth reading, is as frefh as at the firf. Befides, it is with books as with women, where a certain plainnefs of manner and of drefs is more engaging, than that glare of paint and airs and apparel, which may dazzle the eye, but reaches not the affections. Terence is a modeft and bafhful beauty, to whom we grant every thing, becaufe he affumes nothing, and whofe purity and nature make a durable, though not a violent impreffion on us.

But refinement, as it is the lefs beautiful, fo is it the more dangerous extreme, and what we are the apteft to fall into. Simplicity paffes for dulnefs, when it is not
accompanied with great elegance and propriety. ${ }^{\prime}$ On the contrary, there is fomething furprifing in a blaze of wit and conceit. Ordinaty readers are mightily ftruck with it, and falfely imagine it to be the moft difficult, as well as moft excellent way of writing. Seneca abounds with agreeable faults, fays Quintilian, abundat dulcibus vitiis; and for that reafon is the more dangerous, and the more apt to pervert the tafte of the young and inconfiderate.

I fhall add, that the excefs of refinement is now more to be guarded againft than ever; becaufe it is the extreme, which men are the moft apt to fall into, after learning has made fome progrefs, and after eminent writers have appeared in every fpecies of compofition. The endeavour to pleafe by novelty leads men wide of fimplicity and nature, and fills their writings with affectation and conceit. It was thus the Asiatic eloquence degenerated fo much from the Attic: It was thus the age of $\mathrm{ClaU-}_{\text {l }}$ dius and Nero became fo much inferior to that of Augustus in tafte and genius: And perhaps there are, at prefent, fome fymptoms of a like degeneracy of tafte, in France as well as in Engiand.
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## Of National Characters.

THE vulgar are apt to carry all national characters to extremes; and having once eftablifhed it as a principle, that any people are knavifh, or cowardly, or ignorant, they will admit of no exception, but comprehend every individual under the fame cenfure. Men of fenfe condemn thefe undiftinguilhing judgments: Though at the fame time, they allow, that each nation has a peculiar fet of manners, and that fome particular qualities are more frequently to be met with among one people than among their neighbours. The common people in Switzerland have probably more honefty than thofe of the fame rank in Ireland; and every prudent man will, from that circumftance alone, make a difference in the truft which he repofes in each. We have reafon to expect greater wit and gaiety in a Frenchman than in a Spaniard; though Cervantes was born in Spain. An Englisman will naturally be fuppofed to have more knowledge than a Dane; though Tycho Brahe was a native of Denmark.

Different reafons are affigned for thefe national characters; while fome account for them from moral, others from phyfical caufes, By moral caufes, I mean all cir$\mathrm{P}_{3}$ cumftances.
cumftances, which are fitted to work on the mind as motives or reafons, and which render a peculiar fet of manners habitual to us. Of this kind are, the nature of the government, the revolutions of public affairs, the pienty or penury in which the people live, the fituation of the nation with resard to its neighbours, and fuch like circumftances. By plyfical caufes, I mean thofe qualities of the air and climate which are fuppofed to work infenfibly on the temper, by altering the tone and habit of the body, and giving a particular complexion, which, though reflection and reafon may fometimes overcome it, will yet prevail among the generality of mankind, and have an influence on their manners.

That the character of a nation will much depend on moral caufes, mult be evident to the moft fuperficial obferver; fince a nation is nothing but a collection of individuals, and the manners of individuals are frequently determined by thefe caufes. As poverty and hard labour debare the minds of the common people, and render them unfit for any fcience and ingenious profeffion; fo where any government becomes very oppreffive to all its fubjects, it muft have a proportional effect on their temper and genius, and muft banifh all the liberal arts from among them.

The fame principle of moral caufes fixes the character of different profeffions, and alters even that difpofition, which the particular members receive from the hand of nature. A foldier and a prief are different characters, in all nations, and all ages; and this difference is founded on circumftances, whofe operation is eternal and unalterable.

The uncertainty of their life makes foldiers lavilh and generous, as well as brave: Their idlenefs, together with
with the large focieties, which they form in camps or garrifons, inclines them to pleafure and gallantry: By their frequent change of company, they acquire good breeding and an opennefs of behaviour: Being employed only againft a public and an open enemy, they become candid, honeft, and undefigning: And as they ufe more the labour of the body than that of the mind, they are commonly thoughtlefs and ignorant *,

It is a trite, but not altogether a falfe maxim, that priefts of all religions are the fame; and though the character of the profeffion will not, in every inftance, prevail over the perfonal character, yet is it fure always to predominate with the greater number. For as chymifts obferve, that fpirits, when raifed to a certain height, are all the fame, from whatever materials they be extracted; fo thefe men, being elevated above humanity, acquire a uniform character, which is entirely their own, and which, in my opinion, is, generally fpeaking, not the moft amiable that is to be met with in human fociety. It is, in moft points, oppofite to that of a foldier; as is the way of life, from which it is derived $\dagger$.

As to phyfical caufes, I am inclined to doubt altogether of their operation in this particular ; nor do I think, that men owe any thing of their temper or genius to the air, food, or climate. I confefs, that the contrary opinion may jufly, at firft fight, feem probable; fince we find, that thefe circumftances have an influence over every other animal, and that even thofe creatures, which are fitted to live in all climates, fuch as dogs, horfes, E'c. do not attain the fame perfection in all. The courage
> * See NOTE [H]. $\dagger$ See NOTE [I].
of bull-dogs and game-cocks feems peculiar to ENGland. Flanders is remarkable for large and heavy horfes: Spain for horfes light, and of good mettle. And any breed of thefe creatures, tranfplanted from one country to another, will foon lofe the qualities, which they derived from their native climate. It may be afked, why not the fame with men*?

There are few queftions more curious than this, or which will oftener occur in our enquiries concerning human affairs; and therefore it may be proper to give it a full examination.

The human mind is of a very imitative nature; nor is it poffible for any fet of men to converfe often together, without acquiring a fimilitude of manners, and communicating to each other their vices as well as virtues. The propenfity to company and fociety is ftrong in all rational creatures; and the fame difpofition, which gives us this propenfity, makes us enter deeply into each other's fentiments, and caufes like paffions and inclinations to run, as it were, by contagion, through the whole club or knot of companions. Where a number of men are united into one political body, the occafions of their intercourfe muft be fo frequent, for defence, commerce, and government, that, together with the fame fpeech or language, they muft acquire a refemblance in their manners, and have a common or national character, as well as a perfonal one, peculiar to each individual. Now though nature produces all kinds of temper and underftanding in great abundance, it does not follow, that fhe always .produces them in like proportions, and that in every fociety the ingredients of indultry and indolence, valour
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and cowardice, humanity and brutality, wifdom and folly, will be mixed after the fame manner. In the infancy of fociety, if any of thefe difpofitions be found in greater abundance than the reft, it will naturally prevail in the compofition, and give a tincture to the national character. Or fhould it be afferted, that no feecies of temper can reafonably be prefumed to predominate, even in thofe contracted focieties, and that the fame proportions will always be preferved in the mixture; yet furely the perfons in credit and authority, being fill a more contracted body, cannot always be prefumed to be of the fame character; and their influence on the manners of the people, muft, at all times, be very confiderable. If, on the firft eftablifhment of a republic, a Brutus fhould be placed in authority, and be tranfported with fuch an enthufiafm for liberty and public good, as to overlook all the ties of nature, as well as private intereft, fuch an illuftrious example will naturally have an effect on the whole fociety, and kindle the fame paffion in every bofom. Whatever it be that forms the manners of one generation, the next muft imbibe a deeper tincture of the fame dye; men being more fufceptible of all impreffions during infancy, and retaining thefe impreffions as long as they remain in the world. I affert, then, that all national characters, where they depend not on fixed moral caufes, proceed from fuch accidents as thefe, and that phyfical caufes have no difcernible operation on the human mind. It is a maxim in all philofophy, that caufes, which do not appear, are to be confidered as not exifting.

If we run over the globe, or revolve the annals of hiftory, we thall difcover every where figns of a fympathy or contagion of manners, none of the influence of air or climate.

Firf. We may obferve, that, where a very extenfive government has been eftablifhed for many centuries, it fpreads a national character over the whole empire, and communicates to every part a fimilarity of manners. Thus the Chinese have the greateft uniformity of character imaginable: Though the air and climate, in different parts of thofe vaft dominions, admit of very confderable variations.

Secondly. In fmall governments, which are contiguous, the people have notwithftanding a different character, and are often as diftinguifhable in their manners as the moft diftant nations. Athens and Thebeg were buta fhort day's journey from each other; though the Athenians were as remarkable for ingenuity, politenefs, and gaiety, as the Thebans for dulnefs, rufticity, and a phlegmatic temper. Piutarch, difcourfing of the effects of air on the minds of men, obferves, that the inhabitants of the Pirifum poffeffed very different tempers from thofe of the higher town in Athens, which was diftant about four miles from the former: But I believe no one attributes the difference of manners ${ }_{2}$ in $W_{\text {Apping }}$ and St . James's, to a difference of air or climate.

Thiraly. The fame national character commonly follows the authority of government to a precife boundary; and upon croffing a river or paffing a mountain, one finds a new fet of manners, with a new government. The Langumdocians and Gascons are the gayeft people in France; but whenever you pafs the Pyrenees, you are among Spaniards. Is it conceivable, that the qualities of the air fhould change exactly with the limits of an empire, which depend fo much on the àccicents of battles, negociations, and marriages ?

Fourthly. Where any fet of men, fcattered over diftant nations, maintain a clofe fociety or commanication together, they acquire a fimilitude of manners, and have but little in common with the nations amongft whom they live. Thus the Jews in Europr, and the Armenians in the eaft, have a peculiar charater; and the former are as much noted for fraud, as the latter for probity*. The Fefuits, in all Roman-catholic countries, are alfo obferved to have a character peculiar to themfelves.

Fifthly. Where any accident, as a difference in language or religion, keeps two nations, inhabiting the fame country, from mixing with each other, they will preferve, during feveral centuries, a diftinct and even oppofite fet of manners. The integrity, gravity, and bravery of the Turks, form an exact contraft to the deceit, levity, and cowardice of the modern Greeks.

Sixibly. The fame fet of manners will follow a nation, and adhere to them over the whole globe, as well as the fame laws and language. The Spanish, Enginsh, French and Dutch colonies, are all diftinguigable even between the tropics.

Seventhly. The manners of a people change very confiderably from one age to another ; either by great alterations in their government, by the mixtures of new people, or by that inconftancy, to which all human affairs are fubject. The ingenuity, induftry, and activity of the ancient Greeks have nothing in common with the ftupidity and indolence of the prefent inhabitants of thofe regions. Candour, bravery, and love of liberty formed the character of the ancient Romans; as
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fubtilty, cowardice, and a llavilh difpofition do that of the modern. The old Spaniards were reftlefs, turbulent, and fo addicted to war, that many of them killed themfelves, when deprived of their arms by the Romans*. One would find an equal difficulty at prefent (at leaft one would have found it fifty years ago), to rouze up the modern Spaniards to arms. The Batavians were all foldiers of fortune, and hired themfelves into the RomAN armies. Their pofterity make ufe of foreigners for the fame purpofe that the Romans did their anceftors. Though fome few ftrokes of the French character be the fame with that which Cexsar has afcribed to the Gauls; yet what comparifon between the civility, humanity, and knowledge of the madern inhabitants of that country, and the ignorance, barbarity, and groffnefs of the ancient? Not to infift upon the great difference between the prefent poffeffors of Britain, and thofe before the RCMAN conqueft; we may obferve that our anceftors, a few centuries ago, were funk into the moft abject fuperftition; laft century they were inflamed with the moft furious enthufiafm, and are now fettled into the moft cool indifference with regard to religious matters, that is to be found in any nation of the world.

Eighthly. Where feveral neighbouring nations have a very clofe communication together, either by policy, commerce, or travelling, they acquire a fimilitude of manners, proportioned to the communication. Thus all the Franks appear to have a uniform character to the eaftern nations. The differences among them are like the peculiar accents of different provinces, which are not diftinguihable, except by an ear accuftomed to them, and which commonly efcape a foreigner.

Ninthly. We may often remark a wonderful mixture of manners and characters in the fame nation, fpeaking the fame language, and fubject to the fame government: And in this particular the ENGLIsh are the moft remarkable of any people that perhaps ever were in the world. Nor is this to be afcribed to the mutability and uncertainty of their climate, or to any other phyfical caufes; fince all thefe caufes take place in the neighbouring country of Scotland, without having the fame effect. Where the government of a nation is altogether republican, it is apt to beget a peculiar fet of manners. Where it is altogether monarchical, it is more apt to have the fame effect; the imitation of ${ }^{*}$ fuperiors fpreading the national manners fafter among the people. If the governing part of a ftate confift altogether of merchants, as in Holland, their uniform way of life will fix their character. If it confifts chiefly of nobles and landed gentry, like Germany, France, and Spain, the fame effect follows. The genius of a particular fect or religion is alfo apt to moułd the manners of a people. But the English government is a mixture of monarchy, ariftocracy, and democracy. The people in authority are compofed of gentry and merchants. All fects of religion are to be found among them. And the' great liberty and independency, which every man enjoys? allows him to difplay the manners peculiar to him. Hence the ENGLIGH, of any people in the univerfe, have the leaft of a national characier; unlefs this very fingularity may pafs for fuch.

If the characters of men depended on the air and climate, the degrees of heat and cold Chould naturally be expected to have a mighty influence; fince nothing has a greater effect on all plants and irrational animals. And indeed

## E S S A Y.XXI.

indeed there is fome reafon to think, that all the nations; which live beyond the polar circles or between the tropics, are inferior to the reft of the fpecies, and are incapable of all the higher attainments of the human mind. The poverty and mifery of the northern inhabitants of the globe, and the indolence of the fouthern, from their few neceffities, may, perhaps; account for this remarkable difference, without our having recourfe to phyfical caufes. This however is certain, that the characters of nations are very promifcuous in the temperate cli, mates, and that almoft all the general obfervations, which have been formed of the more fouthern or more northern people in thefe climates, are found to be unçertain and fallacious $\dagger$.

Shall we fay, that the neighbourhood of the fun inflames the imagination of men, and gives it a peculiar fpirit and vivacity? The French, Greeks, Egyptians, and Persians are remarkable for gaiety. The Spaniards Turks, and Chinese are noted for gravity and a ferious deportment, without any fuch difference of climate as to produce this difference of temper.

The Greexs and Romans, who called all other nations barbarians, confined genius and a fine underftanding to the more fouthern climates, and pronounced the northern nations incapable of all knowledge and civility. But our ifland has produced as great men, either for action or learning, as Greece or Italy has to boaft of.

It is pretended, that the fentiments of men become more delicate as the country approaches nearer to the fun ; and that the tafte of beauty and elegance receives propor-
tional improvements in every latitude; as we may particularly obferve of the languages; of which the more fouthern are fmooth and melodious, the northern harh and untuneable. But this obfervation holds not univerfally. The Arabic is uncouth and difagreeable: The Muscovite foft and mufical. Energy, ftrength, and harfhnefs form the character of the Latin tongue: The Italian is the moft liquid, fmooth, and effeminate language that can poffibly be imagined. Every language will depend fomewhat on the manners of the people; but much more on that original ftock of words and founds', which they received from their anceftors, and which remain unchangeable, even while their manners admit of the greateft alterations. Who can doubt, but the English are at prefent a more polite and knowing people than the Greeks were for feveral ages after the fiege of Troy? Yet is there no comparifon between the language of Milton and that of Homer, Nay, the greater are the alterations and improvements, which happen in the manners of a people, the lefs can be expected in their language. A few eminent and refined geniufes will communicate their tafte and knowledge to a whole people, and produce the greateft improvements; but they fix the tongue by their writings, and prevent, in fome degree, its farther changes.

Lord Bacon has obferved, that the inhabitants of the fouth are, in general, more ingenious than thofe of the north; but that, where the native of a cold climate has genius, he rifes to a higher pitch than can be reached by the fouthern wits. This obfervation a late * writer confirms, by comparing the fouthern wits to cucumbers, which are commonly all good in their kind; but at beft

[^31]
## E S S A Y XXI.

are an infipid fruit: While the northern geniufes are like melons, of which not one in fifty is good; but when it is fo, it has an exquifite relifh. I believe this remark may be allowed juft, when confined to the European nations, and to the prefent age, or rather to the preceding one: But I think it may be accounted for from moral caufes. All the fciences and liberal arts have been imported to us from the fouth; and it is eafy to imagine, that, in the firf order of application, when excited by emulation and by glory, the few, who were addicted to them, would carry them to the greateft height, and ftretch every nerve, and every faculty, to reach the pinnacle of perfection. Such illuftrious examples fpread knowledge every where, and begot an univerfal efteem for the fciences: After which, it is no wonder, that induftry relaxes; while men meet not with fuitable encouragement, nor arrive at fuch diftinction by their attainments. The univerfal diffufion of learning among a people, and the entire banifhment of grofs ignorance and rufticity, is, therefore, feldom attended with any remarkable perfection in particular perfons. . It feems to be taken for granted in the dialogue de Oratoribus, that knowledge was much more common in Vespasian's age than in that of Cicero and Augustus. Quintilian alfo complains of the profanation of learning, by its becoming too common. "Formerly," fays Juvenal, "fcience was confined to Greece and Italy. si Now the whole world emulates Athens and Rome. "Eloquent Gaul has taught Rritain, knowing in " the laws. Even Thule entertains thoughts of " hiring rhetoricians for its inftruction*." This ftate of learning

## - "Sed Cantaber unde

Stoicus? antiqui prefertim state Metelli. Sunc sotus Gaaias, noftrafque habet orbis Athenas.

Pearning is remarkable; becaufe Juvenal is himfelf the laft of the Roman writers, that poffeffed any degree of genius. Thofe, who fucceeded, are valued for nothing but the matters of fact, of which they give us information. I hope the late converfion of Muscovy to the Atudy of the fciences will not prove a like prognoftic to the prefent period of learning.

Cardinal Bentrvogito gives the preference to the northern nations above the fouthern with regatd to candour and fincerity; and mentions, on the one hand, the Spaniards and Italiands, and on the other, the Flrmines and Germans. But I am apt to think, that this has happened by accident. The ancient Romains feem to have been a candid fincere people, as are the modern TURks. But if we muft needs fuppofe; that this event has arifen from fixed caufes, we may only conclude from it, that all extreries are apt to concur, and are commonly attended with the fanke confequences. Treachery is the ufual concomitant of ignorance and barbarifm; and if civilized nations evor embrace fubtle and crooked politics, it is from an excefs of refinement, which makes them difdain the plain direct path to power and glory.

Moft conquefts have gone from north to fouth; and it has hence been inferred, that the northern nations poffefs a fuperior degree of courage and ferocity. But is would have been jufter to have faid, that moft conquefts are made by poverty and want upon plenty and riches. The Saracens, leaving the deferts of Arabia, carried their conquefts northwards upon all the fertile provinces of the Roman empire; and met the Turks half way,

| Gallia caufidicos docuit facunda Beitannoy De conducendo loquitur jam rhetore Th ole." | Satt 15. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Vot. I. . $\mathbf{Q}$ | who |

who were coming fouthwards from the deferts of TAKTARY.

* An eminent writer * has remarked, that all courageous animals are alfo carnivorous, and that greater courage is to be expected in a people, fuch as the English, whofe food is ftrong and hearty, than in the half-ftarved commonalty of other countries. But the Swedes, notwithftanding their difadvantages in this particular, are not inferior, in martial courage, to any nation that ever was in the world.

In general, we may obferve, that courage, of all national qualities, is the moft precarious; becaufe it is exerted only at intervals, and by a few in every nation; wheteas induftry, knowledge, civility, may be of conftant and univerfal ufe, and, for feveral ages, may become trabitual to the whole people. If courage be preferved, it muft be by difcipline, example, and opinion. The tenth legion of Cessar, and the regiment of Preardy in France were formed promifcuouly from among the citizens: but having once entertained a notion, that they were the beft troops in the fervice; this very opinion really made them fuch.
As a proof haw much courage depends on opinion, we may obferve, that, of the two chief tribes of the Greeks, the Dorians and Ionians; the former were always efteemed, and always appeared more brave and manlyt than the latter; though the colonies of both the tribes were interfperfed and intermingled throughout all the extent of Greece, the Leffer Asia, Sicily, Italy, and the iflands of the Ægean fea. The Atheniang were the only Ionians that ever had any reputation for valour or military atchievements; though even thefe

[^32]wére deemed inferior to the Lacedemonians the braveft of the Dorians.

The only obfervation; with regard to the difference of men in different climates, on which we can reft any weight, is the vulgar one, that people in the northern regions have a greater inclination to ftrong liquors, and thofe in the fouthern to love and women. One can affign a very probable phyfical caufe for this difference: Wine : and diftilled waters warm the frozen blood in the-colder climates, and fortify men againft the injuries of the weather: As the genial heat of the fun, in the countries expofed to his beams, inflames the blood, and exalts the paffion between the fexes.

Perbaps toos, the matter may be accounted for by motal caufes. All ftrong liquors are rarer in the north, and confequently are more coveted. Diodorus Siculus * tells us; that the Gauls in his time were great drunkards, and much addicted to wine; chiefly; I fuppofe; from its rarity and novelty: On the ather hand, the: heat in the fouthern climates, obliging men and women to go half naked, thereby renders their frequent commerce more dangerous, and inflames their mutual paffion. This makes parents and hufbands more jealous and referved; which fill farther inflaines the paffion. Not to mention; that, as women ripen fooner in the fouthern regions, it is neceflary to obferve greater jealoufy and care in their education ${ }_{3}$ it being evident, that a girl of tweive cannot poffefs equal diferetion to govern this paffion, with one who feels not its violence till the be feventeen or eight een. Nothing fo much encourages the paffion of love

[^33]as eafe and leifure, or is more defructive to it than induftry and hard labour; and as the neceffities of men are evidently fewer in the warm climates than in the cold ones, this circumftance alone may make a confiderable difference between them.

But perhaps the fact is doubtful, that nature has, either from moral or phyfical caufes, diftributed thefe refpective inclinations to the different climates. The ancient Greeks, though botn in a warm climate, feem to have been much addicfed to the bottle; nor were their parties of pleafute any thing but matches of drinking among men, who paffed their time altogether apart from the fair. Yet when Alexander led the Grerks into Prrsia, a ftill more fouthern climate, they multiplied their debauches of this kind, in imitation of the Persian manners *. So honourable was the character of a drunkard among. the Prrsians, that Cyru's the younger, foliciting the fober Lacedemonians for fuccour againft his brother Artaxprxes, claims it chiefly on aecount of his fuperion endowments, as more $\forall$ alorous, more bountiful, and 2 better drinker $t$. Darius Hystaspig made it beinferibed on his tomb-ftone, among his other virtues and princely qualities, that no one could bear a greater quantity of liquor: You may obtain any thing of the Negroes by offering them ftrong drink; and may eafily prevail with thene to fell, not only their children, bue their wives and miftrefles, for a cafk of brandy. In Erance and Italy few drink pure wine, except in the greatel heats of fummer ; and, indeed, it is then almoft as neceflary, in order to recruit the fpirits, evaporated by heat, as it is in Sweden, during the winter, in order to warm the bodies congealed by the rigour of the feafon.

[^34]If jealoury be regarded as a proof of an amorous difpofition, no people were more jealous than the Muscoyites, before their communication with Europe had fomewhat altered their manners in this particular.
But fuppofing the fact true, that nature, by phyfical principles, has regularly diftributed thefe two paffions, the one to the northern, the other to the fouthern regions; we can only infer, that the climate may affect the groffer and more bodily organs of our frame; not that it can work upon thofe finer organs, on which the operations of the mind and underfanding depend. And this is agreeable to the analogy of nature. The races of animals never degenerate when carefully tended; and hores, in particular, always fhow their blood in their, ©fape, fpirit, and fwifteefs: But a coxcomb may beget a philofopher; as a .man of virtue may leave a worthlefs progeny.
I fhall conclude this fubject with obferving, that though the paffion for liquor be more brutal and debafing than love; which, when properly managed, is the fource of all politenefs and refinement; yet this gives not fo great an advantage to the fouthern climates, as we may be apt, at firf fight, to imagine. When love goes beyond a certain pitch, it renders men jealous, and cuts off the free intercourfe between the fexes, on which the politenefs of a nation will commonly much depend. And if we would fubtilize and refine upon this point, we might obferve, that the people, in very temperate climates, are the moft likely to attain all forts of improvement; their blood not being fo inflamed as to render them jealous, and yet being warm enough to make them fet a due value on the charms and endowments of the fair fex.

## E S S A Y XXII.

## Of Tragedy.

IT feems an unaccountable pleafure, which the feece tators of a well-written tragedy receive from forrow, terror, anxiety, and other paffions, that are in themfelves difagreeable and uneafy. The more they are touched and affected, the more are they delighted with the fpectacle; and as foon as the uneafy paffions ceafe to operate, the piece is at an end. One fcene of full joy and contentment and fecurity, is the utmoft that any compofition of this kind can bear ; and it is fure always to be the, concluding one. If, in the texture of the piece, there be interwoven any fcenes of fatisfaction, they afford only faint gleams of pleafure, which are thrown in by way of variety, and in order to plunge the actors into deeper diftrefs, by means of that contraft and difappointment. The whole art of the poet is employed, in rouzing and fupporting the compaffion and indignation, the anxiety and refentment of his audience. They are pleafed in proportion as they are afflicted, and never are fo happy as when they employ tears, fobs, and cries to give vent to their forrow, and relieve their heart, fwoln with the. tendereft fympathy and compaffion,

The few critics who have had fome tincture of philofophy, have remarked this fingular phænomenon, and have endeavoured to account for it.

Q4 L'Abbé

L'Abbé Dubos, in his reflections on poetry and painting, afferts, that nothing is in general fo difagree able to the mind as the languid, liftlefs ftate of indolence, into which it falls upon the removal of all paffion and occupation. To get rid of this painful fituation, it feeks every amufement and purfuit; bufinefs, gaming, thews executions; whatever will rouze the paffions, and take its attention from itfelf. No matter what the paffion is: Let it be difagreeable, afficting, melancholy, difordered; it is ftill better than that infipid languor, which arifes from perfect-tranquillity and repofe.

It is impofible not to admit this account, as being, at leaft in part, fatisfactory. You may obferve, when there are feveral tables of gaming, that all the company run to thofe, where the deepeft play is, even though they find not there the beft players. The view, or, at leaft, imagination of high paffions, arifing from great lofs or gain, affects the fpectator by fympathy, gives him fome touches of the fame paffions; and ferves him for a momentary entertainment. It makes the time pars the eafier with him, and is fome relief to that oppreffion, under which men commonly labour, when leff entirely to their own thoughts and meditations,

We find that common liars always magnify, in their namrations, all kinds of danger, pain, diftrefs, ficknefs, deaths, murders, and cruelties; as well as joy, beauty, mirth, and magnificence, It is an abfurd fecret, which they have for pleafing their company, fixing their attention, and attaching them to fuch marvellous relations, by the paffions and emotions which they excite.

Thare is, however, a difficulty in applying to the prefent fubject, in its full extent, this folution, however ingenious and fatisfactory it may appear. It is certain, that the fame object of diftrefs, which pleafes in a tra-
gedy, were it really fet before us, would give the moft $\mu$ nfeigned uneafinefs; though it be then the moft effectual cure to languor and indolence. Monfieur FonteNELLE feems to have been fenfible of this difficulty; and accordingly attempts another folution of the phanomenon; at leaft makes fome addition to the theory above mentioned $\%$.
"Pleafure and pain," fays he, "which are two fen" timents fo different in thęmfelves, differ not fo much " in their caufe. From the inftance of tickling, it "a appears, that the movement of pleafure, pufhed a little © too far, becomes pain; and that the movement of " pain, a little moderated, becomes pleafure. Hence it " proceeds, that there is fuch a thing as a forrow, foft " and agreeable: It is a pain weakened and diminifhed. "c The heart likes naturally to be moved and affected. "Melancholy objects fuit it, and even difaftrous and © forrowful, provided they are foftened by fome circum" ftance. It is certain, that, on the theatre, the re" prefentation has almoft the effect of reality; yet it has "s not altogether that effect. However we may be hur$\$ 6$ ried away by the fpectacle; whatever dominion the "s fenfes and imagination may ufurp over the reafon, " there ftill lurks at the bottom a certain idea of falfe© hood in the whole of what we fee. This idea, though "weak and difguifed, fuffices to diminifh the pain which "we fuffer from the misfortunes of thofe whom we love, " and to reduce that affliction to fuch a pitch as converts " it into a pleafure. We weep for the misfortune of a " hero, to whom we are attached. In the fame inftant " we comfort ourfelves, by reflecting, that it is nothing, " but a fiction: And it is precifely that mixture of fen-

[^35]© timents,
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ct timents, which compofes an agreeable forrow, and © tears that delight us. But as that affliction, which is "c caufed by exteriot and fenfible objects, is ftronger " than the confolation which arifes from an internal " reflectión, they are the effects and fymptoms of forrow, "s that ought to predominate in the compofition."

This folution feems juft and convincing; but perhaps it wants ftill fome new addition, in order to make it anfwer fully the phænomenon, which we here examine. All the paffions, excited by eloquence, are agreeable in the higheft degree, as well as thofe which are moved by painting and the theatre. The epilogues of Cicero are, on this account chiefly, the delight of every reader of tafte; and it is difficult to read fome of them without the deepeft fympathy and forrow. His merit as an orator, no doubt, depends much on his fuccefs in this particular. When he had raifed tears in his judges and all his audience, they were then the moft highly delighted, and exprefled the greateft fatisfaction with the pleader. The pathetic defcription of the butchery, made by Verres of the Sicilian captains, is a mafterpiece of this kind : But I believe none will affirm, that the being prefent at a melancholy fcene of that nature would afford any entertainment. Neither is the forrow here foftened by fiction: For the audience were convinced of the reality of every circumftance. What is it then, which in this cafe raifes a pleafure from the bofom of uneafinefs, fo to feak; and a pleafure, which fill retains all the features and outward fymptoms of diftrefs and forrow?

I anfwer: This extraordinary effect proceeds from that very eloquence, with which the melancholy feene is reprefented. The genius required to paint objects in a lively manner, the art employed in collecting all the pathetic circumftances, the judgment difplayed in dif-
pofing
pofing them: the exercife, I fay, of thefe noble talents, together with the force of expreffion, and beauty of oratorial numbers, diffufe the higheft fatisfaction on the audience, and excite the moft delightful movements: By this means, the uneafinefs of the melancholy paffions is not only overpowered and effaced by fomething ftronger of an oppofite kind; but the whole impulfe of thofe paffions is converted into pleafure, and fwells the delight which the eloquence raifes in us. The fame force : of oratory, employed on an uninterefting fubject, would: not pleafe half fo much, or rather would appear altogether ridiculous; and the mind, being left in abfolute calmnefs and indifference, would relifh none of thofe beauties of imagination or expreffion, which, if joined to paffion, give it fuch exquifite entertainment. The impulfe or vehemence, arifing from forrow, compaffion, indignation, receives a new direction from the fentiments of beauty. The latter, being the predominant emotion, feize the whole mind, and convert the former into themfelves, at leaft tincture them fo ftrongly as totally to alter their nature. And the foul, being, at the fame time, rouzed by paffion, and charmed by eloquence, feels on the whole 2 ftrong movement, which is altogether delightful.

The fame principle takes place in tragedy; with this addition, that tragedy is an imitation; and imitation is 2lways of itfelf agreeable. This circumftance ferves ftill farther to fmooth the motions of paffion; and convert the whole feeling intq one uniform and frong enjoyment. Objects of the greateft terror and diftrefs pleafe in painting, and pleafe more than the moft beautiful objects, that appear calm and indifferent *. The affection, rouzing the mind, excites a large ftock of fpirit and vohemence; which is all transformed into pleafure by

* See NOTE [N].
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the force of the prevailing movement. It is thus the fiction of tragedy foftens the paffion, by an infufion of a new feeling, not merely by weakening or diminifhing the forrow. You may by.degrees weaken a real forrow, till if totally difappears; yet in none of its gradations will it ever give pleafure; except, perhaps, by accident, to a man funk under lethargic indolence, whom it rouzes from that languid ftate.

To confirm this theory, it will be fufficient to produce. other inftances, where the fubordinate movement is converted into the predominant, and gives force to it ${ }_{\text {, }}$ though of 2 different $_{2}$ and even fometimes though of a contrary nature.

Novelty naturally rouzes the mind, and attracts our attention; and the movements, which it caufes, are always converted into any paffion belonging to the object, and join their force to it. Whether an event excite joy or forrow, pride or thame, anger or good-will, it is fure to produce a ftronger affection, when new or unwfual. And though novelty of itfelf be agreeable, it. fortifies the painful; as'well as agreeable paffions.

- Had you any intention to move a perfon extremely by the narration of any event, the beft method of encreafing itseffect would be artfully to delay informing him of it ${ }_{2}$ and firft to excite his curiofity and impatience before you let.him into the fecret. - This is the artifice practifed by Iaco in the famous fcene of Sharespeare; and every fpectator is fenfible, that Othello' jealouly acquires additional force from his preceding impatience, and that the fubordinate paffion is here readily transformed inta the predominant orre.

Difficulties encreafe paffions of every kind; and by: röuzing our attention, and exciting our active powers, they.
they produce an emotion, which nourifhes the prevailing affection.
Parents commonly love that child moft, whofe fickly infirm frame of body has occafioned them the greateft pajins, trouble, and anxiety in rearing hima. The agreeable fentiment of affection here acquires force from fentiments of uneafinefs.
Nothing endears fo mach a friend as forrow for his: death. The pleafure of his company has not to powerfulan influence.
Jealoury is a painful paffion; yet without fome Rare of it, the agreeable affection of love has difficulty to fubfift in its full force and violence. Abfence is alfo 2 great fource of complaint, among lovers, and gives them the greatef uneafinefs: Yet nothing is more favourable to their mutual paffion than fhort intervals of that kind. And if long intervals often prove fatal, it is only becaure, through time, men are accuftomed to them, and they ceafe to give uneafinefs. Jealoufy and abfence in love compofe the dolce peccante of the Italians, which they fuppofe fo effential to all pleafure.
There is a fine obfervation of the elder Pinny, which illuftrates the principle here infifted on. It is very remarkable, fays he, that the laff works of celebrated artifs, which they left imperfeti, are. always tbe. mof prized, fuch as the Iris of Aristides, the Tyndarides of Nicomachus, the Medea of Timomaches, and the Veinus of Apelles. Thefi are valued even above their finifod productions: The broken lineaments of the picce, and the halfformed idea of the painter are carefully fiudied; and our very grief for that curious band, which bad been foopped by death, is an additional encreafe to our pleafure *.

There

[^36]Thefe inftances (and many more might be collected) are fufficient to afford us fome infight into the analogy of nature, and to fhow us, that the pleafure, which poets, orators, and muficians give us, by exciting grief, forrow, indignation, compaffion, is not fo extraordinary or paradoxical, as it may at firft fight appear. The force of imagination, the energy of expreffion, the power of numbers, the charms of imitation; all thefe are naturally, of themfelves, delightful to the mind: And wher the object prefented lays alfo hold of fome affection, thepleafure ftill rifes upon us, by the converfion of this fubordinate movement into that which is predominant. The paffion, though, perhaps, naturally, and when excited by the fimple appearance of a real object, it may be painful; yet is fo fmoothed, and foftened, and mollified; when raifed by the finer arts, that it affords the highef. entertainment.

To confirm this reafoning, we may obferve, that if themovements of the imagination be not predoiminant above thofe of the paffion, a contrary effect follows; and the former, being now fubordinate, is cotiverted into the. latter, and fill farther encreafes the pain and afliction of the fufferer.
Who could ever think of it as a good expedient for comforting an afflicted parent, to exaggerate, with all the force of elocution, the irreparable lofs, which he has met with by the death of a favourite child? The more power of imágination and expreffion you here employ, the more you encreafe his defpair and affliction:

Nicomachi, Medeam Timomachi, \& quam diximus Venerem Arpixisis, in majori admiratione effe quam perfecta. Quippe in iis lineamenta reliqua, ipfeque cogitationes aptificum fpectantur, atque in letio:cimio cosimendationis dolor eft manus, cum : $d$ agerct, extineीz. Lib. xxxy. © 1p: 85

The

- The thame, confufion, and terror of Verres, no đoubt, rofe in proportion to the noble eloquence and vehemence of Cicero: So alfo did his pain and uneafinefs. Thefe former paffions were too ftrong for the pleafure arifing from the beauties of elocution; and operated, though from the fame principle, yet in a contrary manner, to the fympathy, compaffion, and indignation of the audience.

Lord Clarendon, when he approaches towards the cataftrophe of the royal party, fuppofes, that his narration muft then become infinitely difagreeable; and he hurries over the king's death, without giving us one circumftance of it. He confiders it as too horrid a fcene to be contemplated with any fatisfaction, or even without the utmoft pain and averfion. He himfelf, as well as the feaders of that age, were too deeply concerned in the events, and felt a pain from fubjects, which an biftorian and a reader of another age would regard as the moft pathetic and moft interefting, and, by confequence, the moft agreeable.

* An action, reprefented in tragedy, may be too bloody and atrocious: It may excite fuch movements of horror as will not' foften into pleafure; and the greateft energy of expreffion, beftowed on defcriptions of that nature, ferves only to augment our uneafinefs. Such is that action reprefented in the Ambitious Stepmother, where a venerable old man, raifed to the height of fury and defpair, ruthes againft a pillar, and ftriking his head upon it, befmears it all over with mingled brains and gore. The English theatre abounds too much with fuch fhocking images.

Even the common fentiments of compaffion require to be foftened by fome agreeable affection, in order to give a thorough fatisfaction to the audience. The mere fuf-

## E S S A Y XẊ̇̇ı.

fering of plaintive virtue, under the triumphant tyraniny and oppreffion of vice, forms a difagreeable fpectacle; and is carefully avoided by all mafters of the drama. In order to difmifs the audience with entire fatisfaction and contentment; the virtue muft either convert itfelf into 2 noble courageous defpair, or the vice receive its proper punifhment.

Moft painters appear in this light to have beces very unhappy in their fubjects. As they wrought much for churches and convents, they have chiefly repterented fuch horrible fubjectis as crucifixions and martyrdoms, where nothing appears but tortures; wounds, executions; and paffive fuffering, without any action or affection; When they turned their pencil from this ghaftly mythor logy, they had commonly recourfe to Ovid, whofe fictions, though paffionate and agreeable; are fearcely natural or probable enough for painting.

The fame inverfion of that principle, which is here infifted on, difplays itfelf in common life, as in the effects of oratory and poetry. Raife fo the fubordinate paffion that it becomes the predominant, it fwallows up that affection which it before nourighed and encreafed. Too much jealoufy extinguihes lover: Too much difficulty renders us indifferent: Too much ficknefs and infirmity difgufts a felfifh and unkind parent.

What fo difagreeable as the difmal, gloomy, difaitrous ftories, with which melancholy people entertain their companions? The uneafy paffion being there raifed alone, unaccompanied with any fpirit, genius, or eloquence, conveys a pure uneafinefs, and is attended with nothing that can foften it into pleafure or fatisfaction.

## E S S A Y XXII.

## Of the Standard of Tasta.

THE great variety of Tafte, as well as of opinion; which prevails in the world, is too obvious not to have fallen under every one's obfervation. Men of the moft confined knowledge are able to remark a difference of tafte in the narrow circle of their acquaintance, even where the perfons have been educated under the fame government, and have early inibibed the fame prejudices. But thofe, who can enlarge their view to contemplate diftant nations and remote ages, are ftill more furprifed at the great inconfiftence and contrariety. We are apt to call barbarous whatever departs widely from our own tafte and apprehenfion: But foon find the epithet of reproach retorted on us. And the higheft arrogance and felf-conceit is at laft ftartled, on obferving an equal affurance on all fides, and fcruples, amidft fuch a conteft of fentiment, to pronounce pofitively in its own favour.
As this variety of tafte is obvious to the moft carelefs enquirer; fo will it be found, on examination, to be fill greater in reality than in appearance. The fentiments of men often differ with regard to beauty and deformity of all kinds, even while their general difcourfe is the fame. There are certain terms in every language, which import blame, and others praife; and all men, who ufe the

Vox. I. $R \quad$ fame
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fame tongue, muft agree in their application of them. Every voice is united in applauding elegance, propriety, fimplicity, fpirit in writing; and in blaming fuftian, affectation, coldnefs, and a falfe brilliancy: But when critics come to particulars, this feeming unanimity vanifhes ; and it is found, that they had affixed a very different meaning to their expreffions. In all matters of opinion and fcience, the cafe is oppofte: The difference among men is there oftener found to lie in generals than in particulars; and to be lefs in reality than in appearance. An explanation of the terms commonly ends the controverly; and the difputants are furprifed to find, that they had been quarrelling, while at bottom they agreed in their judgment.

Thofe who found morality on fentiment, more than on reafon, are inclined to comprehend ethics under the former obfervation, and to maintain, that, in all queftions, which regard conduct and manners, the difference among men is really greater than at firft fight it appears. It is indeed obvious, that writers of all nations and all ages concur in applauding juftice, humanity, magnanimity, prudence, veracity; and in blaming the oppofite qualities. Even poets and other authors, whofe compofitions are chiefly calculated to pleare the imagination, are yet found, from Homer down to Fenelon, to inculcate the fame moral precepts, and to beftow their applaufe and blame on the fame virtues and vices. This great unanimity is ufually afcribed to the influence of plain reafon; which, in all thefe cafes, maintains fimilar fentiments in all men, and prevents thofe controverfies, to which the. abftract fciences are fo much expoled. So far as the unanimity is real, this account may be admitted as fatiffactory: But we muft alfoallow; that fome part of the feeming harmony in morals may be accounted for from the
very nature of language. The word virtue, with its equivalent in every tongues implies praife; as that of eice does blame: And no one, without the moft obvious and groffeft impropriety, could affix reproach to a term; which in general acceptation is underfood in a good fenfe; or beftow applaufe, where the idiom requires difapprobation. Homer's general precepts, where he delivers any fuch, will never be controverted; bat it is obvious, that, when he draws particular pictures of manners, and reprefents heroifm in Achilles and prudence in Ulysses, he intermixes a much greater degree of ferocity in the former, and of cunning and fraud in the latter, than Fenelon would admit of. The fage Ulysses in the Greek poct feems to delight in lies and fictions; and often employs them without any neceffity or even advantage: But his more fcrupulous fon, in the French epic writer, expofes himfelf to the moft imminent perils, rather than depart from the moft exact line of truth and veracity.

The admirers and followers of the Aicorian infift on the excellent moral precepts interfperfed throughout that wild and abfurd performance. But it is to be fuppofed, that the Arabic words, which correfpond to the Einglish, equity, juftice, temperance, meeknefs, charity, were fuch as, from the conftant ufe of that tongue, muft always be taken in a good fenfe; and it would have argued the greateft ignorance, not of morals, but of language, to have mentioned them with any epithets, befides thofe of applaufe and approbation. But would we know, whether the pretended prophet had really attained a juft fentiment of morals? Let us attend to his narration; and we thall foon find, that he beftows praife on fuch inftances of treachery, inhumanity, cruelty, revenge, bigotry, as are utterly incompatible with civilized fociety. No fteady rule of right feems there to be atR. 2 tended
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tended to; and every action is blamed or praifed, fo far only as it is beneficial or hurtful to the true believers.

The merit of delivering true general precepts in ethics is indeed very fmall. Whoever recommends any moral virtues, really does no more than is implied in the terms themfelves. That people, who invented the word charitys and ufed it in a good fenfe, inculcated more clearly and much more efficacioufly, the precept, be cbaritable, than any pretended legiflator or prophet, who frould infert fuch a maxim in his writings. Of all expreffions, thofe, which, together with their other meaning, imply a degree either of blame or approbation, are the leaft liable to be perverted or miftaken.

It is natural for us to feek a Standard of Tafle; a rule, by which the various fentiments of men may be reconcilod; at leaft, a decifion afforded, confirming one fentiment, and condemning another.

There is a fpecies of philofophy, which cuts off all hopes of fuccefs in fuch an attempt, and reprefents the impoffibility of ever attaining any ftandard of tafte. The difference; it is faid, is very wide between judgment and fentiment. All fentiment is right; becaufe fentiment has a reference to nothing beyond itfelf, and is adways real, wherever a man is confcious of it. But adl determinations of the underftanding are not right; becaufe they have a reference to fomething beyond themfelves, to wit, real matter of fact; and are not always conformable to that ftandard. Among, a thoufand different opinions which different men may entertain of the fame fubject, there is one, and but one, that is juft and true; and the only difficulty is to fix and afcertain it. On the contrary, a thoufand different fentiments, excited by the fame object, are all right: Becaufe no fentiment reprefents what is really in the object. It only marks a cer-
tain conformity or relation between the object and the: organs or faculties of the mind; and if that conformity did not really exif, the fentiment could never poffibly have being. Beauty is no quality in things themfelves: It exifts merely in the mind which contemplates them; and each mind perceives a different beauty. One perfon may even perceive deformity, where another is fenfibla of beauty; and every individual ought to acquiefce in his own fentiment, without pretending to regulate thofe of othera. To feek the real beauty, or real deformity, is as fruitlefs an enquiry, as to pretend to afcertain the real fweet'or real bitter. According to the difpofition of the organs, the fame object may be both fweet and bitter: and the proverb has juftly determined it to be fruitlefs to difpute concerning taftes. It is very natural, and even quite neceffary, to extend this ${ }_{q}$ axiom to mental, as well as bodily tafte; and thus common fenfe, which is fe often at variance with philofophy, efpecially with the fceptical kind, is found, in one inftance at leaft, to agree in pronouncing the fame decifion.

But though this axiom, by paffing into a proverb, feems to have attained the fanction of common fenfe; there is certainly a fpecies of common fenfe which oppofes it, at leaft ferves to modify and reftrain it. Whoever would affert an equality of genius and elegance between Ogilby and Milton, or Bunyan and AdDison, would be thought to defend na lefs an extravagance, than if he had maintained a mole-hill -to be as high as Teneriffe, or a pond as extenfive as the ocean. Though there may be found perfons, who give the preference to the former authors; no one pays attention to fuch a tafte; and we pronounce, without fcruple, the fentiment of thefe pretended critics to be abfurd and ridiculous, The principle of the natural equality of taftes
is then totally forgot, and while we admit it on fome occafions, where the objects feem near an equality, it appears an extravagant paradox, or rather a palpable abfurdity, where objects fo difproportioned are compared together.

It is evident that none of the rules of compofition are fixed by reafonings a priori, or can be efteemed abftract conclufions of the underftanding, from comparing thofe habitudes and relations of ideas, which are eternal and immutable. Their foundation is the fame with that of all the practical fciences, experience; nor are they any thing but general obfervations, concerning what has been univerfally found to pleafe in all countries and in all ages. Many of the beauties of poetry, and even of eloquence, are founded on falfehood and fiction, on hyperboles, metaphors, and an abule or perverfion of terms from their natural meaning. To check the fallies of the imagination, and to reduce every expreffion to geometrical truth and exactnefs, would be the moft contrary to the laws of criticifm; becaufe it would produce a work, which, by univerfal experience, has been found the moft infipid and difagreeable. But though poetry can never fubmit to exact truth, it muft be confined by rules of art, difcovered to the author either by genius or obfervation. If fome negligent or irregular writers have pleafed, they have not pleafed by their tranfgreffions of rule or order, but in fite of thefe tranfgrefions: They have poffeffed other beauties, which were conformable to juft criticifn; and the force of thefe beauties has been able to overpower cenfure, and give the mind a fatisfaction fuperior to the difguft arifing from the blemifhes. Ariosto pleafés; but not by his monftrous and improbable fictions, by his bizarre mixture of the ferious and comic fylles, by the want of coherence in his ftories, or
by the continual interruptions of his narration. " He charms by the force and clearnefs of his expreffion, by the readinefs and variety of his inventions, and by his natural-pictures of the paffions, efpecially thofe of the gay and amorous kind: And however his faults may diminith our fatisfaction, they are not able entirely to deftroy it. Did our pleafure really arife from thofe parts of his poem, which we denominate faults, this would be no objection to criticifm in general: It would only be an objection to thofe particular rules of criticifm, which would eftablih fuch circumftances to be faults, and would reprefent them as univerfally blameable. If they are found to pleafe, they cannot be faults; let the pleafure, which they produce, be èver fo unexpected and unaccountable.

But though all the general rules of art are founded only on experience, and on the obfervation of the common fentiments of human nature, we muft not imazine, that, on every occafion, the feelings of men will be conformable to thefe rules. Thofe finer emotions of the mind are of a very tender and delicate nature, and require the concurrence of many favourable circumftances to make them play with facility and exactnefs, according to their general and eftablifhed principles. The leaft exterior hindrance to fuch fmall frings, or the leaft internal diforder, difturbs their motion, and confounds the operation of the whole machine. When we would make an experiment of this nature, and would try the force of any beauty or deformity, we mult choofe with care a proper time and place, and bring the fancy to a fuitable fituation and difpofition. A perfect ferenity of mind, a recollection of thought, a due attention to the object; if any of whefe circumftances be wanting, our experiment will be
*fallacious,
fallacious, and we fhall be unable to judge of the cathohic and univerfal beauty. The relation, which nature has placed between the form and the fentiment, will at leaft be more obfcure; and it will require greater accuracy to trace and difcern it. We thall be able to afcertain its influence, not fo much from the operation of each particular beauty, as from the durable admiration, which attends thofe works, that have furvived all the caprices of mode and fafhion, all the miftakes of ignorance and envy.

The fame Homer, who pleafed at Athens and Rome two thoufand years ago, is ftill admired at Paris and at Lonpon. All the changes of climate, government, religion, and language, have not been able to obfcure his glory. Authority or prejudice may give a temporary vogue to a bad poet or orator ; but his reputation will never be durable or general. When his compofitions are examined by pofterity or by foreigners, the enchantment is diffipated, and his faults appear in their true colours. On the contrary, a real genius, the Jonger his works endure, and the more wide they are fpread, the more fincere is the admiration which he meets with. Envy and jealoufy have too much place in a narrow circle; and even familiar acquaintance with his perfon may diminifh the applaufe due to his performances: But when thefe obftructions are removed, the beauties, which are naturally fitted to excite agreeable fentiments, immediately difplay their energy; and while the world endures, they maintain their authority over the minds of men.

It appears then, that, amidft all the variety and caprice of tafte, there are certain general principles of approbation or blame, whofe influence a careful eye may trace in all operations of the mind. Some particular forms or qualities, from the original fructure of the internal fabric,
bric, are calculated to pleafe, and others to difpleafe; and if they fail of their effect in any particular inftance, it is from fome apparent defeet or imperfection in the organ. A man in a fever would not infift on his palate as able to decide concerning flavours; nor would one, affected with the jaundice, pretend to give a verdict with regard to colours. In each creature, there is a found and a defective flate; and the former alone can be fuppofed to afford us a true ftandard of tafte and fentiment. If, in the found ftate of the organ, there be an entire or a confiderable uniformity of fentiment among men, we may thence derive an idea of the perfect beauty; in like manner as the appeapnnce of objects in day-light, to the eye of a man in health, is denominated their true and real colour, even while colour is allowed to be merely a phantafm of the fenfes.

Many and frequent are the defects in the internal organs, which prevent or weaken the influence of thofe general principles, on which depends our fentiment of peauty or deformity. Though fome objects, by the ftructure of the mind, be naturally calculated to give pleafure, it is not to be expected, that in every individual the pleafure will be equally felt. Particular inci, dents and fituations occur, which either throw a falfe light on the objects, or hinder the true from conveying to the imagination the proper fentiment and perception.

One obvious caufe, why many feel not the proper fentiment of beauty, is the want of that delicacy of imagination, which is requifite to convey a fenfibility of thofe finer emotions. This delicacy every one pretends to: Every one talks of it ; and would reduce every kind of tafte or fentiment to its ftandard. But as our intention in this effay is to mingle forne light of the underftanding
ftanding with-the feelings of fentiment, it will be proper to give a more accurate definition of delicacy, than has hitherto been attempted. And not to draw our philofophy from too profound a fource, we thall have recourfe to a noted fory in Don Quixote.

It is with good reafon, fays Sancho to the fquire with the great nofe, that I. pretend to have a judgment in wine: This is a quality hereditary in our family. Two of my kinfmen were once called to give their opinion of a hoghead, which was fuppofed to be excellent, being old and of a good vintage. One of them taftes it; confiders it ; and after mature reflection pronounces the wine to be good, were it not for a frimall tafte of leather, which he perceived in it. The other, after ufing the fame precautions, gives alfo his verdict in favour of the wine; but with the referve of a tafte of iron, which he could eafily diftinguifh. You cannot imagine how much they were both ridiculed for their judgment. But who Jaughed in the end? On emptying the hogfhead, there was found at the bottom, an old key with a leathern thong tied to it.

The great refemblance between mental and bodily tafte will eafily teach us to apply this ftory. Though it be certain, that beauty and deformity, more than fweet and bitter, are not qualities in objects, but belong entirely to the fentiment, internal or external ; it muft be allowed, that there are certain qualities in objects, which are fitted by nature to produce thofe particular feelings. Now as thefe qualities may be found in a fmall degree, or may be mixed and confounded with each other, it often happens, that the tafte is not affected with fuch minute qualities, or is not able to diftinguifh all the parficular flavours, amidt the diforder in which they are prefented.

## Of the Standard of Taste.

prefented, Where the organs are fo fine, as to allow nothing to efcape them; and at the fame time fo exp act, as to perceive every ingredient in the compofition: This we call delicacy of tafte, whether we employ thefe, terms in the literal or metaphorical fenfe. Here then the general rules of beauty are of ufe; being drawn from eftablifhed models, and from the obfervation of what pleafes or difpleafes, when prefented fingly and in a high degree: And if the fame qualities, in a continued compofition and in a fmaller degree, affect not the organs with a fenfible delight or uneafinefs, we exclude the perfon from all pretenfions to this delicacy. To produce thefe general rules or avowed patterns of compofition, is like finding the key with the leathern thong; which juftified the verdict of Sancho's kinfmen, and confounded thofe pretended judges who had condemned them. Though the hog!head had never been emptied, the tafte of the one was ftill equally delicate, and that of the other equally dull and languid: But it would have been more difficult to have proved the fuperiority of the former, to the conviction of every by-ftander. In like manner, though the beauties of writing had never been methodized, or reduced to general principles; though no excellent models had ever been acknowledged; the different degrees of tafte would ftill have fubfifted, and the judgment of one man been preferable to that of another; but it would not have been fo eafy to filence the bad critic, whe might always infift upon his particular fentiment, and refufe to fubmit to his antagonift. But when we fhow him an ayowed principle of art; when we illuftrate this principle by examples, whofe' operation, from his own particular tafte, he acknowledgés to be comformable to the principle; when we prove, that the fame principle may be applied to the prefent cafe, where
where he did not-perceive or feel its influence: He muft conclude, upon the whole, that the fault lies in himfelf, and that he wants the delicacy, which is requifite to make him fenfible of every beauty and every blemifh, in any compofition or difcourfe.

It is acknowledged to be the perfection of every fenfe or faculty, to perceive with exactnefs its moft minute objects, and allow nothing to efcape its notice and obfer-vation. The fmaller the objects are, which become fenfible to the eye, the finer is that organ, and the more - elaborate its make and compofition. A good palate is not tried by ftrong flavours; but by a mixture of fmall ingredients, where we are fill fenfible of eaeh part, notwithftanding its minutenefs and its confufion with the reft. In like manner a quick and acute perception of beauty and deformity mult be the perfection of our mental tafte; nor can a man be fatisfied with himfelf while he fufpects, that any excellence or blemifh in a difcourfe has paffed him unobferved. In this cafe, the perfection of the man, and the perfection of the fenfe or feeling, are found to be united. A very delicate palate, on many occafions, may be a great inconvenience both to a man himfelf and to his friendss But a delicate tafte of wit or beauty muft always be a defirable quality; becaufe it is the fource of all the fineft and moft innocent enjoyments, of which human nature is fufceptible. In this decifion the fentiments of all mankind are agreed. Wherever you can afcertain a delicacy of tafte, it is fure to meet with approbation; and the beft way of afcertaining it is to appeal to thofe models and principles, which have been eftablifhed by the uniform confent and experience of nations and ages.

But though there be naturally a wide difference in point of delicacy between one perfon and another,
nothing tends further to encreafe and improve this talent, than practice in a particular art, and the frequent furvey or contemplation of a particular fpecies of beauty. When objects of any kind are firft prefented to the eye or imagination, the rentiment, which attends them, is obfcure and confufed; and the mind is, in a great meafure, incapable of pronouncing concerning their merits or defects. The tafte cannot perceive the feveral excellencies of the performance; much lefs diftinguifh the particular charactet of each excellency, and afcertain its quality and degree. If it pronounce the whole in general to be beautiful or deformed, it is the utmoft that can be expected; and even this judgment, a perfon, fo unpractifed, will be apt to deliver with great hefitation and referve. But allow him to acquire experience in thofe objects, his feeling becomes more exact. and nice: He not only perceives the beaukies and defects of each part, but marks the diftinguifhing fpecies of each quality, and affigns it fuitable praife or blame. A clear and diftinot fentiment attends him through the whole furvey of the objects; and he difcerns that very degree and kind of approbation or difpleafure, which each part is naturadly fitted to produce. The mift diffipates, which feemed formerly to hang over the object: The organ aequires greater perfection in its operations; and can pronounce, without danger of miftake, concerning the merits of every performance. In a word, the fame addrefs and dexterity, which practice gives to the execution of any work, is alfo acquired by the fame means, in the judging of it.

So advantageous is practice to the difcernment of beauty, that, before we can give judgment on any work of importance, it will even be requifite, that that very individual performance be more than once perufed by ats,
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and be furveyed in different lights with attention and deliberation. There is a flutter or hurry of thought which attends the firft perufal of any piece, and which confounds the genaine fentiment of beauty. The relation of the parts is not difcerned: The true characters of ftyle are little diftinguifhed: The feveral perfections and defects feem wrapped up in a fpecies of confufion, and prefent themfelves indiftinctly to the imagination. Not to mention, that there is a fpecies of beauty, which, as it is florid and fuperficial, pleafes at firft; but being found incompatible with a juft expreffion either of reafon or paffion, foon palls upon the tafte, and is then rejected with difdain, at leaft rated at a much lower value.
It is impoffible to continue in the practice of contemplating any order of beauty; without being frequently obliged to form comparifons between the feveral fpecies and degrees of excellence, and eftimating their proportion to each other. A man, who has had no opportunity of comparing the different kinds of beauty, is indeed totally unqualified to pronounce an opinion with regard to any object prefented to him. By comparifon alone we fix the epithets of praife or blame, and learn how to affige the due degree of each. The coarfeft daubing contains a certain luftre of colours and exactnefs of imitation, which are fo far beauties, and would affect the mind of a peafant or Indian with the higheft admiration. The moft vulgar ballads are not entirely deftitute of harmony or nature; and none but a perfon, familiarized to fuperior beauties, would pronounce their numbers harfh, or narration uninterefting. A great inferiority of beauty gives pain to a perfon converfant in the higheft excellence of the kind, and is for that reafon pronounced a deformity: As the moft finifhed object, with which we are acquainted, is naturally fuppofed to have reached the
pinnacle of perfection, and to be entitled to the higheft applaufe. One accuftomed to fee, and examine, and weigh the feveral performances, admired in different ages and nations, can alone rate the merits of a work exhibited to his view, and affign its proper rank among the productions of genius.

But to enable a critic the more fully to execute this undertaking, he mult preferve his mind free from all prejudice, and allow nothing to enter into his confideration, but the very object which is fubmitted to his examination. We may obferve, that every work of art, in order to produce its due effect on the mind, mult be furveyed in a certain point of view, and cannot be fully. relifhed by perfons, whofe fituation, real or imaginary, is not conformable to that which is required by the performance. An orator addreffes himfelf to a particular audience, and mult have a regard to their particular genius, interefts, opinions, paffions, and prejudices; otherwife he hopes in vain to govern their refolutions, and inflame their affections. Should they even have entertained fome prepoffeffions againft him, however unreafonable, he muft not overlook this difadvantage ; but, before he enters upon the fubject, muft endeavour to conciliate their affection, and acquire their good graces. A critic of a different age or nation, who fhould perufe this difcourfe, muft have all thefe circumftances in his eye, and muft place himfelf in the fame fituation as the audience, in order to form a true judgment of the oration. In like manner, when any work is addreffed to the public, though I fhould have a friendfhip or enmity with the author, I muft depart from this fituation; and confidering myfelf as a man in general, forget, if poffible, my individual being and my peculiar circumftances. A perfon influenceed by prejudice, complies not with
this condition; bist obftinately maintains his natuial pofition, without placing himfelf in that point of view; which the performance fuppofes. If the work be addreffed to perfons of a different age or nation; he makes no allowance for their peculiar views and prejudices; but; full of the manners of his own age and country; rafhly condemns what feemed adrinirable in the eyes of thofe for whom alone the difcourfe was calculated. If the work be executed for the public, he never fufficiently enlarges his comprehenfion, or forgets his intereft as a friend or enemy, as a rival or commentator. By this means, his fentiments are perverted; nor have the fame beauties and blemifhes the fame influence upon him, as if he had impofed a proper violence on his imagination, and had forgotten himfelf for a moment. So far his tafte evidently departs from the true flandard; and of confequence lofes all credit and authority.

It is well known, that in all queftions, fubmitted to the underftanding, prejudice is deftructive of found judgment, and perverts all operations of the intellectual faculties: It is no lefs contrary to good tafte; nor has it lefs influerice to corrupt our fentiment of beaty: It belongs to good fenfe to cheok its. influence in both cafes; and in this refpect, as well as in many others; reafon, if not an effential part of tafte, is at teaft requifite to the operations of this latter faculty. In all the nobler productions of genius, there is a mutual relation and correfpondence of parts; nor can either the beauties or blemifhes be perceived by him, whofe thought is not capacious enough to comprehend all thofe parts, and compare them with each other, in order to perceive the confiftence and uniformity of the whole. Every work of art has alfo a certain end or purpofe, for which it is calculated; and is to be deemed more or lefs perfect, as it
is more or lefs fitted to attain this end. The object of eloquence is to perfuade, of hiftory to inftruct, of poetry to pleafe, by means of the paffions and the imagination. 'Thefe ends we muft carry confantly in our view, when we perufe any performance; and we mult be able to judge how far the means employed are adapted to their refpective purpofes. Befides, every kind of compofition, even the moft poetical, is nothing but a chain of propofitions and reafonings; not always; indeed, the jufteft and moft exact, but ftill plaufible and fpecious, however difguifed by the colouring of the imagination: The perfons introduced in tragedy and epic poetry, muft be reprefented as reafoning, and thinking, and concluding, and acting; fuitably to their character and circumftances; and without judgment, as well as tafte and invention; a poet can never hope to fucceed in fo delicate an undertaking. Not to mention, that the fame excellence of faculties which contributes to the improvement of reafon, the fame clearnefs of conception, the fame exainefs of diftinction, the Tame vivacity of apprehenfion, are eifential to the operations of true tafte, and are its infallible concomitants. It feldom, or never happens; that a man of fenfe, who has experience in any art, cannot judge of its beauty; and it is no lefs rare to meet with a man who has a juft tafte without a found underfanding.

Thus, though the principles of tafte be univerfal, and nearly, if not entirely the fame in all men; yet few are qualified to give judgment on any work of art, or eftablifh their own fentiment as the ftandard of beauty. The organs of internal fenfation are feldom fo perfeit as to allow the general principles their full play, and produce a feeling correfpondent to thofe principles. They either labour under fome defect, or are vitiated by fome diforder; and by that means, excite a fentiment, which may Vor. I.
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be proncunced erronenus. When the critic has no delicacy, he judges without any difinction, and is only affected by the groffer and more palpable qualities of the object : The finer touches pafs unnoticed and difregarded. Where he is not aided by practice, his verdict is attended with confufion and belitation. Where no comparifon has teen employed, the moft frivolous beauties, fuch as rather merit the name of defects, are the object of his admiration. Where he lies under the influence of prejudice, all his natural fentiments are perverted. Where good fenfe is wanting, he is not qualified to difcern the beauties of uffign and reafoning, which are the higheft and moft excellent. Under fome or other of thefe imperfections, the generality of men labour; and hence a true judge in the finer arts is obferved, even during the moft polifict ages, to be fo rare a character: Strong fenfe, united to delicatc fentiment, improved by practice, perfected by comparifon, and cleared of all prejudice, can alone entitle critics to this valuable character; and the joint verdiat of fuch, wherever they are to be found, is the true ftandard of tafte and beauty.

But where are fuch critics to be found? By what marks are they to be known? How diftinguith them from pretenders? Thefe queftions are embarraffing; and feem to throw us back into the fame uncertainty, from which, during the courfe of this effay, we have endeavoured to extricate ourfelves.

But if we confider the matter aright, thefe are queftions of fact, not of fentiment. Whether any particular perfon be endowed with good fenfe and a delicate imagination, free from prejudice, may often be the fubject of difpute, and be liable to great difcuffion and enquiry: But that fuch a character is valuable and eftimable will be agreed in by all mankind. Where thefe doubts occur, men can do no more than in other difputable queftions, which
which are fubmitted to the underfanding: They muft produce the beft arguments, that their invention fuggefts to them; they muft acknowledge a true and decifive ftandard to exift fomewhere, to wit, real exiftence and matter of fart; and they mult have indulgence to fuch as differ from them in their appeals to this ftandard. It is fufficient for our prefent purpofe; if we have proved, 'that the tafte of all individuals is not upon an equal footing, and that fome men in general, however difficult to be particularly pitched upon, will be acknowledged by univerfal fentiment to have a preference above others.

But in reality the difficulty of finding, even in particulars, the ftandard of tafte, is not fo great as it is reprefented. Though in fpeculation, we may readily avow a certain criterion in fcience, and deny it in fentiment, the matter is found in practice to be much more hard to afcertain in the former cafe than in the latter. Theories of abftract philofophy, fyftems of proficund theology, have prevailed during one age: In a fuccemive period, thefe have been univerfally exploded: Their abfurdity has been detected: Other theories and fytems have fupplied their place, which again gave place to their fucceffors: And nothing has been experienced more liable to the revolutions of chance and fafhion than thefe pretended decifions of fcience. The cafe is not the farne with the. beauties of eloquence and poetry. Juft expreffions of paffion and nature are fure, after a little time, togain publicapplaufe, which they maintain forever: Aìmetotee, and Plato, and Epicurus, and Descartes, may fucceffively yield to each other: But Terence and -Virgil maintain an univerfal, undifputed empire over the minds of men. The abftract philofophy of Cicero has loft its credit : The vehemence of his oratory is fill the object of our admiration.

Though

Though men of delicate tafte be rare, they are eafily to be diftinguifhed in fociety, by the foundnefs of their underftanding, and the fuperiority of their faculties above the reft of mankind. The afcendant, which they acquire, gives a prevalence to that lively approbation, with which they receive any productions of genius, and renders it generally predominant. Many men, when left to themfelves, have but a faint and dubious perception of beauty, who yet are capable of relifhing any fine ftroke which is pointed out to them. Every convert to the admiration of the real poet or orator is the caufe of fome new converfion. And though prejudices may prevail for a time, they never unite in celebrating any rival to the true genius, but yield at laft to the force of nature and juft fentiment. Thus, though a civilized nation may eafily be miftaken in the choice of their admired philofopher, they never have been found long to err, in their affection for a favourite epic or tragic author.

But notwithftanding all our endeavours to fix a ftandard of tafte, and rcconcile the difcordant apprehenfions of men, there ftill remain two fources of variation, which are not fufficient indeed to confound all the boundaries of beauty and deformity, but will often ferve to produce a difference in the degrees of our approbation or blame. The one is the different humours of particuiar men; the other, the particular manners and opinions of our age and country. 'The general principles of tafte are uniform in human nature: Where men vary in their judgments, fome defect or perverfion in the faculties may commonly be remarked; proceeding either from prejudice, from want of practice, or want of delicacy: and there is juft reafon for approving one tafte, and ce:aemning another. But where there is fuch a diverfity in the internal frame or external fituation as is entirely blamelefs
on both fides, and leaves no room to give one the preference above the other; in that cafe, a certain degree of diverfity in judgment is unavoidable, and we feek in vain for a ftandard, by which we can reconcile the contrary fentiments.

A young man, whofe paffions are warm, will be more fenfibly touched with amorous and tender images, than a man more advanced in years, who takes pleafure in wife, philofophical reflections concerning the conduct of life and moderation of the paffions. At twenty, Ovid may be the favourite author; Horace at forty; and perhaps Tacitus at fifty. Vainly would we, in fuch cafes, endeavour to enter into the fentiments of others, and diveft ourfelves of thofe propenfities, which are natural to us. We choofe our favourite author as we do our friend, from a conformity of humour and difpofition. Mirth or paffion, fentiment or reflection; whichever of thefe moft predominates in our temper, it gives us a peculiar fympathy with the writer who refembles us.

One perfon is more pleafed with the fublime; another with the tender; a third with raillery. One hạs a ftrong fenfibility to blemihes, and is extremely ftudious of correctnefs: Another has a more lively feeling of beauties, and pardons twenty abfurdities and defects for one eleyated or pathetic ftroke. The ear of this man is entirely turned towards concifenefs and energy; that man is delighted with a copious, rich, and harmonious expreffion. Simplicity is affected by one; ornament by another. Copmedy, tragedy, fatire, odes, have each its partizans, who prefer that particular fpecies of writing to all others. It is plainly an error in a critic, to confine his approbation to one fpecies or fylle of writing, and condemn all the reft. But it is almont imponible not to
feel a predilection for that which fuits our particular turn and difpofition. Such preferences are innocent and unavoidable, and can never reafonably be the object of dirpute, becaufe there is no ftandard, by which they can be decided.

For a like reafon, we are more pleafed, in the courfe of our reading, with pictures and characters that refemble objects which are found in our own age or country, than with thofe which defcribe a different fet of cuftoms. It is not without fome effort, that we reconcile ourfelves to the fimplicity of ancient manners, and behold princeffes carrying water from the fpring, and kings and heroes dreffing their own victuals. We may allow in general, that the reprefentation of fuch manners is no fault in the author, nor deformity in the piece; but we are not fo fenffbly touched with them." For this reafon, comedy is not eafily transferred from one age or nation to another. A Frenchman or Englishman is not pleafed with the Andria of Terence, or Ceitia of Machiavel; where the fine lady, upon whom all the play turns, never once appears to the fpectators, but is always kept behind the fcenes, fuitably to the referved humour of the ancient Greeks and modern Italians. A man of learning and reflection can make allowance for thefe peculiarities of manners; but a common audience can never diveft themfelves fo far of their ufual ideas and fentiments, as to relith pictures which no wife refemble them.

But here there occurs a reflection, which may, perhaps, be ufeful in examining the celebrated controverfy concerning ancient and modern learning; where we often find the one fide excufing any feeming abfurdity in the ancients from the manners of the age, and the other
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refufing to admit this excufe, or at leaft aumitting it only as an apology for the author, not for the performance. In my opinion, the proper boundaries in this fubject have feldom been fixed between the contending parties. . Where any innocent peculiarities of manners are reprefented, fuch as thofe above mentioned, they ought certainly to be admitted; and a man, who is fhocked with them, gives an evident procf of falfe delicacy and refinement. The poet's monument more durable than brafs, muft fall to the ground like common brick or clay, were men to make no allowance for the continual revolutions of manners and cuftoms, and would admit of nothing but what was fuitable to the prevailing fafhion. Muft, we throw afide the pilures of our ancefiors, be; caufe of their ruffs and fardingales? But where the ideas of morality and decency alter from one age to another, and where vicious manners are defcribed, without being marked with the proper characiers of blame and difapprobation; this muft be allowed to disfigure the poem, and to be a real deformity. I cannet, nor is it proper I fhould, enter into fuch fentiments; and however I may excufe the poet, on account of the manners of his age, I never can relifh the compofition. The want of humanity and of decency, fo confpicuous in the characters drawn by feveral of the ancient poets, even fometimes by Homer and the Greek tragedians, diminifhes confiderably, the merit of their noble performances, and gives modern authors an advantage over them. We are not interefted in the fortunes and fentiments of fuch rough heroes: We are difpleafed to find the limits of vice and virtue fa much confounded : And whatever indulgence we may give to the writer on account of his prejudices, we cannot prevail on ourfelves to enter into his fentiments, or bear an
affection to characters, which we plainly difcover to be blameable.

The cafe is not the fame with moral principles, as with fpeculative opinions of any kind. Thefe are in continual flux and revolution. The fon embraces a different fyftem from the father. Nay, there fcarcely is any man, who can boaft of great conftancy and uniformity in this particular. Whatever fpeculative errors may be found in the polite writings of any age or country, they detract but little from the value of thofe compofitions. There needs but a certain turn of thought or imagination to make us enter into all the opinions, which then prevailed, and relifh the fentiments or conclufions. derived from them. But a very violent effort is requifite to change our judgment of manners, and excite fentiments of approbation or blame, love or hatred, different from thofe to which the mind from long cuftom has been familiarized. And where a man is confident of the rectitude of that moral ftandard, by which he judges, he is juftly jealous of it, and will not pervert the fentiments of his heart for a moment, in complaifance to any writer whatfoever.

Of all fpeculative errors, thofe, which regard religion, are the moft excufable in compofitions of genius; nor is it ever permitted to judge of the civility or wifdom of any people, or even of fingle perfons, by the groffnefs or refinement of their theological principles. The fame good fenfe, that directs men in the ordinary occurrences of life, is not hearkened to in religious matters, which are fuppofed to be placed altogether above the cognizance of human reafon. On this account, all the abfurdities of the pagan fyftem of theology muft be overlooked by every critic, who would pretend to form a juft notion of ancient poetry; and our pofterity, in their turn, muft have
have the fame indulgence to their forefathers. No religious principles can ever be imputed as a fault to any poet, while they remain merely principles, and take not fach ftrong poffeffion of his heart, as to lay him under the imputation of bigotry or fuperfition. Where that happens, they confound the fentiments of morality, and alter the natural boundaries, of vice and virtue. They are therefore eternal blemifhes, according to the principle above mentioned; nor are the prejudices and falfe opinions -of the age fufficient to juftify them.

It is effential to the Roman catholic religion to infpire a violent hatred of every other worfhip, and to reprefent all pagans; mahometans, and heretics, as the objects of divine wrath and vengeance. Such fentiments, though they are in reality very blameable, are confidered as virtues by the zealots of that communion, and are reprefented in their tragedies and epic poems as a kind of divine heroifm. This bigotry has disfigured twg very fine tragedies of the French theatre, Polieucte and Athalia; where an intemperate zeal for particular modes of worhhip is fet off with all the pomp imaginable, and forms the predominant character of the heroes. "What is this," fays the fublime Joad to Josaber, finding her in difcourfe with Mathan, the prieft of Baal, " Does the daughter of David feak to this " traitor? Are you not afraid, left the earth fhould "open and pour forth flames to devour you both? Or, " left thefe holy walls fhould fall and crufh jou toge" ther? What is his purpofe? Why comes that enemy " of God hither to poifon the air, which we breathe " with his horrid prefence ?" Such fentiments are received with great applaufe on the theatre (f Paris; but at London the fpectators would be full as much pleafed to hear Achilles tell Agamemncn, that he was a
dog in his forehead, and a deer in his heart ; or Jupiter threaten Juno with a found drubbing, if fhe will not be quiet.

Religious principles are alfo a blemifh in any polite compofition, when they rife up to fuperftition, and intrude themfelves into every fentiment, however remote from any connection with religion. It is no excufe for the poet, that the cuftoms of his country had burthened life with fo many religious ceremonies and obférvances, that no part of it was exempt from that yoke. It muft for ever be ridiculous in Petrarch to compare his miftrefs, Laura, to Jesus Christ. Nor is it lefs ridiculous in that agreeable libertine, Boccace, very ferioully to give thanks to God Aimighty and the Jadies, for their affenace in defending him againft his enemies.
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THE greater part of mankind may be divided inte two claffes; that of 乃ollow thinkers, who fall Thort of the truth; and that of abjtrufe thinkers, who ge beyond it. The latter class are by far the moft rare: and I may add, by far the moft ufeful and valuable. They fuggeft hints, at leaft, and fart difficulties, which they want, perhaps, fkill to purfue; but which may pro-duce fine difcoveries, when handled by men who have 2 more juft way of thinking. At worft, what they fay is uncommon; and if it fhould coft fome pains to comprehend it, one has, however, the pleafure of hearing fomething that is new. An author is little to be valued, whe tells us nothing but what we can learn from every coffeehoufe converfation.

All people of Ballow thought are apt to decry even thofe of Solid underftanding, as abfrufe thinkers, and metaphyficians, and refiners; and never will allow any thing to be juft which is beyond their own weak conceptions. There are fome cafes, I own, where an extraordinary refinement affords a ftrong prefumption of fallehood, and where no reafoning is to be trufted but what is natural and eafy. When a man deliberates concerning his conduct in any particular affair, and forms fchemes in politics,
politics, trade, œcconomy, or any bufinefs in life, he never ought to draw his arguments too fine, or connect too long a chain of confequences together. -Something is fure to happen, that will difconcert his reafoning, and produce an event different from what he expected. But when we reafon upon general fubjects, one may juftly affirm, that our feculations can fcarcely ever be too fine, provided they be juft ; and that the difference between a common man and a man of genius, is chiefly feen in the fhallownefs or depth of the principles upon which they proceed. General reafonings feem intricate, merely becaufe they are general; nor is it eafy for the bulk of mankind to diftinguifh, in a great number of particulars, that common circumftance in which they all agree, or to extract it, pure and unmixed, from the other fuperflyous circumftances. Every judgment or conclufion, with them, is particular. "They cannot enlarge their view to thofe univerfal propofitions, which comprehend under them an infinite number of individuals, and include a whole fcience in a fingle theorem. Their eye is confounded with fuch an extenfive profpect ; and the conclufions, derived from it, even though clearly expreffed, feem intricate and obfcure. But however intricate they may feem, it is certain, that general principles, if juft and found, muft always prevail in the general courfe of things, though they may fail in particular cafes; and it is the chief bufinefs of philofophers to regard the general courfe of things. I may add, that it is alfo the chief bufinefs of politicians; efpecially in the domeftic government of the ftate, where the public good, which is, or ought to be their object, depends on the concurrence of a multitude of caufes; not, as in foreign politics, on accidents and ehances, and the caprices of a few perfons. This therefore makes thè difference between particular deliberations
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and general reafonings, and renders fubtility and refinement much more fuitable to the latter than to the former.

I thought this introduction neceffary before the following difcourfes on commerce, money, intergt; balance of tnade, E'c. where, perhaps, there will occur fome principles which are uncommon, and which may feem too refined and fubtile for fuch vulgar fubjects. If falfe, let them be rejected: But no one ought to entertain a prejudice againf them, merely becaufe they are out of the common road.

The greatnefs of a fate, and the happinefs of its fubjects, how independent foever they may be fuppofed in fome refpects, are commonly allowed to be infeparable with regard to commerce; and as private men receive greater fecurity, in the poffeffion of their trade and riches, from the power of the public, fo the public becomes powerful in proportion to the opulence and extenfive commerce of private men. This maxim is true in general ; though I cannot forbear thinking, that it may poffibly admit of exceptions, and that we often eftablifh it with too little referve and limitation. There may be fome circumftances, where the commerce and riches and luxury of individuals, inftead of adding ftrength to the public, will ferve only to thin its armies, and diminifh its authority among the neighbouring nations. Man is a very variable being, and fufceptible of many different opinions, principles, and rules of conduct. What may be true, while he adheres to one way of thinking, will be found falfe, when he has embraced an oppofite fet of manners and opinions.

The bulk of every ftate may be divided into bafoandmen and manufacturers. The former are employed in the culture of the land; the latter works up the materials
furnihed
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furnifhed by the former, into all the commodities whichare neceffary or ornamental to human life. As foon as men quit their favage ftate, where they live chiefly bj' hunting and fifhing, they mult fall into thefe two claffes; though the arts of agriculture employ at firft the moft numerous part of the fociety*. Time and experience improve fo much thefe arts, that the land may eafily maintain a much greater number of men, than thofe who are immediately employed in its culture, or who furnifh the more neceffary maniufactures to fuch as are fo employed.

If thefe fuperfluous hands apply themfelves to the finer arts, which are commonly denominated the arts of luxury; they add to the happinefs of the ftate ; fince they afford to many the opportunity of receiving enjoyments, with which they would otherwife have been unacquainted. But may not another fcheme be propofed for the employment of thefe fuperfluous hands? May not the fovereign lay claim_to them, and employ them in fleets and armies, to encreafe the dominions of the ftate abroad, and fpread its fame over diftant nations? It is certain that the fewer defires and wants are found in the proprietors and labourers of land, the fewer hands do they employ; and confequently the fuperfluities of the land, inftead of maintaining tradefmen and manufacturers, may fupport fleets and armies to a much greater extent, than where a great many arts are required to minifter to the luxury

[^37]of particular perfons. Here therefore feems to be a lind of oppofition between the greatnefs of the ftate and the happinefs of the fubject. A ftate is never greater than when all its fuperfluous hands are employed in the fervice of the public. The eafe and convenience of private perfons require, that thefe hands fhould be employed in their fervice. The one can never be fatisfied but at the expence of the other. As the ambition of the fovereign muft entrench on the luxury of individuals; fo the luxury of individuals muft diminifh the force, and check the ambition, of the fovereign.

Nor is this reafoning merely chimerical; but is founded on hiftory and experience. The republic of Sparta was certainly more powerful than any ftate now in the world, confifting of an equal number of people; and this was owing entirely to the want of commerce and luxury. The Helotes were the labourers: The Spartans were the foldiers or gentlemen. It is evident, that the labour of the Helotes could not have maintained fo great a number of Spartans, had thefe latter lived in eafe and delicacy, and given employment to a great variety of trades and manufactures. The like policy may be remarked in Rome. And, indeed, throughout all ancient hiftory, it is obfervable, that the fmalleft republics raifed and maintained greater armies, than ftates, confifting of triple the number of inhabitants, are able to fupport at prefent. It is computed, that, in all Europran nations, the proportion between foldiers and peoplé does not exceed one to a hundred. But we read, that the city of Rome alone, with its fmall territory, raifed and maintained, in early times, ten legions againft the LAtins. Athens, the whole of whofe dominions was not larger than Yorkshire, fent to the expedition againft Vol. I. $T$ Sicily

Sicily near forty thoufand men*. Dionysius the elder, it is faid, maintained a ftanding army of a hundred thoufand foot and ten thoufand horfe, befides a large fleet of four hundred fail $\dagger$; though his territories extended no farther than the city of Syracuse, about a third of the illand of Sicily, and fome fea-port towns and garrifons on the coaft of Italy and Illyricum. It is true, the ancient armies, in time of war, fubfifted much upon plunder: But did not the enemy plunder in their turn? which was a more ruinous way of levying a tax, than any other that could be devifed. In hhort, no probable reafon can be affigned for the great power of the more ancient ftates above the modern, but their want of commerce and luxury. Few artizans were maintained by the labour of the farmers, and therefore more foldiers might live upon it. Livy fays, that Rome, in his time, would find it difficult to raife as large an army as that which, in her early days, fire fent out againft the Gauls and Latins $\ddagger$. Infead of thofe foldiers who fought for diberty and empire in Camillus's time, there were, in Augustus's days, muficians, painters, cooks ${ }_{0}$. players, and tailors ; and if the land was equally cultivated at both periods, it could certainly maintain equal numbers in the one profeffion as in the other. They added nothing to the mere neceffaries of life, in the latter period more than in the former.

It is natural on this occafion to afk, whether fovereigns may not return to the maxims of ancient policy, and

[^38]confult their own intereft in this refpect, more than the happinefs of their fubjects? I anfwer, that it appears to me almof impoffible; and that becaufe ancient poticy was violent, and contrary to the more natural and ufual courfe of things. It is well known with what peculiar laws Sparta was governed, and what a prodigy that republic is, jufly efteemed by every one, who has confidered human nature, as it has difplayed itfelf in other nations, and other ages. Were the teftimony of hiftory lefs pofitive and circumftantial, fuch a government would appear a mere philofophical whim or fiction, and impoffible ever to be reduced to practice. And though the Roman and other ancient republics were fupported on principles fomewhat more natural, yet was there an extraordinary concurrence of circumftances to make them fubmit to fuch grievous burthens. They were free ftates; they were fmall ones; and the age being martial, all their neighbours were continually in arms. Freedom naturally begets public firit, efpecially in finall ftates; and this public fpirit, this amor patria, muft encreafe, when the public is almoft in continual alarm, and men are obliged; every moment, to expofe themfelves to the greateft dangers for its defence. A continual fuccefion of wars makes every citizen a foldier: He takes the field in his turn : And during his fervice he is chiefly maintained by himfelf. This fervice is indeed equivalent to a heavy tax ; yet is it lefs felt by a people addicted to arms, who fight for honour and revenge more than pay, and are unacquainted with gain andinduftry as well as pleafure *. Not to mention the great equality of fortunes among the inhalitants of the ancient republics, where every field, belonging to a different proprietor, was able to
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maintain a family, and rendered the numbers of citizens very confiderable, even without trade and manufactures.

But though the want of trade and manufactures, among 2 free and very martial people, may fometimes have no other effect than to render the public more powerful, it is certain, that, in the common courfe of human affairs, it will have a quite contrary tendency. Sovereigns muft take mankind as they find them, and cannot pretend to introduce any violent change in their principles and ways of thinking. A long courfe of time, with a variety of accidents and circumftances, are requifite to produce thofe great revolutions, which fo much diverfify the face of human affairs. And the lefs natural any fet of principles are, which fupport a particular fociety, the more difficulty will a legiffator meet with in raifing and cultivating them. It is his beft policy to comply with the common bent of mankind, and give it all the improvements of which it is fufceptible. Now, according to the moft natural courfe of things, induftry and arts and trade increafe the power of the fovereign, as well as the happinefs of the fubjects; and that policy is violent, which aggrandizes the public by the poverty of individuals. This will eafily appear from a few confiderations, which will prefent to us the confequences of floth and barbarity.

Where manufactures and mechanic arts are not cultivated, the bulk of the people muft apply themfelves to agriculture; and if their fkill and induftry encreafe, there mult arife a great fuperfluity from their labour, beyond what fuffices to maintain them. They have no temptation, therefore, to encreafe their fkill and induftry; fince they cannot exchange that fuperfluity for any commodities, which may ferve either to their pleafure or vanity. A habit of indolence naturally prevails. The
greater part of the land lies uncultivated. What is cultivated, yields not its utmoft for want of fkill and affiduity in the farmers. If at any time the public exigencies require, that great numbers hould be employed in the public fervice, the labour of the people furnifhes now no fuperfluities, by which thefe numbers can be maintained. The labourers cannot encreafe their tkill and induftry on a fudden. Lands uncultivated cannot be brought into tillage for fome years. The armies, mean while, muft either make fudden and violent conquefts, or difband for want of fubfiftence. A regular attack or defence, therefore, is not to be expected from fuch a people, and their foldiers muft be as ignorant and unkilful as their farmers and manufacturers.

Every thing in the world is purchafed by labour ; and our paffions are the only caufes of labour. When a nation abounds in manufactures and mechanic arts, the proprietors of land, as well as the farmers, Atudy agriculture as a fcience, and redouble their induftry and attention. The fuperfluity, which arifes from their labour, is not loft; but is exchanged with manufa\&tures for thofe commodities, which men's luxury now makes them covet. By this means, land furnihes a great deal more of the neceffaries of life, than what fuffices for thofe who cultivate it. In times of peace and tranquillity, this fuperfluity goes to the maintenance of manufacturers, and the improvers of liberal arts. But it is eafy for the public to convert many of thefe manufacturers into foldiers, and maintain them by that fuperfuity, which arifes from the labour of the farmers. Accordingly we find, that this is the cafe in all civilized governments. When the fovereign raifes an army, what is the confequence? He impofes a tax. This tax obliges all the people to retrench what is leaft neceffary to their fubfiftence.

Thofe, who labcur in fuch commodities, muft either enlift in the troops, or turn themfelves to agriculture, and thereby oblige fome labourers to enlift for want of bufinefs. And to confider the matter abifractedly, manufactures encreafe the power of the ftate only as they ftore up fo much labour, and that of a kind to which the public may lay claim, without depriving any one of the receffaries of life. The more labour, therefore, is employed beyond mere neceffaries, the more powerful is any ftate; fince the perfons engaged in that labour may eafly be converted to the public fervice. In a ftate without manufactures, there may be the fame number of hands; but there is not the fame quantity of labour, nor of the fame kind. All the labour is there beftowed upon neceffaries, which can admit of-little or no abatement.

Thus the greatnefs of the fovereign and the happinefs of the ftate are, in a great meafure, united with regard to trade and manufactures. It is a violent method, and in moft cafes impracticable, to oblige the labourer to toil, in order to raife from the land more than what fubfits himfelf and family. Furnifh him with manufactures and commodities, and he will do it of himfelf. Afterwards you will find it eafy to feize fome part of his fuperfluous labour, and employ it in the public fervice, without giving him his wonted return. Being accuftomed to induftry, he will think this lefs grievous, than if, at once, you obliged him to an augmentation of labour without any reward. The cafe is the fame with regard to the other members of the ftate. The greater is the ftock of labour of all kinds, the greater quantity may be taken from the heap, without making any fenfible alteration in it.

A public granary of corn, a ftorehoufe of cloth, a magazine of arms; all thefe muft be allowed real riches
and frength in any fate. Trade and induftry are really nothing but a fock of labour, which, in times of peace and tranquillity, is employed for the eafe and fatisfaction of individuals; but in the exigencie of ftate, may, in part, be turned to public advantage. Could we.convert a city into a kind of fortified camp, and infufe into each breaft fo martial a genius, and fucia a pafion for public good, as to make every one willing to undergo the greateft fiardhips for the fake of the public; thefe affections might now, as in ancient times, prove alone a fufficient fpur to induftry, and fupport the community. It would then be advantageous, as in camps, to banifh all arts and luxury; and, by reftrictions on equipage and tables, make the provifions and forage laft longer than if the army were loaded with a number of fuperfluous retainers, But as thefe principles are too difinterefted and too difficult fo fupport, it is requifite to govern men by other paffons, and animate them with a fpirit of avarice and induftry, art and luxury. The camp is, in this cafe, loaded with a fuperfluous retinuc; but the provifions flow in proportionably larger. The harmony of the whole is ftill fupported; and the natural bent of the mind being more complied with, individuals, as well as the public, find their account in the obfervance of thofe maxims.

The fame method of reafoning will let us fee the advantage of foreign commerce, in augmenting the power of the ftate, as well as the riches and happinefs of the fubject. It encreafes the ftock of labour in the nation; and the fovereign may convert what Thare of it he finds neceffary to the fervice of the public. Foreign'trade, by its imports, furnifhes materials for new manufactures; and by its exports, it produces labour in particular commodities, which could not be confumed at home. In

Mort, a kingdom, that has a large import and export, muft abound more with induftry, and that employed upon delicacies and luxuries, than a kingdom which refts contented with its native commodities. It is, therefore, more powerful, as well as richer and happier. The individuals reap the benefit of thefe commodities, fo far as they gratify the fenfes and appetites. And the public is alfo a gainer, while a greater ftock of labour is, by this mears, ftored up againft any public exigency : that is, a greater number of laborious men are maintained, who may be diverted to the public fervice, without robbing any one of the neceffaries, or even the chief conveniencies of life.

If we confult hiftory, we fhall find, that, in moft nations, foreign trade has preceded any refinement in. home manufactures, and given birth to domeftic luxury. The temptation is ftronger to make ufe of foreign commodities, which are ready for ufe and which are entirely new to us, than to make improvements on any domeftic commodity, which always advance by flaw degrees, and never affect us by their novelty. The profit is alfa very great, in exporting what is fuperfluous at home, and what bears no price, to foreign nations, whofe foil or climate is not favourable to that commodity. Thus men become acquainted with the plenfures of luxury and the frofits of commerce ; and their delicacy and indufry, being once awakened, carry them on to farther improvements, i!̣ every branch of domeftic as well as foreign trade, And this perhaps is the chief advantage which arifes from a commerce with ftrangers. It roufes men from their iadolence; and prefenting the gayer and more opulent part of the nation with object's of luxury, which they never before dreamed of, raifes in them a defire of a more fplençid way of life than what their anceftors enjoyed. And
at the fame time, the few merchants, who poffers the fecret of this importation and exportation, make great profits; and becoming rivals in wealth to the ancient nobility, temptother adventurers to become their rivals in commerce. Imitation foon diffufes all thofe arts; while domeftic manufactures emulate the foreign in their im-. provements', and work up every home commodity to the utmoft perfection of which it is fufceptible. Their own fteel and iron, in fuch laborious hands, become equal to the gold and rubies of the Indies.

When the affairs of the fociety are once brought to this fituation, a nation may bofe moft of its foreign trade, and yet continue a great and powerful people. If ftrangers will not take any particutar commodity of ours, we muft ceafe to labour in it. The fame hands will turn themfelves towards fome refinement in other commodities, which may be wanted at home. And there muft always be materials for them to work upon; till every perfon in the ftate, who poffeffes riches, enjoys as great plenty of home commodities, and thofe in as great perfection, as he defires: which can never poffibly kappen. China is reprefented as one of the moft flourifhing empires in the world; though it has very little commerce beyond its own territories.

It will not, I hope, be confidered as a fuperfluous digreffion, if I here obferve, that, as the multitude of mechanical arts is advantageous, fo is the great number of perfons to whofe thare the productions of thefe arts fall. A too great difproportion among the citizens weakens any ftate. Every perfon, if poffible, ought to enjoy the fruits of his labour, in a full poffeffion of all the neceffaries, and many of the conveniencies of life. No one can doubt, but fuch an equality is moft fuitable to human nature, and diminifhes much lefs from the bappings of the rich $_{2}$
rich, than it adds to that of the poor. It alfo augments the power of the fate, and makes any extraordinary taxes or impofitions be paid with more chearfulnefs. Where the riches are engroffed by a few, thefe muft contribute very largely to the fupplying of the public neceffities. But when the riches are difperfed among multitudes, the burthen feels light on every houlder, and the taxes make not a very fenfible difference on any one's way of living.

Add to this, that, where the riches are in few hands, thefe muft enjoy all the power, and will readily confpire to lay the whole burthen on the poor, and opprefs them ftill farther, to the difcouragement of all induftry.

In this circumftance confifts the great advantage of England above any nation at prefent in the world, or that appears in the records of any fory. It is true, the English feel fome difadvantages in foreign trade by the high price of labour, which is in art the effect of the riches of their artizans as well as of the plenty of money: But as foreign trade is not the moft material circumftance, it is not to be put in competition with the happinefs of fo many millions. And if there were no more to endear to them that free government under which they live, this alone were fufficient. The poverty of the common people is a natural, if not an infallible effect of abfolute monarchy; though I doubt, whether it be always true, on the other hand, that their riches are an infallible refult of liberty. Liberty muit be attended with particular accidents, and a certain turn of thinking, in order to produce that effect. Lord Bacon, accounting for the great advantages obtained by the English in their wars with France, afcribes them chiefly to the fuperior eafe and plenty of the common people amongft the former ; yet the government of the two kingdoms was,
at that time, pretty much alike. Where the labourers and artifans are accuftomed to work for low wages, and to retain but a fmall part of the fruits of their labour, it is difficult for them, even in a free goveghment, to better their condition, or confpire among themfelves to heighten their wages. But even where they are accuftomed to a more plentiful way of hife, it is eafy for the rich, in an arbitrary government, to confpire againt them, and throw the whole burthen of the taxes on their fhoulders.

It may feem an odd pofition, that the poverty of the common people in France, Italy, and Spain, is, in fome meafure, owing to the fuperior riches of the foil and happinefs of the climate ; yet there want not reafons to juftify this paradox. In fuch a fine mould or foil as that of thofe more fouthern regions, agriculture is an eafy art; and one man, with a couple of forry horfes, will be able, in a feafon, to cultivate as much land as whll pay a pretty confiderable rent to the proprietor. All the art, which the farmer knows, is to leave his ground fallow for a year, as foon as it is exhaufted; and the warmeth of the fun alone and temperature of the climate enrich it, and refore its fertility. Such poor peafants, therefore, require ónly a fumple maintenance for their labour. They have no ftock or riches, which ciaim more; and at the fame time, they are for ever dependant on their landlord, who gives no leafes, nor fears that his land will be fpoiled by the ill methods of cultivation. In ENGLAND, the land is rich, but coarfe; muft be cultivated at a great expence; and produces fender crops, when not carefully managed, and by a method which gives not the full profit but in a courfe of feveral years. A. farmer, therefore, in England muft have a confiderable ftock, and a long leafe; which beget proportional profits.
fits. The fine vineyards of Champagne and Burcundy, that often yield to the landlord above five pounds per accre, are cultivated by peafants, who have fcarcely bead: The reafon is, that fuch peafants need no fock Eut their own limbs, with inftruments of hufbandry, which they can buy for twenty fhillings. The farmers are commonly in fome better circumftances in thofe countries. But the grafiers are mof at their eafe of all thofe who cultivate the land. The reafon is fill the fame. Men muft have profit proportionable to their expence and hazard. Where fo confiderable a number of the labouring poor as the peafants and farmers are in very low circumftances, all the reft muft partake of their poverty, whether the government of that nation be monarchical or republican.
We may form a fimilar remark with regard to the general hiftory of mankind. What is the reafon, why no people, living between the tropics, could ever yet attain to any art or civility, or reach even any police in their góvernment, and any military difcipline; while few nations in the temperate climates have been altogether deprived of thefe advantages? It is probable that one caure of this phenomenon is the warmth and equality of weather in the torrid zone, which render clothes and houres lefs requifite for the inhabitants, and thereby remove, in part, that neceffity, which is the great fpur to induftry and invention. Curis acuens mortalia corda. Not to mention, that the fewer goods or poffeffions of this kind any people enjoy, the fewer quarrels arelikely to arife amongft them, and the lefs neceffity will there be for a fettled police or regular authority, to protect and defend them from foreign enemies, or from each other.
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## Of Refinement in the Arts.

LUXURY is a word of an uncertain fignification, and may be taken in a good as well as in a bad fenfe. In general, it means great refinement in the gratification of the fenfes; and any degree of it may be innocent or blameable, according to the age, or country, or condition of the perfon. The bounds between the virtue and the vice cannot here be exactly fixed, more than in other moral fubjects. To imagine, that the gratifying of any fenfe, or the indulging of any delicacy in meat, drink, or apparel, is of itfelf a vice, can never enter into a head; that is not difordered by the frenzies of enthufiafm. I have, indeed, heard of a monk abroad, who, becaufe the windows of his cell opened upon a noble profpect, made a covenant with bis eyes never to turn that way, or receive fo fenfual a gratification. And fuch is the crime of drinking Champagne or BurGUNDY, preferably to fmall beer or porter. 'Thefe indulgences are only vices, when they are purfued at the expence of fome virtue, as liberality or charity ; in like manner as they are follies, when for them a man ruins his fortune, and reduces himfelf to want and beggary. Where they entrench upon no virtue, but leave ample fubject whence to provide for friends, family, and every
proper object of generofity or compaffion, they are entitely innocent, and have in every age been acknowledged fuch by almoft all moralifts.: To be entirely occupied with the luxury of the table, for inftance, without any relifh for the pleafures of ambition, ftudy, or converfation, is a mark of fupidity, and is iricompatible with any vigour of temper or genius. To confine one's expence entirely to fuch a gratification, without regard to friends or family, is an indication of a treart deftitute of humanity or benevolence. But if a man referve time fufficient for all laudable purfuits, and money fufficient for all generous purpofes, hé is free from every fhadow of blame or reproach.

Since luxury may be confidered either as innocent or blameable, one may be furprifed at thofe prepofterous opinions, which have been entertained concerning it; while men of libertine principles beftow praifes even on vicious luxury, and reprefent it as highly advantagepus to fociety; and on the other hand, men of fevere morals blame cven the moft innocent luxury, and reprefent it as the fource of all the corruptions, diforders, and factions, incident to civil government. We fhall here endeavour to correat both thefe extremes, by praving, firf, that the ages of refinement are both the happieft and moft virtuous; fecondly, that wherever luxury ceafes to be innocent, it alfo ceafes to be beneficial ; and when carried a degree too far, is a quality pernicious, though perhaps not the moft pernicious, to political fociety.

To prove the firf point, we nced but confider the effects of refinement both on private and on public life, Human happinefs, according to the moft received notions, feems to confift in three ingredients; action, pleafure, and indolence: And though thefe ingredients ought to
be mixed in different proportions, according to the particular difpofition of the perfon; yet no one ingredient can be entirely wanting, without deftroying, in fome meafure, the reliih of the whole compofition. Indolence or repofe, indeed, feems not of itfelf to contribute much to our enjoyment; but, like fleep, is requifite as an indulgence to the weaknefs of human nature, which cannot fupport an uninterrupted courfe of bufinefs or pleafure. That quick march of the firits, which takes a man from himfelf, and chiefly gives fatisfaction, does in the end exhauft the mind, and requires fome intervals of repofe, which, though agreeable for a moment, yet, if prolonged, beget a languor and lethargy, that deftroy all enjoyment. Education, cuftom, and example, have a mighty influence in turning the mind to any of thefe purfuits; and it muft be owned, that, where they pro.mote a relifh for action and pleafure, they are fo far favourable to human happinefs. In times when induftry and the arts flourifh, men are kept in perpetual occupation, and enjoy, as their reward, the occupation itfelf, as well as thofe pleafures which are the fruit of their labour. The mind acquires new vigour; enlarges its powers and faculties; and, by an affiduity in honeft induftry, both fatisfies its natural appetites, and prevents the growth of unnatural ones, which commonly fpring up, when nourihed by eafe and idienefs. Banifh thofe arts from fociety, you deprive men both of action and of pleafure; and, leaving nothing but indolence in their place, you even deftroy the relith of indolence, which never is agreeable, but when it fucceeds to labour, and recruits the fipirits, exhaufted by too much application and fatigue.

Another advantage of induftry and of refinements if the mechanical arts, is, that they commonly produce
fome refinements in the liberal; nor can one be cairried to 'perfection, without being accompanied, in fome degree, with the other. The fame age, which produces great philofophers and politicians, renowned generals and poets, ufually abounds with fkilful weavers and thip-carpenters. We cannot reafonably expect, that a piece of woollen cloth will be wrought to perfection in a nation, which is ignorant of aftronomy, or where ethics are neglected. The firit of the age affects all the arts; and the minds of men, being once roufed from their lethargy, and put into a fermentation, turn themfelves on all fides, and carry improvements into every art and fcience. Profound ignorance is totally banifhed, and men enjoy the privilege of rational creatures, to think as well as to act, to cultivate the pleafures of the mind as well as thofe of the body.
The more thefe refined arts advance, the more fociable men become: Nor is it poffible, that, when enriched with fcience, and poffefled of a fund of converfation they fhould be contented to remain in folitude, or live with their fellow-citizens in that diffant manner, which is peculiar to ignorant and barbarous nations. They flock into cities; love to receive and communicate knowledge; to fhow their wit or their breeding; their tafte in converfation or living, in clothes or furniture. Curiofity allures the wife; vanity the foolifh; and pleafure both. Particular clubs and focieties ate every where formed: Both fexes meet in an eafy and faciable manner; and the tempers of men, as well as their behaviour, refine apace. So that, befide the improvements which they receive from knowledge and the liberal arts, it is impofifible but they muft feel an encreafe of humanity, from the very habit of converfing together, and contributing to each other's pleafure and entertainment.

Thus

Thus indufiry, knowledge, and bumanity, are linked together by an indiffoluble chain, and are found, from experience as well as reafon, to be peculiar to the more polifhed, and, what are commoniy denominated, the more luxurious ages.

Nor are thefe advantages attended with difadvantages that bear any proportion to them. The more men refine upon pleafure, the lefs will they indulge in exceffes of any kind; becaufe nothing is more deftructive to true pleafure than fuch exceffes. One may fafely affirm', that the Tartars are oftener guilty of beaftly gluttony, when they feaft on their dead horfes, than European courtiers with all their refinements of cookery. And if libertine love, or even infidelity to the marriage-bed, be more frequent in polite ages, when it is often regarded only as a piece of gallantry; drunkennefs, on the other hand, is much lefs common: A vice more odious, and more pernicious both to mind and body. And in this matter I would appeal, not only to an Ovid or a Petronius, but to a Seneca or a Cato. We know, that Casar, during Catiline's confiriacy, being necefiitated to put into Cato's hanids a billet-doux, which difcovered an intrigue with Servilia, Cato's own fifter, that ftern philofopher threw it back to him with indignation; and, in the bitternefs of his wrath, gave him the appellation of drunkard, as a term more opprobrious than that with which he could more juftly have reproached him.

But induftry, knowledge, and humanity, are not advantageous in private life alone: They diffufe their beneficial influence on the public, and render the government as great and flourifhing as they make individuals happy and profperous. The encreafe and confumption of all the commodities, which ferve to the ornament and plea-
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fure of life, are advantageous to fociety; becaule, at the fame time that they multiply thofe innocent gratifications to individuals, they are a kind of fiorchoufe of labour, which, in the exigencies of ftate, may be turned to the public fervice. In a nation, where there is no demand for fuch fuperfluities, men fink into indolence, lofe all enjoyment of life, and are ufelefs to the public, which cannot maintain or fupport its fleets and armies, from the induftry of fuch flothful members.

The bounds of all the European kingdoms are, at prefent, nearly the fame they were two hundred years ago: But what a difference is there in the power and grandeur of thofe kingdoms? Which can be afcribed to nothing but the encreafe of art and induftry. When Charlbs VIII. of France inuaded Italy, he carried with him about 20,000 men: Yet this armament fo exhaulted the nation, as we learn from Guicciardin, that for fome years it was not able to make fo great an effort. The late king of France, in time of war, kept in pay above 400,000 men*; though from Mazarine's death to his own, he was engaged in a courfe of wars that lafted near thirty years.

This induftry is much promoted by the knowledge infeparable from ages of art and refinement ; as, on the other hand, this knowledge enables the public to make the beft advantage of the induftry of its fubjects. Laws, order, police, difcipline; thefe can never be carried to any degree of perfection, before human reafon has refined itfelf by exercife, and by an application to the more vulgar arts, at leaft, of commerce and manufacture. Can we expect, that a government will be well-modelied by
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a people, who kilow not how to make a fininning-wheel, or to employ a loom to advantage? Not to mention, that all ignorant ages are infefted with fuperftition, which throws the government off its bias; and difturbs men in the purfuit of their intereft and happinefs.

Knowledge in the arts of government naturally begets mildnefs and moderation, by inftructing men in the advantages of humane maxims above rigour and feverity; which drive fubjects into rebellion; and make the return to fubmiffion impracticable, by cutting off all hopes of pardon. When the tempers of men are foftened as well as their knowledge improved, this humànity appears fill more confpicuous, and is the chief characteriftic which diftinguifhes a civilized age from times of barbarity and ignorance. Factions are then lefs inveterate; revolutions lefs tragical, authority lefs fevere, and feditions lefs frequent. Even forcign wars abate of their cruelty; and after the field of battle, where honour and intereft fteel men againft compaffion as well as fcar, the combatants diveft themflives of the brute, and refurne the matr.

Nor need we fear, that men, by lofing their ferocity, will lofe their martial firit, or become lefs undaunted and vigorous in defence of their country or their literty. The arts have no. fuch effect in enervating either the mind or body. On the contrary, induftry, their infeparable attendant, adds now force to both. And if anger; which is faid to be the whetifone of courage, lofes fomewhat of its afperity, by politenefs and refinement; a fenfe of honour, which is a ftronger, more conftant, and more governable principle, acquires frefh vigour by that slevation of genius which arifes from knowledge and a good education. Add to this, that courage can neither bave any duration, nor be of any ufe, when not accom-
panied with difeipline and martial fkill, which are feldorn found anoong a barbarous people. 'The ancients remarked, that Datames was the only barbarian that ever knew the art of war. And Pyrrhes, feeing the Romans mathal their army with fome art and fkill, faid with furprize, Thefe barbarians bave nctiong barbarous in their dijcipline! It is obervable, that, as the old Romans, by applying themfelves folcly to war, were almoft the only uncivilized people that ever poffiffed military difcigline; fo the modem Italians are the only civilized people, among Europeans, that ever wanted courage and a martial fpirit. Thofe who would afcribe this effemiacy of the Italians to their luxury, or politenefs, c: application to the arts, need but confider the French and English, whofe bravery is as unconteftable, as their love for the arts, and their aifiduity in commerce. The Italian hiforians give us a more fatisfactory reafon for this degeneracy of their countrymen. They hew us how the fword was dropped at once by all the Italian fovereigns; while the Venetian ariftocracy was jealous of its fubjects, the Florentine democracy applied itfelf entirely to commerce; Rome was governed by pricits, and Naples by wómen. War then became the bufinefs of foldiers of fortune, who fpared one another, and, to the afonifhment of the world, could engage a whole day in what they called a battle, and return at might to their camp, without the leaft blocdhed.

What has chiefly induced fevere moralifts to declaim agaime refinement in the arts, is the example of ancient Rome, which, joining to its poverty and rufticity, vistue and public fipit, rofe to fuch a furprifing height of grandeur and liberty; but, having learned from its conquered provinces the Asiatic luxury, fell into every kind.
kind of corruption; whence arofe fedition and civil wars, attended at laft with the total lofs of liberty. All the Latin claffics, whom we perufe in our infancy, are fuil of thefe fentiments, and univerfally afcribe the ruin of their ftate to the arts and riches imported from the Eaft: Infomuch that Sallust reprefents a tafte for painting as a vice, no lefs than lewdnefs and drinking. And fo popular were thefe fentiments, durfitg the later ages of the republic, that this author abounds in praifes of the old rigid Roman virtue, though himfelf the moft egregious inftance of modern luxury and corruption; fpeaks contemptuoully of the Grecian eloquence, though the moft elegant writer in the world; nay, employs prepofterous digreffions and declamations to this puipofe, though a model of tafte and correctnefs.

But it would be eafy to prove, that thefe writers miftook the caufe of the diforders in the Roman ftate, and afcribed to luxury and the arts, what really proceeded from an ill-modelied government, and the unlimited extent of conquelts. Refinement on the plafures and conveniencies of life has no natural tendency to beget venality and corruption. The value wisich all men put upon any particular pleafure, depends en comparifon and experience; nor is a porter lefs greedy of money, which he fpenais on bacon and brandy, than a courtier, who purchafes champarne and ortolans. Riches are valuable at all times, and to all men; becaufe they always purchafe pleafures, fuch as men are accuftomed to, and defire: Nor can any thing reftrain or regulate the love of money, but a fenie of honour and virtue; which, if it be not nearly equal at all times, will naturally abound moft in ages of knowledge and refinetient.

Of all Eyropean kingdoms, Poland feems the moft defective in the arts of war as well as peare, mechanical
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as well as liberal ; yet it is there that venality and corruption do moft prevail. The nobles feem to have preferved their crown elective for no other purpofe, than regularly to fell it to the higheft bidder. This is almoft the only feecics of commerce, with which that people are acquainted.

The liberties of Exicland, fo far from decaying fince the improvements in the arts, have never flourifhed fo much as during that period. And though corruption may feem to encreafe of late years; this is chiefly to be afcribed to our eftablifhed liberty, when our princes have found the impofibility of governing without parliaments, or of terrifying parliaments by the phantom of prerogative. Not to mention, that this corruption or venality prevails much more among the electors than the elected; and therefore cannot juftly be afcribed to any refine-: ments in luxury.

If we confider the matter in a proper light, we fhall find, that a progrefs in the arts is rather favourable to liberty, and has a natural tendency to preferve, if not produce a free government. In rude unpolifhed nations, where the arts are neglected, all labour is beftowed on the cultivation of the ground ; and the whole fociety is divided into two clafes, proprietors of land, and their vaffils or tenants. The latter are neceffarily dependent, and fitted for flavery and fubjection; efpecially where they pofiefs no riches, and are not valued for their knowJodge in agriculture; as muft always be the cafe where the arts are neglecied. The former naturally erect themfelves into petty tyrants; and muft either fubmit to an abfolute mafter, for the fake of peace and order; or if they will preferve their independency, like the ancient barons, they munt fall into feuds and coneefts among themfelves, and throw the whole fociety into fuch confufion,

Gon, as is perhaps worfe than the mof defpotic government. But where luxury nourifhes commerce and induftry; the peafints, by a proper cultivation of the land, become rich and independent: while the trademen and merchants acquire a fhare of the property, and draw authority and confideration to that mididing rank of men. who are the beft and firmeft batis of public liberty. Thefe fubmit not to flavery, like the peafants, from poverty and meannefs of fyirit; and having no hopes of tyrannizing. ower others, like the barons, they are not tempted, for the fake of that gratification, to fubmit to the tyranny of their fovereign. They covet equal laws, which may fecure their property, and preferve them from monarchical, as well as ariftocratical tyranny.

The lower houfe is the fupport of our popular government; and all the worid acknowledges, that it owed its chief influence and confideration to the encreafe of commerce, which threw fuch a balance of property into the hands of the commons. How inconfiftent then is it to blame fo violently a refinement in the arts, and to reprefent it as the bane of liherty and public fpirit!

To declaim againft prefent times, and magnify the virtue of remote anceltors, is a propenfity almoft inherent in human nature : And as the fentiments and opinions of civilized ages alone are tranfmitted to pofterity, hence it is that we mett with fo many fevere judgments pronounced againft luxury, and even ficience; ard hence it is that at prefent we give fo ready an afient to them. But the fallacy is eafily perceived, by comparing d:fferent nations that are contemporaries;- where we both judge more impartially, and can better fee in onpofition thole manners, with which we are fuffereatly acquainted. Treachery and cruelty, the moft pernicicus and moft pdious of all vices, feen peculiar to uncivilized ages; and,
by the refined Greeks and Romans, were afcribed to all the barbarous nations which furrounded them. They might jullly, therefore, have prefumed, that their own anceitors, fo highly celebrated, poffeffed no greater virtue, and ware as much inferior to their pofterity in honour and humanity, as in tafte and fcience. An ancient Frank or $S_{a x c n}$ may be highly extolled: But I believe every man would think his life or fortune much lefs fecure in the hands of a Moor or Tartar, than in thofe of a Frencii or English gentleman, the rank of men the moff civilized in the moft civilized nations.

We come now to the fecond pofition which we propofed to illuftrate, to wit, that, as innocent luxury, or a refinement in the arts and conveniencies of life, is advantageous to the public; fo wherever luxury ceafes to be innocent, it alfo ceafes to be beneficial; and when carried a degree farther, begins to be a quality pernicious, though, perhaps, not the moft pernicious, to political fociety.

Let us confider what we call vicious luxury. No gratification, however feniual, can of itfelf be efteemed vicious. A gratification is only vicious, when it engroffes all a man's expence, and leaves no ability for fuch acts of iuty and generofity as are required by his fituation and fortunc. Suppofe, that he correct the vice, and employ part of his expence in the education of his children, in the fupport of his friends, and in reliev.ing the poor; would any prejudice refult to fociety? On the contrary, the fame confumption would arife; and that labour, which, at prefent, is employed only in producing a flender gratification to one man, would relieve the neceffitous, and beftow fatisfaction on hunilreds. The fame care and toil that raife a difh of peas it Christmas, would give bread to a whole family ©: .. during
during fix months. To fay, that, without a vicious luxury, the laboar would not have been employed at all, is only to fay, that there is fome other defett in human nature, fuch as indolence, feliffinefs, inattention to others, for which luxury, in fome meafure, ptovides a remedy ; as one poifon may be an antidote to another. But virtue, like wholefome food, is better than poifons, however corrected.

Suppofe the fame number of men, that are at prefent in Great Britain, with the fame foil and climate; I afk, is it not poffible for them to be happier, by the mof perfect way of life that can be imagined, and by the greateft reformation that Omnipotence itfelf could work in their temper and difpofition? To affert, that they cannot, appears evidently ridiculous. As the land is able to maintain more than all its prefent inhabitants, they could never, in fuch a Utopian ftate, feel any other ills than thofe which arife from bodily ficknefs: and thefe are not the half of human miferies. All other ilis $\rho_{\text {pring }}$ from fome vice, either in ourfelves or others; and even many of our difeafes proceed from the fame origin. Remove the vices, and the ills follow. You muft only take care to remove all the vices. If you remove part, you may render the matter worfe. By banihing vicious luxury, without curing floth and an indifference to others, you only diminifh induftry in the ftate, and add nothing to men's charity or their generofity. Let us, therefore, reft contented with afferting, that two oppofite vices in a fate may be more advantageous than either of them alone; but let us never pronounce vice in itfelf advantagcous. Is it not very inconfiftent for an author to affert in one page, that moral diftindtions are inventions of politicians for public intereft; and in the next page maintain, that vice js advantageous to the public*? And indced it fecms,
upon any fyftem of morality, little lefs than a contradiction in terms, to talk of a vice, which is in general beneficial to fociety.

I thought this reafoning neceffary, in order to give fome light to a philofophical queftion, which has been much difputed in England. I call it a philofophical queftion, not a political one. For whatever may be the confequence of fuch a miraculous transformation of mankind, as would endow them with every fpecies of virtue, and free them from every fpecies of vice; this concerns not the magiftrate, who aims only at poffibilities. He cannot cure every vice by fubftituting a virtue in its place. Very often he can only cure one vice by another; and in that cafe, he ought to prefer what is leaft pernicious to fociety. Luxury, when exceffive, is the fource of many ills; but is in general preferable to lloth and idlenefs, which would commonly fucceed in its place, and are more hurtful both to private perfons and to the public. When fioth reigns, a mean uncultivated way of life prevaiis amongft individuals, without fociety, without enjoymeit. And if the fovereign, in fuch a fituation, demands the fervice of his fubjects, the labour of the fate fuffices only to furnifh the neceffaries of life to the labourers, and can afford nothing to thofe who are em. ployed in the public fervice.
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## E S S A Y III.

## Of Money.

MONEY is not, properly fpeaking, one of the fubjects of commerce; but only the inftrument which men have agreed upon to facilitate the exchange of one commodity for another. It is none of the wheels of trade: It is the oil which renders the motion of the wheels more fmooth and eafy. If we confider any one kingdom by itfelf, it is evident, that the greater or lefs plenty of money is of no confequence; fince the prices of commodities are always proportioned to the plenty of money, and a crown in Harry VII.'s time ferved the fame purpofe as a pound does at prefent. It is only the public which draws any advantage from the greater plenty of money; and that only in its wars and negociations with foreign fates. And this is the reafon, why all rich and trading countries from Carthage to Great Britain and Holland, have employed mercenary troops, which they hired from their poorer neighbours. Were they to make ufe of their native fubiceis they would find lefs advantage from their fuperior ricies, and from their great plenty of gold and filver; fince the pay of all their fervants muft rife in proportion to'the pubilic opulence. Our fmall army of $20,000 \mathrm{men}$ is maintained at as great expence as a French army twice as numerous. The English fleet, during the late war, ". .". recuirud
required as much money to fupport it as all the Roman legions, which kept the whole world in fubjection, during the time of the emperors*.

The greater number of people, and their greater induftry, are ferviceable in all cafes; at home and abroad, in private and in public. But the greater plenty of money is very limited in its ufe, and may even fometimes be a lofs to a nation in its commerce with foreigners.

There feems to be a happy concurrence of caufes in human affairs, which checks the growth of trade and riches, and hinders them from being confined entirely to one people; as might naturally at firft be dreaded from the advantages of an eftablifhed commerce. Where one nation has gotten the ftart of another in trade, it is very difficult for the latter to regain the ground it has loft; becaufe of the fuperior induftry and fkill of the former, and the greater ftocks, of which its merchants are poffefled, and which enable them to trade on fo much fmaller profits. But thefe advantages are compenfated, in fome meafure, by the low price of labour in every nation which has not an extenfive commerce, and does not much abound in gold and filver. Manufactures, therefore, gradually fhift their places, leaving thofe countries and provinces which they have already enriched, and flying to others, whither they are allured by the cheapnefs of provifions and labour; till they have enriched thefe alfo, and are again banifhed by the fame caufes. And, in general, we may obferve, that the dearnefs of every thing, from plenty of money, is a difadvantage, which attends an eftablifhed commerce, and fets bounds to it in every country, by enabling the poorer ftates to underfel the richer in all foreign markets.

* See NOTE [P].

This has made me entertain a doubt concerning the benefit of banks and paper-credit; which are fo generally efteemed advantageous to every nation. That provifions and labour fhould become dear by the encreafe of trade and money, is, in many refpects, an inconvenience; but an inconvenience that is unavoidable, and the effect of that public wealth and profperity which are the end of all our wifhes. It is compenfated by the advantages, which we reap from the poffeffion of thefe precious metals, and the weight, which they give the nation in all foreign wars and negociations. But there appears no reafon for encreafing that inconvenience by a counterfeit money, which foreigners will not accept of in any payment, and which any great diforder in the fate will reduce to nothing. There are, it is true, many people in every rich ftate, who having large fums of money, would prefer paper with good fecurity; as being of more eafy tranfport and more fafe cuftody. If the public provide not a bank', private bankers will take advantage of this circumftance; as the goldfmiths formerly did in London, or as the bankers do at prefent in Dublin : And therefore it is better, it may be thought, that a public company fhould enjoy the benefit of that paper-credit, which always will have place in every opulent kingdom. But to endeavour artificially to encreafe fuch a credit, can never be the intereft of any trading nation; but muft lay them under difadvantages, by encreafing money beyond its natural proportion to labour and commodities, and thereby heightening their price to the merchant and manufacturer. And in this view, it muft be allowed, that no bank could be more advantageous, than fuch a one as locked up all the money it received $*$, and never augmented the

[^40]circulating coin, as is ufual, by returning part of its treafure into commerce. A public bank, by this expedient, might cut off much of the dealings of private bankers and money-jobbers; and though the ftate bore the charge of falaries to the directors and tellers of this bank (for, according to the preceding fuppofition, it would have no profit from its dealings), the national advantage, refulting from the low price of labour and the deftruction of paper-credit, would be a fufficient compenfation. Not to mention, that fo large a fum, lying ready at command, would be a convenience in times of great public danger and diftrefs; and what part of it was ufed might be replaced at leifure, when peace and tranquillity was reftored to the nation.

But of this fubject of paper-credit we fhall treat more largely hereafter. And I fhall finih this effay on money, by propofing and explaining two obfervations, which may, perhaps, ferve to employ the thoughts of our fpeculative politicians.

It was a flarewd obfervation of Anacharsis* the Scythian, who had never feen money in his own country, that gold and filver feemed to him of no ufe to the Greeks, but to afft them in numeration and arithmetic. It is indeed evident, that money is nothing but the reprefentation of labour and commodities, and ferves only as a method of rating or eftimating them. Where coin is in greater plenty; as a greater quantity of it is required to reprefent the fame quantity of goods; it cani have no effect, either good or bad, taking a nation within itfelf; any more than it would male an a!teration on a merchant's books, if, mind of the Arabian method

[^41]of notation, which requires few characters, he fhould make ufe of the Roman, which requires a great many. Nay, the greater quantity of money, like the Roman characters, is rather inconvenient, and requires greater trouble both to keep and tranfport it. But notwithftanding this conclufion, which muft be allowed juft, it is certain, that, fince the difcovery of the mines in America, induftry has encreafed in all the nations of Europe, except in the poffeffors of thofe mines; and this may juftly be afcribed, amongft other reafons, to the encreafe of gold and filver. Accordingly we find, that, in every kingdom, into which money begins to flow in greater abundance than formerly, every thing takes a new face : labour and induftry gain life; the merchant becomes more enterprifing, the manufacturer more diligent and ©kilful, and even the farmer follows his plough with greater alacrity and attention. This is not eafily to be accounted for, if we confider only the influence which a greater abundance of coin has in the kingdom itfelf; by heightening the price of commodities, and obliging every one to pay a greater number of thefe little yellow or white pieces for every thing he purchares. And as to foreign trade, it appears, that great plenty of money is rather difadvantageous, by raifing the price of every kind of labour.

To account, then, for this phenomenon, we muft confider, that though the high price of commodities be a neceffary confequence of the encreafe of gold and filver; yet it follows not immediately upon that encreafe; but fome time is required before the money circulates through the whole ftate, and makes its effect be felt on all ranks of people. At firft, no alteration is perceived; by degrees the price rifes, firft of one commodity, then of another'; till the whole at laft reaches a juft proportion
with the new quantity of fpecie which is in the kingdom. In my opinion, it is only in this interval or intermediate fituation, between the acquifition of money and rife of prices, that the encreafing quantity of gold and filver is favourable to induftiy. When any quantity of money is imported into a nation, it is not at firft difperfed into many hands; but is confined to the coffers of a few perfons, who immediately feek to employ it to advantage. Here are a fet of manufacturers or merchants, we fhall fuppofe, who have received returns of gold and filver for goods, which they fent to Cadiz. They are thereby enabled to employ more workmen than formerly, who never dream of demanding higher wages, but are glad of employment from fuch good paymafters. If workmen become fcarce, the manufacturer gives higher wages, but at firft requires an encreafe of labour; and this is willingly fubmitted to by the artifan, who can now eat and drink better, to compenfate his additional toil and fatigue. He carries his money to market, where he finds èvery thing at the fame price as formerly, but returns with greater quantity and of better kinds, for the ufe of his family. The farmer and gardener, finding that all their commodities are taken off, apply themfelves with alacrity to the raifing more; and at the fame time can afford to take better and more clothes from their tradefmen, whofe price is the fame as formerly, and their induftry only whetted by fo much new gain. It is ealy to trace the money in its progrefs through the whole commonwealth; where we fhall find, that it mult firf quicken the diligence of every individual, before it encreafe the price of labour.

And that the fecie may encreafe to a confiderable pitch, bofore it have this latter effect, appears, amongft-
other inflances, from the frequent operations of the French king on the money; where it was always found, that the augmenting of the numerary value did not produce a proportional rife of the prices, at leaft for fome time. In the laft year of Louis XIV. money was raifed three-fevenths, but prices augmented only one. Corn in France is now fold at the fame price, or for the fame number of livres, it was in 1683 ; though filver was then at 30 livres the mark, and is now at $50^{*}$. Not to mention the great addition of gold and filver, which may have come into that kingdom fince the former period. .

From the whole of this reafoning we may conclude, that it is of no manner of confequence, with regard to the domeftic happinefs of a ftate, whether money be in a greater or lefs quantity. The good policy of the magiftrate confifts only in keeping it, if poffible, ftill encreafing; becaufe, by that means, he keeps alive a fpirit of induftry in the nation, and encreafes the ftock of labour, in which confifts all real power and riches. A nation, whofe money decreafes, is actually, at that time, weaker and more miferable than another nation, which poffeffes no more money, but is on the encreafing hand. This will be eafily accounted for, if we confider, that the alterations in the quantity of money, either on one fide or the other, are not immediately attended with proportionable alterations in the price of commodities. There is always an interval before matters be adjufted to their new fituation; and this interval is as pernicious to induftry, when gold and filver are diminifing, as it is advantageous when thefe metals are encreafing. The workman has not the fame employment from the manu-

[^42]facturer and merchant ; though he pays the fame price for every thing in the market. The farmer cannot difpofe of his corn and cattle; though he muft pay the fame rent to his landlord. The poverty, and beggary, and floth, which muft enfue, are eafly forefen.
II. The fecond obfervation which I propofed to make with regard to money, may be explained after the fotlowing manner : There are fome kingdoms, and many provinces in Europe fand all of them were once in the sfame condition), where money is fo fcarce, that the landlord can get none at all from his tenants; but is obliged to take his rent in kind, and either to confume it himfelf, -or tranfort it to places where he may find $a$ market. In (thble countries, the prince can levy few or no taxes,' but in the fame manner : And as he will receive fmall benefit from - 4 mpofitions fo paid, it is evident that fuch a kingdom has -fittle force even at home; and cannot maintain flets and armies to the fame extent, as if every part of it abounded -in gold and filver. There is furely a greater difproportion between the force of Grrmany, at prefent, and what it was three centuries ago ${ }^{*}$, than there is in its induftry, people, and manufactures. The Austrian dominions in the empire are in general well peopled and well cultivated, and are of great extent; but have not 2 proportionable weight in the balance of Europe; proceeding, as is commonly fuppofed, from the farcity of money. How do all thefe facts agree with that principle of reafon, that the quantity of gold and filver is in itfelf -altogether indifferent? According to that principle, wherever a fovereign has numbers of fubjects, and thefe

[^43]have plenty of commodities, he fhould of courfe be great and powerful, and they rich and happy, indepeindent of - the greater or leffer abundance of the precious metals. Thefe admit of divifions and fubdivifions to a great
"extent; and where the pieces might become fo fmall'as to be in'danger of being loft, it is eafy to mix the gold or filver with a bafer metal, as is practifed in fome countries of Europe; and by that means raife the pieces to a bulk more fenfible and convenient. 'They fill ferve the fame purpofes of exchange, whafever their nutuber may be, or whatever colour they may be fuppofed to have.

To thefe difficulties I anfwer, that the effeet, here fuppored to flow from fcarcity of money, really arifes from the manners and cuftoms of the people ; and that we miftake, as is too ufual, a collateral effect for a caufe. The contradiction is only apparent; but it requires fome thought and reflection to difcover the principles, by which we can reconcile reafon to experience.

It feems a maxim almoft felf-evident, that the prices of every thing depend on the proportion between commodities and money, and that any confiderable alteration on either has the fame effect, either of heightening or lowering the price. 'Encreafe the commodities, they become cheaper; encreafe the money, they rife in their value. As, on the other hand, a diminution of the former, and that of the latter, have contrary tendencies.

It is alfo evident, that the prices do not fo much depend on the abfolute quantity of commodities and that of money, which are in a nation, as on that of the commodities which come or may come to market, and of the money which circulates. If the coin be locked up $\mathbf{X} 2 \quad-$ in
in chefts, it is the fame thing with regard to prices, as if it were annihilated ; if the commodities be hoarded in magazines and granaries, a like effect follows. As the money and commodities, in thefe cafes, never meet, they cannot affect each other. Were we, at any time, to form conjectures concerning the price of provifions, the corn, which the farmer muft referve for feed and for the maintenance of himfelf and family, ought never to enter into the eftimation. It is only the overplus, compared to the demand, that determines the value.

To apply thefe principles, we muft confider, that, in the firft and more uncultivated ages of any ftate, ere fancy has confounded her wants with thofe of nature, men, content with the produce of their own fields, or with thofe rude improvements which they themfelves can work upon them, have little occafion for exchange, at leatt for money, which, by agreement, is the common meafure of exchange. The wool of the farmer's own flock, fpun in his own family, and wrought by a neighbouring weaver, who receives his payment in Korn or wool, fuffices for furniture and cloathing. The carpenter, the fmith, the mafon, the tailor, are retained by wages of a like nature; and the landlord himfelf, dwelling in the neighbourhood, is content to receive his rent in the commodities raifed by the farmer. The greater part of thefe he confumes at home, in ruftic hofpitality: The reft, perhaps, he difpofes of for money to the neighbouring town, whence he draws the few materials of his expence and luxury.

But after men begin to refine on all thefe enjoyments, and live not always at home, nor are content with what can be raifed in their neighbourhood, there is more exchange and commerce of all kinds, and more money
enters into that exchange. The tradefmen will not be paid in corn; becaufe they want fomething more than barely to eat. The farmer goes beyond bis own parifh for the commodities be purchafes, and cannot always carry his commodities to the merchant who fupplies him. The landlord lives in the capital, or in a foreign country ; and demands his rent in gold and fiver, which can eafily be tranfported to him. Great undertakers, and manufacturers, and merchants, arife in every commodity; and thefe can conveniently deal in nothing but in fpecie. And confequently, in this fituation of fociety, the coin enters into many more contracts, and by that means is much more employed than in the former.

The neceffary effect is, that, provided the money encreafe not in the nation, every thing muft become much cheaper in times of induftry and refinement, than in rude, uncultivated ages. It is the proportion between the circulating money, and the commodities "in the market, which determines the prices. Goods, that are confumed at home, or exchanged with other goods in the neighbourhood, never come to market; they affect not in the leaft the current fpecie; with regard to it they are as if totally annihilated ; and confequently this method of ufing them finks the proportion on the fide of the commodities, and encreafes the prices. But after money enters into all contracts and fales, and is every where the meafure of exchange, the fame national cafh has a much greater talk to perform; all commodities are then in the market; the fphere of circulation is enlarged; it is the fame cafe as if that individual fum were to ferve a larger kingdom; and therefore, the proportion being here leffened on the fide of the money, X 3 : every
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every thing mult become cheaper, and the prices gran. dually fall.

By the moft exact computations, that have been formed all over Europe, after making allowance for the alteration in the numerary value or the denomination, it is found, that the prices of all things have only rifen three, or, at moft, four times, fince the difcovery of the Weest Indies. But will any one affert, that there is not much more than four times the coin in Eurape, that was in the fifteenth century, and the centuries; preceding it? The ' Spaniards and Portuguese from their mines, the English, French, and Dutch, by their Aprican -trade, and by their interlopers in the West Indies, bring home about fix millions a year, of which not above a third goes to the EAst Indies. This fum alone, in ten years, would probably double . the ancient flock of money in EurqPe. And no other fatisfactory feafon can be given, why all prices bave not rifen to a much more exorbitant height, except that which is derived from a change of cuftoms and manners. Befides that more commodities are produced by additiona! induftry, the fame commodities come more to market, after men depart from their ancient fimplicity of manners. And though this, encreafe has not been, equal to that of money, it has, however, been confiderable, and has preferved the proportion between coin and commodities nearer the ancient flandard.

Were the queftion propofed... Which of thefe methods of living in the people, the fimple or refinied, is the moft adrantageous to the ftate or public? I flould, with: out much fcruple, prefer the latter, in a view to politics at leaft; and fhould produce this as an-additional reafon for the encouragement of trade and manufactures.

While men live in the ancient fimple manner $x_{x}$ and fupply all their neceffaries from dometic induftry or from the neighbourhood, the fovereign can levy no taxes in money from-a confiderable part of his fubjects; and if he will impofe on them any burthens, he muft take payment in commodities, with which alone they abound; a method attended with fuch great and obvious inconveniencies, that they need not here be infifted on. All the money he can pretend to raife, mult be from his principal cities, where alone it circulates; and thefe, it is evident, cannot afford him fo much as the whole ftate could, did gold and filver circulate throughout the whole. . But befides this obvious diminution of the revenue, there is another caufe of the poverty of the public in fuch a fituation. Not only the fovereign receives lefs money, but the fame money goes not fo far as in times of induftry and general commerce. Every thing is dearer, where the gold and filver are fuppofed equal; and that becaufe fewer commodities come to market, and the whole coin bears a higher proportion to what is to be purchafed by it ; whence alone the prices of every thing are fixed and. determined.

Here then we may learn the fallacy of the remark; often to be met with in hiftorians, and even in common converfation, that any particular ftate is weak, though fertile, populous, and well cultivated, merely becaufe it wants money. It appears, that the want of monoy can never injure any ftate within itfelf : For men and commodities are the real ftrength of any community. It is. the fimple manner of living which here hurts the public, by .confining the gold and filver to few hands, and preventing its univerfal diffufion and circulation. On the contrary, induftry and refinements of all kinds incorporate it with the whole ftate, however fmall its: quantity may be: They digeft it intd every vein, fo to $\mathrm{X}_{4}$ fpeak;

Speak ; and make it enter into every tranfaction and contract. No hand is entirely empty of it. And as the prices of every thing fall by that means, the fovereign has a double advantage: He may draw money by his taxes from every part of the ftate; and what he receives, goes farther in every purchafe and payment.

We may infer, from a comparifon of prices, that money is not more plentiful in China, than it was in Europe three centuries ago: But what immsnfe power is that empire poffeffed of, if we may judge by the civil and military eftablifhment maintained by it? Polybius* tells us, that provifions were fo cheap in Italy during his time, that in fome places the ftated price for a meal at the inns was a femis a head, little more than a farthing! Yet the Roman power had even then fubdued the whole known world. About a century before that period, the Carthaginian ambaffador faid, by way of raillery, that no people lived more fociably amongft themfelves than the Romans; for that, in every entertainment, which, as foreign minifters, they reccived, they ftill obferved the fame plate at every table $t$. The abfolute quantity of the precious metals is a matter of great indifference. There are only two circumftances of any importance, namely, their gradual encreafe, and their thorough concoction and circulation through the ftate; and the influence of both thofe circumftances has here been explained.

In the following Effay we fhall fee an inftance of a like fallacy as that above mentioned; where a collateral effect is taken for a caufe, and where a confequence is afcribed to the plenty of money; though it be really owing to a change in the manners and cuftoms of the people.

[^44]
## ESSAY IV.

## Of Interest.

NOTHING is efteemed a more certain fign of the flourifhing condition of any nation than the lownefs of intereft: And with reafon; though'I believe the caufe is fomewhat different from what is commonly apprehended. Lownefs of intereft is generally afcribed to plenty of money. But money, however plentiful, has no other effect, if fixed, than to raife the price of labour. Silver is more common than gold; and therefore you receive a greater quantity of it for the fame commodities. But do you pay lefs intereft for it? Intereft in Batavia and Jamaica is at io per cent. in Portugal at 6; though thefe places, as we may learn from the prices of every thing, abound more in gold and filver than either London or Amsterdam.

Were all the gold in England annihilated at once, and one and twenty fhillings fubftituted in the place of every guinea, would money be more plentiful or intereft lower? No furely: We fhould only ufe filver inftead of gold. Were gold rendered as common as filver, and filver as common as copper; would money be more plentiful or intereft lower? We may affuredly give the fame anfwer. Our fhillings would then be yellow, and our halfpence 'white; and we fhould have no guineas. No other difference would ever be obferved; no alteration on commerce, manufactures,' navigation,
or intereft; unlefs we imagine, that the colour of the metal is of any confequence.

Now, what is fo vifible in thefe greater variations of fcarcity or abundance in the precious metals, muft hold in all inferior changes: If the multiplying of gold and filver fifteen times makess no difference, much lefs can the doubling or tripling them. All augmentation has no other effect than to heighten the price of labour and commodities; and cuen this variation is little more than that of a name. In the progrefs towards thefe changes, the augmentation may have fome influence, by exciting induftry; but after the prices are fettled, fuitably to the new abundance of gold and filver, it has no manner of influence.

An effect always, holds proportion with its caufe. - Prices have .rifen near four times fince the difcovery of, the Indies; andit is probable gold and filver have multiplied much more: But intereft has nat fallen much above half. The rate of intereft, therefore, is not derived from the quantity of the precious metals.

Money having chiefly a fictitious value, the greater or lefs plenty of it is of :no: comfequence, if we confider 2 , nation within itfelf; and the quantisy of fpecie, when . once fixed, though ever fo large, has no other effeet, than to oblige every one to tell out a greater number of thofe fhining bits of metal, for clothes; furniture or equipage, without encreafing any one convenience of life. If a man borrow money to build a houre, he then carries a home a greater load; becaufe the fone, timber, lead,', glafs, Eof. with the labour of the mafons and carpenters, are, reprefented by a greater quantity of gold and filyer. But as thefe metals are confidered chiefly as reprefentations, there can no alteration arife, from their bulk or quanntity $y_{2}$ their weight or coiour, either upon their real value
valus or their intereft-- The fame intereft,: in all cafes. bears the fame propontion to the fum. And if you lent me fo much laboun and fo many commodities; by receiving five per cent. you always receive proportional labour and commodities, however reprefented, whether by yellow or white coin, whether by a pound or an ounce. It:is in vain, therefore, to: look for the caufe of the fall or rife of intereft in the greater or lefs quantity of gold and filver, which is fixedin any nation.

High intereft arifes from three circumfances: A great demand for borrowing; little riches to fupply that:demand; and great profits arifing from commerce: And thefe circumftances are a clear proof of the fmall advance of commerce and induftry, not of the fcarcity of gold and filver. Low interef, on the other hand, proceeds fromthe three oppofite circumftances: A fmall demand for borrowing ; great riches to fupply that demand; and finall profits arifing from commerce: And thefe circumftances are all comnected together, and proceed from the encreafe of induftry and commerce, not of gold and filver: We fhall endeavour to prove thefe points; and Ohall begin with the caufes and the effects of a great or fmall demand for borrowing.

When a people have emerged ever fo littie from a favage ftate, and their numbers have encreafed beyond the original multitude; there : mulb immediately arife an inequality of property; and while fome poffefs large tracts of land, others are confined within narrow limitss and fome are entirely without any landed property.. Thofe:who poffers more land than they can labour, employ thofe: $:$ who poffers none, and agree to receive a determinate:s part-of the product. Thus the landed intereft is immew. diatclyieftablifheds; nor is there any fettled government; howerer rude; in which affairs are not on this footing-

Of thefe proprietors of land, fome muft prefently difcover themfelves to be of different tempers from others; and while one would willingly ftore up the produce of his land for futurity, another defires to confume at prefent what fhould fuffice for many years. But as the fpending of a fettled revenue is a way of life entirely without occupation ; men have fo much need of fomewhai to fix and engage them, that pleafures, fuch as they are, will be the purfuit of the greater part of the landholders, andthe prodigals among them will always be more numerous than the mifers. In a ftate, therefore, where there is nothing but a landed intereft, as there is little frugality, the borrowers muft be very numerous, and the rate of intereft muft hold proportion to it. The difference depends not on the quantity of money, but on the habits and manners which prevail. By this alone the demand for borrowing is encreafed or diminifhed. Were money fo plentiful as to make an egg be fold for fixpence; fo long as there are only landed gentry and peafants in the ffate, the borrowers muft be numerous, and intereft high. The rent for the fame farm would be heavier and more bulky: But the fame idlenefs of the landlord, with the higher price of commodities, would diffipate it in the fame time, and produce the fame neceffity and demand for borrowing.

Nor is the cafe different with regard to the fecond circumftance which we propofed to confider, namely, the great or little riches to fupply the demand. This effect alfo depends on the habits and way of living of the people, not on the quantity of gold and filver. In order to have, in any ftate, a greater number of lenders, it is not fufficient nor requifite, that there be great abundance of the precious metals. It is only requifite, that the property, or command "of that quantity, which is in the ftate, whe-
ther great or friall, fhould be collected in particular hands, fo as to form confiderable fums, or compofe a great monied intereft. This begets a number of lenders, and finks the rate of ufury; and this, I fhall venture to affirm, depends not on the quantity of fpecie, but on particular manners and cuftoms, which make the fpecie gather into feparate fums or mafles of confiderable value.

For fuppofe, that, by miracle, every man in Great Britain hould have five pounds flipt into his pocket in one night; this would much more than double the whole money that is at prefent in the kingdom : yet there would not next day, nor for fome time, be any more lenders, nor any variation in the intereft. And were there nothing but landlords and peafants in the ftate, this money, however abundant, could never gather into fums; and would only ferve to encreafe the prices of every thing, without any farther confequence. The prodigal landlord diffipates it, as faft as he receives it; and the beggarly peafant has no means, nor view, nor ambition of obtaining above a bare livelihood. The overplus of borrowers above that of lenders continuing fill the fame, there will follow no reduction of intereft. That depends upon another principle; and muft proceed from an encreafe of induftry and frugality, of arts and commerce.

Every thing ufeful to the life of man arifes from the ground ; but few things arife in that condition which is requifite to render them ufeful. There muft, therefore, befide the peafants and the proprietors of land, be another rank of men, who, receiving from the former the rude materials, work them into their proper form, and retain-part for their own ufe and fubfiftence. In the infancy of fociety, thefe contracis between the artifans and

The peafants, and between one Species of artifans and ; anether, are commonly entered into immediately by the petfons themfelves, who, being neighbours, are' eafily acquainted with each other's neceffities, and can tend their mutual affiftance to fupply them. But when men's induftry encreafes, and their views enlarge, it is found, that the moft remote parts of the ftate can affift each otheras well as the more contiguous, and that this intercourfe of good offices may be carried on to the greateft extent and intricacy. Hence the origin of vnerchants, one of the moft ufeful races :of men, who ferve as agents between thofe parts of the ftate, that are whoily unacquainted, and are ignorant of each other's neceffities. Here are in a city fifty workmen in fllk and linen, and a thoufand cuftomers; and thefe two ranks of men, fo neceffary to each other, can never rightly meet, till one man erects a fhap, to which all the workmen and all the cuftomers repair. In this province, grafs rifes in abundance : The inhabitants abound in cheefe, and butter, and cattle; but want bread and corn, which, in a neighbouring province, are in too great abundance for the ufe of the inhabitants. One man difcovers this. He brings corn from the one province, and returns with cattle ; and, fupplying the wants of both, he is, fo far ${ }_{\xi} 2$ common benefactor. As the people encreafe in numbers and induftry, the difficulty of their intercourfe encreafes: The bufinefs of the agency or merchandize becomes more intricate; and divides, fubdivides, compounds, and mixes to a greater variety. In all thefe tranfactions, it is neceffary, and reafonable, that a confrderable part of the commodities and labour fhould belong to the merchant, to whom, in a great meafure, they are owing. And thefe commodities he will fometimes preferve in kind, or more commonly convert into money, which is
their common reprefentation. If gold and fitver have encreafed in the flate together with the induftry, it will require a great quantity of thefe metals to reprefent a great quantity of commodities 'and tabour. If induftry atone has encreafed, the prices of every thing muft fink, and a fmall quantity of fpecie will ferve as a reprefentation.

There is no craving or demand of the human mind more conftant and infatiable than that for exercife and employment; and this defire feems the foundation of moft of our paffions and purfuits. Deprive a man of all bufinefs and ferious occupation, he runs reflefs from one amufement to another; and the weight and opprefion, which he feels from idlenefs, is fo great, that he forgets the ruin which muft follow him from his immoderate expences. "Give him a more harmilefs way of employing his mind or body, he is fatisfied, and feels no longer that -infatiable thirft after pleafure. But if the employment you give him be lucrative, efpecially if the profit be attached to every particular exertion of induftry, he has gain fo often in his eye, that he acquires, by degrees, a paffion for it, and knows no fuch-pleafure as that of feeing the daily encreafe of his fortune. And this is the reafon-why trade encreafes frugality, and why, among merchants, there is the fame overplus of mifers above prodigals, as, among the poffeffors of land, there is. the contrary.

Commerce encreafes induftry, by conveying it readily from one momber: of the flate to anothers, and allowing none of it to perifh or become ufetefs. It enoreafes! fru$\therefore$ gality, by giving occupation to mon, "and employing them in the arts of gain, which foon engage their-affection, and remove all reliih for pleafure and expence. It
is an infallible confequence of all induftrious profeffions, to beget frugality, and make the love of gain prevail over the love of pleafure. Among lawyers and phyficians who have any practice, there are many more who live within their income, than who exceed it, or even live up to it. But lawyers and phyficians beget no induftry; and it is even at the expence of others they acquire their riches; fo that they are fure to diminifh the poffeffions of fome of their fellow-citizens, as faft as they encreafe their own. Merchants, on the contrary, beget induftry, by ferving as canals to convey it through every corner of the ftate: And at the fame time, by their frugality, they acquire great power over that induftry, and colleef a large property in the labour and commodities, which they are the chief inftruments in producing. There is no other profeffion, therefore, except merchandize, which can make the monied intereft confiderable, or, in other words, can encreafe induftry, and, by alfo encreafing frugality, give a great command of that induftry to particular members of the fociety. Without commerce, the ftate muft confift chiefly of landed gentry, whofe prodigality and expence make a continual demand for borrowing ; and of peafants, who have no fums to fupply that demand. The money never gathers into large ftocks or fums, which can be lent at intereft. It is difperfed into numberlefs hands, who either fquander it in idle fhow and magnificence, or employ it in the purchare of the common neceffaries of life. Commerce alone aflembles it into confiderable fums; and this effect it has merely from the induftry which it begets, and the frugality which it infpires, independent of that particular quantity of precious metal which may circulate in the ftate.

Thus an increafe of commerce, by a neceffary confequence, raifes a great number of lenders, and by that means produces lownefs of interef. We muft now confider how far this encreafe of commerce diminithes the profits arifing from that profeffion, and gives rife to the third circumftance requifite to produce lownefs of intereft.

It may be proper to obferve on this head, that low intereft and low profits of merchandize are two events, that mutually forward each other, and are both originally derived from that extenfive commerce, which produces opulent merchants, and renders the monied intereft confiderabie. Where merchants poffefs great ftocks, whether reprefented by few or many pieces of metal, it muft frequently happen, that, when they either become tired of bufinefs, or leave heirs unwilling or unfit to engage in commerce, a great proportion of thefe riches naturally feeks an annual and fecure revenue. The plenty diminifhes the price, and makes the lenders accept of a low intereft. This confideration obliges many to keep their ftock employed in trade, and rather be content with low profits, than difpofe of their money at an undervalue. On the other hand, when commerce has become extenfive, and empioys large focks, there muit arife rivalhips among the merchants, which diminifh the profits of trade, at the fane time that they encreafe the trade itfelf. The low profits of merchandize induce the merchants to accept more willingly of a low intereft, when they leave off bufinefs, and begin to indula themfelves in eafe and indolence. It is needlefs, therefore, to enquire which of thefe circumfances, to wit, low intereft or low profits, is the cauie, and which the effect? They both arile from an extenfive commerce, and muSVoL. I. Y tually
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tually forward each other. No man will accept of low profits, where he can have high intereft; and no man will accept of low intereft, where he can have high profits. An extenfive commerce, by producing large flocks, diminifhes both intereft and profits; and is always affifted, in its diminution of the one, by the proportional finking of the other. I may add, that, as low profits arife from the encreafe of commerce and induftry, they ferve in their turn to its farther encreafe, by rendering the commodities cheaper, encouraging the confumption, and heightening the induftry. And thus, if we confider the whole connexion of caufes and effects, intereft is the barometer of the ftate, and its lownefs is a fign almoft infallible of the flourifhing condition of a people. It proves the encreafe of induftry, and its prompt circulation through the whole ftate, little inferior to a demonftration. And though, perhaps, it may not be impoffible but a fudden and a great check to commerce may have a momentary effect of the fame kind, by throwing fo many focks out of trade; it muft be attended with fuch mifery and want of employment in the poor, that, befides its fhort duration, it will not be poffible to miftake the one cafe for the other.

Thofe who have afferted, that the plenty of money was the caufe of low intereft, feem to have taken a collateral effect for a caufe; fince the fame induftry, which finks the intereft, commonly acquires great abundance of the precious metals. A variety of fine manufactures, with vigilant enterprifing merchants, will foon draw money to a ftate, if it be any where to be found in the world. The fame caufe, by multiplying the conveniencies of life, and encreafing induftry, collects great siches into the hànds of perfons, who are not proprietors
of land, and produces, by that means, a lownefs of intereft. But though both thefe effects, plenty of money and low intereft, naturally arife from commerce and induftry; they are altogether independent of each other. For fuppofe a nation removed into the Pacific ocean, without any foreign commerce, or any knowledge of navigation: Suppofe; that this nation poffefles always the fame ftock of coin, but is continually encreafing in its numbers ard induftry: It is evident, that the price of every commodity muft gradually diminifh in that kingdom; fince it is the proportion between money and any fpecies of goods, which fixes their mutual value; and, upon the prefent fuppofition, the conveniencies of life become every day more abundant, without any alteration in the current fpecie. A lefs quantity of money, therefore, among this people, will make a tich man, during the times of induftry, than would fuffice to that purpofe, in ignorant and flothful ages. Lefs money will build a houfe, portion a daughter, buy an eftate, fupport a manufactory, or maintain a family and equipage. Thefe are the ufes for which men borrow money; and therefore, the greater or lefs quantity of it in a ftate has no influence on the intereft. But it is evident, that the greater or lefs ftock of labour and commodities muft have a great influence; fince we really and in effect borrow thefe, when we take money upon intereft. It is true, when commerce is extended all over the globe, the mont induftrious nations always abound moft with the precious metals : So that low intereft and plenty of money are in fact almoft infeparable. But fill it is of confequence to know the principle whence any phenomenon arifes, and to diffinguih between a caufe and à concomitant effect. Befides that the fipeculation is curious, it may frequently be of ufe in the conduct of public affairs. At leaft, it muft be owned, that nothing can be of more ufe than to
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improve, by practice, the method of reafoning on thefe fubjects, which of all others are the moft important; though they are commonly treated in the loofert and mont carelefs manner.

Another reafon of this popular miftake with regard to the caufe of low intereft, feems to be the inftance of fome nations; where, after a fudden acquifition of money or of the precious mctals, by means of foreign conqueft, the intereft has fallen, not only among them, but in all the neighbouring flates, as foon as that money was difperfed, and had infinuated itfelf into every corner. Thus, intereft in Spain fell near a half immediately after the difcovery of the West Indies, as we are informed by Garcilasso de la Vega: And it has been ever fince gradualij finking in every kingdom of Europe. Intereft in Rome, after the conqueft of Egypt, fell from 6 to 4 per cent. as we cearn from Dion *.

The caules of the finking of intereft, upon fuch an event, feem difierent in the conquering country and in the neighbouring ftates; but in neither of them can we juftly afcribe thateffect merely to the encreafe of gold and filver.

In the conquering country, it is natural to imagine, that this new acquifition of money will fall into a few hands, and be gathered into large fums, which feek a recure revenue, either by the purchafe of land, or by intereft ; and confequently the fame effect follows, for a little time, as if there had been a great acceffion of induftry and commerce. 'The increafe of lenders above whe borrowers finks the intereft; and fo much the fafter, if thofe, who have acquired thofe large fums, find no induftry or commerce in the 1tate, and no method of employing their money but by lending it at intereft. But
after this new mafs of gold and filver has been digefted, and has circulated through the whole ftate, affairs will foon return to their former fituation; winile the landlords and new money-holders, living idly, fquander above their income; and the former daily contrait debt, and the latter encroach on their fock till its final extinction. The whole money may ftill be in the fate, and make itfelf felt by the increafe of prices: But not being now collected into any large maffes or ftocks, the difproportion between the borrowers and lenders is the fame as formerly, and confequently the high intereft returns.

Accordingly we find, in Rome, that, fo early as Tiberius's time, intcreft had again mounted to 6* per cent. though no accident had happened to drain the empire of money. In Trajan's time, money lent on mortgages in Italy, bore 6 per cent. $\dagger$; on common fecurities in Bithynia, $12 \ddagger$. And if intereft in Spain has not rifen to its old pitch; this can be afcribed to nothing but the continuance of the fame caufe that funk it, to wit, the large fortunes continually made in the Indies, which come over to Spain from time to time, and fupply the demand of the borrowers. By this accidental and extraneous caufe, more money is to be lent in Spain, that is, more money is collected into large fums, than would otherwife be found in a ftate, whiere there are fo littie commerce and induftry.

As to the reduction of intereff, which has followed in England, France, and other kingdoms of Europe, that have no mines, it has been gradual; and has not proceeded from the encreafe of money, confidered merely in itfelf': but from that of induitry, which is the natural

> Coivmerea, lib. iii. car. 3 .
> f Piminepif. lib. vii. ep. 88.
> $\ddagger$ Id. lib. x. ep. 62.
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effect of the former encreafe, in that interval, before it raifes the price of labour and provifions. For to return to the foregoing fuppofition; if the induftry of England had rifen as much from other caufes (and that rife might eafily have happened, though the ftock of money had remained the fame), muft not all the fame confequences have followed, which we obferve at prefent? The fame people would, in that cafe, be found in the kingdom, the fame commodities, the fame induftry, manufactures, and commerce; and confequently the fame merchants, with the fame focks, that is, with the fame command over labour and commodities, only reprefented by a fmaller number of white or yellow pieces; which being a circumftance of no moment, would only affect the waggoner, porter, and trunk-maker. Luxury, therefore, manufactures, arts, induftry, frugality, flourifhing equally as at prefent, it is evident, that intereft muft alfo have been as low; fince that is the neceffary refult of all thefe circumftances; fo far as they de. termine the profits of commerce, and the proportion between the borrowers and lenders in any fate.

## E S S A Y V.

Of the Balance of Trade,

I$T$ is very ufual, in nations ignorant of the nature of commerce, to prohibit the exportation of commodities, and to preferve among themfelves whatever they think valuable and ufeful. They do not confider, that, in this prohibition, they act directly contrary to their intention; and that the more is exported of any commodity, the more wilt be raifed at home, of which they themfelves will always have the firft offer.

- It is well known to the learned, that the ancient laws of Athens rendered the exportation of figs criminal; that being fuppofed a fpecies of fruit fo excellent in Attica, that the Athenians deemed it too delicious for the palate of any foreigner. And in this ridiculous prohibition they were fo much in earneft, that informers were thence called fycophants among them, from two Greek words, which fignify figs and difcoverer*. There are proofs in many old acts of parliament of the fame ignorance in the nature of commerce, particularly in the reign of Edward III. And to this day, in France, the exportation of corn is almoft always prohibited; in order, as they fay, to prevent famines; though it is evident, that nothing contributes more to
the frequent famines, which fo much diftrefs that fertile country.

The fame jealous fear, with regard to money, has alfo prevailed among feveral nations; and it required both reafon and experience to convince any people, that thefe pfohibitions ferve to no other purpofe than to raife the exchange againft them, and produce a ftill greater exportation.

Thefe errors, one may fay, are grofs and palpable: But there ftill prevails, even in nations well acquainted with commerce, a ftrong jealoufy with regard to the balance of trade, and a fear, that all their gold and filver may be leaving them. This feems to me, almoft in every cafe, a groundlefs apprehenfion; and I fhould as foon dread, that all our fprings and rivers fhould be exhaufted, as that money fhould abandon a kingdom where there are people and induftry. Let us carefully preferve thefe latter advantages; and we need never be apprehenfive of lofing the former.

It is eafy to obferve, that all calculations concerning the balance of trade are founded on very uncertain facts and fuppofitions. The cuftom-houfe books are allowed to be an infufficient ground of reafoning; nor is the rate of exchange much better; unlefs we confider it with all nations, and know alfo the proportions of the feveral fums remitted; which one may fafely pronounce impoffible. Every man, who has ever reafoned on this fubject, has always proved his theory, whatever it was, by facts and calculations, and by an enumeration of all the commodities fent to all foreign kingdoms.

The writings of Mr . Gee ftruck the nation with an univerfal panic, when they faw it plainly demonftrated, by a detail of particulars, that the balance was againft them
them for fo confiderable a, fum as muft leave them without a fingle fhilling in five or fix years. But luckily, twenty ycars have fince elapfed, with an expenfive foreign war; yet is it commonly fuppofed, that money is ftill. more plentiful among us than in any former period.

Nothing can be more entertaining on this head than Dr. Swift; an author fo quick in difcerning the mif. takes and abfurdities of others. He fays, in his fhort view of the fate of Irelind, that the whole cafh of that kingdom formerly amounted but to $500,000 \mathrm{l}$. ; that out of this the Irish remitted every year a neat million'to England, and had fcarcely any other fource from which they could compenfate themfelyes, and little other foreign trade than the importation of $\mathrm{French}_{\text {wines, for which. }}$ they paid ready money. The confequence of this fituation, which muft be owned to be difadvantageous, was, that, in a courfe of three years, the current money of Ireland, from $500,000 \mathrm{l}$. was reduced to lefs than two. And at prefent, I fuppofe, in a courfe of 30 years it is abfolutcly nothing. Yet I know not how, that opinion of the advance of riches in Ireland, which gave the Doctor fo much indignation, feems fill to continue, and gain ground with every body.

In fhort, this apprehenfion of the wrong balance of trade, appears of fuch a nature, that it difcovers itfelf, wherever one is out of humour with the miniftry, or is in low fpirits; and as it can never be refuted by a particular detail of all the exports, which counterbalance the imports, it may here be proper to form a general argument, that may prove the impofibility of this event, as long as we preferve our people and our induftry.

Suppofe four-fifths of all the money in Great Britain to be annihilated in one night, and the nation reduced to
the fame condition, with regard to fpecie, as in the reigns of the Harrys and Edwards, what would be the confequence? Muft not the price of all labour and commodities fink in proportion, and every thing be fold as cheap as they were in thofe ages? What nation could then difpute with us in any foreign market, or pretend to navigate or to fell manufactures at the fame price, which to us would afford fufficient profit? In how little time, therefore, muft this bring back the money which we had loft, and raife us to the level of all the neighbouring nations? Where, after we have arrived, we immediately. lofe the advantage of the cheapnefs : of labour and commodities; and the farther flowing, in of money is ftopped by our fulnefs and repletion.

Again, fuppofe, that all the money of Great Britain were multiplied fivefold in a night, muft not the contrary effect follow? Muft not all labour and commodities rife. to fuch an exorbitant height, that no neighbouring nations could afford to buy from us; while their commodities; on the other hand, became comparatively fo cheap, that, in fpite of all the laws which could be formed, they would be run in upon $u s$, and our money flow out; till we fall to a level with foreigners, and lofe that great fuperiority of riches, which had laid us under fuch difadvantages?

Now, it is evident, that the fame caufes, which would correct thefe exorbitant inequalities, were they to happen miraculoufly, muft prevent their happening in the come mon courfe of nature, and muft for ever, in all neighbouring nations, preferve money nearly proportionable to the art and induftry of each nation. All water, whereever it communicates, remains always at a level. Afk anturalifts the reafon; they tell you, that, were it to be,
raifed in any one place, the fuperior gravity of that part not being balanced, muft deprefs it, till it meet a counterpoife ; and that the fame caufe, which redrefles the inequality when it happens, muft for ever prevent it, without fome violent external operation *. .

Can one imagine, that it had ever been poffible; by any laws, or even by any art or induftry, to have kept all the money in Spain, which the galleons have brought from the Indies? Or that all commodities could be fold in France for a tenth of the price which they would yield on the other fide of the Pyrenees, without finding their way thither, 'and draining from that immenfe treafure? What other reafon, indeed, is there, why all nations, at prefent, gain in their trade with Spain and Portugal; but becaufe it is impoffible to heap up money, more than any fluid, beyond its proper level? The fovereigns of thefe countries have fhown, that they wanted.not inclination to keep their gold and filver to themfelyes, had it been in any degree practicable.

But as any body of water may be raifed above the level of the furrounding element, if the former has no communication with the latter; fo.in money, if the communication be cut off, by any material or phyfical impediment (for all laws alone are ineffectual), there may, in fuch a cafe, be a very great inequality of money. Thus the immenfe diftance of China, together with the monopolies of our India companies, obftructing the communication, preferve in Europie the gold and filver, efpe-

[^45]cially
cially the latter, in much greater plenty than they are found in that kingdom. But, notwithftanding this great obftruction, the force of the caufes above mentioned is ftill evident. The fkill and ingenuity of Europe in general furpafies perhaps that of CHiNA, with regard to manual arts and manufactures; yet are we never able to trade thither without great difadvantage. And were it not for the continual recruits, which we receive from America, money would foon fink in Europe, and rife in China, till it came nearly to a level in both places. Nor can any reafonable man doubt, but that induftrious nation, were they as near us as Poland or Barbary, would drain us of the overplus of our feecie, and draw to themfelves a larger fhare of the West Indian treafures, We need not have recourfe to a phyfical attraction, in order to explain the neceffity of this operation. There is a moral attraction, arifing from the interelts and paffions. of men, which is full as potent and infalizble.

How is the balance kept in the provinces of every kingdom among themfelves, but by the force of this principle, which makes it imponfible for money to lofe its level, and either to rife or fink beyond the proportion of the labour and commodities which are in each province? Did not long experience make people eafy on this head, what a fund of gloomy reflections might calculations afford to a melancholy Yorkshireman, while he computed and magnified the fums drawn to London by taxes, abfentees, commodities, and found on comparifon the oppofite articles fo much inferior? And no doubt, had the Heptarchy fubfifted in England, the legiflature of each fate had been continually alarmed by the fear of a wrong balance; and as it is probable that the mutual hatred of thefe ftates would have been extremely violent on account of their clofe neighbaurbood,
they would have loaded and oppreffed all commetce, by a jealous and fuperfluous caution. Since the union has removed the barriers between Scotland and England, which of thefe nations gains from the other by this free commerce? Or if the former kingdom has received any encreafe of riches, can it reafonably be accounted for by any thing but the encreafe of its art and induftry? It was a common apprehenfion in England, before the union, as we learn from L'Abbe du Bos $\dagger$, that Scotland would foon drain them of their treafure, were an open trade allowed; and on the other fide the Tweed a contrary apprehenfion prevailed: With what juftice in both, time has fhown,

What happens in fmall portions of mankind, muft take place in greater. The provinces of the Romar empire, no doubt, kept their balance with each other, and with Italy, independent of the legiflature; as much as the feveral counties of Great Britain, or the feveral parifhes of each county. And any man who travels over Eurppe at this day, may fee, by the prices of commodities, that money, in fpite of the abfurd jealoufy of princes and ftates, has brought itfelf nearly to a level; and that the difference between one kingdom and another is not greater in this refpect, than it is often between different provinces of the fame kingdom. Men naturally flock to capital cities, fea-ports, and navigable rivers. There we find more men, more induftry, more commodities, and confequently more money; but fill the latter difference holds proportion with the former, and the level is preferved *.

> † Lesinterets d' Angleterrimal.entendus.

* See NOTE [R].

Our jealoufy and our hatred of France are without bounds; and the former fentiment, at leaft, muft be acknowledged reafonable and well-grounded. Thefé paffions have occafioned innumerable barriers and obfructions upon commerce, where we are accufed of being commonly the aggreffors. But what have we gained by the bargain? We loft the French market for our woollen manufactures, and transferred the commerce of wine to Spain and Portugat, where we buy worle liquot at a higher price. There are few Englishmen who would not think their country abfolutely ruined; were French wines fold in England fo cheap and in fuch abundance as to fupplant, in fome meafure, all ale; and home-brewed liquors: But would we lay afide prejudice; it would not be difficult to prove, that nothing could be more innocent, perhaps advantageous. Each new acre of vineyard planted in France, in order to fupply England with wine, would make it requifite for the French to take the produce of an English acre, fown in wheat or barley, in order to fubfift themfelves; and it is evident, that we fhould thereby get command of the better commodity.
There are many edicts of the Frénch king, prohibiting the planting of new vineyards, and ordering all thofe which are lately planted to be grubbed up: So fenfible are they, in that country, of the fuperior value of corn, above every other product.
Marefchal Vauban complains often, and with reafon; of the abfurd duties which load the entry of thofe wines of Languedoc, Guienne, and other fouthern provinces, that are imported into Britanny and Normandy. He entertained no doubt but thefe latter provinces could preferve their balance, notwithftanding the operr commerce which he recommends. And it is evident,
that a few leagues more navigation to England would make no difference ; or if it did, that it mult operate alike on the commodities of both kingdoms.

There is indeed one expedient by which it is poffible to fink, and another by which we may raife money beyond its natural level in any kingdom ; but thefe cafes, when examined, will be found to refolve into our general theory, and to bring addicional authority to it.

I fcarcely know any method of finking money below its level, but thofe inftitutions of banks, funds, and papercredit, which are fo much practifed in this kingdom. Thefe render paper equivalent to money, circulate it throughout the whole ftate, make it fupply the place of gold and filver, raife proportionably the price of labour and commodities, and by that means either banifh a great part of thofe precious metals, or prevent their farther encreafe. What can be more fhort-fighted than our reafonings on this head ? We fancy, becaufe an individual would be much richer, were his ftock of money doubled, that the fame good effect would follow were the money of every one encreafed; not confidering, that this would raife as much the price of every commodity, and reduce every man in time to the fame condition as before. It is only in our public negociations and tranfs actions with foreigners, that a greater flock of money is advantageous; and as our paper is there abfolutely infignificant, we feel, by its means, all the ill effects arifing from a great abundance of money, without reaping any of the advantages *.

* We obferved in Effay III. that money, wher encreafing, gives encousragement to induftry, during the interval between the encreafe of mones and rife of the prices. A good effict of this nature may follow too from paper. credit; but it is dangerous to precipitate matters, at the rifk of lofing all by the failing of that credit, as muft happen upor any violent hock in public gftairgo.

Suppofe that there are 12 millions of paper, which circulate in the kingdom as money (for we are not to imagine, that all our enormous funds are employed in that fhape), and fuppofe the real cafh of the kingdom to be 18 millions: Here is a ftate which is found by experience to be able to hold a flock of 30 millions. I fay, if it be able to hold it, it muft of neceffity have acquired it in gold and filver, had we not obftructed the entrance of there metals by this new invention of paper. Whence would it have acquired that fum? From all the kingdoms of the worid. But why? Becaufe, if you remove thefe 12 millions, money in this flate is below its level, compared with our neighbours; and we muit immediately draw from all of thein, till we be full and faturate, fo to fpeak, and can hold no more. By our prefent politics we are as careful to ftuff the nation with this fine commodity of bank-bills and chequer-notes, as if we were afraid of being overburthened with the precious metals.

It is not to be doubted, but the great plenty of bullion in France is, in a great meafure, owing to the want of paper-credit. The French have no banks: Merchants bills do not there circulate as with us: Ufury or lending on intereft is not directly permitted; fo that many have large fums in their coffers: Great quantities of plate are ufed in private houfes; and all the churches are full of it. By this means, provifions and labour ftill remain cheaper among them, than in nations that are not half fo rich in gold and filver. The advantages of this fituation, in point of trade as well as in great public emergencies, are too evident to be difputed.

The fame fafhion a few years ago prevailed in Genoa, which fill has place in England and Holland, of ufing fervices of China-ware inftead of plate; but the fenate, forefeeing the confequence, prohibited the ufe of
that brittle commodity beyond a certain extent; while the ufe of filver-plate was left unlimited. And I fuppofe; in their late diftreffes, they felt the good effect of thia osdinance. Our tax on plate is, perhaps; in this view, fomewhat impolitic.

Before the introduction of paper-money into our colod nies, they had gold and filver fufficient for their circulation. Since the introduction of that commodity; the leaft inconveniency that has followed is the total banihment of the precious metals. And after the abolition of paper; can it be doubted but money will return, while thefe colonies poffefs manufactures and commodities, the only thing valuable in commerce, and for whofe fake alone all men defire money?

What pity Lycurcus did not think of paper-ctedit, when he wanted to banifh gold and filver from Sparta! It would have ferved his purpofe better than the lumps of iron he made ufe of as money; and would alfo have prevented more effectually all commerce with ftrangers; as being of fo much lefs real and intrinfic value.

It muft, however, be confeffed, that, as all thefe queftions of trade and money are extremely complicated, there are certain lights, in which this fubject may be placed, fo as to reprefent the advantages of paper-credit and banks to be fuperior to their difadvantages. That they banifh fpecie and bullion from a ftate is undoubtedly true; and whoever looks no farther than this circumftance does well to condemn them; but fpecie and bullion are not of fo great confequence as not to admit of a compenfation, and even an overbalance from the encreafe of induftry and of credit, which may be promoted by the right ufe of paper-money. It is well known of what advantage it is to a merchant to be able to difcount his bills
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upon occafion; and every thing that facilitates this fpecies of traffic is favourable to the general commerce of a fate. But private bankers are enabled to give fuch credit by the credit they receive from the depofting of money in their fhops; and the bank of England in the fame manner, from the liberty it has to iffue its notes in all payments. There was an invention of this kind, which was fallen upon fome years ago by the banks of Edinburgu; and which, as it is one of the moft ingenious ideas that has been executed in commerce, has alfo been thought advantageous to Scotiand. It is there called 2 Bank-Credit; and is of this nature. A man goes to the bank and finds furety to the amount, we fhall fuppofe, of a thourand pounds. This money, or any part of it, he has the liberty of drawing out whenever he pleafes, and he pays only the ordinary intereft for it, while it is in his hands. He may, when he pleafes, repay any fum fo fmall as twenty pounds, and the intereft is difcounted from the very day of the repayment. The advantages, refuilting from this contrivance, are manifold. As a man may find furety nearly to the amount of his fubfance, and his bank-credit is equivalent to ready money, a merchant does hereby in a manner coin his houfes, his hourehold furniture, the goods in his warehoufe, the foreign debts due to him, his thips at fea; and can, upon occafion, employ them in all payments, as if they were the current money of the country. If a man borrow a thourand pounds from a private hand, befides that it is not always to be found when required, he pays intereft for it, whether he be ufing it or not: His bankcredit cofts him nothing except during the very moment in which it is of fervice to him : And this circumftance is of equal advantage as if he had borrowed money at much lower interefl. Merchants, likewife, from this
invention, acquire a great facility in fupporting each other's credit, which is a confiderable fecurity againt bankruptcies. A man, when his own bank-credit is exhaufted, goes to any of his neighbours who is not in the fame condition; and he gets the money, which he replaces at his convenience.
After this practice had taken place during fome years at Edinburgh, feveral companies of merchants at Glasgow carried the matter farther. They affociated themfelves into different banks, and iffued notes fo low as ten flillings, which they ufed in all payments for goods, manufacqures, tradefmen's labour of all kinds; and thefo notes, from the eftablifhed credit of the companies, paffed as money in all payments throughout the country. By this means, a flock of five thoufand pounds was able.to perform the fame operations as if it were fix or feven; and merchants were thereby eniabled to trade to a greater extent, pnd to require lefs profit in all their tranfactions. But whatever other advantages refult from thefe inventions, it muft fill be allowed that, befides giving too great facility to credit, which is dangerous, they banilh the precious metals; and nothing can be a more evident proof of it, than a comparion of the paft and prefent condition of Scotland in that particular. It was found, upon the recoinage made after the union, that there was near a million of fpecie in that country : But notwithftanding the great encreafe of riches, commerce, and manufactures of all kinds, it is thought, that, even where there is no extraordinary drain made by Engiand, the current fyecié will not now amount to a third of that fum.
But as our projects of paper-credit are almof the only expedient, by which we can fink money below its level; fo, in my opinion, the only expedient, by which we can raife money above it, is a practice which we fhould all exclaim againft as deftructive, namely, the gathering of
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large fums into a public treafure, locking them up, and abfolutely preventing their circulation. The fluid, not communicating with the neighbouring element, may, by fuch an artifice, be raifed to what height we pleafe. To prove this, we need only return to our firft fuppofition, of annibilating the half or any part of our cafh; where we found, that the immediate confequence of fuch an event would be the attraction of an equal fum from all the neighbouring kingdoms. Nor does there feem to be any neceffary bounds fet, by the nature of things, to this practice of hoarding. A fmall city, like Geneva, continuing this policy for ages, might ingrofs nine-tenths of the money in Europe. There feems, indeed, in the nature of man, an invincible obftacle to that immenfe growth of riches. A weak ftate, with an enormous treafure, will foon become a prey to fome of its poorer, but more powerful neighbours. A great ftate would diffipate its wealth in dangerous and ill-concerted projects; and probably deftroy, with it, what is much more valuable, the induftry, morals, and numbers of its people. The fuid, in this cafe, raifed to too great a height, burfts and deftroys the veffel that contains it; and mixing itfelf with the furrounding element, foon falls to its proper level.

So little are we commonly acquainted with this principle, that, though all hiftorians agree in relating uniformly fo recent an event, as the immenfe treafure amaffed by Harry VII. (which they make amount to 2,700,000 pounds) we rather reject their concurring teftimony, than admit of a fact, which agrees fo ill with our inveterate prejudices. It is indeed probable, that this fum night be three-fourths of all the money in EngI AND. But where is the difficulty in conceiving, that fuck a fum might be amaffed in twenty years, by a cunning, rapacious, frugal, and almoft abfolute monarch? Nor is it prubable, that the diminution of circulating money was

## Of the Balance of Trade.

ever fenfibly felt by the people, or ever did them any prejudice. The finking of the prices of all commodities would immediately replace it, by giving England the advantage in its commerce with the neighbouring kingdoms.

Have we not an inftance, in the fmall republic of Athens with its allies, who, in about fifty years, between the Median and Peloponnesian wars, amaffed a fum not much inferior to that of Harry VII.? For all the Greek hiftorians* and orators $\dagger$ agree, that the Athenians collected in the citadel more than 10,000 talents, which they afterwards diffipated to their own ruin, in rafh and imprudent enterprizes. But when this money was fet a running, and began to communicate with the furrounding fluid; what was the confequence? Did it remain in the ftate? No. For we find, by the memorable cenfus mentioned by Demosthenes $\ddagger$ and PolybIUS §, that, in about fifty years afterwards, the whole value of the republic, comprehending lands, houfes, commodities, flaves, and money, was lefs than 6000 talents.

What an ambitious high-fpirited people was this, to collect and keep in their treafury, with a view to conquefts, a fum, which it was every day in the power of the citizens, by a fingle vote, to diftribute among themfelves, and which would have gone near to triple the riches of every individual! For we muft obferve, that the numbers and private riches of the Athenians are faid, by ancient writers, to have been no greater at the
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beginning of the Peloponnesian war, than at the beginning of the Macedonian.

Money was little more plentiful in Greece during the age of Philip and Perseus, than in England during that of Harry VII. : Yet thefe two monarchs in thirty years* collected from the fmall kingdom of $\mathrm{MA}_{\mathrm{A}}$ Cedon, a larger treafure than that of the English monarch. Paulus 厄milius brought to Rome about 1,700,000 pounds Sterling t. Pliny fays, 2,400,000 $\ddagger$. And that was but a part of the Macedonian treafure, The reft was diffipated by the refiftance and Alight of Perseus g.

We may learn from Stanian, that the canton of Berne had 300,000 pounds lent at intereft, and had above fix times as much in their treafury. Here then is a fum hoarded of $1,800,000$ pounds Sterling, which is at leaft quadruple what fhould naturally circulate in fuch a petty ftate; and yet no one, who travels in the Pais de Vaux, or any part of that canton, obferves any want of money more than could be fuppofed in a country of that extent, foil, and fituation. On the contrary, there are fcarce any inland provinces in the continent of France or Germany, where the inhabitants are at this time fo opulent, though that canton has vaftly encreafed its treafure fince 1714, the time when Stanian wrote his judicious account of Switzprland $\|$.

The account given by Appian $\pi$ of the treafure of the Ptolemies, is fo prodigious, that one cannot admit of
*Titi Livir, lib. xlv. cap. 40. t Vin. Paterc. lib. i. cap. 9.
$\ddagger$ Lib. xxxiii, cap. 3. § Titilivir, ibid.
|| The poverty which 8tanian fpeaks of is only to be feen in the mof mountainous cantons, where there is no commodity to bring moneya And even there the people are not poorer than in the diocefe of Saltan FVRGB on the one hand or Savoy on the other.
$\rightarrow$ © Propzs?
it; and fo much the lefs, becaufe the hiftorian fays, that the other fucceffors of Alexander were alfo frugal, and had many of them treafures not much inferior. For this faving humour of the neighbouring princes muft neceffarily have checked the frugality of the Egyptian monarchs, according to the foregoing theory. The fum he mentions is 740,000 talents, or 191,166,666 pounds 13 fhillings and 4 pence, according to Dr. Arbuthnot's computation. And yet Appian fays, that he extracted his account from the public records; and he was himfelf a native of Alexandria.

From thefe principles we may learn what judgment we ought to form of thofe numberlefs bars, obftructions, and impofts, which all nations of Europe, and none more than England, have put upon trade; from an exorbitant defire of amaffing money, which never will heap up beyond its level, while it circulates; or from an ill-grounded apprehenfion of lofing their fpecie, which never will fink below it. Could any thing featter our riches, it would be fuch impolitic contrivances. But this general ill effect, however, refults from them, that they deprive neighbouring nations of that free communication and exchange which the Author of the world has intended, by giving them foils, climates, and geniufes, fo different from each other.

Our modern politics embrace the only method of banifhing money, the ufing of paper-credit; they reject the only method of amaffing it, the practice of hoarding; and they adopt a hundred contrivances, which ferve to no purpofe but to check induftry, and rob ourfelves and our neighbours of the common benefits of art and nature.

All taxes, however, upon foreign commodities, are not to be regarded as prejudicial or ufelefs; but thofe only
which
which are founded on the jealoufy above-mentioned. A tax on German linen encourages home manufactures, and thereby multiplies our people and induftry. A tax on brandy encreafes the fale of rum, and fupports our fouthern colonies. And as it is neceffary, that impofts fhould be levied for the fupport of government, it may be thought more convenient to lay them on foreign commodities, which can eafily be intercepted at the port, and fubjected to the impoft. We ought, however, always to remmeber the maxim of Dr. Swift, That, in the arithmetic of the cuftoms, two and two make not four, but often make only one. It can fcarcely be doubted, but if the duties on wine were lowered to a third, they would yield much more to the government than at prefent: Our people might thereby afford to drink commonly a better and more wholefome liquor; and no prejudice would enfue to the balance of trade, of which we are fo jealous, The manufacture of ale beyond the agriculture is but inconfiderable, and gives employment to few hands. The tranfport of wine and corn would not be much inferior.

But are there not frequent inftances, you will fay, of ftates and kingdons, which were formerly rich and opulent, and are now poor and beggarly? Has not the money left them, with which they formerly abounded? I anfwer, If they lofe their trade, induftry, and people, they cannot oxpeet to keep their gold and filver: For thefo precious metals will hold proportion to the former advantages. When Lisbon and Amsterdam got the East-Ididia trade from Venice and Genoa, they alfo got the profits and money which arofe from it. Where the feat of government is transferred, where expenfive armies are maintained at a diftance, where great funds are poffefled by foreigners; there naturally follows from
from thefe caufes a diminution of the feecie. But there we may obferve, are violent and forcible methods of carrying away money, and are in time commonly attended with the tranfport of people and indufty. But,where thefe remain, and the drain is not continued, the money always finds its way back again, by a hundred canals, of which we have no notion or fufpicion. What immenfe treafures have been !pent, by fo many nations, in Flanpses, fince the revolution, in the courfe of three long wars? More money perhaps than the half of what is at prefent in Europs; But what has now become of it? Is it in the narrow compars of the Austrian provinces? No, furely: It has moft of it returned to the feveral countries whence it came, and has followed that art and induftry, by which at firft it was acquired. For above a thoufand years, 'the money of Europe has been flowing to Rome, by an open and fenfible current; but it has been emptied by many fecret and infenfible canals: And the want of induftry and commerce renders at prefent the papal dominions the pooreft territory in all Italy.

In fhort, a government has great reafon to preferve with care its people and its manufactures. Its money, it may fafely truft to the courfe of human affairs, without fear or jealoury. Or if it ever give attention to this latter circumftance, it ought only to be fo far as it affeets the former:
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## Of the Jealousy of Trade.

HA. I IN G endeavoured to remove one fpecies of illfounded jealoufy, which is fo prevalent among commercial nations, it may not be amifs to mention another, which feems equally groundlefs. Nothing is more ufual, among ftates which have made fome advances in commerce, than to look on the progrefs of their neighbours with a fufpicious eye, to confider all trading ftates as their rivals, and to fuppofe that it is impoffible for any of them to flourifh, but at their expence. In oppofition to this narrow and malignant opinion, I will venture to affert, that the encreafe of riches and commerce in any one nation, inftead of hurting, commonly promotes the riches and commerce of all its neighbours; and that a ftate can fcarcely carry its trade and induftry very far, where all the furrounding ftates are buried in ignorance, lloth, and barbarifm.

It is obvious, that the domeftic induftry of a people cannot be hurt by the greateft profperity of their neighbours; and as this branch of commerce is undoubtedly the moft important in any extenfive kingdom, we are fo far removed from all reafon of jealoufy. But I go farther, and obferve, that where an open communication is preferved among nations, it is impaffible but the domeftic
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meftic induftry of every one muft receive an encreafo from the improvements of the others. Compare the fituation of Great Britain at prefent, with what it was two centuries ago. All the arts both of agriculture and manufactures were then extremely rude and imperfect. Every improvement, which we have fince made, has arifen from our imitation of foreigners; and we ought fo far to efteem it happy, that they had pre, vioully made adyances in arts and ingenuity. But this intercourfe is ftill upheld to our great advantage: Notwithftanding the advanced ftate of our manufactures, we daily adopt, in every art, the inventions and improvements of our neighbours. The commodity is firft imported from abroad, to our great difcontent, while we imagine that it drains us of our money: Afterwards, the art itfelf is gradually imported, to our vifible advantage : Yet we continue ftill to repine, that our neighbours fhould poffefs any art, induftry, and invention; forgetting that, had they not firft inftructed us, we fhould have been at prefent barbarians; and did they not ftill continue their inftructions, the arts muft fall into a ftate of languor, and lofe that emulation and novelty, which contribute fo much to their advancement.

The encreafe of domeftic induftry lays the foundation of foreign commerce. Where a great number of commodities are raifed and perfected for the home-market, there will always be found fome which can be experted with advantage. But if our neighbours have no axt or cultivation, they cannot take them; becaufe they will have nothing to give in exchange. In this refpect, ftates are in the fame condition as individuals. A fingle man can fcarcely be induftrious, where all his fellow-citizens. are idle. The riches of the feveral members of a community contribute to encreafe my riches, whatever prq-.
teffion I may follow. They confume the produce of my induftry, and afford the the produce of theirs in return.
Nor needs any ftate entertain apprehenfions, that their neighbours will improve to fuch a degree in every art and manufacture, as to have no demand from them. Nature, by giving a diverfity of geniufes, climates, and foils, to different nations, has fecured their mutual intercourfe and commerce, as long as they all remain induftrious and civilized. Nay, the more the arts encreafe in any ftate, the more will be its demands from its induftrious neighbours. The inhabitants, having become opulent and Ikilful, defire to have every commodity in the utmoft perfection; and as they have plenty of commodities to give in exchange, they make large importations from every foreign country. The induftry of the nations, from whom they import, receives encouragement: Their own is alfo encreafed, by the fale of the commodities which they give in exchange.

But what if a nation has any ftaple commodity, fuch as the woollen manufacture is in England? Muft not the interfering of our neighbours in that manufacture be a lofs to us? I anfwer, that, when any commodity is denominated the ftaple of a kingdom, it is fuppofed that this kingdom has fome peculiar and natural advantages for raifing the commodity; and if, notwithflanding thefe. advantages, they lofe fuch a manufacture, they ought to blame their own idenefs, or bad government, not the induffry of their neighbours. It ought alfo to be confidered, that, by the encreafe of induffry among the neighbouring nations, the confumption of every particular fpecies of commodity is alfo encreafed; and though foreign manufactures interfere with them in the market, the demand for their product may ftill continue, or even encreafe. And Thould it diminifh, ought the confequence to be efteemed
fo fatal? If the fpirit of induftry be preferved, it may eafily be diverted from one branch to another; and the manufacurers of wool, for inflance, be employed in lingn, Gilk, iron, or any other commodities, for which there appears to be a demand. We need not apprehend, that all the objects of induftry will be exhaufted, or that our manufacturers, while they remain on an equal footing with thofe of our neighbours, will be in danger of wanting employment. The emulation among rival nations ferves rather to keep induftry alive in all of them : And any people is happier who poffers a variety of manufactures, than if they enjoyed one fingle great manufacture, in which they are all employed. Their fituation is lefs precarious; and they will feel lefs fenfibly thofe revolutions and uncertainties, to which every particular branch of commerce will always be expofed.
The only commercial ftate, that ought to dread the improvements and induftry of their neighbpurs, is fuch a one as the Dutch, who enjoying no extent of land, nor poffeffing any number of native commodities, flouriph only by their being the brokers, and factors, and carriers of others. Such a people may naturally apprehend, that, as foon as the neighbouring flates come to know and purfue their intereft, they will take into their own hands the management of their affairs, and deprive their brokers of that profit, which they formerly reaped from it. But though this confequence may naturally be dreaded, it is very long before it takes place; and by art and induftry it may be warded off for many generations, if not wholly cluded. The advantage of fuperior ftocks and correfpondence is fo great, that it is not eafily overcome; and as all the tranfactions encreafe by the encreafe of induftry in the neighbouring ftates, even a poople whofe commerce ftands on this precarious bafis, may at firt reap a confiderable
confiderable profit from the flourifhing condition of their neighbours. The Dutch, having mortgaged all their revenues, make not fuch a figure in political tranfactions as formerly; but their commerce is furely equal to what it was in the middle of the laft century, when they were reckoned among the great powers of Europe.

Were our narrow and malignant politics to meet with fuccefs, we fhould reduce all our neighbouring nations to the fame ftate of floth and ignorance that prevails in Morocco and the coaft of Barbary. But what would be the confequence? They could fend us no commodities: They could take none from us: Our domeftic commerce itfelf would languifh for want of emulation, example, and inftruction: And we ourfelves fhould foon fall into the fame abject condition, to which we had reduced them. I fhall therefore venture to acknowledge, that, not only as 2 man , but as a British fubject, I pray for the flourifhing commerce of Grrmany, Spain, Italy, and even France itfelf. I am at leaft certain, that Great Britain, and all thofe nations, would flourifh more, did their fovereigns and minifters adopt fuch enlarged and benevolent fentiments towards each other.
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## Of the Balance of Power.

1T is a queftion, whether the idea of the balance of power be owing entirely to modern policy, or whether the phrafe only has been invented in thefe-later ages? It is certain that Xenophon *, in his inftitution of Cyrus, reprefents the combination of the Asiatic powers to have arifen from a jealoufy of the encreafing force of the Medes and Persians; and though that elegant compofition thould be fuppofed altogether a romance, this fentiment, afcribed by the author to the eaftern princes, is at leaft a proof of the prevailing notion of ancient times.

In all the politics of Greece, the anxiety, with regard to the balance of power, is apparent, and is exprefsly pointed out to us, even by the ancient hiftorians. Thucydides $\dagger$ reprefents the league, which was formed againft Athens, and which produced the PéloponneSIAN war, as entirely owing to this principle. And after the decline of Athens, when the Thebans and Lacedemonians difputed for fovereignty, we'find, that the Athenians (as well as many other republics) always threw themfelves into the lighter fcale, and endeavoured to preferve the balance. They fupported Thebes againft
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Sparta,
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Sparta, till the great victory gained by Epaminondas at Leuctra; after which they immediately went over to the conquered, from generofity, as they pretended, but in reality from their jealoufy of the conquerors*.

Whoever will read Demostheńes's oration for the Megalopolitans, may fee the utmoft refinements on this principle, that ever entered into the head of a Venetian or English fpeculatift. And upon the firft rife of the Macedonian power, this orator immediately difcovered the danger, founded the alarm throughout all Greects, and at laft affembled that confederacy under the bannérs of Athens, which fought the great and decifive battle of Chatronea.

It is true, the Grecran wars are regarded by hiftomans as wars of emulation rather than of politics; and each ftate feems' to have had more in view the honour of leading the reft, than any well-grounded hopes of authority and dominion. If we confider, indeed, the finall number of inhabitants in any one republic, compared to the whole, the great difficulty of formitg fieges in thofe times, and the extraordinary bravery and difcipline of every freeman among that noble people; we flall conclude, that the balance of power was, of itfelf, fufficiently fecured in Greece, and needed not to have been guarded. with that caution which may be requifite in other ages. But whether we afcribe the fhifting of fides in all the Grecian republics to jealous emulation or cautious politics, the effects were alike, and every prevailing power was fure to meet with a confederacy againft it, and that often compofed of its former friends and allies.

The fame principle, call it envy or prudence, which produced the Ofraci/m of Athens, and Petalifm of Sy-

[^46]KACuSE, and expelled every citizen whofe fame or power bvertopped the reft; the fame principle; I fay, naturally difcovered itfelf in foreign politics, and foon railed enemies to the leading flate, however moderate in the exercife of its authority.
The Persian monarch was really, in his force, a petty prince compared to the Grecian republics; and therefore it behoved him, from views of fafety more than from emulation, to intereft himelf in their quarrels, and to fupport the weaker fide in every contef. This was the advice given by Alcibiades to Tissaphernes *; and it prolonged, near a century, the date of the Persian empire ; till the neglect of it for a moment, after the firft appearance of the afpiring genius of PhiLip, brought that lofty and frail edifice to the ground, with a rapidity of which there are few inflances in the hiftory of mankind.
The fucceffors of Alexander fhowed great jealoufy of the balance of power; a jealoufy founded on true politics and prudence; and which preferved diftinct for feveral ages the partition made after the death of that famous conqueror: The fortune and ambition of $A_{N}$ tigonus $\dagger$ threatened them anew with a univerfal monarchy; but their combination, and their viftory at Ipsus faved them. And in fubfequent times; we find, that, as the Eaftern princes confidered the Greeks and MAcedonians as the only real military force with whom they had any intercourfe, they kept always a watchful eye over that part of the world. The Ptolemies, in particular; fupported firt Aratus and the Achaeans, and then Cleomenes king of Sparta, from no other view than as a counterbalance to the Macedonian

[^47][^48]paraed boogle
monarchs. For this is the account which Polybies gives of the Egyptian politics*.

The reafon, why it is fuppofed, that the ancients were entirely ignorant of the balance of. power, feems to be drawn from the Roman hiftory more than the GreCIAN; and as the tranfactions of the former are generally more familiar to us, we have thence formed all our conclufions. It muft be owned, that the Romans never met with any fuch general combination or confederacy againft them, as might naturally have been expected from their rapid conquefts and declared ambition; but were allowed peaceably to fubdue their neighbours, one after another, till they extended their dominion over the whole known world. Not to mention the fabulous hiftory of their Italic wars; there was, upon Hannibal's invafion of the Roman ftate, a remarkable crifis, which ought to have called up the attention of all civilized nations. It appeared afterwards (nor was it difficult to be obferved at the time) $\dagger$ that this was a conteft for univerfal empire; yet no prince or fate feems to have been in the leaft alarmed about the event or iffue of the quarrel. Philip of Macedon remained neuter, till he faw the victories of Hannibal; and then moft imprudently formed an alliance with the conqueror, upon terms ftill more imprudent. He flipulated, that he was to affift the Carthaginian fate in their conqueft of Italy; after which they engaged to fend over forces into Greece, to affift him in fubduing the Grecian commonwealths $\ddagger$.

[^49]The Rhodian and Achaean republics are much celebrated by ancient hiftorians for their wifdom and found policy; yet both of them affifted the Romans in their wars againft Philip and Antiochus. And what may be efteemed ftill a ftronger proof, that this maxim was not generally known in thofe ages; no ancient author has remarked the imprudence of thefe meafures, nor has even blamed that abfurd treaty above-mentioned, made by Philip with the Carthaginians. Princes and ftatefmen,-in all ages, may, before-hand, be blinded in their reafonings with regard to events: But it is fomewhat extraordinary, that hiftorians, afterwards, fhould not form a founder judgment of them.
Massinissa, Attalus, Prusias, in gratifying their private paffions, were, all of them, the inftruments of the Roman greatnefs; and never feem to have fufpected, that they were forging their own chains, while they advanced the conquefts of their ally, A fimple treaty and agreement between Massinissa and the Carthacinians, fo much required by mutual intereft, barred the Romans from all entrance into Africa, and preferved liberty to mankind.

The only prince we meet with in the Roman hiftory, who feems to have underitood the balance of power, is Hiero king of Syracuse. Though the ally of Rome, he fent affiftance to the Carthaginians, during the war of the auxiliaries; "Efteeming it requifite," fays Polybius *, " both in order to retain his dominions in "Sicily, and to preferve the Roman friendhip, that " Carthage fhould be fafe; left by its fall the re" maining power fhould be able, without contraft or * oppofition, to execute every purpofe and undertaking.
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" And here he acted with great wifdom and prudence. " For that is never, on any account, to be overlooked; " nor ought fuch a force ever to be thrown into one " hand, as to incapacitate the neighbouring ftates from " defending their rights againft it." Here is the aim of modern politics pointed out in exprefs terms.

In fhort, the maxim of preferving the balance of power is founded fo much on common fenfe and obvious reafoning, that it is impoffible it could altogether have efcaped antiquity, where we find, in other particulars? fo many marks of deep penetration and difcernment. If it was not fo generally known and acknowledged as at prefent, it had, at leaft, an influence on all the wifer and more experienced princes and politicians. And indeed, even at prefent, however generally known and acknowledged among fpeculative reafoners, it has not, in practice, an authority much more extenfive among thofe wha govern the world.

After the fall of the Roman empire, the form of gavernment, eftablifhed by the northern conquerors, incapacitated them, in a great meafure, for farther conquefts ${ }_{2}$ and long maintained each ftate in its proper boundaries. But when vaffalage and the feudal militia were abolifhed, mankind were anew alarmed by the danger of univerfal monarchy, from the union of fo many kingdoms and principalities in the perfon of the emperor Charles, But the power of the houfe of Austria, fqunded on extenfive but divided dominions, and their riches; derived chiefly from mines of gold and filver, were more likely to decay, of themfelves, from internal defects, than to overthrow all the bulwarks raifed againft them. In lefs than a century, the force of that violent and haughty race was fhattered, their opulence diffipated, their fplentor eclipfed. A new power fucceeded, more formidable
to the liberties of Europe, poffeffing all the advantages of the former, and labouring under none of its defects, except a fhare of that firit of bigotry and perfecution, with which the houfe of Austria was folong, and ftill is fo much infatuated.

In the general wars, maintained againft this ambitious power, Great Britain has ftood foremoft; and thẹ ftill maintains her ftation. Befide her advantages of riches and fituation, her people are animated with fuch a national fpirit, and are fo fully fenfible of the bleffings of their government, that we may hope their vigour never will languifh in fo neceffary and fo juft a caufe. On the contrary, if we may judge by the paft, their paffionate ardour feems rather to require fome moderation; and they have oftener erred from a laudable excefs than from a blameable deficiency.

In the fr $/ \mathrm{t}$ place, we feem to have been more pofiefled with the ancient Greek firit of jealous emulation, than actuated by the prudent views of modern politics. Our wars with France have been begun with juftice, and even, perhaps, from neceffity; but have always been too far pufhed from obftinacy and paffion. The fame peace, which was afterwards made at Ryswicx in 1697, was offered fo early as the year ninety-two; that concluded at Utrecht in 1712 might have been finifined on as good conditions at Gertruytenberg in the year eight; and we might have given at Frankfort, in 1743, the fame terms, which we were glad to accept of af Aix-la-Chapelle in the year forty-eight. Here then we fee, that above half of our wars with France, and all our public debts, are owing more to our own imprudent vehemence, than to the ambition of our peighbours.

In the fecond place, we are fo declared in our oppofition to French power, and fo alert in defence of our allies, that they always reckon upon our force as upon their own; and expecting to carry on war at our expence, refufe all reafonable terms of accommodation. Habent fubjectos, tanquam fuos; viles, ut alienos. All the world knows, that the factious vote of the Houfe of Commons, in the beginning of the laft parliament, with the profeffed humour of the nation, made the queen of Hungary inflexible in her terms, and prevented that agreement with Prussia, which would immediately have reftored the general tranquillity of Europe.

In the third place, we are fuch true combatants, that, when once engaged, we lofe all concern for ourfelves and our pofterity, and confider only how we may beft annoy the enemy. To mortgage our revenues at fo deep a rate, in wars, where we were only acceffaries, was furely the moft fatal delufion, that a nation, which had any pretenfion to politics and prudence, has ever yet been guilty of. That remedy of funding, if it be a remedy, and not rather a poifon, ought, in all reafon, to be referved, to the laft extremity; and no evil, but the greateft and moft urgent, fhould ever induce us to embrace fo dangerous an expedient.

Thefe exceffes, to which we have been carried, are prejudicial; and may, perhaps, in time, become fill more prejudicial another way, by begetting, as is ufual, the oppofite extreme, and rendering us totally carelefs and fupine with regard to the fate of Europe. The Athenians, from the moft bufling, intriguing, warlike people of Greece, finding their error in thrufting themfelves into every quarrel, abandoned all attention to foreign affairs; and in no conteft ever took part on either fide $_{2}$
fide, except by their flatteries and complaifance to the victor.

Enormous monarchies are, probably, defructive to human nature ; in their progrefs, in their continuance *, and even in their downfal, which never can be very diffant from their eftablifhment. The military genius, which aggrandized the monarchy, foon leaves the court, the capital, and the center of fuch a government ; while the wars are carried on at a great diftance, and intereft fo fmall a part of the ftate. The ancient nobility, whofe affections attach them to their fovereign, live all at court; and never will accept of military employments, which would carry them to remote and barbarous frontiers, where they are diftant both from their pleafures and their fortune. The arms of the ftate, muft, therefore, be entrufted to mercenary ftrangers, without zeal, without attachment, without honour; ready on every occafion to turn them againft the prince, and join each defperate malcontent, who offers pay and plunder. This is the neceffary progrefs of human affairs: Thus human nature checks itfelf in its airy elevation: Thus ambition blindly labours for the deftruction of the conqueror, of his family, and of every thing near and dear to him. The Bourbons, trufting to the fupport of their brave, faithful, and affectionate nobility, would pufh their advantage, without referve or limitation. Thefe, while fired with glory and emulation, can bear the fatigues and dangers of war; but never would fubmit to languin in the garrifons of Hungary or Lithuania, forgot at court, and facrificed to the intrigues of every minion or miftrefs, who ap-

[^50]proaches,
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proaches the prince. The troops are filled with Cra. vates and Tartars, Hussars and Cossacs; inter. mingled, perhaps, with a few foldiers of fortune from the better provinces : And the melancholy fate of the Roman emperors, from the fame caufe, is renewed over and over again, till the final diffolution of the monarchy.

## E S S A Y VIII,

> Of TAXES,

THERE is a prevailing maxim, among fome reafoners, that every new tax creates a new ability in the fubject to bear it, and that each encreafe of public burdens encreafes proportionably the induftry of the people. This maxim is of fuch a nature as is moft likely to be abufed; and is fo much the more dangerous, as its truth cannot be altogether denied: but it muft be owned, when kept within certain bounds, to have fome foundation in reafon and experience.

When a tax is laid upon commodities, which are confumed by the common people, the neceflary confequence may feem to be, either that the poor muft retrench fomething from their way of living, or raife their wages, fo as to make the burden of the tax fall entirely upon the rich. But there is a third confequence, which often follows upon taxes, namely, that the poor encreafe their induftry, perform more work, and live as well as before, without demanding more for their labour. Where taxes are moderate, áre laid on gradually, and affect not the neceffaries of life, this confequence naturally follows; and it is certain, that fuch difficulties often ferve to excite the induftry of a people, and render them more opulent and laborious, than others, who enjoy the greateft àdyantages. For we may obferve, as a parallel inftance, that
that the mof commercial nations have not always porfeffed the greateft extent of fertile land; but, on the contrary, that they have laboured under many natural díadvantages. Tyre, Athens, Carthage, Rhodes, Genoa, Ventce, Holland, are frong examples to this purpofe. And in all hiftory, we find only three intances of large and fertile countries, which have poffeffed much trade; the Netherlands, England, and France. The two former feem to have been allured by the advantages of their maritime fituation, and the ne. ceffity they lay under of frequenting foreign ports, in order to procure what their own climate refured them. And as to France, trade has come late intothat kingdom, and feems to have been the effect of reflection and obfervation in an ingenious and enterprizing people, who remarked the riches acquired by fuch of the neighbouring nations as cultivated navigation and commerce. .
The places mentioned by Cicero *, as poffefled of the greateft commerce in his time, are Alexandria, Colchus, Tyre, Sidon, Andros, Cyprus, Pamphylia, Lycia, Rhodes, Chios, Byzantium, Lesbos, Smyrna, Miletum, Coos. All thefe, except Alexandria, were either fmall iflands, or narrow territories. And that city owed its trade entirely to the happinefs of its fituation.
Since therefore fome natural neceffities or difadvantages may be thought favourable to induftry, why may not artificial burdens have the fame effect ? Sir William Temple, $t$, we may obferve, afcribes the induftry of the Dutch entirely to neceffity, proceeding from their natural difadvantages; and illuftrates his doctrine by a friking comparifon with Ireland; "where,"

[^51]fays he, " by the largenefs and plenty of the foil, and " fcarcity of people, all things neceffary to life are fo " cheap, that an induftrious man, by two days labour, " may gain enough to feed him the reft of the week. " Which I take to be a very plain ground of the lazinefs " attributed to the people. For men naturally prefer " eafe before labour, and will not take pains if they can " live idle; though when, by neceffity, they have been " inured to it, they cannot leave it, being grown a cuf" tom neceffary to their health, and to their very enter" tainment. Nor perhaps is the change harder, from "c conftant eafe to labour, than from conflant labour to " eafe." After which the author proceeds to confirm his doctrine, by enumerating, as above, the places where trade has moft flourifhed, in ancient and modern times; and which are commonly obferved to be fuch narrow confined territories, as beget a neceffity for induftry.

The beft taxes are fuch as are lcvied upon confumptions, efpecially thofe of luxury; becaufe fuch taxes are leaft felt by the people. They feem, in fome meafure, voluntary; fince a man may chufe how far he will ufe the commodity which is taxed: They are paid gradually and infenfibly: They naturally produce fobriety and frugality, if judicioully impofed: And being confounded with the natural price of the commodity, they are fcarcely perceived by the confumers. Their only difadvantage is, that they are expenfive in the levying.

Taxes upon poffeffions are levied without expence; but have every other difadvantage. Moft ftates, however, are obliged to have recourfe to them, in order to fupply the deficiencies of the other.

But the moft pe:nicious of all taxes are the arbitrary. They are commonly converted, by their management, into punifhments on induftry; and alfo, by their unavoidable
voidable inequality, are more grievous, than by thè réal burden which they impofe. It is furprifing, therefore; to fee them have place among any civilized people.

In general, all poll-taxes, even when not arbitrary; which they commonly are, may be efteemed dangerous: Becaufe it is fo eafy for the fovereign to add a little more, and a little more, to the fum demanded, that thefe taxes are apt to become altogether oppreffive and intolerables On the other hand, 2 duty upon commodities checks itYelf; and a prince will foon find, that an encreafe of the impoft is no encreafe of his revenue. It is not eafy ${ }_{3}$ therefore, for a people to be altogether ruined by fuch taxes.

Hiftorians inform us, that one of the chief caufes of the deftruction of the Roman ftate, was the alteration; which Constantine introduced into the finances, by fubftituting an univerfal poll-tax, in lieu of almoft all the tithes, cuftoms, and excifes, which formerly compofed the revenue of the empire. The people, in all the provinces, were fo grinded and oppreffed by the puiblicans; that they were glad to take refuge under the conquering arms of the barbarians; whofe dominion, as they had fewer neceffities and lefs art, was found preferable to the refined tyranny of the Romans.

It is an opinion, zealoufly promoted by fome political writers, that, fince all taxes, as they pretend, fall ultimately upon land, it were better to lay them originally there, and abolifh every duty upon confumptions. But it is denied; that all taxes fall ultimately upon land. If a duty be laid upon any commodity, confumed by an artifan, he has two obvious expedients for paying it $;$ he may retrench fomewhat of his expence, or he may encreafe his labour. Both thefe refources are more eafy
and natural, than that of heightening his wages. We fee, that, in years of fcarcity, the weaver either confumes lefs or labours more, or employs both thefe expedients of frugality and induftry, by which he is enabled to reach the end of the year. It is but juft, that he fhould fubject himfelf to the fame hardhips, if they deferve the name, for the fake of the publick, which gives him protection. By what contrivance can he raife the price of his labour? The manufacturer who emplays him, will not give him more: Neither can he, becaufe the merchant, who exports the cloth, eannot raife its price, being limited by the price which it yields in foreign markets. Every man, to be fure, is defirous of pufhing off from himfelf the burden of any tax which is itaposed, and of laying it upon others: But as every man has the fame inclination, and is upon the defenfive; no fet of men can be fuppofed to prevail altogether in this contelt. And why the landed gentteman thould be the victim of the whole, and hould not be abie to defend himfelf, as well as others are, I cannot readily imagine. All tradef men, indeed, would willingly prey upon him, and divide him among them, if they could: But this inclination they always have, though no taxes were levied; and the fame methods, by which he guards againft the impofition of tradefmen before taxes, will ferve him afterwards, and make them fhare the burden with him. They muft be very heavy taxes, indeed, and very injudiciouny levied, which the artifan will not, of himfelf, be enabled to pay, by fuperior induftry and frugality, without raifing the price of his labour.

I fhall conclude this fubject with obferving, that we have, with regard to taxes, an inftance of what frequently happens in political inftitutions, that the confequences of things are diametrically oppofite to what we
fhould expect on the firft appearance. It is regarded as a fundamental maxim of the Turkish government, that the Grand Signior, though abfolute mafter of the lives and fortunes of each individual, has no authority to impofe a new tax : and every Ottoman prince, who has made fuch an attempt, either has been obliged to retract, or has found the fatal effects of his perfeverance. One would imagine, that this prejudice or eftablifhed opinion were the firmeft barrier in the world againft oppreffion; yett it is certain, that its effect is quite contrary. The emperor, having no regular method of encreafing his revenue, muft allow all the bafhaws and governors to opprefs and abufe the fubjects: And thefe he fqueezes after their return from their government. Whereas, if he could impofe a new tax, like our European princes, his intereft would fo far be united with that of his people, that he would immediately feel the bad effects of thefe diforderly levies of money, and would find, that a pound, raifed by a general impofition, would have lefs pernicious effects, than a chilling taken in fo unequal and arbitrary a manner.

## (369)

## E S S A Y IX.

## Of Public Credit:

IT appears to have been the common practice of antiquity, to make provifion, during peace, for the neceffities of war, and to hoard up treafures before-hand, as the inftruments either of conqueft or defence ; without trufting to extraordinary impofitions, much lefs to borrowirg, in times of diforder and confufion. . Befides the immenfe fums above mentioned *, which were amaffed by Athens, and by the Ptolemies, and other fuca ceffors of Alexander; welearn from Plato $\dagger$, that the frugal Lacedemonians had alfo collected a great treafure; and Arrian $\ddagger$ and Piutarch $\|$ take notice of the riches which Alexander got poffeffion of on the conquef of Susa and Ecbatana, and which were referved, fome of them, from the time of Cyrus.' If I remember right, the foripture alfo mentions the treafure of Hezeriah and the Jewish princes; as profane hiftory does that of Philip and Perseus, kings of Macedon. The antient republics of Gaul had commonly large fums in referve §: Every one knows the treafure

[^52]feized in Rome by Julius Cersar, during the civil wars : and we find afterwards, that the wifer emperors; Augustus, Tiberius, Vespasian, Seterus, Éc. always difcovered the prudent forefight, of faving great fums againft any public exigency.

On the contrary, our modern expedient, which has become very general, is to mortgage the public revenues, and to truft that pofterity will pay off the incumbrances contracted by their anceftors: And they, having before their eyes, fo good an example of their wife fathers, have the fame prudent reliance on their pofterity; who, at laft; from neceffity more than choice, are obliged to place the fame confidence in a new pofterity. But not to wafie time in declaiming againft a practice which appears ruinous; beyond all controverfy; it feems pretty apparent, that the ancient maxims are, in this refpect, more prudent than the modern; even though the latter had been confined within fome reafonable bounds, and had ever, in any inftance, been attended with fuch frugality, in time of peace, as to difcharge the debts incurred by an expenfive war. For why hould the cafe be fo different between the public and an individual, as , to make us eftabiifh different maxims of conduct for each? If the funds of the former be greater, its neceffary expences are proportionably larger; if its refources be more numerous, they ate not infinite ; $;$ and as its frame thould be calculated for a much longer duration thian the date of a fingle life, or even of a family, it flould embrace maxims, large; durable, and generous', agreeably to the fuppofed extent of its exiftence. To truft to chances and temporary expedients, is, indeed, what the neceffity of human affairs frequently renders unavoidable; but whoever voluntarily depend on fuch refources, have not necefify,
neceffity, but their own folly, to accufe for their miffortunes, when any fuch befal them.

If the abufes of treafurcs be dangerous, either by engaging the ftate in raft enterprizes; or making it neglect military difcipline, in confidence of its riches; the abufes of mortgaging are more certain and inevitable; poverty, impotence, and fubjection to foreign powers.

According to modern policy war is attended with every deftructive circumftance; lofs of men, encreafe of taxes; decay of commerce, diffipation of money, devaftation by fea and land. According to ancient maxims, the opening of the public treafure, as it produced an uncommon affluence of gold and filver, ferved as a temporary encouragement to induftry, and atoned, in fome degree, for the inevitable calamities of war,

It is very tempting to a minifter to employ fuch an expedient, as enables him to make a great figure during his adminiftration, without overburthening the people with taxes, or exciting any immediate clamours againft himfelf. The practice, therefore, of contracting debt will almoft infallibly be abufed; in every government. It would fcarcely be more imprudent to give a prodigal fon a credit in every banker's fhop in London, than to impower a ftatefman to draw bills, in this manner, upon pofterity.

What then fiall we fay to the new paraciox, that public incumbranices, are; of themfelves, advantageous, independent of the neceffity of contracting them; and that any ftate, even though it were not preffed by a foreign enemy; could not poñbly have embraced a wifer expedient for promoting commerce and. riches, than to creater funds; and debts, and taxes, without limitation? Reâronings, fuch as thefe, might naturally have paffed
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- for trials of wit among, rhetoricians, like the panegyrics on folly and a fever, on Busirie and Nero, had we not feen fuch abfurd maxims patronized by great minifters, and by a: whole party among us.

Let us examine the confequences of public debts, both in our domeftic management, by their influence on commerce and induftry ; and in our foreign tranfactions, by their effect on wars and negociations.

Public fecurities are with us become a kind of money, and pals as readily at the current price as gold or filver. Wherever any profitable undertaking offers isfelf, how expenfive foever, there are never wanting hands enow to embrace it; nor need a trader, who has fums in the public ftocks, fear to launch out into the moft extenfive trade; fince he is poffeffed of funds, which will anfwer the moft fudden demand that can be made upon him. No merchant thinks it neceffary to keep by him any confiderable cafh. Bank-flock, or India-bonds, efpecially the Jatter, ferve all the fame purpofes; becaufe he can difpofe of them, or pledge them to a banker, in a quarter of an hour; and at the fame time they are not idle, even when in his fcritoire, but bring him in a conftant revenue. In fhort, our national debts furnifh merchants with a fpecies of money, that is continually multiplying in their hands, and produces fure gain, befides the profits of their commerce. This muft enable them to trade upon lefs profit. The fmall profit of the merchant renders the commodity cheaper, caufes a greater confumption, quickens the labour of the common people, and helps to fpread arts and induftry throughout the whole fociety.

There are alfo, we may obferve, in England and in all ftates, which have both commerce and public
debts, a fet of men, who are half merchants, half ftockholders, and may be fuppofed willing to trade for fmall profits; becaufe commerce is not their principal or fole fupport, and their revenues in the funds are a fure refource for themfelves and their families. Were there no funds, great merchants would have no expedient for realizing or fecuring any part of their profit, but by making purchafes of land; and land has many difadvantages in comparifon of funds. Requiring more care and infpection, it divides the time and attention of the merchant; upen any tempting offer or extraordinary accident in trade, it is not fo eafily converted into money; and as it attracts too much, both by the many natural pleafures it affords, and the authority it gives, it foon converts the citizen into the country gentleman. More men, therefore, with large focks and incomes, may naturally be fuppofed to continue in trade, where there are public debts; and this, it muft be owned, is of fome advantage to commerce, by diminifhing. its profits, promoting circulation, and encouraging induftry.

But, in oppofition to thefe two favourable circumftances, perhaps of no very great importance, weigh the many difadvantages which attend our public debts, in the whole interion oconomy of the ftate: You will find no comparifon between the ill and the good which refuit from them.

Firf, It is certain, that national debts caufe a mighty confluence of people and riches to the capital, by the great fums, levied in the provinces to pay the intereft; and perhaps, too, by the advantages in trade above men.tioned, which they give the merchants in the capital above the reft of the kingdom. The queftion is, whether; in our cafe, "it be for the public interent; that fo Bbla
maz
many privileges fhould be conferred on London, which has already arrived at fuch an enormous fize, and feems. ftill encreafing ? Some men are apprehenfive of the confequences. For my own part, I cannot forbear thinking, that, though the head is undoubtedly too large for the body, yet that great city is fo happily fituated, that its excefive bulk caufes lefs inconvenience than even a fmaller capital to a greater kingdom. There is more difference between the prices of all provifions in Paris and Languedoc, than between thofe in London and Yorkshire. The immenfe greatnefs, indeed, of LonDon, under a government which admits not of difcretionary power, renders the people factious, mutinous, feditious, and even perhaps rebellious. But to this evil the national debis themfelves tend to provide a remedy. The firft vifibic eruption, or even immediate danger of public diforcers, nuft alarm all the fockholders, whofe property is the mof precarious of any; and will make them fly to the fupport of government; whether menáed by Jacolitin violence or democratical frenzy.

Sccondly, Public focks, being a kind of paper-credit have all the difadvartaces attending that fpecies of money. They banifh gold and filver from the moft confiderable commerce of the ftate, reduce them to common circulation, and by that means render all provifions and labour dearer than otherwife they would be.

Thirdly, The taxes, which are levied to pay the interefts of thefe debts, are apt either to heighten the price of labour, or be an oppreffion on the poorer fort.

Fourtbly, As foreigners poffers a great fhare of our national funds, they render the public, in a manner, tributary
butary to them, and may in time occafion the tranfpork of our people and our induftry.

Fifthly, The g'reater part of the public fock being always in the hands of idle people, who live on their revenue, our funds, in that view, give great encouragement to an ufelefs and unactive life.

But though the injury, that arifes to commerce and induftry from our public funds, will appear, upon balancing the whole, not inconfiderable, it is trivial, in comparifon of the prejudice that refults to the fate confidered as a body politic, which muft fupport itfelf in the fociety of nations, and have various tranfactions with other ftates in wars and negociations. The ill, there, is pure and unmixed, without any favourable circumftance to atone for it; and it is an ill too of a nature the higheft and moft important.

We have, indeed, been told, that the public is no weaker upon account of its debts; fince they are moftly due among ourfelves, and bring as much property to one as they take from another. It is like transferring money from the right hand to the left; which leaves the perfon neither richer nor poorer than before. Such loofe reafonings änd fpecious comparifons will always pafs, where we judge not upon principles.' I afk, Is it poffible, in the nature of things, to overburthen a nation with taxes, even where the fovereign refides among them? The very doubt feems extravagant; fince it is requifite, in every community, that there be a certain proportion obferved between the laborious and the idle 'part of it. But if all our prefent taxes be mortgaged, muft we not invent new ones? And may not this matter be carried to a length that is ruinous and deftructive?
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 E.S.S A. Y IX.$\therefore$ In every: nation,' there are always fome methods of levying money more eafy than others, agreeably to the way of living of the people, and the commodities they make ufe of. In Great Britain, the excifes upon malt and beer afford a large revenue; becaufe the operations of malting and brewing are tedious, and are impoffible to, be concealed; and at the fame time, thefe commodities are not to abfolutely neceffary to life, as that the raifing of their price would very much affect the poorer fort. Thefe taxes being all mortgaged, what difficulty to find new ones! what yexation and ruin of the poor!

Duties upon confumptions are more equal and eafy than thofe upon pofiefions. What a lofs to the public, that the former are all exhaufted, and that we muft have recourfe to the more grievous method of levying taxes!

Were all the proprietors of land only ftewards to the public, :muft not neceffity force them to practife all the arts of -oppreffion ufed by ftewards; where the abfence or negligence of the proprietor render them fecure againft enquiry?:

It will fcarcely be afirted, that no bounds ought ever to be fett to national debts; $;$ and that the public would be no weeaker; were twelve or fifteen chillings in the pound, land-tax, mortgaged, with all the prefent cuftoms and excifes. There is fomething, therefore, in the cafe, befide the axere transferring of property from the one hand to another. In 500 years, the pofterity of thofe now in the coaches, and of thofe upon the boxes, will probably have changed places, without affecting the public by thefe revolutions.

Suppore the public once fairly brought to that condition, to which it is haftening with fuch amazing rapidity; fuppofe
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 377frappofe the land to be taxed eighteen or nineteen fhillings in the pound; for it can never bear the whole twenty ; fuppofe all the excifes and cuftoms to be fcrewed up to the utmoft which the nation can bear, without.entirely lofing its commerce and induftry; and fuppofe that all thofe funds are mortgaged to perpetuity, and that the invention and wit of all our projectors can find no new impofition, which may ferve as the foundation of a new loan; and let us confider the neceflary confequences of this fituation. Though the imperfect flate of our political knowledge, and the narrow capacities of men, make it difficult to foretel the effects which will refult from any untried meafure, the feeds of ruin are here fcattered with fuch profufion as not to efcape the eye of the moft carejefs obferver.

In this unnatural ftate of fociety, the only perfons, who poffefs any revenue beyond the immediate effects of their induftry, are the flock-holders, who draw almoft all the rent of the land and houfes, befides the produce of all the, cuftoms and excifes. Thefe are men, wha have no connexions with the flate, who can enjoy their revenue in any part of the globe in which they chufe to refide, who will naturally bury themfelves in the capital or in great cities, and who will fink into the lethargy of a flupid and pampered luxury, without firit, ambition, or enjoyment. Adieu to all ideas of nobility, gentry, and family. The ftocks can be transferred in an inftant, and being in fuch a fluctuating fate, will feldom be tranfmitted during three generations from father to fon. Or were they to remain ever fo long in one family, they convey no hereditary authority. or credit to the poffeffor; and by this means; the feveral ranks of men, which form a kind of independent magiftracy in a flate, inflituted by the
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 ESSA.Y.IX.the hand of nature, are entirely loft ; and every man in authority derives his influence from the commiffion alone of the fovereign. No. expedient remains for preventing or fuppreffing infurrections, but mercenary armíes: No expedient at all remains for refifting tyranny: Elections are fwayed by bribery and corruption alone: And the middle power between king and people being totally removed, a grievous defpotifm muft infallibly prevail. The landholders, defpifed for their poverty, and hated for their oppreffions, will be utterly unable to make any oppofition to it.

Thouth a refolution fhould be formed by the legiflature never to impofe any tax which hurts commerce and difcourages induftry, it will be impoffible for men, in fubjects of fuch extreme delicacy, to reafon fo juftly as never to be miftaken, or amidft difficulties fo urgent, never to be feduced from their refolution. The continual fluctations in commerce require continual alterations in the nature of the taxes; which expofes the legiflature every moment to the danger hoth of wilful and involuntary error. And any great blow given to trade, whether by injudicious taxes or by other accidents, throws the whole fyftem of government inte confufion.

But what expedient can the public now employ, even fuppofing trade to continue in the moft flourifhing condition, in order to fupport its foreign wars and enterprizes, and to defend its own honour and intereft, or thofe of its allies? I do not afk how the public is to exert fuch a prodigious power as it has maintained during our late wars; where we have fo much exceeded, not only our own natural ftrength, but even that of the greateft empires. This extravagance is the abufe complained of, as the fource of all the dangers, to which we are at prefent

## Of Public Crifiti.

fent expofed. But fince we muft fill fuppofe great commerce and opulence to remain, even after every fund is. mortgaged ; thefe riches muft be defended by proportional. power; and whence is the public to derive the revenue. which fupports it? It muft plainly be from a continual taxation of the amuitants, or, which is the fame thing, from mortgaging anew, on every exigency, a certain part of their annuities; and thus making them contribute to their own defence, and to that of the nation. But the difficulties, attending this fyftem of policy, will eafily appear, whether we fuppofe the king to have be-: come abfolute mafter, or to be ftill controuled by national councils, in which the annuitants themfelves muft neceffarily bear the principal fway.

If the prince has become abfolute, as may naturally be expected from this fituation of affairs, it is fo eafy for hind to encreafe bis exactions upon the annuitants, which amount only to the retaining money in his own hands, that this fpecies of property would foon lofe all its credit, and the whote income of every individual in the ftate mult lie entirely at the mercy of the fovereign: A degree of defpotifim, which no oriental monarchy has ever yet attained. If, on the contrary, the confent of the annuitants be requifite for everỳ taxation, they will never be perfuaded to contribute fufficiently even to the fupport of government; as the diminution of their revenue muft in that cafe be very fenfible, would not be difguifed under the appearance of a branch of excife or cuftoms, and would not be fhared by any other order of the ftate, who are alieady fuppofed to be taxed to the utmoft. There are inftances, in fome republics, of a hundredth penny, and fometimes of the fiftieth, being given to the fupport of the ftate; but this is always an extraordinary exertion of power, and can never become the foundation of a con-
ftant national defence. We have always found, where a government has mortgaged all its revenues, that it neceffarily finks into a ftate of languor, inactivity, and impotence.

Such are the inconveniencies, which may reafonably be forefeen, of this fituation, to which Great Britain is vifibly tending. Not to mention, the numberlefs inconveniencies, which cannot be forefeen, and which muft refult from fo monftrous a fituation as that of making the public the chief or fole proprietor of land, befides invefting it with every branch of cuftoms and excife, which the fertile imagination of minifters and projectors have been able to invent.

I muft confefs, that there is a ftrange fupinenefs, from long cuftom, creeped into all ranks of men, with regard to public debts, not unlike what divines fo vehemently complain of with regard to their religious doctrines. We all own, that the moft fanguine imagination cannot hope, either that this or any future miniftry will be poffeffed of fuch rigid and fteady frugality, as to make a confiderable progrefs in the payment of our debts; or that the fituation of foreign affairs will, for any long time, allow them leifure and tranquillity for fuch an undertaking. What then is to become of us? Were we ever fo good Chriftians, and ever fo refigned to Providence ; this, methinks, were a curious queftion, even confidered as a fpeculative one, and what it might not be altogether impoffible to form fome conjectural folution of. The events here will depend little upon the contingencies of battles, negociations, intrigues, and factions. 'There feems to be a natural progrefs of things, which may guide our reafoning. As it would have required but a moderate fhare of prudence, when we firf began this
practice
practice of mortgaging, to have foretold, from the nature of men and of minifters, that things would neceffarily be carried to the length we fee; fo now, that they have at laft happily reached it, it may not be difficult to guefs at the confequences. It muft, indeed, be one of thefe two events; either the nation muft deftroy public credit, or public credit will deftroy the nation. It is impoffible that they can both fubfift, after the manner they have been hitherto managed, in this, as well as in fome other . countries.

There was, indeed, a fcheme for the payment of our ${ }^{\wedge}$ debts, which was propofed by an excellent citizen, Mr. Hutchinson, above thirty years ago, and which was much approved of by fome men of fenfe, but never was likely to take effect. He afferted, that there was a fallacy in imagining that the public owed this debt; for that really every individual owed a proportional fhare of it, and paid, in his taxes, a proportional fhare of the intereft, befide the expence of levying thefe taxes. Had we not better, then, Cays he, make a diftribution of the debt among ourfelves, and each of us contribute a fum fuitable to his property, and by that means difcharge at once all our funds and public mortgages? He feems not to have confidered, that the laborious poor pay a confiderable part of the taxes by their annual confumptions, though they could not advance, at once, a proportional part of the fum required. . Not to mention, that property in money and ftock in trade might eafily be concealed or difguifed; and that vifible property in lands and houfes would really at laft anfwer for the whole : An inequality and oppreffion, which never would be fubmitted to. But though this project is not likely to take place; it is not altogether improbable, that, when the nation becomes heartily fick of their delts, and is cruelly oppreffed hy: them;
them, fome daring projector may arife with vifionary fehemes for their difcharge. And as public credit will begin, by that time; to be a little frail; the lealt touch will deftroy it, as happened in France during the regency; and in this manner it will die of the docior.

But it is more probable; that the breach of national faith will be the neceflary effect of wars; defeats, miffortunes, and public calamities, or even perhaps of victories and conquefts. I muft confefs, when I fee princes and ftates fighting and quarrelling, amidft their cebts, fund, and public mortgages, it always brings to my mind a match of cudgel-playing fought in a Cbina fhop. How can it be expected, that fovereigns will fpare a fpecies of property; which is pernicious to themfelves and to the public, when they have fo little compaffion on lives and properties; that are ufeful to both? Let the time come (and furely it will come) when the new funds, created for the exigencies of the year, are not fubfcribed to, and raife not the money projected. Suppofe, either that the cafh of the nation is exhaufted; or that our faith, which has hitherto been fo ample, begins to fail us. Suppofe, that, in this diftrefs, the niation is threatened with an invafion; a rebellion is fufpected or broken out at home; a fquadron cannot be equipped forwant of pay, victuals, or repairs; or even a foreign fubfidy cannot be advanced. What muft a prince or minifter do in fuch an emergence? The right of felfprefervation is unalienable in every individual, much more in every community. And the folly of our fatefmen muft then be greater than the folly of thofe who firft contracted deb,t, or, what is more, than that of thofe who trufted, or continue to truft this fecurity, if thefe ftatefmen have the means of fafety in their hands, and do not employ them: The funds, created and mortgaged, will, by that time, bring in a large yearly revenue, fufficient for
the defence and fecurity of the nation : Money is perhaps lying in the exchequer, ready for the difcharge of the quarterly intereft: Neceffity calls, fear urges, reafor exhorts, compaffion alone exclaims: The money will immediately be feized for the current fervice, under the moft folemn proteftations, perhaps, of being immediately replaced. But no more is requifite. The whole fabric, already tottering, falls to the ground, and buries thoufands in its ruins. And this, I think, may be called the natural death of public credit: For to this period it tends as naturally as an animal body to its diffolution and deftruca tion.

So great dupes are the generality of mankind, that, notwithftanding fuch a violent fhock to public credit, as a roluntary bankruptcy in England would occafion, it would not probably be long ere credit would again revive in as flourifhing a condition as before. The prefent king of $\mathrm{France}^{2}$, during the late war, borrowed money at a lower intereft than ever his grandfather did ; and as low as the British parliament, comparing the natural rate of intereft in both kingdoms. And though men are commonly more governed by what they have feen, than by what they forefee, with whatever certainty; yet promifes; proteftations, fair appearances, with the allurements of prefent intereft, have fuch powerful influence as few are able to refift. Mankind are, in all ages, caught by the fame baits: The fame tricks, played over and over again, ftill trepan them. The heights of popularity and parriotifm are ftill the beaten road to power and tyranny; flattery; to treachery; ftanding armies to arbitrary government; and the glory of God to the temporal intereft of the clergy. The fear of an everlafting deftruction of credit, allowing it to be an evil, is a needlefs bugbear. A prudent man, in reality, would rather lend to the public
immediately after we had taken 2 fpunge to our debts, than at prefent; as much as an opulent knave, even though one could not force him to pay, is a preferable debtor to an honeft bankrupt : For the former, in order to carry on bufinefs, may find it his intereft to difcharge his debts, where they are not exorbitant: The latter has it not in his power. The reafoning of TacrTUS $\dagger$, as it is eternally true, is very applicable to our prefent cafe. Sed vulgus ad magnitudinem beneficiorum aderat: Stultiffmus quifque pecuniis mercabatur: Apud fapientes caffa babebantur, que neque dari neque accipi, falva republica, poterant. The public is a debtor, whom no man can oblige to pay. The only check which the creditors have upon her, is the intereft of preferving credit; an intereft, which may. eafily be overbalanced by a great debt, and by a difficult and extraordinary emergence, even fuppofing that credit irrecoverable. Not to mention, that a prefent neceffity often forces ftates into meafures, which are; ftrictly .fpeaking, againft their interef.

Thefe two events, fuppofed above, are calamitous, but not the moft calamitous. Thoufands are thereby facrificed to the fafety of millions. But we are not without danger, that the contrary event may take place, and that millions may be facrificed for ever to the temporary fafety of thoulands*. Our popular government, perhaps, will render it difficult or dangerous for a minifter to venture on fo defperate an expedient, as that of a voluntary bankruptcy. And though the houfe of Lords be altogether compofed of proprietors of land, and the houfe of Commons chiefly ; and confequently neither of them can be fuppofed to have great property in the funds. Yet the connections of the members may be fo great with the

+ Hif. tib. iii.
* See NOTE [S].
proprietors,
proprietors, as to render them more tenacious of public faith, than prudence, policy, or even juftice, ftrictly fpeaking, requires. And perhaps too, our foreign enemies may be fo politic as to difcover, that our fafety lies in defpair, and may not, therefore, fhow the danger, open and barefaced, till it be inevitable. The balance of power in Europe, our grandfathers, our fathers, and we, have all deemed too unequal to be preferved without our attention and affiftance. But our children, weary of the ftruggle, and fettered with incumbrances, may fit down fecure, and fee their neighbours opprefled and conquered ; till, at laft, they themfelves and their creditors lie both at the mercy of the conqueror. And this may properly enough be denominated the violent death of our public credit.

Thefe feem to be the events, which are not very remote, and which reafon forefees as clearly almoft as the can do any thing that lies in the womb of time. And though the ancients maintained, that, in order to reach the gift of prophecy, a certain divine fury or madnefs was requifite, one may fafely affirm, that, in order to deliver fuch prophecies as thefe, no more is neceffiry than merely to be in one's fenfes, free from the infuence of popular madnefs and delufion.

## ( 387 )
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## Of some Remarkable Customs.

IShall obferve three remarkable cuftoms in three celebrated governments; and thall conclude from the whole, that all general maxims in politics ought to be eftablifhed with great caution; and that irregular and extraordinary appearances are frequently difcovered in the moral, as well as in the phyfical world. The former, perhaps, we can better account for, after they happen, from fprings and principles, of which cvery one has, ' within himfelf, or from obfervation, the ftrongeft affurance and conviction : But it is often fully as impoffible for human prudence, before-hand, to forefee and foretel them.
I. One would think it effential to every fupreme council or affembly, which debates, that entire liberty of fpeech fhould be granted to every member, and that all motions or reafonings fhould be received, which can any wife tend to illuftrate the point under deliberation. One would conclude, with ftill greater affurance, that, after a motion was made, which was voted and approved by that affembly in which the legiflative power is lodged, the member who made the motion muft for ever be exempted from future trial or enquiry. But no political maxim can, at firft fight, appear more undifputable, than

$$
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that he muft, at leaft, be fecured from all inferior jurifdiction; and that nothing lefs than the fame fupreme legiflative affembly, in their fubfequent meetings, could make him accountable for thofe motions and harangues, to which they had before given their approbation. But thefe axioms, however irrefragable they may appear, have all failed in the Athenian government, from caufes and principles too, which appear almoft inevitable.

By the ypa९n шараvo $\mu \omega$, or indiziment of illegality, (though it has not been remarked by antiquaries or commentators) any man was tried and punifhed in a common court of judicature, for any law which had paffed upon his motion, in the affembly of the people, if that law appeared to the court unjuft, or prejudicial to the public. Thus Demosthenes, finding that thip-money was levied irregularly, and that the poor bore the fame burden as the rich in equipping the gallies, corrected this inequality by a very ufeful law, which proportioned the expence to the revenue and income of each individual. He moved for this law in the affembly; he proved its advantages *; he convinced the people, the only legiflature in Athens; the law paffed, and was carried into execution: Yet was he tried in a criminal court for that law, upon the complaint of the rich, who refented the alteration that he had introduced into the finances $t$. Hè was indeed acquitted, upon proving anew the ufefulnefs. of his law.

Ctesiphon moved in the affembly of the people, that particular honours fhould be conferred on Demosthenes, as on a citizen affectionate and ufeful to the commonwealth : The people, convinced of this truth.
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voted thofe honours : Yet was CTesiphon tried by the ураФи шарауонин. It was afferted, among other topics, that Demosthenes was not a good citizen, nor affectionate to the commonwealth: And the orator was called upon to defend his friend, and confequently himfelf; which he executed by that fublime piece of eloquence, that has ever fince been the admiration of mankind.

After the battle of Chirronea, a law was paffed upon the motion of Hyperides, giving liberty to llaves, and inrolling them in the troops *. On account of this law, the orator was afterwards tried by the indiEment above mentioned, and defended himfelf, among other topics, by that Atroke celebrated by Plutarch and Longinus. It was not I, faid he, that moved for this law.: It was the necelfities of war; it was the battle of Cheronsa. The orations of Demosthenes abound with many inftances of trials of this nature, and prove clearly, that nothing was more commonly practifed.

The Athenian Democracy was fuch a tumultuous government as we can fcarcely form a notion of in the prefent age of the world. The whole collective body of the people voted in every law, without any limitation of property, without any diftinction of rank, without controul from any magiftracy or fenate $\dagger$; and confequently without regard to order, juftice, or prudence. The Athenians foon became fenfible of the mifchiefs

[^54]attending this conflitution : But being averfe to checking themelves by any rule or reftriction, they refolved, at leaft, to check their demagogues or counfellors, by the fear of future punihment and enquiry. They accordingly inftituted this remarkable law ; a law efteemed fo effential to their form of government, that Æschines infifts on it as a known truth, that, were it abolifhed or neglected, it were impoffible for the Democracy to. fubfift*.
The people feared not any ill confequence to liberty from the authority of the criminal courts; becaufe thefe were nothing but very numerous juries, chofen by lot from among the people. And they juftly confidered themelves as in a flate of perpetual pupillage; where they had an authority, after they came to the ufe of reafon, not only to retract and controul whatever had been determined, but to punifh any guardian for meafures which they had embraced by his perfuafion. The fame law had place in Thebes $t$; and for the fame reafon.
It appears to have been a ufual practice in Athens, on the eftablifhment of any law efteemed very ufeful or popular, to prohibit for ever its abrogation and repeal. Thus the demagogue, who diverted all the public revenues to the fupport of fhows and fpectacles, made it criminal fo much as to move for a repeal of this law $\ddagger$. Thus Leptines moved for a law, not only to recal all the immunities formerly granted, but to deprive the
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people for the future of the power of granting any more *. Thus all bills of attainder $\dagger$ were forbid, or laws that affected one Athenian, without extending to the whole commonwealth. Thefe abfurd claufes, by which the legifature vainly attempted to bind itfelf for ever, proceeded from an univerfal fenfe in the people of their own levity and inconftancy.
II. A wheel within a wheel, fuch as we obferve in the German empire, is confidered by Lord ShaftesBURY $\ddagger$ as an abfurdity in politics: But what muft we fay to two equal wheels, which govern the fame politicalmachine, without any mutual check, controul, or fubordination; and yet preferve the greateft harmony and concord ? To eftablifh two diftinct legiflatures, each of which poffeffes full and abfolute authority within itfelf, and ftands in no need of the other's affiftance, in order to give validity to its acts; this may appear, before-hand, altogether impracticable, as long as men are actuated by the paffions of ambition, emulation, and avarice, which have hitherto been their chief governing principles. And fhould I affert, that the ftate I have in my eye was divided into two diftinct factions, each of which predominated in a diftinct legiflature, and yet produced no clafhing in thefe independent powers; the fuppofition may appear incredible. And if, to augment the paradox, I hould affirm, that this disjointed, irregular government was the moft active, triumphant, and illuftrious commonwealth, that ever yet appeared; I fhould certainly be told, that fuch a political chimera was as abfurd as any vifion of priefts or poets. But there is no need for

[^57]C c 4 fearching
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fearching long, in order to prove the reality of the foregoing fuppofitions: For this was actually the cafe with the Roman republic.
The legilative, power was these lodged in the camsitia centuriatia and eocinitia tributa, In the former, it is well known, the pebpte voted aceording to their confus; fo that when the firft clafs was unanimows, though it contained not, perhaps, the kundredth part of the commonwealth, it deternined the wholeq and, with the authority of the fenate, effabififed a law. In the latter, every vote wne equals, and as the authority of the fenate was not there requifite, the lower people entirely prevailed, and gave law to the whole flate. - In all party-divifions, at Sunt between the Pataicians and Plebeians, afterwards betrwen the nobles and the people, the intereft of the Ariftocracy was predominant in the firft legifature; that of the Democracy in the fecond: The one could always deftroy what the osher had effablifhed: Nay, the one, by a finden and unforefeen motion, might take the flart of the other, and totally annihilate its rival, by a vote, which, from nature of the conftitution, had the full authority of a law. But no fuch conteft is obferved in the hiftory of Romi : No inftance of a quarrel between thefe two legilatures: though many between the parties that governed in eack. Whence arofe this concord, whick may feem fo extraordinary ?

The legifature effablifhed in Rome, by the authority of Srrvius Tullues, was the comitia centuriata, which, after the expulfion of the kings, rendered the government, for fome time, very ariftocratical. But the people, having numbers and force on their fide, and being elated with frequent conquefts and victories in their foreign wars, always prevailed when pußhed to extremity, and
firt extorted from the fenate the magiftracy of the tris bunes, and next the legifative power of the, comitia tri-: buta. It then behoyed the nobles to be more careful: than ever not to provoke the people. For befide the force. which the latter were always poffeffed of, they had now, got poffeffion of legal authority, anid could infantly, break in pieces any order or infitution which directlys oppofed them. By intrigue, by influence, by money, by combination, and by the refpect paid to their character, the nobles might often prevail, and direct the whole machine of government: But had they openly fet their. comitia centuriata in oppofition to the tributa, they had foon loft the advantage of that inftitution, together with their confuls, protors, ediles, and all the magiftrates elected by it. But the comitia tributa, not having the fame reafon for refpecting the centuriata, frequently repealed laws favourable to the Ariftocracy: They limited the authority of the nobles, protected the people from oppreffion, and controuled the actions of the fenate and magiffracy. The centuriata found it convenient always to fubmit; and though equal in authority, yet being inferior in power, durft never directly give any fhock to the other legiflature, either by repealing its laws, or eftablifhing laws, which, it forefaw, would foon be repealed by it.

No inftance is found of any oppofition or ftruggle between thefe comitia; except one nlight attempt of this kind, mentioned by AppiAN in the third book of his civil wars. Mark Anthony, refolving to deprive Decimus Brutus of the government of Cisalpine Gaus, railed the Forum, and called one of the comitia, in order to prevent the meeting of the other, which had been ordered by the fenate. But affairs were then fallen into fuch confufion, and the Roman conftitution was

T6 near its final diffolution, that no inference can be drawn from fuch an expedient. This conteft, befides, was founded more on form than party. It was the fe-nate who ordered the comitia tributa, that they mighe obftruat the meeting of the centuriata, which, by the conflitution, or at leaft forms of the government, could alone difpofe of provinces.
Cicerro was recalled by the comitia centuriata, though banihed by the tributa, that is, by a plebifcitumi. But his' banifhment, we may obferve, never was confidered as a legal deed, arifing from the free choice and inclination of the people. It was always afcribed to the violence alone of Clodius, and to the diforders introduced by him into the government.
III. The third cuftom, which we purpofe to remark, regards England; and though it be not fo important as thofe which we have pointed out in Athens and Rome, is no lefs fingular and unexpected. It is a maxim in politics, which we readily admit as undifputed and univerfal, that a power, however great, when granted by law to an eminent magiftrate, is not fo dangerous to liberty, as an authority, however inconfiderable, which he acquires from violence and ufurpation. For, befides that the law always limits every power which it beftows, the very receiving it as a conceffion eftablihes the authority whence it is derived, and preferves the harmony of the conflitution. By the fame right that one prerogative is aflumed without law, another may alfo be claimed, and another, with fill greater facility; while the firt ufurpations both ferve as prccedents to the following, and give force to maintain them. Hence the heroifm of Hampden's conduct, who fuftained the whole violence of royal profecution, rather than pay a tax of twenty thillings, not impofed by parliament; hence the care of
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all Encliss patriots to guard againf the firft encroachments of the crown; and hence alone the exiftence, at this day, of English liberty.
There is, however, one occafion, where the parliament has departed from this maxim 3 and that is, in the preffing of feamen: The exercife of an irregalar power is here tacitly permitted in the crown; and though it has! frequently been under deliberation, how that power. might be rendered legal, and granted, under proper refrrictions, to the fovereign, no fafe expedient could ever be propofed for that purpofe, , and the danger to liberty always appeared greater from law than from ufurpation.; While this power is exercifed to no other end than to: man the navy, men willingly fubmit to it, from a fenfe of its ufe and neceffity; and the failars; who are alone, affected by.it, find no body to fupport them; in claiming the rights and privileges, which the law grants, without diftinction, to all Evglish fubjects. But were this power, on any occafion, made an imftrument of factioni or minifterial tyranny, the oppofite faction, and indeed all lovers of their country, would immediately take the alarm, and fupport the injured party; the liberty of Englishmen would be afferted; juries would be implacable; and the tools of tyranny, acting both againft law and equity, would meet with the fevereft vengeance. On the other hand, were the parliament to grant fuch an authority, they would probably fall into one of thefe two inconveniencies: They would either beftow it under fo many reftrictions as would make it lofe its effect, by cramping the authority of the crown; or they would render it folarge and comprehenfive, as might give occafion to great abufes, for which we could, in that cafe, have no remedy. The very irregularity of the practice,
at prefent, prevents its abufes, by affording fo eafy a remedy againft them.

I pretend not, by this reafoning, to exelude all poffibility of contriving a regifter for feamen, which might man the navys without being dangerous to liberty. I only obferve, that no fatisfactory fcheme of that hature has yet been propofed. Rather than adopt any project bitherto invented, we continue a practice feemingly the moft sbfurd and unaccountable. Authority, in times of full internal peace and concord, is armed againft law. A continued violence is permitted in the crown, amidft the greatert jealoury and watchfulnefs in the people; nay proceeding from thofe very principles: Liberty, in à country of the highelt liberty; is left entirely to its own defence, without any countenance or protection: The wild ftate of nature is renewed, in one of the moft civilized focieties of mankind: And great violence and diforder are committed with impunity; while the one party pleads obedience to the fupreme magiftrate, the ather the fanction of fundamental laws.

## ( 397 )

## ESSAYXI.

Of the Populousness of Arcient Nationa.

THERE is very little ground, either from reafon or obfervation, to conclude the world eternal or incorruptible. The continual and rapid motion of matter, the violent revolutions with which every part is agitated, the changes remarked in the heavens, the plain traces as well as tradition of an univerfal deluge, or general convulfion of the elements; all thefe prove ftrongly the mortality of this fabric of the world, and its paflage, by corruption or diffolution, from one ftate or order to another. It muft therefore, as well as each individual form which it contains, have its infancy, youth, manhood, and old age ; and it is probable, that, in all there variations, man, equally with every animal and vegetable, will partake. In the flourihing age of the world, it may be expected, that the human fpecies fhould poffefs greater vigour both of mind and body, more profperous health, higher fpirits, longer life, and a Atronger inclination and power of generation. But if the general fyftem of things, and human fociety of courfe, have any fuch gradual revolutions, they are too llow to be difcernible in that fhort period which is compreheaded by hiftory and tradition. Stature and force of body, lengtin of life, fren courage and extent of genius, feem hitherto to have been
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been naturally, in all ages, pretty much the fame. The arts and fciences, indeed, have flourihed in one period, and have decayed in another: But we may obferve, that, at the time when they rofe to greateft perfection among one people, they were perhaps totally unknown to all the neighbouring nations; and though they univerrally decayed in one age, yet in a fucceeding generation they again revived, and diffufed themelves over the world. As far, therefore, as obfervation reaches, there is no univerfal difference difernible in the human fpecies; and though it were allowed, that the univerfe, like an animal body, had a natural progrefs from infancy to old age; yet as it muff ftill be uncertain, whether, at prefent, it be advancing to its point of perfection, or declining from it, we cannot thence prefuppofe any decay in human nature *. To prove, therefore, or account for that fuperior populoufnefs of antiquity, which is commonly fuppofed, by the imaginary youth or vigour of the world, will fcarcely be admitted by any juft reafoner. Thiefe general pbyjical caufes ought entirely to be excluded from this queftion.
There are indeed fome more 'particular phyycal caufes of importance. Difeafes are mentioned in antiquity, which are almoft unknown to modern medicine; and new difeares have arifen and propagated themelves, of which there are no traces in ancient hiftory. In this particular we may obferve, upon comparifon, that the difadvantage is much on the fide of the moderns. Not to mention fome others of lefs moment; the fmall-pox commit fuch ravages, as would almoft alone account for the great fuperiority afcribed to ancient times. The tenth or the twelfth part of mankind, deftroyed every genera-' tion, !lould make a vaft difference, it may be thought,

> * See NOTE [T].
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in the numbers of the people; and when joined to venereal diftempers, a new plague diffured every where, this difeafe is perhaps equivalent, by its conftant operation, to the three great fcourges of mankind, war, peftilence, and famine. Were it certain, therefore, that ancient times were more populous than the prefent, and could no moral caufes be affigned for fo great a change; thefe phyfical caufes alone, in the opinion of many, would be fufficient to give us fatisfaction on that head,

But is it certain, that antiquity was fo much more populous, as is pretended? The extravagancies of VossIus, with regard to this fubject, are well known. But an author of much greater genius and differnment has ventured to affirm, that, according to the beft computations which thefe fubjects will admit of, there are not now, on the face of the earth, the fiftieth part of mankind, which exifted in the time of Julius Cersar *. It may eafily be obferved, that the comparifon, in this cafe, muft be imperfect, even though we confine ourfelves to the fcene of ancient hiftory; Europe, and the nations round the Meditrrranean. We know not exacly the numbers of any European kingdom, or even city, at prefent: How can we pretend to calculate thofe of ancient cities and ftates, where hiftorians have left us fuch imperfect traces? For my part, the matter appears to me fo uncertain, that, as I intend to throw together fome reflections on that head, I thall intermingle the enquiry concerning caufes with that concerning fatts; which ought never to be admitted, where the facts can be afcertained with any tolerable affurance. We hhall, firf, confider whether it be probable, from What we know of the fituation of fociety in both periods,

[^58]that antiquity muft have been more populous; fecondly, whether in reality it was fo. If I can make it appear, that the conclufion is not fo certain as is pretended, in favour of antiquity, it is all I afpire to.
${ }^{3}$ In general, we may obferve, that the queftion, with regard to the comparative populoufnefs of ages or kingdoms, implies important confequences, and commonly determines concerning the preference of their whole police, their manners, and the conftitution of their government. For as there is in all men, both male and female, a defire and power of generation, more active than is ever univerfally exerted, the reftraints, which they lie under, muft proceed from fome difficulties in their fituation, which it belongs to a wife legillature carefully to obferve and remove. Almoft every man, who thinks he can maintain a family, will have one; and the human fpecies, at this rate of propagation, would more than double every generation. How faft do mankind multiply in every colony or new fettlement; where it is an eafy matter to provide for a family; and where men are nowife ftraitened or confined, as in long eftablifhed governments? Hifory tells us frequently of plagues, which have fwept away the third or fourth part of a people: Yet in a generation or two, the deftruction was not perceived; and the fociety had again acquired their former number. The lands which were cultivated, the houfes built, the commodities raifed, the riches acquired, enabled the people, who efcaped, immediately to marry, and to rear families, which fupplied the place of thofe who had periftred *.
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And for a like reafon, every wife, juft, and mild government, by rendering the condition of its fubjects eafy and fecure, will always abound moft in people, as well as in commodities and riches. A country, indeed, whofe climate and foil are fitted for vines, will naturally be more populous than one which produces corn only, and that more populous than one which is only fitted for pafturage. In general, warm climates, as the neceffities of the inhabitants are there fewer, and vegetation more powerful, are likely to be moft populous: But if every thing elfe be equal, it feems natural to expect, that, wherever there are moft happinefs and virtue, and the wifeft inftitutions, there will alfo be moft people.

The queftion, therefore, concerning the populoufnefs of ancient and modern times, being allowed of great importance, it will be requifite, if we would bring it to fome determination, to compare both the domeftic and political fituation of thefe two periods, in order to judge of the facts by their moral caufes; which is the firft view in which we propofed to confider them.

The chief difference between the domeftic aconomy of the ancients and that of the moderns confilts in the practice of navery, which prevailed among the former, and which has been abolifhed for fome centuries throughout the greater part of Europe. Some paffionate admirers of the ancients, and zealous partizans of civil liberty (for thefe fentiments, as they are, bath of them, in the main, extremely, juft, are found to be almoft infeparable ${ }_{2}$, cannot forbear regretting the lofs of this inftitution; and whilft they brand all fubmifion to the government of a fingle perfon with the harfh denomination of flavery, they would gladly reduce the greater part of mankind to real flavery and fubjection. But to one who confiders coolly on the fubject, it will appear, that human

[^60]nature, in general, really enjoys more liberty at prefent; in the moft arbittary government of Europe, than it ever did during the moft flourifhing period of ancient times. As much as fubmiffion to a petty prince, whofe dominions extend not beyond a fingle city, is more grievous than obedience to a great monarch; fo much is domeftic navery more cruel and oppreffive than any civil fubjection whatfoever. The more the mafter is removed from us in place and rank, the greater liberty we enjoy; the lefs are our actions infpected and controled; and the fainter that cruel comparifon becomes between our own fubjection, and the freedom, and even dominion of another. The remains which are found of domeftic flavery, in the American colonies, and among fome European natiohs, would never furely create a defire of rendering it more univerfal. The little humanity, commonly obferved in perfons; accuftomed, from their infancy, to exercife fo great authority over their fellow-creatures, and to trample upon human nature, were fufficient alone to difguft us with that unbounded dominion. Nor can a more probable reafón be affigned for the fevere, I might fay, barbarouts manners of ancient times, than the practice of domeftic flavery; by which every man of rank was rendered a petty tyrant, and educated amidft the fattery, fubmiffion, and low debafes ment of his qaves.

According to ancient practice, an checks were on the inferiot, to reftrain him to the duty of fubmiffion; none on the fuperior, to engage him to the reciprocal duties of gentlenefs and humanity. In modern times; 2 bad fervant finds not eafily a good mafter, nor a bad mafter a good fervant; and the checks are mutual, fuitably to the inviolable and eternal laws of reafon and equity.

Populcuiness of Aidenent Nations. 403
The cuftom of expofing old, ufelefs, or fick flaves in an ifland of the Tyber, there to ftarve, feems to have been pretty common in Rome; and whoever recovered; after having been fó expofed, had bis liberty given him, by an edict of the emperor Claudius; in which it was likewife forbidden to kill any flave merely for old age or ficknefs*. But fuppofing that this edict was frictly obeyed, would it better the domeftic treatment of flaves, or render their lives much more comfortable? We may imagine what others would practife, when it was the profeffed maxim of the elder CATO, to fell his fuperannuated naves for any price, rather than maintain what he efteemed a ufelefs burden $t$.

The ergaffula, or dungeons, where flaves in chains were forced to work, were very common all over Itaty, Coiumelita $\ddagger$ advifes, that they be always built under ground ; and recommends $\|$ it as the duty of a careful overfeer; to call over every day the names of thefe faves; like the muffering of a regiment or fhip's campany, ia order to know prefently when any of them had deferted. A proof of the frequency of thefe ergaftula, and of the great number of flaves ufually confined in them.

A chained flave for a porter, was ufual in Rome, as appears from Ovid §, andother authors $\mathbb{\pi}$. Had not thefe people fhaken off all fenfe of compaffion towards that unhappy part of their fpecies, would they have prefented their friends, at the firft entrance, with fuch an image of the feverity of the mafter, and mifery of the llave?

Nothing fo common in all trials, even of civil caufes, as to call for the evidence of flaves; which was always

[^61]extorted by the moft exquifite torments. Demosthenes fays*, that, where it was poffible to produce, for the fame fact, either freemen or flaves, as witneffes, the judges always preferred the torturing of llaves, as a more certain evidence $\dagger$.

Seneca draws a piCture of that diforderly luxury, which changes day into night, and night into day, and inverts every ftated hour of every office in life. Among other circumftances, fuch as difplacing the meals and times of bathing, he mentions, that, regularly about the third hour of the night, the neighbours of one, who indulges this falfe refinement, hear the noife of whips and lafhes; and, upon enquiry, find that he is then taking an account of the conduct of his fervants, and giving them due correction and difcipline. This is not remarked as an inftance of cruelty, but only of diforder, which, even in actions the moft ufual and methodical, changes the fixed hours that an eftablifhed cuftom had affigned for them $\ddagger$.

But our prefent bufinefs is only to confider the influence of flavery on the populoufnefs of a ftate. It is pretended, that, in this particular, the ancient practice had infinitely the advantage, and was the chief caufe of that extreme populoufnefs, which is fuppofed in thofe times. At prefent, all mafters difcourage the marrying of their male fervants, and admit not by any means the marriage of the female, who ate then fuppofed altogether incapacitated for their fervice. But where the property
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of the fervants is lodged in the mafter, their marriage forms his riches, and brings him a fucceffion of flaves, that fupply the place of thofe whom age and infirmity have difabled. He encourages, therefore, their propagation as much as that of his cattle; rears the young with the fame care ; and educates them to fome art or calling, which may render them more ufeful or valuable to him. The opulent are, by this policy, interefted in the being at leaft, though not in the well-being of the poor; and enrich themfelves, by encreafing the number and induftry of thofe who are fubjected to them. Each man, being a fovereign in his own family, has the fame intereft with regard to it, as the prince with regard to the ftate; and has not, like the prince, any oppofite motives of ambition or vain-glory, which may lead him to depopulate his little fovereignty. All of it is, at all times, under his eye ; and he has leifure to infpect the moft minute detail of the marriage and education of his fubjects *.

Such are the confequences of domeftic flavery, according to the firft afpect and appearance of things : But if we enter more deeply into the fubject, we fhall perhaps find reafon to retract our hafty determinations. The comparifon is thocking between the management of human creatures and that of cattle; but being extremely juft, when applied to the prefent fubject, it may be proper to trace the confequences of it. At the capital, near all great cities, in all populous, rich, induftrious provinces, few cattle are bred. Provifions, lodging, attendance, labour are there dear; and men find their

[^63]E S S A.Y. XI.
account better in buying the cattle, after they come to a certain age, from the remoter and cheaper countries. Thefe are confequently the only breeding countries for cattle; and by a parity of reafon, for men too, when the latter are put on the fame footing with the former. To rear a child in London, till he could be ferviceable, would coft much dearer, than to buy one of the fame age from Scotland or Ireland; where he had been bred in a cottage, covered with rags, and fed on oatmeal or potatoes. Thofe who had flaves, therefore, in all the sicher and more populous countries, would difcourage the pregnancy of the females, and either prevent or deftroy the birth. The human fpecies would perifh in thofe places where it ought to encreafe the fafteft ; and a perpetual recruit be wanted from the poorer and more defert provinces. Such a continued drain would tend mightily to depopulate the ftate, and render great cities ten times more deftructive than with us; where every man is mafter of himfelf, and provides for his children from the powerful inftinct of nature, net the calculations of fordid interef. If Londow, at prefent, without much encrealing, needs a yearly recruit from the country, of 5000 people, as is ufually computed, what mult it require, if the greater part of the tradefmen and common people were flaves, and were hindered from breeding by their avaricious mafters §

All ancient authors tell us, that there was a perpetual flux of flaves to Italy from the remoter provinces, particularly Syría, Cilicia*, Cappadocia, and the Leffer Assia, Thrace, and Ægypt: Yet the number of people did not encreafe in Italy; and writers complain of the continual decay of induftry and agricul-

[^64]
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ture *. Where then is that extreme fertility of the Roman flaves, which is commonly fuppofed? So far from multiplying, they could not, it feems, fo much as keep up the ftock, without immenfe recruits. And though great numbers were continually manumitted and converted into Roman citizens, the numbers even of thefe did not encreafe $t$, till the freedom of the city was communicated to foreign provinces.

The term for a flave, born and bred in the family, was verna $\ddagger$; and thefe flaves feem to have been entitled by cuftom to privileges and indulgences beyond others; 2 fufficient reafon why the mafters would not be fond of rearing many of that kind $\%$. Whoever is acquainted with the maxims of our planters, will acknowledge the juftnefs of this obfervation §.

Atricus is much praifed by his hiftorian, for the care which he took in recruiting his family from the flaves

[^65]born in it *: May we not thence infer, that this practice was not then very common?

The names of naves in the Greek cqmedies, Syrus, Mysus, Geta, Thrax, Davus, Lydus, Phryy, Éc. afford a prefumption, that, at Athens at leaft, moft of the flaves were imported from foreign countries. The Athenians, fays Strabo $t$, gave to their flaves, either the names of the nations whence they were bought, as LyDUS, Syrus; or the names that were moft common among thofe nations, as Manes or Midas to a Phrygian, Tieias to a Paphlagonian.

Demosthenes, having mentioned a law which forbad any man to frike the flave of another, praifes the humanity of this law; and adds, that, if the barbarians, from whom the flaves were bought, had informaticn that their countrymen met with fuch gentle treatment, they would entertain a great efteem for the Athenians $\ddagger$. Isocrates $\|$ too infinuates, that the flaves of the Greeks were generally or very commonly barbarians. Aristotle in his Politics § plainly fuppofes, that a flave is always a foreigner. The ancient comic writers reprefented the flaves as fpeaking a barbarous language I. This was an imitation of nature.

It is well known that Demosthenes, in his nonage, had been defrauded of a large fortune by his tutors, and
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that afterwards he recovered, by a profecution at law, the value of his patrimony. His orations, on that occafion, ftill remain, and contain an exact detail of the whole fubftance left by his father*, in money, merchandife, houfes, and flaves, together with the value of each particular. Among the reft were 52 flaves, handicraftfmen, namely, 32 fword-cutlers, and 20 cabinet-makers $\dagger$; all males; not a word of any wives, children or family, which they certainly would have had, had it been a common practice at Athens to breed from the flaves: And the value of the whole muft have much depended on that circumftance. No female flaves are even fo much as mentioned, except fome houfe-maids, who belonged to his mother. This argument has great force, if it be not altogether conclufive.

Confider this paffage of Plutarch $\ddagger$, fpeaking of the Elder Cato. " He had a great number of llaves, whom " he took care to buy at the fales of prifoners of war; " and he chofe them young, that they might eafily be "c accuftomed to any diet or manner of life, and be in${ }^{6} 6$ ftructed in any bufinefs or labour, as men teach any " thing to young dogs or horfes. - And efteeming " love the chief fource of all diforders, he allowed the " male flaves to have a commerce with the female in his " family, upon paying a certain fum for this privilege : 46 But he ftrictly prohibited all intrigues out of his family." Are there any fymptoms in this narration of that care which is fuppofed in the antients, of the marriage and propagation of their flaves? If that was a common practice, founded on general intereft, it would furely have

[^67]been embraced by Cato, who was a great ceconomif, and lived in times when the ancient frugality and fimplicity of manners were ftill in credit and reputation.

It is exprefsly remarked by the writers of the Roman law, that fcarcely any ever purchafe flaves with a view of breeding from them *,

Our lackeys and houfe-maids, I own, do not ferve much to multiply their fpecies: But the ancients, befides thofe who attended on their perfon, had almoft all their labour performed, and even manufactures executed, by Maves, who lived, many of them, in their family; and fome great men poffeffed to the number of 10,000 . If there be any fufpicion, therefore, that this inftitution was unfavourable to propagation (and the fame reafon, at leaft in part, holds with regard to ancient flaves as modern fervants), how defructive mult flavery have proved?

Hiftory mentions a Raman nobleman, who had 400 flaves under the fame roof with him : And having been affaffinated at home by the furious revenge of one of them ${ }_{2}$ the law was executed with rigour, and all without exception were put to death $\dagger$. Many other Romam noblemen had families equally, or more numerous; and I believe every one will allow, that this would fcarcely be practicable, were we to fuppofe all the flaves married, and the females to be breeders $\ddagger$.

## - See NOTE [Y].
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So early as the poet Hesiod *, married flaves, whether male or female, were efteemed inconvenient. How much more, where families had encreafed to fuch an enormous fize as in Rome, and where the ancient fimplicity of manners was banifhed from all ranks of people?

Xenophon in his Oecqnomics, where he gives directions for the management of a farm, recommends a frict care and attention of laying the male and the female flaves at a diffance from each other: He feems not to fuppofe that they are ever married. The only flaves among the Greeks that appear to have continued their own race, were the Helotes, who had houfes apart, and were more the flaves of the public than of individuals $\dagger$.

The fame author $\ddagger$ tells us, that Nicias's overfeer, by agreement with his mafter, was obliged to pay him an obolus a day for each flave; befides maintaining them, and keeping up the number. Had the ancient flaves been all breeders, this laft circumitance of the contract. had been fuperfluous.

The ancients talk fo frequently of a fixed, ftated portion of provifions affigned to each llave $\|$, that we are naturally led to conclude, that 』laves lived almoft all fingle, and received that portion as a kind of boardwages.

The practice, indeed, of marrying flaves feems not to have been very common, even among the country-labourers, where it is more naturally to be expected. Cato §, enumerating the flaves requifite to labour a vineyard of a hundred acres, makes them amount to 15 ; the overfeer

[^69]and his wife, villicus and villica, and 13 male flaves; for an olive plantation of 240 acres, the overfeer and his wife, and in male flaves; and fo in proportion to a greater or lefs plantation or vineyard.

Varro*, quoting this paffage of Cato, allows his computation to be juft in every refpect, except the laft. For as it is requifite, fays he, to have an overfeer and his wife, whether the vineyard or plantation be great or fmall, this muft alter the exactnefs of the proportion. Had Cato's computation been erroneous in any other refpect, it had certainly been corrected by Varro, who feems fond of difcovering fo trivial an error,

The fame author $\dagger$, as well as Columella $\ddagger$, recommends it as requifite to give a wife to the overfeer, in order to attach him the more ftrongly to his mafter's fervice. This was therefore a peculiar indulgence granted to a flave, in whom fo great confidence was repofed.

In the fame place, Varro mentions it as an ufeful precaution, not to buy too many flaves from the fame nation, left they beget factions and feditions in the family: A prefumption, that in It aly, the greater part, even of the country labouring flaves (for he fpeaks of no other), were bought from the remoter provinces. All the world knows, that the family flaves in Rome, who were inftruments of fhow and luxury, were commonly imported from the eaft. Hoc profecere, fays Pirny, fpeaking of the jealous care of mafters, mancipiorum legiones, et in domo turba externa, ac fervorum quoque caufa nomenclator adbibendus \|.

[^70]It is indeed recommended by Varro*, to propagat young thepherds in the family from the old ones. For as grafing farms were commonly in remote and cheap places, and each .hepherd lived in a cottage apart, his marriage and encreafe were not liable to the fame inconveniencies as in dearer places; and where many fervants lived in the family; which was univerfally the cafe in fuch of the Roman farms as produced wine or corn. If we confider this exception with regard to fhepherds; and weigh the reafons of it, it will ferve for a ftrong confirmation of all our foregoing fufpicions $t$.

Columblea $\ddagger$, I own, advifes the mafter to give a reward, and even liberty to a femiale flave, that had reared him above three children : A proof, that fometimes the ancients propagated from their flaves; which, indeed, cannot be denied. Were it otherwife, the practice of Ilavery, being fo common in antiquity, muft have been deftructive to a degree which no expedient could repair. All I pretend to infer from thefe reafonings is, that flavery is in general difadvantageous both to the happinefs and populoufnefs of mankind, and that its place is. much better fupplied by the practice of hired fervants.

The laws, or, as fome writers call them, the feditions of the Gracchi, were occafioned by their obferving the encreafe of naves all over Italy, and the diminution of free citizens. Appian $\#$ afcribes this encreafe to the propagation of the flaves: Plutarem § to the purchafing of barbarians, who were chained and imprifoned,
 caufes concurred.

Sicily,

[^71]Sicily, fays Florus *, was full of orgafula, and was cultivated by labourers in chains. Eunus and AtheNo excited the fervile war, by breaking up thefe monfrous prifons, and giving liberty to 60,000 llaves. The younger Pompey augmented his army in Spain by the fame expedient $\dagger$. If the country labourers; throughout the Roman empire, were fo generally in this fituation, and if it was difficult or impoffible to find feparate lodgings for the families of the city fervants, how unfavourable to propagation, as well as to humanity, muft the inflitution of domeftic đlavery be efteemed?
Constiantinople, at prefent, requires the fame recruits of laves from all the provinces, that Rome did of old ; and thefe provinces are of confequance far from being populous.
Eeypt, according to Monf. Maillet, fehds contimual colonies of black daves to the other parts of the Turnish empire ; and receives annually an equal return of white: The one brought from the inland parts of Africa; the other from Mingreiia, Circassia; and Tartary.
Our modern convents are, no doubt, bad inftitutions: But there is ry. fon to furpect, that anciently every great family in It aly, and probably in other parts of the world,
"c Iatiufque nunc villici, guam olim reges, imperant. At nunc eadem," fays PR1NT, "rvinAi pedes, damnata manus, infcripti vultus exercent." Lib. weiii. cap. 3. So alfo Martial.
"Et fonet innumera compede Thufcus ager." Lib. ix. ep. 2 3. And Lucan. "Tum longos,jungere fines

Agroram, et quosidam duro fukeata Camilli,
Vomere et antiquas Curiorum paffa ligones,
Longa fub ignotis extendere rura colonis." Lib. i.
". Vincto foffore coluntur

He fperixe fegetes - ${ }^{-}$

- Lib. iif, cap. 190

Lib. vii; + Id. lib. ir. cap. 8.

Populousness of Afcient Nations. 415
was a feecies of convent., And though we have reafon to condemn all thofe popifh inflitutions, as nurferies of fuperftition, burthenfome to the public, and oppreffive to the poar prifoners, male as well as female; yet may it be queftioned whether they be fo deftructive to the populoufnefs of a ftate, as is commonly imagined. Were the land, which betongs to a convent, beftowed on a nobleman, he would fpend its revenue on dogs, horfes, grooms, footmen, cooks, and houfe-maids : and his family would not furnifh many more citizens than the convent.

The common reafon, why any parent thrufts his daughters into nunneries, is, that he may not be overburthened with too numerous a family; but the ancients had a method almoft as innocent, and more effectual to that purpofe, to wit, expofing their children in early in fancy. This practice was very common; and is not spoken of by any author of thofe times with the horror it deferves, or fcarcely* even with difapprobation. Pı $\mu$ tarch, the humane, good-natured Plutarcht, mentions it as a merit in Attalus, king of Pekgamus, that he murdered, or, if you will, expofed all his own children, in order to leave his crown to the fon of his brother, Etmenes; fignalizing in this manner his gratitude and affection to Eumenes, who had left him his heir proferably to that fon. It was Solon, the moft celebrated of the fages of Grefce, that gave parents permiffion by law to kill their childfen $\ddagger$.

Shall we then allow thefe two circumfances to compenfate each other, to wit, monaftic vows and the expofing of children, and to be unfavourable, in equal degrees, to the propagation of mankind? I doubt the
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advantage is here on the fide of antiquity. Perhaps, by an odd connexion of caufes, the barbarous practice of the ancients might rather render thofe times more populous. By removing the terrors of too numerous a family it would engage many people in marriage; and fuch is the force of natural affection, that very few, in comparifon, would have refolution enough, when it came to the pufh, to carry into execution their former intentions.

China, the only country where this practice of expofing children prevails at prefent, is the moft populous country we know of ; and every man is married before he is twenty. Such early marriages could fcarcely be general, had not men the profpect of fo eafy a method of getting rid of their children. I own, that * Plutarch fpeaks of it as a very general maxim of the poor to expofe their children; and as the rich were then averfe to marriage, on account of the courthip they met with from thofe who expected legacies from them, the public muft have been in a bad fituation between them $t$.

Of all fciences there is none, where firft appearances are more deceitful than in politics. Hofpitals for found-' lings feem favourable to the encreafe of numbers; and ${ }_{2}$ perhaps, may be fo, when kept under proper reftrictions. But when they open the door to every one, without diftinction, they have probably a contrary effect, and are pernicious to the ftate. It is computed, that every ninth child born at Paris, is fent to the hofpital ; though it feems certain, according to the common courfe of human affairs, that it is not a hundredth child whofe parents are altogether incapacitated to rear and educate him. The great difference, for health, induftry, and morals,
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between an education in an hofpital and that in a private family, fhould induce us not to make the entrance into the former too eafy and engaging. To kill one's own child is fhocking to nature, and muft therefore be fomewhat unufual ; but to turn over the care of him upon others, is very tempting to the natural indolence of mankind.

Having confdered the domeftic life and manners of the ancients, compared to thofe of the moderns; where, in the main, we feem rather fuperior, fo far as the prefent queftion is concerned; we fhall now examine the political cuftoms and inftitutions of both ages, and weigh their influence in retarding or forwarding the propagation of mankind.

Before the encreale of the Roman power, or rather till its full eftablifhment, almoft all the nations, which are the fene of ancient hiftory, were divided into fmall territories or petty commonwealths, where of courfe a great equality of fortune prevailed, and the center of the government was always very near its frontiers.

This was the fituation of affairs not only in Greece and Italy, but alfo in Spain, Gaul, Germany, Afric, and a great part of the Leffer Asia : And it muft be owned, that no inflitution could be more favourable to the propagation of mankind. For, though a man of an overgrown fortune, not being able to confume more than another, muft fhare it with thofe who ferve and attend him; yet their poffeffion being precarious, they have not the fame encouragement to marry, as if each had a fmall fortune, fecure and independent. Enormous cities are, befides, deftructive to fociety, beget vice and diforder of all kinds, ftarve the remoter provinces, and even ftarve themfelves, by the prices to which they raife

[^74]all provifions. Where each man had his little houfe and field to himfelf, and each county had its capital, free and independent ; what a happy fituation of mankind! How favourable to induftry and agriculture; to marriage and propagation! The prolific virtue of men, were it to act in its full extent, without that reftraint which poverty and neceflity impofe on it, would double the number every generation: And nothing furely can give it more liberty, than fuch fmall commonwealths, and fuch an equality of fortune among the citizens. All fmall ftates naturally produce equality of fortune, becaufe they afford no opportunities of great encreafe; but fmall commonwealths much more, by that divifion of power and authority which is effential to them.

- When Xenophon * returned after the famous expedition with Cyrus, he hired himfelf and 6000 of the Grerks into the fervice of Seuthes, a prince of Thrace; and the articles of his agreement were, that each foldier fhould receive a daric a month, each captain two darics, and he himfelf, as general, four: A regulation of pay 'which would not a little furprife our modern officers.

Demosthenes and Æschines, with eight more, were fent ambaffadors to Philip of Macedon, and their appointments for above four months were a thoufand dracbmas, which is lefs than a drachma a day for each ambaffador $\dagger$. But a drachma a day, nay fometimes two $\ddagger$, was the pay of a common foot-foldier.

A centurion among the Romans had only double pay to a private man, in Polybius's time \|; and we accord-

[^75]ingly find the gratuities after a triumph regulated by that proportion*: But Mark Anthony and the triumvirate gave the centurions five times the reward of the other + . So much had the encreafe of the commonwealth encreafed the inequality among the citizens $\ddagger$.

It muft be owned, that the fituation of affairs in modern times, with regard to civil liberty, as well as equality of fortune, is not near fo favourable, either to the propagation or happinefs of mankind. Europe is thared out moftly into great monarchies; and fuch parts of it as are divided into fmall territories, are commonly governed by abfolute princes, who ruin their people by a mimicry of the greater monarchs, in the fplendor of their court and number of their forces. Swisserland alone and Holland refemble the ancient republics; and though the former is far from poffeffing any advantage either of foil, climate, or commerce, yet the numbers, of people, with which it abounds, notwithftanding their eniifting themfelves into every fervice in Europe, prove fufficiently the advantages of their political inftitutions.

The ancient republics derived their chief or only fecurity from the numbers of their citizens. The Trachis nians having loft great numbers of their people, the remainder, inftead of enriching themfelves by the inheritance of their fellow-citizens, applied to Sparta, their metropolis, for a new ftock of inhabitants. The Spartans immediately collected ten thoufand mens among whom the old citizens divided the lands of which the former proprietors had perifhed $\|$.

[^76]( Diod. Sxc. lib, xii. Thucyd. lib. iii.

After Timoleon had banifhed Dionysius from S $\$$ racuse, and had fettled the affairs of Sicily, finding the cities of Syracuse and Selinnuntium extremely depopulated by tyranny, war, and faction, he invited over from Greece fome new inhabitants to repeople them *. Immediately forty thourand men (Piutarch $\dagger$ fays fixty thoufand) offered themfelves; and he diftributed fo many lots of land among them, to the great fatisfaction of the ancient inhabitants: A proof at once of the maxims of ancient policy, which affected populoufnefs more than riches; and of the good effects of thefe maxims, in the extreme populoufnefs of that fmall country, Greece, which could at once fupply fo great 2 colony. The cafe was not much different with the Romans in early times. He is a pernicious citizen, faid M. Curius, who cannot be content with feven $\ddagger$ acres. Such ideas of equality could not fail of producing great numbers of people.

We muft now confider what difadrantages the ancients lay under with regard to populoufnefs, and what checks they received from their political maxims and infitutions. There are commonly compenfations in every human condition; and though thefe compenfations be not always perfectly equal, yet they ferve, at leaft, to reftrain the prevailing principle. To compare them and eftimate their influence, is indeed difficult, even where they take place in the fame age, and in neighboaring countries: But where feveral ages have intervened, and only fcattered lights are afforded us by ancient authors ; what can we do but amufe ourcelves by talking pro and con, on an interefting fubject, and thereby correcting all halfy and violent determinations?

> *. Diud. Sic. lib. xvi. $\ddagger$ Sce vita Timos. $\quad \ddagger$ SE $[\mathrm{AA}]$.
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Firf, We may obferve, that the ancient republics were almoft in perpetual war, a natural effect of their martial fpirit, their love of liberty, their mutual emulation, and that hatred which generally prevails among nations that live in clofe neighbourhood. Now, war in 2 fmall fate is much more deftructive than in a great one; both becaufe all the inhabitants, in the former cafe, muft ferve in the armies; and becaufe the whole ftate is frontier, and is all expofed to the inroads of the enemy.

The maxims of ancient war were much more deftructive than thofe of modern; chiefly by that diftribution of plunder, in which the foldiers were indulged. The private men in our armies are fuch a low fet of people, that we find any abundance, beyond their fimple pay, breeds confufion and diforder among them, and a total diffolution of difcipline. The very wretchednefs and meannefs of thofe, who fill the modern armies, render them lefs deflructive to the countries which they invade: One inflance, among many of the deceitfulnefs of firf appearances in all political reafonings ${ }^{*}$.
Ancient battles were much more bloody, by the very nature of the weapons employed in them. The ancients drew up their men 16 or 20 , fometimes 50 men deep, which made a natrow front; and it was not difficult to find a field, in which both armies might be marfhalled, and might ongage with each other. Even where any body of the troops was kept off by hedges, hillocks, woods, or hollow ways, the battle was not fo foon de-

[^77]cided between the contending parties, but that the others had time to overcome the difficulties which oppofed them, and take part in the engagement. And as the whole army was thus engaged, and each man clofely buckled to his antagonift, the battles were commonly very bloody, and great flaughter 'was made on both fides, efpecially on the vanquifhed. The long thin lines, required by fire-arms, and the quick decifion of the fray, render our modern engagements but partial rencounters, and enable the general, who is foiled in the beginning of the day, to draw off the greater part of his army, found and entire.

The battles of antiquity, both by their duration and their refemblance to fingle combats, were wrought up to a degree of fury quite unknown to later ages. Nothing could then engage the combatants to give quarter, but the hopes of profit, by making flaves of their prifoners. In civil wars, as we learn from Tacitus *, the battles were the moft bloody, becaufe the prifoners were not flaves.

What a ftout refiftance muft be made, where the yanquifhed expected fo hard a fate! How inveterate the rage, where the maxims of war wẹe, in every refpect, fo bloody and fevere!

Inftances are frequent, in ancient hiftory, of cities befieged, whofe inhabitants, rather than open their gates, murdered their wives and children, 'and ruhhed themfelves on a voluntary death, fweetened perbaps by a little profpect of revenge upon the enemy. Grerks $t$, as well as Barbarians, have often been wrought up to
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this degree of fury. And the fame determined fpirit and cruelty muft, in other inftances lefs remarkable, have been deftructive to human fociety, in thofe petty commonwealths, which lived in clofe neighbourhood, and were engaged in perpetual wars and contentions.

Sometimes the wars in Greece, fays Plutarch*, were carried on entirely by inroads, and robberies, and piracies. Such a method of war muft be more deftructive in fmall ftates, than the bloodieft battles and fieges.

By the laws of the twelve tables, poffeffion during two years formed a prefcription for land; one year for moveables $\dagger$ : An indication, that there was not in Italy, at that time, much more order, tranquillity, and fettled police, than there is at prefent among the Tartars.

The only cartel I remember in ancient hiftory, is that between Demetrius Poliorcetes and the Rhodians; when it was agreed, that a free citizen fhould be reftored for 1000 drachmas, a llave bearing arms for $500 \ddagger$.

But, fecondly, It appears that ancient manners were. more unfavourable than the modern, not only in times of war, but alfo in thofe of peace; and that too in every refpect, except the love of civil liberty and of equality, which is, I own, of confiderable importance. To exclude faction from a free government, is very difficult, if not altogether impracticable; but fuch inveterate rage between the factions, and fuch bloody maxims, are found, in modern times, amongft religious parties alone. In ancient hiftory we may always obferve, where one party prevailed, whether the nobles or

[^79]people (for I can obferve no difference in this refpect *), that they immediately butchered all of the oppofite party: who fell into their hands, and banihed fuch as had been fo fortunate as to efcape their fury. No form of procefs, no law, no trial, no pardon. A fourth, a third, perhaps near half of the city was llaughtered, or expelled, every revolution; and the exiles always joined foreign enemies and did all the mifchief poffible to their fellow-citizens; till fortune put it in their power to take full revenge by a new revolution. And as thefe were frequent in fuch violent governments, the diforder, diffidence, jealoufy, enmity, which muft prevail, are not eafy for us to imagine in this age of the world.

There are only two revolutions I can recollect in ancient hiftory, which paffed without great feverity, and great effufion of blood in maffacres and affaffinations, namely, the reftoration of the Athenian Democracy by Thrasybulus, and the fubduing of the Roman republic by Casar. We learn from ancient hiftory, that Thrasybulus paffed a general amnefty for all paft offences; and firft introduced that word, as well as practice, into Greece $\dagger$. It appears, however, from many orations of Lysias $\ddagger$, that the chief, and even fome of the fubaltern offenders, in the preceding tyranny, were tried, and capitally punifhed. And as to Cxsar's clemency, though much celebrated, it would not gain great applaufe in the prefent age. He butchered, for inftance, all Cato's
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fenate, when he became mafter of Utica*; and thefe, we may readily believe, were not the moft worthlefs of the party. All thofe who had borne arms againft that ufurper, were attainted; and, by Hirtius's law, declared incapable of all public offices.

Thefe people were extremely fond of liberty; but feem not to have underftood it very well. When the thirty tyrants firf eftablifhed their dominion at Athens? they began with feizing all the fycophants and informers, who had been fo troublefome during the Democracy, and putting them to death by an arbitrary fentence and execution. Every man, fays Sallust $\dagger$ and Lysias $\ddagger$, was rejuiced at thefe punibments; not confidering, that liberty was from that moment annihilated.

The utmoft energy of the nervous' fyle of Thucrdides, and the copioufnefs and expreffion of the Greer language, feem to fink under that hiftorian, when he attempts to defcribe the diforders, which arofe from facfion throughout all the Grectian commonwealths. You would imagine, that he ftill labours with a thought greater than. he can find words to communicate. And he concludes his pathetic defcription with an obfervation, which is at once refined and folid: "In thefe contefts," fays he, " thofe who were the dulleft, and moft ftupid, " and had the leaft forefight, commonly prevailed. For " being confcious of this weaknefs, and dreading to be " over-reached by thofe of greater penetration, they "s went to work haftily, without premeditation, by the ${ }^{6}$ fword and poniard, and thereby got the ftart of their
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" antagonifts, who were forming fine fchemes and pro" jects for their deftruction*."

Not to mention Dionysius $\dagger$ the elder, who is computed to have butchered in cool blood above 10,000 of his fellow-citizens; or Agathocles $\ddagger$, Nabis $\|$, and. others, ftill more bloody than he; the tranfactions, even in free governments, were extremely violent and deftructive. At Athens, the thirty tyrants and the nobles, in a twelvemonth, murdered, without trial, about 1200 of the people, and banifhed above the half of the citizens. that remained §. In Argos, near the fame time, the people killed 1200 of the nobles; and afterwards their own demagogues, becaufe they had refufed to carry their profecutions farther II. The people alfo in Corcyra killed 1500 of the nobles, and banifhed a thoufand ${ }^{*}{ }^{\circ}$. Thefe numbers will appear the more furprifing, if we gonfider the extreme fmallnefs of thefe fates. But all ancient hiftory is full of fuch inftances $\dagger \dagger$.

When Alexander ordered all the exiles to be reftored throughout all the cities; it was found, that the whole amounted to 20,000 men $\ddagger \ddagger$; the remains probably of ftill greater flaughters and maffacres. What an aftonifhing multitude in fo narrow a country as ancient Greece!
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And what domeftic confufion, jealoufy, partiality, revenge, heart-burnings, muft tear thofe cities, where factions were wrought up to fuch a degree of fury and defpair.

It would be eafier, fays Isocrates to Philip, to raife an army in Greece at prefent from the vagabonds than from the cities.

Even when affairs came not to fuch extremities (which they failed not to do almoft in every city twice or thrice every century), property was rendered very precarious by the maxims of ancient government. Xencphon, in the Banquet of Socrates, gives us a natural unaffected defcription of the tyranny of the Athrnian people. "In my poverty," fays Charmides, "I am "6 much more happy than I ever was while poffeffed of " riches: as much as it is happier to be in fecurity than " in terrors, free than a llave, to receive than to pay "c court, to be trufted than fufpected. Formerly I was «s obliged to çarefs every informer; fome impofition ${ }^{66}$ was continually laid upon me; and it was never al" lowed me to travel, or be abfent from the city. At " prefent, when I am poor I look big, and threaten " others. The rich are afraid of me, and fhow me " ${ }^{6}$ every kind of civility and refpect; and I am become a " kind of tyrant in the city *."

In one of the pleadings of Lysias $\dagger$, the orator very coolly fpeaks of it, by the bye, as a maxim of the Athemian people, that, whenever they wanted money; they put to death fome of the rich citizens as well as ftrangers, for the fake of the forfeiture. In mentioning this, he feems not to have any intention of blaming them; ftill

[^83]lefs of provoking them, who were his audience and judges.
Whether a man was a citizen or a ftranger among that people, it feems indeed requifite, either that he fhould impoverith himfelf, or that the people would impoverifh him, and perhaps kill him into the bargain. The orator laft mentioned gives a pleafant account of an eftate laid out in the public fervice *; that is, above the third of it in raree-fhows and figured dances.

I need not infift on the Greek tyrannies, which were altogether horrible. Even the mixed monarchies, by which moft of the ancient ftates of Greece were governed, before the introduction of republics, were very unfettled. Scarcely any city, but Athens, fays Iso, crates, could fow a fucceffion of kings for four or five generations $\dagger$.

Befides many other obvious reafons for the inftability of ancient monarchies, the equal divifion of property among the brothers in private families, muft, by a neceffary confequence, contribute to unfettle and difturb the ftate. The univerfal preference given to the elder by modern laws, though it encreafes the inequality of fortunes, has, however, this good effect, that it accuftoms men to the fame idea in public fucceffion, and cuts off all claim and pretenfion of the younger.

The new fettled colony of Heraclea, falling immediately into faction, applied to Sparta, wha fent Herir pidas with full authority to quiet their diffentions. This man, not provoked by any oppofition, not inflamed by party rage, knew no better expedient than immediately putting to death about 500 of the citizens $\ddagger$. A

* See NOTE [CC].
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ftrong proof how deeply rooted thefe violent maxims of government were throughout all Greece.
If fuch was the difpofition of men's minds among that refined people, what may be expected in the commonwealths of Italy, Afric, Spain, and Gaul, which were denominated barbarous? Why otherwife did the Greers fo much value themfelves on their humanity, gentlenefs, and moderation, above all other nations? This reafoning feems very natural. But unluckily the hiftory of the Roman commonwealth, in its earlier times, if we give credit to the received accounts, prefents an oppofite conclufion. No blood was ever thed in any fedition at Rome, till the murder of the Gracchi. Dionysius Halicarnassfaus*, obferving the fingular humanity of the Roman people in this particular, makes ufe of it as an argument that they were originally of Grectan extraction: Whence we may conclude, that the factions and revolutions in the barbarous republics' were ufually more violent than even thofe of Greece above mentioned.

If the Romans were fo late in coming to blows, they made ample compenfation, after they had once entered upon the bloody fcene; and Appian's hiftory of their civil wars contains the moft frightful picture of maffacres, profcriptions, and forfeitures, that ever was prefented to the world. What pleafes moft, in that hiftorian, is, that he feems to feel a proper refentment of there barbarous proceedings; and talks not with that provoking coolnefs and indifference, which cuftom had produced in many of the Greek hiftorians $\dagger$.

The maxims of ancient politics contain, in general, fo little humanity and moderation, that it feems fuper-

## * Lib. i.

$\dagger$ See NOTE [DD].
fluous to give any particular reafon for the acts of violence committed at any particular period. Yet I cannot forbear obferving, that the laws, in the later period of the Roman commonwealth, were fo abfurdly contrived, that they obliged the heads of parties to have recourfe to thefe extremities. All capital punifhments were abolifhed: However criminal, or, what is more, however dangerous any citizen might be, he could not regularly be punifhed otherwife than by banifhment : And it became neceffary, in the revolutions of party, to draw the fword of private vengeance; nor was it eafy, when laws were once violated, to fet bounds to thefe fanguinary proceedings. Had Brutus himfelf prevailed over the triumvirate, could he, in common prudence, have allowed Octavius and Anthony, to live, and have contented himfelf with banifhing them to Rhodes or Marseilles, where they might ftill have plotted new commotions and rebellions? His executing C. Antonius, brother to the triumvir, fhows evidently his fenfe of the matter. Did not Cicero, with the approbation of all the wife and virtuous of Rome, arbitrarily put to death Catiline's accomplices, contrary to law, and without any trial or form of procefs? And if he moderated his executions, did it not proceed, either from the clemency of his temper, or the conjunctures of the times? A wretched fecurity in a government which pretends to laws and liberty!

Thus, one extreme produces another. In the fame manner as exceffive feverity in the laws is apt to beget great relaxation in their execution; fo their exceffive lenity naturally produces cruelty and barbarity. It is dangerous to force us, in any cafe, to pafs their facred boundaries.

One general caufe of the diforders, fo frequent in all ancient governments, feems to have confifted in the great difficulty of eftablifhing any Arifocracy in thofe ages, and the perpetual difcontents and feditions of the people, whenever even the meaneft and moft beggarly were excluded from the leginature and from public offices. The very quality of freemen gave fuch a rank, being oppofed to that of flave, that it feemed to entitle the poffeffor to every power and privilege of the commonwealth. SoLon's * laws excluded no freeman from votes or elections, but confined fome magiftracies to a particular cenfus; yet were the people never fatisfied till thofe laws were repealed. By the treaty with Antipater $\dagger$, no AtheAlan was allowed a vote whofe confus was lefs than 2000 drachmas (about 60 l. Sterling). And though fuch a government would to us appear fufficiently democratical, it was fo difagreeable to that people, that above twothirds of them immediately left their country $\ddagger$. CAs sander reduced that cerfus to the half $\|$; yet fill the government was confidered as an oligarchical tyranny, and the effect of foreign violence.
Servius Tullius's § laws feem equal and reafonable, by fixing the power in proportion to the property: Yet the Roman people could never be brought quietly to fubmit to them.
In thofe days there was no medium between a fevere, jealous Ariftocracy, ruling over difcontented fubjects; and a turbulent, factious, tyrannical Democracy. At prefent, there is not one republic in Europe, from one extremity of it to the other, that is not remarkable for juftice, lenity, and ftability, equal to, or even beyond

[^84]
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Marseiliess; Rhodes, or the moft celebrated in anti. quity. Almof all of them are well tempered Ariftocracies.
But thirdly, There are many other circumftances, ini which ancient nations feem infetior to the modern, both for the happinefs and encreafe of mankind. Trade, manufactures, induftry, were no where; in former ages, fo flourifhing as they are at prefent in Europe. The only garb of the ancients, both for males and females, feems to have been a kind of flannel, which they wore commonly white or grey, and which they feoured as often as it became dirty. Tyre, which carried on, after Carthage, the greateft commerce of any city in the Mediterranean, before it was deftroyed by Alexander, was no mighty city, if we credit Arrian's account of its inhabitants *. Athens is commonly fuppofed to have been a trading city: But it was as populous before the Median war as at any time after it, according to Herodetus + ; yet its commerce, at that time, was fo inconfiderable, that, as the fame hiftotian obferves $\ddagger$, even the neighbouring coafts of Asia were as little frequented by the Greeks as the pillars of Hercules: For beyond thefe he conceived nothing.

Great intereft of moncy, and great profits of trade, are an infallible indication, that induftry and commerce are but in their infancy. We read in Lysias $\|$ of 100 per rent. profit made on a cargo of two talents, fent to no greater diftance than from Athens to the Abriatic: Nor is this mentioned as an inftance of extraordinary profit. Antidorus, fays Demosthenes §, paid three

[^85]talents and a half for a houfe which he let at a talent a year: And the orator blames his own tutors for not employing his money to like advantage. My fortune fays he, in eleven years minority, ought to have been tripled. The value of 20 of the flaves left by his father, he computes at 40 minas, and the yearly profit of their labour at 12*. The molt moderate intereft at Athens, (for there was higher $t$ often paid) was 12 per cent. $\ddagger$, and that paid monthly. Not to infift upon the high intereft, to which the vaft fums diftributed in elections had raifed money § at Rome, we find, that Verres, before that factious period, fated 24 per cent. for money which he left in the hands of the publicans: And though Cicero exclaims againft this article, it is not on account of the extravagant ufury; but becaufe it had never been cuftomary to ftate any intereft on fuch occafions I. Intereft, indeed, funk at Rome, after the fettlement of the empire: But it never remained any confiderable time fo low, as in the commercial ftates of modern times $\pi$.

Among the other inconveniencies, which the Athenians felt from the fortifying of Dacelia by the Lacedemonians, it is reprefented by Thucydides**, as one of the moft confiderable, that they could not bring over their corn from Eubea by land, paffing by Oropus; but were obliged to embark it, and to fail round the promontory of Sunium. A furprifing inftance of the imperfection of ancient navigation! For the water-carriage is not here above double the land.

[^86]I do not remember a paffage in any ancient author, where the growth of a city is afcribed to the eftablifhment of a manufacture. The commerce, which is faid to flourih, is chiefly the exchange of thofe commodities, for which different foils and climates were fuited. The fale of wine and oil into Africa, according to Diodorus Siculus *, was the foundation of the riches of Agrigentum. The fituation of the city of Sybaris, according to the fame author $t$, was the caufe of its immenfe populoufnefs; being built near the two rivers Crathys and Sybaris. But thefe two rivers, we may obferve, are not navigable; and could only produce fome fertile vallies, for agriculture and tillage; an advantage fo inconfiderable, that a modern writer would fcarcely have taken notice of it.

The barbarity of the ancient tyrants, together with the extreme love of liberty, which animated thofe ages, muft have banifhed every merchant and manufacturer, and have quite depopulated the ftate, had it fubfifted upon induftry and commerce. While the cruel and fufpicious Dionysius was carrying on his butcheries, who, that was not detained by his landed property, and could have carried with him any art or fkill to procure a fubfiftence in other countries, would have remained expofed to fuch implacable barbarity? The perfecutions of Philip II. and Lewis XIV. filled all Europe with the manufacturers of Flanders and of France.

I grant, that acriculture is the fpecies of induftry chiefly requifite to the fubfiftence of multitudes; and it is poffible, that this induftry may flourifh, even where manufactures and other arts are unknown and neglected. Swisserland is at prefent a remarkable inftance; where we find, at once, the moft Kiliful hufbandmen, and the
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moft bungling tradefmen, that are to be met with in Europe. That agriculture flourifhed in Greece and Italy, at leaft in fome parts of them, and at fome periods, we have reafon to prefume: And whether the mechanical arts had reached the fame degree of perfection, may not be efteemed fo material; efpecially, if we confider the great equality of riches in the ancient republics, where each family was obliged to cultivate, with the greateft care and induftry, its own little field, in order to its fubfiftence.

But is it juft reafoning, becaufe agriculture may, in fome inftances, flourih without trade or manufactures, to conclude, that, in any great extent of country, and for any great tract of time, it would fubfift alone? The moft natural way, furely, of encouraging hufbandry, is, firft, to excite other kinds of induftry, and thereby afford the labourer a ready market for his commodities, and a return of fuch goods as may contribute to his pleafure and enjoyment. This method is infallible and univerfal; and, as it prevails more in modern government than in the ancient, it affords a prefumption of the fuperior populoufnefs of the former.

Every man, fays Xenophon *, may be a farmer: No art or kill is requifite: All confifts in induftry, and in attention to the execution. A ftrong proof, as Columella hints, that agriculture was but little known in the age of Xenophon.

All our later improvements and refinements, have they done nothing towards the eafy fubfiftence of men, and confequently towards their propagation and encreafe? Our fuperior fkill in mechanics; the difcovery of new worlds, by which commerce has been fo much enlarged;

## 436


the eftablifhment of pofts; and the ufe of bills of exis change: Thefe feem all extremely ufeful to the encouragement of art, induftry, and populoufnefs. Were we to frike off thefe, what a check fhould we give to every kind of bufinefs and labour, and what multitudes of families would immediately perifh from want and hunger? And it feems not probable, that we could fupply the place of thefe new inventions by any other regulation or infitution.
Have we reafon to think, that the police of ancient flates was any wife comparable to that of modern, or that men had then equal fecurity, either at home, or in their journies by land or water? I queftion not, but every impartial examiner would give us the preference in this particular *.

Thus, upon comparing the whole, it feems impoffible to affign any juft reafon, why the world fhould have been more populous in ancient than in modern times. The equality of property among the ancients, liberty, and the fmall divifions of their flates, were indeed circumftances favourable to the propagation of mankind: But their wars were more bloody and deftructive, their governments more factious, and unfettled, commerce and manufactures more feeble and languifhing, and the general police more loofe and irregular. Thefe latter difadvantages feem to form a fufficient counterbalance to the former advantages; and rather favour the oppofite opinion to that which commonly prevails with regard to this fubject.
But there is no reafoning, it may be faid, againft matter of fact. If it appear, that the world was then more populous than at prefent, we may be affured, that

> - Ş̧e Yart I. Effay XI.
our conjectures are falfe, and that we have overlooked fome material circumitance in the comparifon. This I readily own : All our preceding reafonings, I acknowledge to be mere trifling, or, at leaft, fmall firmines and frivolous rencounters, which decide nothing. But unluckily the main combat, where we compare facts, cannot be rendered much more decifive. The facts, delivered by ancient authors, are either fo uncertain or fo imperfect as to afford us nothing pofitive in this matter. How indeed could it be otherwife? The very facts, which we muft oppofe to them, in computing the populoufnefs of modern ftates, are far from being either certain or complete. Many grounds of calculation proceeded on by celebrated writers, are little better than thofe of the Emperor Heliog abalus, who formed an eftimate of the immenfe greatnefs of Rome, from ten thoufand pound weight of cobwebs which had been found in that city *.

It is to be remarked, that all kinds of numbers are. uncertain in ancient manufcripts, and have been fubject to much greater corruptions than any other part of the text; and that for an obvious reafon. Any alteration, in other places, commonly affects the fenfe or grammar, and is more readily perceived by the reader and tran:fcriber.

Few enumerations of inhabitants have been made of any tract of country by any ancient author of good authority, fo as to afford us a large enough view for comparifon.

It is probable, that there was formerly a good foundation for the number of citizens affigned to any free city; becaufe they entered for a fhare in the government, and

[^87]Ff 3
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there were exact regifters kept of them. Fut as the number of flaves is feldon mentioned, this ieaves us in as great uncertainty as ever, with regard to the populoufnefs even of fingle cities.

The firft page of Thucydides is, in my opinion, the commencement of real hiftory. All preceding narrations are fo intermixed with fable, that philofophers ought to abandon them, in a great meafure, to the embellifhment of poets and orators *.

With regard to remote times, the numbers of people affigned are often ridiculous, and lofe all credit and authority. The free citizens of Sybaris, able to bear arms, and actually drawn out in battle, were 300,000. They encountered at Siagra with 100,000 citizens of Crotona, another Greek city contiguous to them; and were defeated. This is Diodorus Siculus's. $\uparrow$ account ; and is very ferioully infifted on by that hiftorian. Strado $\ddagger$ alfo mentions the fame number of Sydarites.

Diodorus Siculus $\oint$, enumerating the inhabitants of. Agrigentum, when it was deftioyed by the Carthaginians, fays, that they amounted to $20 ; 000$ citizens, 200,000 ftrangers, befides flaves, who, in fo o pulent a city as he reprefents it, would probably be, at leaft, as numerous. We muft remark, that the women and the children are not included; and that therefore, upon the whole, this city muft have contained near two millions of inhabitants \|. And what was the reafon of fo immenfe an encreafe! 'They were induftrious in culvivat-

> * Sce NOTE [EE].
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ing the neighbouring fields, not exceeding a fmall EnGursh county; and they traded with their wine and oil to Africa, which, at that time, produced none of thefe commodities.
Ptolemy, fays Theocritus*, commands 33,339 cities. 1 fuppofe the fingularity of the number was the reafon of affigning it. Diodorus Siculus $\dagger$ affigns three millions of inhabitants to Æcypt, a fmall number : But then he makes the number of cities amount to 18,000: An evident contradiction.
He fays $\ddagger$, the people were formerly feven millions. Thus remote times are always moft envied and admired.
That Xerxes's army was extremely numerous, I can readily believe ; both from the great extent of his empire, and from the practice among the eaftern nations, of encumbering their camp with a fuperfluous multitude : But will any rational man cite Herodotus's wonderful narrations as an authority ? There is fomething very rational, I own, in Lysias's $\|$ argument upon this fubject. Had not Xerxes's army been incredibly numerous, fays he, he had never made a bridge over the Hellespont : It had been much eafier to have tranfported his men over fo fhort a paflage, with the numerous fhipping of which he was mafter.
Polybius § fays, that the Romans, between the firft and fecond Punic wars, being threatened with an invafion from the Gauls, muftered all their own forces, and thofe of their allies, and found them amount to feven hundred thoufand men able to bear arms: A great number furely, and which, when joined to the flaves, is probably not lefs, if not rather more, than that extent of country affords

| * Idyll. 17. | $\dagger$ Lib. i . | $\ddagger$ Idyll. 17. |
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al
at prefent *, The enumeration too feems to have been made with fome exactnefs; and Polybius; gives us the detail of the particulars. But might not the number be magnified, in order to encourage the people?

Diodorus Siculus $\dagger$ makes the fame enumeration amount to near a million. Thefe variations are fufpicious. He plainly too fuppofes, that Italy in his time was not fo populous: Another fufpicious circumftance. For who can believe, that the inhabitants of that country diminifhed from the time of the firf Punic war to that of the triumvirates?

Julius Crisar, according to Appian $\ddagger$, encountered four millions of Gauls, killed one million, and made another million prifoners $\|$. Suppofing the number of the enemy's army and that of the flain could be exacily affigned, which never is poffible; how could it be known how often the fame man returned into the armies; or how difinguih the new from the old levied foldiers? No attention ought ever to be given to fuch loofe, exaggerated calculations; efpecially where the author does not tell us the mediums, upon which the calculations were founded.

Paterculus § makes the number of Gauls killed by Casar amount only to 400,000 : A more probable account, and more eafily reconciled to the hiftory of thefe wars given by that conqueror himfelf in his Commentaries**. The moft bloody of his battles were fought againf the Helvetil and the Germans.

[^89]
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One would imagine, that every circumftance of the $l_{i f e}$ and actions of Dionysius the elder might be regarded as authentic, and free from all fabulous exaggeration; both becaufe he lived at a time when letters flourifhed moft in Greece, and becaufe his chief hiftorian was Philistus, a man allowed to be of great genius, and who was a courticr and minifter of that prince. Butcan we admit, that he had a ftanding army of 100,000 foot, $\ddagger 0,000$ horfe, and a fleet of 400 gallies* ? Thefe, we may obferve, were mercenary forces, and fubfifted upon pay, like our armics in Europe. For the citizens were all difarmed; and when Dion afterwards invaded Sicily? and called on his countrymen to vindicate their liberty, he was obliged to bring arms along with him, which he diftributed among thofe who joincd him + . In a ftate where agriculture alone flourifhes, there may be many inhabitants; and if thefe be all armed and difciplined, 2 great force may be called out upon occafion : But great bodies of mercenary troops can neyer be maintained, without either great trade and numerous manufactures, or extenfive dominions. The United Provinces never were mafters of fuch a force by fea and land, as that which is faid to belong to Dionysius; yet they poffers as large a territory, perfectly well cultivated, and have much more refources from their commerce and induftry: Diodorus Siculus allows, that, even in his time, the army of Dionysius appeared incredible; that is, as I interpret it, was entirely a fiction; and the opinion arofe from the exaggerated flattery of the courtiers, and perhaps from the vanity and policy of the tyrant himfelf.

It is a ufual fallacy, to confider all the ages of antir quity as one period, and to compute the numbers con-

[^90]tained in the great cities mentioned by ancient authors, as if thefe cities had been all cotemporary. The Greek colonies fourihed extremely in Sicily during the age of Alexander: Blit in Augustus's time they were fo decayed, that almof all the produce of that fertile ifland was confumed in It aly *.

Let us now examine the numbers of inhabitants affigned to particular cities in antiquity; and omitting the numbers of Nineveh, Babylon, and the Egyptian Thebes, let us confine ourfelves to the fphere of real hiftory, to the Grecian and Roman ftates., I muft own, the more I confider this fubject, the more am I inclined to fcepticifm, with regard to the great populournefs afcribed to ancient times.

Athens is faid by Plato + to be a very great city; and it was furely the greateft of all the Greek $\ddagger$ cities, except Syracuse, which was nearly about the fame fize in Thucydides's \| time, and afterwards encreafed beyond it. For Cicero §mentions it as the greateft of all the Greek cities in his time ; not comprehending, I fuppofe, either Antioch or Alexandria under that denomination. Athen fus If fays, that, by the enumeration of Demetrius Phalereus, there were in Athens 21,000 citizens, 10,000 ftrangers, and 400,000 flaves. This number is much infiffed on by thofe whofe

## * Strabo, lib. vi. $\dagger$ Apolog. Socr.

$\ddagger$ Argos feems alfo to have been a great city; for Lycias contents himfelf with faying that it did not exceed Athens. Orat. 34-

Lib. vi. Secalfo Plutarch in vita Nicie.
§ Orat. contra Virrim, lib. iv. cap. 52. Strabo, lib. vi. fays, it was twerty-two miles in compafs. But then we are to confider, that it contained two harbours within it; one of which was a very large one, and might be regarded as a kind of bay.
© Lib. vi, cap, 29.
opinion I call in queftion, and is efteemed a fundamental fact to their purpofe: But, in my opinion, there is no point of criticifin more certain, than that Athemeus and Ctesicles, whom he quotes, are here miftaken, and that the number of flaves is, at leaft, augmented by a whoie cypher, and ought not to be regarded as more than 40,000 .

Firf, When the number of citizens is faid to be 21,000 by Athenfus *, men of full age are only underftond. For, (i.) Herodotus fays $\dagger$, that Aristagoras, ambaflador from the Ionians, found it harder to deceive one Spartan than 30,000 Athenians; meaning, in a loofe way, the whole ftate, fuppofed to be met in one popular affembly, excluding the women and children. (2.) Thucydides $\ddagger$ fays, that, making allowance for all the abfentees in the fleet, army, garrifons, and for people employed in their private affairs, the Athenian affembly never rofe to five thoufand. (3.) The forces, enumerated by the fame hiftorian $\|$, being all citizens, and amounting to 13,000 heavyarmed infantry, prove the fame method of calculation; as alfo the whole tenor of the Greek hiftorians, who always underftand men of full age, when they affign the number of citizens in any republic. Now, thefe being but the fourth of the inhabitants, the free Athenians were by this account 84,000 ; the frangers 40,000 ; and the flaves, calculating by the fmaller number, and allowing that they married and propagated at the fame rate with freemen, were 160,000; and the whole of the in.

[^91]habitants
habitants 284,000: A number furely large enough. The other number, $1,720,000$, makes Athens larger than London and Paris united.

Secondly, There were but 10,000 houfes in A Thens*.

Timilly, Though the extent of the walls, as given us ETfucydides + , be great (to wit, eighteen miles, I 'le the fa-coaft): Yet Xenophon $\ddagger$ fays, there was much wafte ground within the walls. They feem indeed to have joined four diftinct and feparate cities $\|$.

Fourtbly, No infurrecion of the flaves, or fuificion of infurrecion, is ever mentioned by hiftorians; except one commotion of the miness $\S$.

Fifthly, The treatment of flaves by the Athenians is faid by Xenophon 4 , and Demosthenes**, and Prautus $+t$, to have been extremely gentle and indulgent: Which could never have been the cafe, had the difproportion been twenty to one. The difproportion is not fo great in any of our colonies; yet are we obliged to exercife a rigorous military government over the negroes.

Sixtbly, No man is ever efteemed rich for poffeffing what may be reckoned an equal diftribution of property in any country, or even triple or quadruple that wealth. Thus every perfon in England is computed by fome to fpend fix-pence a day: Yet is he efteemed but poor wha has five times that fum, Now Timarchus is faid by
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Æschines* to have been left in eafy circumftances 3 but he was mafter only of ten flaves employed in manufactures. Lysias and his brother, two frangers, were profcribed by the thirty for their great riches; though they had but fixty a-piece $\dagger$. Demosthenes was left very rich by his father; yet he had no more than fiftytwo flaves $\ddagger$. His workhoufe, of twenty cabinet-makers, is faid to be a very confiderable manufactory $\S$.

Seventhly, During the Drcelian war, as the Greer hiftorians call it, 20,000 flaves deferted, and brought the Athenians to great diftrefs, as we learn from Thucydides $\|$. This could not have happened, had they been only the twentieth part. The beft flaves would not defert.
Eighthly, Xenophon a propofes a cheme for maintaining by the public 10,000 flaves: And that fo great a number may poffibly be fupported, any one will be convinced, fays he, who confiders the numbers we poffeffed before the Decelian war. A way of fpeaking altogether incompatible with the larger number of AtheNeUS.
Ninthly, The whole cenfus of the fate of Athens was lefs than 6000 talents. And though numbers' in ancient manufripts be often fufpected by critics, yet this is unexceptionable; both becaufe Demosthenes **, who gives it, gives alfo the detail, which checks him ; and becaufe Polybius $\dagger \dagger$ affigns the fame number, and reafons upon it. Now, the moft vulgar flave could yield by his labour an obolus a day, over and above his main-
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tenance, as we learn from $\mathrm{Xemophon}^{*}$, who fays, that Nicias's overfeer paid his mafter fo much for flaves, whom he employed in mines. If you will take the pains to eftimate an obolus a day, and the flaves at 400,000 , computing only at four years purchafe, you will find the fum above 12,000 talents; even though allowance be made for the great number of holidays in Athens. Befides, many of the flaves would have a much greater value from their art. The loweft that Demusthenes eftimates any of his $\dagger$ father's flaves is two minas a head. And upon this fuppofition, it is a little difficuit, I conferf, to reconcile even the number of 40,000 flaves with the cenfus of 6000 talents.
Tenthly, Chios is faid by Thucydides $\ddagger$, to contain more flaves than any Greek city, except Sparta. Sparta then had more than Athens, in proportion tothe number of citizens. The Spartans were 9000 in the town, 30,000 in the country $\|$. The male flaves, therefore, of full age, muft have been more than 78,000 ; the whole more than $3,120,000$. A number impofible to be maintained in a narrow barren country, fuch as Laconia, which had no trade. Had the Helotes been fo very numerous, the murder of 2000 mentioned by Thucydides $\S$, would have irritated them, without weakening them.

Befides, we are to confider, that the number affigned by Athenaus 9 , whatever it is, comprehends all the inhabitants of Attica, as well as thofe of Athens.
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- T The fame author affirms, that Corinth had once 460,000 flaves; EGINA 470,000. But the foregoing arguments hold fironger againg thefe facts, which are indeed entirely abfurd and impolifile. It is however remarkable, that Atheneus cites fo great an authority as Aristotie for this laft fact: And tho' fcholiaft on Pindar mentions the fame number of gaves in Aoina.
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The Athenians affected much a country life, as we learn from Thucydides*; and when they were all chafed into town, by the invafion of their territory during the Peloponnesian war, the city was not able to contain them ; and they were obliged to lie in the porticoes, temples, and even ftreets, for want of lodging $\dagger$.

The fame remark is to be extended to all the other Greek cities; and when the number of citizens is affigned, we muft always underftand it to comprehend the inhabitants of the neighbouring country, as well as of the city. Yet, even with this allowance, it muft be confeffed, that Greece was a populous country, and exceeded what we could imagine concerning fo narrow a territory, naturally not very fertile, and which drew no fupplies of corn from other places. For, excepting Athens, which traded to Pontus for that commodity, the other cities feem to have fubfifted chiefly from their neighbouring territory $\ddagger$.

Rhodes is well known to have been a city of extenfive commerce, and of great fame and fplendor; yet it contained only 6000 citizens able to bear arms, when it was befieged by Demetrius $\|$.

Thebes was always one of the capital cities of ${ }^{-}$ Greece§: But the number of its citizens exceeded not thofe of Rhodes 9 . Phliasia is faid to be a fmall city by Xenophon**, yet we find, that it contained 6000 citizens $\dagger \dagger$. I pretend not to reconcile thefe two facts. Perhaps, Xenophow calls Phliasia a fmall
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town, becaufe it made but a fmall figure in Greect; and maintained only a fubordinate alliance with Sparta; or perhaps the country, belonging to it, was extenfive; and moft of the citizens were employed in the cultivation of it, and dwelt in the neighbouring villages.

Mantinea was equal to any city in Arcadía * Confequently it was equal to Megalopolis, which was fifty ftadia, or fix miles and a quarter in circumference + . But Mantinfa had only 3000 citizens $\ddagger$. The Greek cities, therefore, contained often fields and gardens, together with the houfes; and we cannot judge of them by the extent of their walls. Athens contained no more than 10,000 houfes; yet its walls, with the fea-coaft; were above twenty miles in extent. Syracuse was twenty-two miles in circumference; yet was fcarcely ever fpoken of by the ancients as more populous than Athens. Babyion was a fquare of fifteen miles, or fixty miles in circuit; but it contained large cultivated fields and inclofures, as we learn from Pliny. Though Aurelian's wall was fifty miles in circumference \|; the circuit of all the thirteen diviíons of Rome, taken apart, according to Publius Victor, was only about forty-tinree miles. When an enemy invaded the country, all the inhabitants retired within the walls of the ancient cities, with their cattle and furniture, and inftruments of hufbandry : and the great height, to which the walls were raifed, enabled a fmall number to defend them with facility.

Sparta, fays Xenophon $\S$, is one of the cities of Greece that has the feweft inhabitants. Yet Poly-
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bius * fays, that it was forty-eight fladia in circumference, and was round.

All the 生tolians able to bear arms in Antipater's time, deducting fome few garrifons, were but ten thoufand men $t$.

Polybius $\ddagger$ tells us, that the Achean league might, without any inconvenience, march 30 or 40,000 men: And this account feems probable : For that league comprehended the greater part of Peloponnesus. Yet Pausanias §, fpeaking of the fame period, fays, that all the Acheans able to bear arms, even when feveral manumitted flaves were joined to them, did not amount to fifteen thoufand.

The Thessalians, till their final conqueft by the Romans, were, in all ages, turbulent, factious, feditious, diforderly $\|$. It is not therefore natural to fuppofe, that this part of Greece abounded much in people.

We are told by Thucydides 9 , that the part of Peloponnessus, adjoining to Pylus, was defart and uncultivated. Herodotus fays **, that Macedonia was full of lions and wild bulls; animals which can only inhabit vaft unpeopled forefts. Thefe were the two extremities of Greece.

All the inhabitants of Epirus, of all ages, fexes and conditions, who were fold by Paulus 牛milius, amounted only to $150,000+\dagger$. Yet Epirus might be double the extent of Yorkshire.

[^93]Justin* tells us, that, when Philip of Macedons was declared head of the Greek confederacy, he called a congrefs of all the ftates, except the Lacedemonians, who refufed to concur; and he found the force of the whole, upon computation, to amount to 200,000 infantry, and 15,000 cavalry. This muft be underfood to be all the citizens capable of bearing arms. For as the Greek republics maintained no mercenary forces, and had no militia diftinct from the whole body of the citizens, it is not conceivable what other medium there could be of computation. That fuch an army could ever, by Greece, be brought into the field, and be maintained there, is contrary to all hiftory. Upon this fuppofition, therefore, we may thus reafon. The free Greeks of all ages and fexes were 860,000 . The flaves, eftimating them by the number of Athenian flaves as above, who feldom married or had families, were , double the male citizens of full age, to wit, 430,000 . And all the inhabitants of ancient Greece, excepting Laconia, were about one million two hundred and ninety thoufand: No mighty number, nor exceeding what may be found at prefent in Scotland, a country of not much greater extent, and very indifferently peopled.

We may now confider the numbers of people in Rome and Italy, and collect all the lights afforded us by fcattered paffages in ancient authors. We fhall find, upon the whole, a great difficulty, in fixing any opinion on that head; and no reafon to fupport thofe exaggerated calculations, fo much infifted on by modern writers.

Dionysius Halicarnassfius $\dagger$ fays, that the ancient walls of Rome were nearly of the fame compals

[^94]with
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with thofe of Athens, but that the fuburbs ran out to a great extent; and it was difficult to tell, where the town ended or the country began. In fome places of Rome, it appears, from the fame author *, from Juvenal $\dagger$ and from other ancient writers $\ddagger$, that the houfes were high, and families lived in feparate foreys, one above another: But it is probable, that thefe were only the poorer citizens, and only in fome few itreets. If we may judge from the younger Pliny's $\$$ account of his own houfe, and from Bartoli's plans of ancient buildings, the men of quality had very fpacious palaces; and their buildings were like the Chinese houfes at this day, where each apartment is feparated from the reft, and rifes no higher than a fingle ftorey. To which if we add, that the Roman nobility much affected extenfive porticoes; and even woods $\|$ in town; we may perhaps allow Vossius (though there is no manner of reafon for it) to read the famous paffage of the elder Pliny $\frac{1}{}$ his own way, without admitting the extravagant confequences which he draws from it.

The number of citizens who received corn by the public diftribution in the time of Augustus, were two hundred thoufand **. This one would efteem a pretty certain ground of calculation: Yet is it attended with fuch circumftances as throw us back into doubt and uncertainty.

Did the poorer citizens only receive the diftribution? It was calculated, to be fure, chiefly for their benefit. But it appears from a paffage in Cicero $\dagger \dagger$ that the rich

[^95]might alfo take their portion, and that it was efteemed no reproach in them to apply for it.

To whom was the corn given ; whether oniy to heads of families, or to every man, woman, and child? The portion every month was five modii to each * (about $\frac{5}{6}$ of a bufhel). This was too little for a family, and too much for an individual. A very accurate antiquary 4 , therefore, infers, that it was given to every man of full age : But he allows the matter to be uncertain.

Was it ftrictly enquired, whether the claimant lived within the precincts of Rome; or was it fufficient, that he prefented himfelf at the monthly diftribution? This laft feems more probable $\ddagger$.

Were there no falfe claimants? We are told §, that Cessar ftruck off at once 170,000 , who had creeped in without a juft title; and it is very little probable, that he remedied all abufes.

But, laftly, what proportion of flaves muft we affign to thefe citizens? This is the moft material queftion; and the moft uncertain. It is very doubtful, whether Athens can be eftablifhed as a rule for Rome. Perhaps the Athenians had more flaves, becaufe they employed them in manufactures, for which a capital city, like Rome, feems not fo proper. Perhaps, on the other hand, the Romans had more flaves, on account of their fuperior luxury and riches.

[^96]+ Nicolaus Hortenfius de re frumentaria Roman.
$\ddagger$ Not to take the people too much from their bufinef, AOGUStus ordained the diftribution of corn to be made only thrice a-year: Bot the people, finding the monthly diftributions more convenient (as preferving, I fuppofe, a more regular aconomy in their family', defired to have them reftored. Suston. August. cap. 40. Had not fome of the people come from fome difance for their corn, Augustus's preciation feems fuperflous.
§ Suctono is ${ }^{\text {Ful. }}$ cap. 41.
There
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There were exact bills of mortality kept at Rome; but no ancient author has given us the number of burials, except Suetonius*, who tells us, that in one feafon, there were 30,000 names carried to the temple of Libitina : But this was during a plague; which can afford no certain foundation for any inference.

The publick corn, though diftributed only to 200,000 citizens, affected very confiderably the whole agriculture of Italy $\dagger$ : A fact no wife reconcileable to fome modern exaggerations with regard to the inhabitants of that country.

The beft ground of conjecture I can find concerning the greatnefs of ancient Rome, is this: We are told by Herodian $\ddagger$, that Antioch and Alexandria were very little inferior to Rome. It appears from Drodorus Siculus §, that one ftraight ftreet of Alexandria, reaching from gate to gate, was five miles long; and as Alexandria was much more extended in length than breadth, it feems to have been a city nearly of the bulk of Pẩis $\|$; and Rome might be about the fize of LonDON.

There lived in Alexandria, in Diodorus SicuLus's time $\mathbb{I}$, 300,000 free people, comprehending, I fuppofe, women and children **. But what number of flaves? Had we any juft ground to fix thefe at an equal number with the free inhabitants, it would favour the foregoing computation.

There is a paffage in Herodian, which is a little furprifing. He fays pofitively, that the palace of the

[^97]G g 3
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 E S S A Y XI.Emperor was as large as all the reft of the city *. This was Nero's golden houfe, which is indeed reprefented by Suetonius $\dagger$ and $\mathrm{Pliny}^{\text {as }}$ of an enormous extent $\ddagger ;$ but no power of imagination can make us conceive it to bear any proportion to fuch a city as London.

We may obferve, had the hiftorian been relating Nero's extravagance, and had he made ufe of fuch an expreffion, it would have had much lefs weight; thefe rhetorical exaggerations being fo apt to creep into an author's ftyle, even when the moft chafte and correct. But it is mentioned by Herodian only by the by, in relating the quarrels between Geta and Caracalla.

It appears from the fame hiftorian §, that there was then much land uncultivated, and put to no manner of ufe; and he afcribes it as a great praife to Pertinax that he allowed every one to take fuch land either in Italy or clfewhere, and cultivate it as he pleafed, without paying any taxes. Lands uncultivated, and put to no manner of. $2 i f e$ ! This is not heard of in any part of Christendom; except in fome remote parts of Hungary; as I have been informed. And it furely correfponds very ill with that idea of the extreme populoufnefs of antiquity, fo much infifted on,

We learn from Vopiscus $\|$, that there was even in Etruria much fertile land uncultivated, which the Emperor Aurelian intended to convert into vineyards, in order to furnifh the Roman people with a gratuitous diftribution of wine ; a very proper expedient for depopu-

[^98]lating
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lating ftill farther that capital and all the neighbouring territories.

It may not be amifs to take notice of the account which Polyerus * gives of the great herds of fwine to be met with in Tuscany and Lombardy, as well as in Greice, and of the method of feeding them which was then practifed. "T There are great herds of fwine," fays he, " throughout all Italy, particularly in former times, "s through Etruria and Cisalpine Gaul. And a herd "s frequently confilts of a thoufand or more fwine. When "c one of thefe herds in feeding meets with another, they "c mix together; and the fwine-herds have no other "6 expedient for feparating them than to go to different "c quarters, where they found their horn; and thefe "6 animals, being accuftomed to that fignal, run imme" diately each to the horn of his own keeper. Whereas " in Greece, if the herds of fwine happen to mix in "c the forefts, he who has the greater flock, takes cun" ningly the opportunity of driving all away. And "c thieves are very apt to purloin the ftraggling hogs, "6 which have wandered to a great diftance from their " keeper in fearch of food."

May we not infer from this account, that the north of Italy, as well as Greece, was then much lefs peopled, and worfe cultivated, than at prefent? How could thefe vaft herds be fed in a country fo full of inclofures, fo improved by agriculture, fo divided by farms, fo planted with vines and corn intermingled together? I muft confefs, that Polybius's relation has more the air of that peconomy which is to be met with in our Americar colonies, than the management of a Europian country.

We meet with a reflection in Aristotre's * Ethicks, which feems unaccountable on any fuppofition, and by proving too much in favour of our prefent reafoning, may be thought really to prove nothing. That philofopher, treating of friendfhip, and obferving, that this relation ought neither to be contracted to a very few, nor extended over a great multitude, illuftrates his opinion by the following argument: "In like manner," fays he, " as a city cannot fubfift, if it either have fo few "6 inhabitants as ten, or fo many as a hundred thoufand; " $f 0$ is there a mediocrity required in the number of " friends; and you deftroy the effence of friendihip by " running into either extreme." What! impoffible that a city can contain a hundred thoufand inhabitants ! Had Aristotle never feen nor heard of a city fo populous? This, I muft own, paffes my comprehenfion.

Pliny + tells us that Seléucia, the feat of the Grerk empire in the Eaft, was reported to contain 600,000 people. Carthage is faid by Strabo $\ddagger$ to have contained 700,000. The inhabitants of Pekin are not much more numerous. London, Paris, and Constantinople, may admit of nearly the fame computation; at leaft, the two latter cities do not exceed it. Rome, Alexandria, Antioch, we have already fpoken of. From the experience of paft and prefent ages, one might conjecture that there is a kind of impoffibility, that any city could ever rife much beyond the proportion. Whether the grandeur of a city be founded on commerce or on empire, there feem to be invincible obftacles, which prevent its farther progrefs. The feats of vaft

[^99]monarchies,
monarchies, by introducing extravagant luxury, irregular expence, idlenefs, dependence, and falfe ideas of rank and fuperiority, are improper for commerce. Extenfive commerce checks itfelf, by raifing the price of all labour and commodities. When a great court engages the attendance of a numerous nobility, poffeffed of overgrown fortunes, the middling gentry remain in their provincial towns, where they can make a figure on a moderate income. And if the dominions of a ftate arrive at an enormous fize, there neceffarily arife many capitals, in the remoter provinces, whither all the intabitants, except a few courtiers, repair for education, fortune, and amurement *. London, by uniting extenfive commerce and middling empire, has, perhaps, arrived at a greatnefs, which no city will ever be able to exceed.

Chufe Dover or Calais for a center: Draw a circle of two hundred miles radius: You comprehend London, Paris, the Netherlands, the United Provinces, and fome of the beft cultivated parts of France and England. It may fafely, I think, be affirmed, that no fpot of ground can be found, in antiquity, of equal extent, which contained near fo many great and populous cities, and was fo ftocked with riches and inhabitants. To balance, in both periods, the ftates, which poffeffed moft art, knowledge, civility, and the beft police, feems the trueft method of comparifon.

It is an obfervation of L'Abbe du Bos, that Italy is warmer at prefent than it was in ancient times. "The " annals of Rome tell us," fays he, " that in the year

[^100]${ }^{6}{ }^{6} 480 a b$ U. C. the winter was fo fevere that it deftroyed
${ }^{6}$ the trees. The Tyber froze in Rome, and the " ground was covered with fnow for forty days. When " Juvenal * defcribes a fuperftitious woman, he re${ }^{66}$ prefents her as breaking the ice of the Tyber, that
"6 fhe might perform her ablutions :
"Hybernum fracta glacie defcendet in amnem,
"Ter matutino Tyberi mergetur.

* He fpeaks of that river's freezing as a common event. ${ }^{6}$ Many paffages of Horace fuppafe the ftreets of " Rome full of fnow and ice. We fhould have more os certainty with regard to this point, had the an$\&$ cients known the ufe of thermometers: But their " writers, without intending it, give us information ${ }_{2}$ " fufficient to convince us, that the winters are now ${ }^{6}$ much more temperate at Rome than formerly. Aţ sis prefent the Tyber no more freezes at Rome than the « Nile at Cairo. The Romans efteem the winters " very rigorous, if the fnow lie two days, and if one " fee for eight and forty hours a few icicles hang from "s a fountain that has a north expofure."

The obfervation of this ingenious critic may be extended to other European climates. Who could difcover the mild climate of France in Diodorus Siculus's + defcription of that of Gaul ? "As it is a " northern climate," fays he, " it is infefted with cold "s to an extreme degree. In cloudy weather, inftead of " rain there fall great fnows; and in clear weather it "s there freezes fo exceffive hard, that the rivers acquire " bridges of their own fubftance, over which, not only " fingle travellers may pafs, but large armies, accom"s panied with all their baggage and loaded waggons,
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"And there being many rivers in Gaul, the Rhone, " the Rhine, Eoc. almoft all of them are frozen over; 45 and it is ufual, in order to prevent falling, to cover " the ice with chaff and fraw at the places where the "c road paffes." Colder than a Gallic Winter, is ufed by Petronius as a proverbial expreffion. Aristotle fays, that Gaul is fo cold a climate that an afs could not live in it *.

North of the Cevennes, fays Strabo + , Gaul produces not figs and olives: And the vines, which have been planted, bear not grapes that will ripen.

Ovid pofitively maintains, with all the ferious affirmation of profe, that the Euxine fea was frozen over every winter in his time; and he appeals to Roman governours, whom he names, for the truth of his affertion $\ddagger$. This feldom or never happens at prefent in the latitude of Tomi, whither Oyid was banifhed. All the complaints of the fame poet feem to mark a rigour of the feafons, which is fcarcely experienced at prefent in Petersburgh or Stockholm.

Tournefort, a Provençal, who had travelled into the fame country, obferves, that there is not a finer climate in the world: And he afferts, that nothing but Ovid's melancholy could have given him fuch difmal ideas of it. But the facts, mentioned by that poet, are too circumftantial to bear any fuch interpretation.

Polybius $\|$ fays, that the climate in Arcapia was yery cold, and the air moift:
"Italy," fays Varro §o " is the moft temperate "climate in Europe. The inland parts" (Gaul,

- De generat. anim. lib. ii. $\quad+$ Lib. iv.
$\ddagger$ Trif. lib. iii. eleg. 9. D\& Romra, lib, iv, eleg. 7. 9. 1a,
Lib. iv. cap. 2x, Lib, i, cap, 2.

Germany, and Pannonia, no doubt) " have almor " perpetual winter."

The northern parts of Spain, according to Strabo *, are but ill inhabited, becaufe of the great cold.

Allowing, therefore, this remark to be juft, that Europe is become warmer than formerly; how can we account for it ? Plainly, by no other method, than by fuppofing, that the land is at prefent much better cultivated, and that the woods are cleared, which formerly threw a fhade upon the earth, and kept the rays of the fun from penetrating to it. Our northern colonies in America become more temperate, in proportion as the woods are felled $\dagger$; but in general, every one may remark, that cold is fill much more feverely felt, both in North and South America, than in places under the fame latitude in Europe.

Saserna, quoted by Columella $\ddagger$, affirmed, that the difpofition of the heavens was altered before his time, and that the air had become much milder and warmer; as appears hence, fays he, that many places now abound with vineyards and olive plantations, which formerly, by reafon of the rigour of the climate, could raife none of thefe productions. Such a change, if real, will be allowed an evident fign of the better cultivation and peopling of countries before the age of SASERNA\|; andif it be continued to the prefent times, is a proof; that thefe

[^101]
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didvantages have been continually encreafing throughout this part of the world.

Let us now caft our eye over all the countries which are the fcene of ancient and modern hiftory, and compare their paft and prefent fituation: We frall not, perhaps, find fuch foundation for the complaint of the prefent emptinefs and defolation of the world. Ægypt is reprefented by Maillet, to whom we owe the beft account of it, as extremely populous; though he efteems the number of its inhabitànts to be diminifhed. Syria, and the Leffer Asia, as well as the coaft of Barbary, I can readily own, to be defart in comparifon of their ancient condition. The depopulation of Greece is alfo obvious. But whether the country now called Turky in Europe may not, in general, contain more inhabitants than during the flourifhing period of Greece, may be a little doubtful. The Thracians feem then to have lived like the Tartars at prefent, by pafturage and plunder*: The Getes were ftill more uncivilized $t$ : And the Illyrians were no better $\ddagger$. Thefe occupy nine-tenths of that country: And though the government of the Turks be not very favourable to induftry and propagation ; yet it preferves at leaft peace and order among the inhabitants; and is preferable to that barbarous, unfettled condition, in which they anciently lived.

Poland and Muscovy in Europe are not populous; but are certainly much more fo than the ancient Sarmatia and Scythia; where no hufbandry or tillage was ever heard of, and pafturage was the fole art by which the people were maintained. The like obfervation may be extended to Denmark and Sweden. No one ought

[^102]to efteem the immenfe fwarms of people, which formerly came from the North, and over-ran all Europe, to be any objection to this opinion. Where a whole nation, or even half of it remove their feat; it is eafy to imagine, what a prodigious maltitude they mult form ; with what defperate valour they muft make their attacks; and how the terror they frike into the invaded nations will make thefe magnify, in their imagination, both the courage and multitude of the invaders. Scotland is neither extenfive nor populous; but were the half of its inhabitants to feek new feats, they would form a colony as numerous as the Teutons and Cimbri; and would fhake all Europe, fuppofing it in no better condition for defence than formerly.

Germany has furely at prefent twenty times mote inhabitants than in ancient times, when they cultivated no ground, and each tribe valued itfelf on the extentive defolation which it fpread around; as we learn from Cesar*, and Tacitus $\dagger$, and Strabo $\ddagger$. A proof, that the divifion into fmall republics will not alone render a nation populous, unlefs attended with the fpirit of peace, order, and induftry.

The barbarous condition of Britain in former times is well known, and the thinnefs of its inhabitants may eafily be conjectured, both from their barbarity, and from a circumftance mentioned by Herodian $\|$, that all Britain was marlhy, even in Severus's time; after the Romans had been fully fettled in it above a century.

It is not eafily imagined, that the Gauls were anciently much more advanced in the arts of life than their northern neighbours; fince they travelled to this ifland
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for their education in the myfteries of the religion and philofophy of the Druids*. I cannot, therefore, think, that Gaul was then near fo populous as France is at prefent.

Were we to believe, indeed, and join together the teftimony of Appian, and that of Diodorus Siculus, we muft admit of an incredible populoufnefs in Gaul. The former hiftorian $\dagger$ fays, that there were 400 nations in that country ; the latter $\ddagger$ affirms, that the largeft of the Gallic nations confifted of 200,000 men, befides women and children, and the leaft of 50,000 . Calcus lating, therefore, at a medium, we muft admit of near $200,000,000$ of people, in a country, which we efteem populous at prefent, though fuppofed to contain little more than twenty §. Such calculations, therefore, by their extravagance, lofe all manner of authority. We may obferve, that the equality of property, to which the populoufnefs of antiquity may be afcribed, had no place among the Gauls $\|$. Their inteftine wars alfo, before Cersar's time, were almoft perpetual 9 . And Strabo ** obferves, that, though all Gadl was cultivated, yet was it not cultivated with any fkill or care; the genius of the inhabitants leading them lefs to arts than arms, till their flavery under Rome produced peace among themfelves.

Cesar $\dagger \dagger$ enumerates very particularly the great forces which were levied in Belgium to oppofe his conquefts; and makes them amount to 208,000. Thefe were not the whole people able to bear arms: For the

[^104]fame hiftorian tells us, that the Bellovaci could have brought a hundred thoufand men into the field, though they engaged only for fixty. Taking the whole, therefore, in this proportion of ten to fix, the fum of fighting men in all the ftates of Belgium was about 350,000 ; all the inhabitants a million and a half. And Belgium being about a fourth of GAUL, that country might contain fix millions, which is not near the third of its prefent inhabitants*. We are informed by Cexsar, that the Gauls had no fixed property in land; but that the chieftains, when any death happened in a family, made a new divifion of all the lands among the feveral members of the family. This is the cuftom of Tanifry, which fo long prevailed in Ireland, and which retained that country in a ftate of mifery, barbarifm, and defolation.

The ancient Helvetra was 250 miles in length, and 180 in breadth, according to the fame author $\dagger$; yet contained only 360,000 inhabitants. The canton of Berne alone has, at prefent, as many peoplé.

After this computation of Appian and Diodorus Siculus, I know not, whether I dare affirm, that the modern Dutch are more numerous than the ancient Batavi.

Spain is, perhaps, decayed from what it was three centuries ago; but if we ftep backward two thoufand years, and confider the reflefs, turbulent, unfettled condition of its inhabitants, we may probably be inclined to think, that it is now much more populous. Many Spaniards killed themfelves, when deprived of their arms by the Romans $\ddagger$. It appears from Plutarch $\oint$,
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that robbery and plundef werre effeemed honourable apnong the Spaniarps. Hirtius* reprelents, in the fame light the fituation of that country in Cessen?s time, and he fays, that eyery man was obliged to live in caftes and walled towns for his fecurity. It was not till its fiopl conqueft under Augustus, that thefe diforders were repreffed $t$. The account which Sraabo $\ddagger$ and Jubrin § give of Spain, correfponds exaetly with thofe above mentioned. How much, therefore, muft it :diminialh from our idea of the populoufnefs of antiquity, when we find, that Tully, comparing IT TALy, Arric, Gaul. Grefec, and Spain, mentions the great number of inhabitants, as the peculiar circumftance, which rendered this latter country formidable ! ?

Italy, however, it is probable, has decayed: Bat how many great cities does it ftill contain? Venice, Grnoa, Patia, Turin, Milan, Naples, Florence, Leghorn, which either fubfifted not in ancient times, or were then very inconfiderabie ? If we refect on this, we fhall not be apt to carry matters to fo great an extreme as is ufual, with regard to this fubject.
When the Roman autbors complain, that Italy, which formerly exported corn, became dependent on all the provinces for its daily bread, they never afrtibe this alteration to the encreare of its inhabitants, but to the neglect of tillage and agriculture g. A natural effect of

[^106]
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that perincieious prifefce of importing corn, in 'biter to
 bad means of multiplying the inhabitants of any country*. The foortula, fo much talked of by Martiai and JuTenal, being prefents regulatly made by the gredt lords to their furialler clients, muft have bat a like tendency to produce idlenefs, debauchery, "and a continual decay mong the people. The pariftrates have at prefent the rame bad confequences in Engeand.

Were I oo afign a period, when I imagine this part of the world might pomibly contain more inhabitants that at prefent, I hould pitch upon the age of TRAJAN and the ANToNines; the great extent of the Roman empire being then civilized and cultivated, fettled almof in a profound peace both foreign and domeltic, and living under the fame regular police and governiment $\dagger$. "But we are told, that all extenfive govetnments, "efpecially abfolute monarchies, are pernicious to population, and contain a fecret vice and poifon, which deftroy the effect of all thefe pronifing appearances $\ddagger$. To confirm this, there is a paffage cited from Pitutarch $\$$, which being fomewhat fingular, we fhall there examine it.

That author, endeavouring to account for the filence of many of the oracles, fijs, that it may be afcribed to the prefent "defolation of the world, proceeding from 'former wars and factions; which common calamity, he adds, has fallen heavier upon Greece than on any other
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country's infomuch, that the whale could fcarcely as prefent furnith three thoufand warriors; a number which, in the time of the Median war, were fupplied by: the fingle city of Mrgara. The gods, therefore, who affect works of dignity and importance, have fupprefled many of their oracles, and deign not to ufe fo many inperpreters of their will to t9:diminutive a people.

I muft confefs, that this paflige contains fo many difficulties, that I know not what to make of it. You may obferve, that Plut arch alligns, for a caúfe of the decay of mankind, not the extenfive dominion of the Romans, but the former wars and factions of the reveral ftates 3 all which were quieted by the Roman arms. Pato tarch's reafoning, therefore, is directly contrary to the inference, which is drawn from the fact he advances.

Poyrarive fuppofes, that Generce had become more profperous and flourifhing after the eftablifhment of the Roman yoke*; and though that hiftorian wrote before thefe conquerors had degenerated, from peing the patrongs, to be the plunderers of mankind; yet as we find from TAcreus $t$, that the feverity of the emperors afterymards correfted the, licence of the goyernors, we have no reafon to think that extenfive monarchy fo deftruative ass it is often reprefanted.

We learn from Strabof; that the Romans, from their regard to the Greeks, maintained, to his times onoft, of the privileges, and liberties of that felebrated nation; and Nero after,wands rather encreared themp How therefare can pre imagine, that the Ropyan yoke

[^108]was fo burdenfome over that part of the world : The oppreffion of the proconfuls was checked; and the magiftraeies in Greece being all-beftowed, in the feveral cities, : by the froe votes of the people; there was no neceflity for the competitors' to attend the emperor's court. If great numbers went to feek their fortunes in Rome, and advance themfelves by learning or eloquence; the commodities of their native country, many of them would return with the fortunes which they had acquired, and thereby enrich the Grecian commonwealths.
$\therefore$ Bút Plutarch fays,' that the general depopulation had been more fenfibly felt in Greece than in any other country. How is'this reconcileable to its fuperior privileges and advantages?

Befides, this paffage, by proving too much, really proves nothing. Only tbree thoufand men able to bear arms in all Greece! Who can admit fo ftrange a propofition, efpecially if we confider the great number of Gerex cities, whofe names ftill remain in hiftory, and which are mentioned by writers long after the age of Prutarch ? There are there furely ten times more people at prefent, when there fcarcely remains a city in all the bounds of ancient Grefce. That country is ftill tolerably cultivated, and furnifhes a fure fupply of corn, in cafe of any fcarcity in Spain, Italy, or the South of France.

We may obferve, that the ancient frugality of the Greeks, and their equality of property, ftill fubfifted during the age of Plutarch; as appears from LuCIAN *. Nor is there any ground to imagine, that that
country was poffefled by a few mafters, and a great number of flaves.
It is probable, indeed, that military difcipline, being entirely ufelefs, was extremely neglected in Gregce after the eftablifurent of the Roman empire; and if thefe commonwealths, formerly fo warlike and ambitious, maintained each of them a fmall city-guard, to prevent mobbinh diforders, it is all they had occafion for: And thefe, perhaps, did not amount to 3000 men, throughout all Greece. I own, that, if Plutarch had this fact in his eye, he is here guilty of a grofs paralogifm, and affigns caules no wife proportioned to the effects. But is it fo great a prodigy, that an author fhould fall into a miftake of this nature *?

But whatever force may remain in this paffage of Plutarch, we fhall endeavour to counterbalance it by as remarkable a paffage in Diodorus Siculus, where the hiftorian, after mentioning Ninus's army of $1,700,000$ foot and 200,000 horfe, endeavours to fupport the credibility of this account by fome pofterior facts; and adds, that we muft not form a notion of the ancient populoufrefs of mankind from the prefent emptinefs and depopulation which is fpread over the world $\dagger$. Thus an author, who lived at that very period of antiquity which is reprefented as moft populous $\ddagger$, complains of the defolation which then prevailed, gives the preference to former times, and has recourfe to ancient fables as a foundation for his opinion. The humour of blaming the prefent, and admiring the paft, is flrongly rooted in human nature, and has an influence even on perfons endued with the profoundeft judgment and moft extenfive learning.

* See NOTE [SS].
t. Lib. ii.
i He was cotemporary with Cesan and AuGUSTus.
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{h}} 3$


## ESSAY XII.

Of the Originate Ganthact.

AS no party, in the present age, can well fupport itself, without a philosophical or fecculative fyntedn of principles, annexed to its political or practical one, we accordingly find, that each of the factions, into which this nation is divided, has reared up a fabric of the former kind, in order to protect and cover that fcheme of actions, which it purfues. The people being commonly very rude builders, especially in this speculative way, and more especially fill, when actuated by party-zeal; it is natural to imagine, that their workmanship mut be a little unthapely, and difcover evident marks of that volance and hurry, in which it was raifed. The one part', by tracing up government to the Deity, endeavour to render it fo faced and inviolate, that it mut be little less than facrilege, however tyrannical it may become, to touch or invade it, in the fmalleft article. The other party , by founding government altogether on the courent of the PEOPLE, fuppofe that there is a kind of original contract, by which the subjects have tacitly referved the power of refitting their Sovereign, whenever they find themselves aggrieved by that authority, with which they have, for certain purpofes, voluntarily entrufted him.. Thee are the fpeculative principles of the two parties; and there too are the practical consequences deduced from them.

$$
\text { HAh } 4 \quad I \text { hall }
$$

I thall venture to affirm, That both thefe fyftems of focevulatisuquinciples axe juf: theugb nat in the fonfe intended by the parties: And, That both the fchemes of practical con fequences are prudent; thougb not in the extremes, to which. each part, rin oppofition to the otber, has commonly andeavoured to caltry them.

That the Deity is the ultimate author of all government, whils never be demied by any, ; who admita general providence, and allow, that all events in the univerfe are conducted by an uniform plan, and directed to wife purpofes. As it is impolfible for the human race to fubfif, $;$ at leait in any comfortable or fecure, ftate, without the pró'tection of gevernment; this inftitution muft certainly thave been inteñded by that beneficent Being, who means the good of all his creatures: And as it has univerfally, in fact taken place, in apl countries, and all ages; we may conclude, with fill greater certainty, that it was intended by that opnifcient Being, who can never be deceived by any event or operation. But fince he gave rife to it, not by any particular or miraculous interpafition, but by his concealed and univerfal efficacy; a fovereign cannots properly, fpeaking, be called his vicegerent, in any other fenfe than every power or force, being derived from him, may be faid to act by his commiffion. Whatever actually happens is comprehended in the general plan or intention of providence; nor has the greateft and moft lawful, prince any more reafon, upon that account, to plead a peculiar facrednefs or inviolable authority, than an inferior magiftrate, or even an ufurper, or even a robber and a pyrate, Thȩ fame divipe fuperintendant, who, for wife purpofes, invefted a. Titus or a Trajan with authority, did alfo, for purpofes, no doubt, equally wife, though unknowp, beftow power on a Borgia or an Angria. The fame caufes,
ćaufes, which gave rife to the fovereign power in every: Itate, eftablifhed likewife everÿ petty jurifdiction in it,' and every limited aüthority. "A cortable,' thérefore, sho lefs than a king; acts by,e divine commiflion, and

"When we confider how nearly equal all men are in their bodily force, and even in their mental-powers' and faculties, till cultivated by education; we muft necerfatily allow, that nothing but their own confent could; at firft, affociate them together, and fubject then to any authority: The people, if we trace government to its firt origin in the woods and defarts, are the fource of all power and jurifdiction,' and voluntaifily, for the fake of peace and ordet; abändoned their native liberty, and received laws from threir equal and companion.: The conditions," upon which they were "willing to fubinity were eithier exprefled, or were fo clear and obvious, that: it might well be eftemed fuperfuous to exprefs them: If 'this, then, 'be theant by the,original contrait, it can-" not be denied, that all government is, at firft, fornded on:a contract, and that the moft ancient rude combinafions of mankind, were formed chiefly by that pripciple. In vain, are, ure auked in what records this charter of oure liberties is regifered, It was not written on parchment, nor yet on leayes, or barks of.trees. It preceded the ure of writing, and all the other civilized arts of life. But we trace it plainly in the nature of man, and in the equality, or.fomething appropaching equality, which we find in all the individuals of that fpecies. The force, which now prevails, and which is founded on fleets and armies, is plainly political, and derived from authority, the effect of, eftablifhed government. A man's natural force confifts only, in the vigour of his limbs, and the furmnefs of his courage; which could never fubject mul-
titudes to the command of one. Nothing but their own confent $t_{\text {, and }}$ their fenfe of the advantages refulting from : peace and order, could have had that influence.
Yet even this conferit wats long very imperfect, and could not be the bafis of a regular adminiffration. Tha chieftaing who had probably acquired his influence during the continuance: off ywar, suled mare by perfuafion tham compand; and till he. could emplay force to reduce tha refratory and difabedient, the fociety could fcarcely: be Gjd to baye attained a fate of civil government. No compact or agreements is is evident, was exprefsly formed for general fubmilition; , an idea far beyand the compres benfion: of fayages: E, Each exertion of authority in the qhisftain muft have, beren particular, and called forth by the. prefent exigencipe of the cafe: The fenfible utility, refilth: ing from bis intexpofition, made thefe pxertions become daily more frequent; and their frequency gradually pron Juced an habitual, and, if you pleafe to çall it $f 0$, a voluntary, and therefore precarious acquiefcence in the people.
But philofophers, who have embraced a party'(if that be not a contradiction'in terms) are not contented with thefe conceffions. They affert, not only that government in its earlieft infancy arofe from confent, or rather the voluntary acquiefcence of the people ; but alfo, that, even at prefent, when it has attained its full maturity, it refts on no other foundation. They affirm, that all men are ftill born equal, and owe allegiance to no prince or government, unlefs bound by the obligation and fanction of a promife. And as no man, without fome equivalent, wrould forego the advantages of his native liberty, and fubject himelf to the will of another; this promife is always underftood to be conditional, and impofes on him
so obligation, unlers he meet with juftice and protection from bis fovercign. Thefa advantages the fovereign promifes him in return; and if be fail in the execution he has broken, on his part, the articles of engagement and has thereby freed his fubject from all obligations to allegiance. ..Such, according to thefe philofophers, is the foundation of authority in every government; and fuch the right of refitance, poffeffed by every fubject.

But would theft reafoners look abroad into the world, they would meet with nothing that, in the leaft, corre fpomds to their ideas; or can warrant fo refined and philofophical a fyftern. On the contrary, we find, every where, princes; who claim their fubjeets as their propertyi and affort dreir indepemdeat right of fovereignty; from conquaft or "fuccefilion. We find allo, every where, fubjocte, who acknowledge this right in theit prineti and ruppofe themfives born under obligations of obedience to a certain fovereign, $2 s$ much as under the ties of reverence and duty to certain pasents. Thefe connexions ase sakwas coraceivod to be equally independent of ous confent, in Prebin and China; in France and Spains 3 und even in Holland and Evgland, whereever the doetrines above-mentioned have not been care. fally inculcated. Obedience or fubjection becomes fo fwniliar, that moft men never make any enquiry about ite origin or caufe, more than about the principle of gravity, refiftance, or the moft univerfal laws of nature. Or if curiofity ever move them; as foon as they learn, that they themfelves and their anceftors have, for feveral ages, or from time immemorial, been fubjeca to fuch a form of government or fuch 2 family ; they immediately acquiefce, and acknowledge their obligation to allegiance. Were you to preach, in moft parts of the world, that political connexions are founded altogether on voluntary confent -

confent or a mutual promire," the magiftivate would foon imprifon you, as feditious; for loofening the ties of obe:dience; "if your friends did not before thut you up asi delirious, for advancing fuch abfurdities. -It is flrange; that an act of the 'mind, which every individual is fup:pofed to have formed, and after he came to the ufe of reafon too, otherwife it could have no authority ; that this act, "I fay," fhould be for much unknown to all of them, that, over the face of: the whole earth, there fcarcely remain any traces or memory of it.
-r But the contract; ; onn which govemotent is founded; ir faid to be the origisal contrait y : and confequently may. be furppefed too old to fall under the knowledge of tho prefent gemeration. iff the agreement, byiwhich favage. men' firft aflociated and conjoined their force, be hece peant, this is acknowledged to be real; but being far ancient, and being obliferated by a thoufand changes of. government and princes, it çannot now be fuppofed to retain any authority, If we would fay ahy thing to the purpofe, we muft affert, that every particular govern*: ments which is lawful, and which impofes any duty of allegiances on the fubject, was, at firft, founded on confent and a voluntary compact. But befides that this fuppofes the confent of the fathers to bind the children ${ }_{x}$ even to the moft remote gencrations (which republican writers will never allow), befides this, I fay, it is not juftified by hiftory or experience, in any age or country; of the world,

Almoit all the governments, which exift at prefent, or of which there remains any record in fory, have been founded originally; either on ufurpation or conqueft, or both, without any pretence of a fair confent, or voluntary fubjection of the people. When an artfur and bold man is placed at the head of an army or faction, it is
often eafy for him, by employing, fometimes violence, fometimes falfe:pretences, to eftablifh his dominion ove? a people a hundred times:more numerous than his partizans. He allows no fuch, open communication, that his enemies can know, with certainty, their number or force. He gives them no leifure to affemble together in a body to oppore. him. Even all thofe, who are the inftruments of his ufurpation, may wifh his fall; but their ignorance of each other's intention keeps them in awe, and is the fole caufe of his fecurity. By fuch arts as thefe, many governments have been eftablifhed; and this is all the original contract, which they have to boaft of.

The face of the earth is continually changing, by the encreafe of. fmall kingdons into great empires, by the diffolution of great empires into fmaller kingdoms, by the planting of colonies, by the migration of tribes. Is there any thing difcoverable in all thefe events, but force and violence? Where is the mutual agreemett or voluntary affociation fo much talked of ?

Even the fmootheft way, by which a nation may receive a foreign mafter, by marriage or a will, is not extremely honourable for the people; but fuppofes them to be difpofed of, like a dowry or a legacy, according to the pleafure or intereft of their rulers.

But where no force interpofes, and election takes place; what is this election fo highly vaunted? It is either the combination of a few great men, who decide for the whole, and will allow of no oppofition: Or it is the fury of a multitude, that follow a feditious ringleader, who is not known, perhaps, to a dozen among them, and who owes his advancement merely to his own impudence, or to the momentary caprice of his fellows.

Are thefe diforderly elogions, which are tare .500 , of ferch mighty authority, as to be the only lawful foundation of all government and allegiance?
In reality, there is not a more terrible event, than a total diffolution of government, which gives liberty to the multitude, and makes the determination or choice of a new eftabliffment depend upon a mumber, which nearly approaches' to that of the body of the people: For it never comes entirely to the whole body of them. Every wife man, then, wifhes to fee, at the head of a powerful and obedient army, a general, who may feedily feize the prize, and give to the people a matter, which they are fo unfit to chufe for themelves. So little correfpondent is faet and reality to thofe philarophical notions.
Let not the eftabliflment at the Revolution deceive us, or make us fo much in love with a philofophical origin to government, as to imagine all others manffrous and irregular. Even that event was far from correfponding to thefe refined ideas. It was only the fucceffion, and that only in the regal part of the government, which was then changed: And it was only the majority of feven hundred, who determined that change for near ten millions. I doubt not, indeed, but the bulk of thofe ten millions acquiefeed willingly in the determination : But was the matter left, in the leaft, to their choice? 'Was it not jufly fuppofed, to be, from that moment, decided, and every man punifhed, who refufed to fubmit to the new fovereign? How otherwife could the matter have jever, been brought to any ifue or conclufion?

The republic of Athens was, I.believe, the mof ax:tenfive democracy, that we tead of in hiftory: Yet if we make the requifite allowances for the womep, the 'flaves, and the frrangers, we fhall find, that that effablifhment was not, at firft, made, nor any law ever voted,

## Of the Oricínal Contiract. 979

voted, by ta tenth part of thioto whe were bound to pay obedience to it: Not to mention the inathts and foreitg dominions, which the Atheniant claimied as theirsiby right of conquef. . And as it is well known, that popular affemblies in that city, were always full of licence and diforder, notwithftanding the inftitutions and laws by which they were checked: How much more difurderly muft they prove, where they form not the eftablifid conftitution, but meet tumultuoully on the diffolution of the ancient government, in order to give rife to a new one? How chimerical muft it be to talk of a choice in fuch circumftances?

The Ach左ans enjoyed the freeft and moft perfect democracy of all antiquity; yet they employed force to oblige fome cities to enter into their league, as we learn from Polybius**.

Harry the:FVth and Harry the-VIIth of Engleindy had really no title to the throne but. a pantianfentacy election; yet thèy nevier woubldacknowedge it, left they thould thereby weaken their authority. Strange, if the only real foundation of all airthority be confent and promife!

It is in vain to fay, that all governitients are or fhould be, at firf, founded on popular confent, as much as the neceffity of human affairs will adinit. This favours entirely my pretenfion. Imaintain, that human affairs will never admit of this confent; feldom of the appearance of it. But that conqueft or ufurpation, that is, in plain terms, force, by diffolving the ancient governments,' is the origin of almoft all the new ones, which were ever eftablinged in the world. And that in the few safes, where confent may feem to have taken place, it
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was commonly fo irregular, fo confined, or fo much intermixed either with fraud or violence, that it cannot have any great authority.

My intention here is not to exclude the confent of the people from being one juft foundation of government where it has place. It is furely the beft and moft facred of any. I only pretend, that it has very feldom had place in any degree, and never almoft in its full extent. And that therefore fome other foundation of government mult alfo be admitted.

Were all men poffeffed of fo inflexible a regard to juftice, that, of themfelves, they would totally abitain from the properties of others; they had for ever remained in a fate of abfolute liberty, without fubjection to any magiftrate or political fociety: But this is a ftate of perfection, of which human nature is juftly deemed incapable. Again; were all men poffeffed of fo perfect an underftanding, as always to know their own interefts, no form of government had ever been fubmitted to, but what was eftablifhed on confent, and was fully canivaffed by every member of the fociety: But this ftate of perfection is likewife much fuperior to human nature. Reafon, hiftory, and experience thew us, that all political focieties have had an origin much lefs accurate and regular ; and were one to chufe a period of time, when the people's confent was the leaft regarded in public tranfactions, it would be precifely on the eftablifhment of a new government. In a fettled conftitution, their inclinations are often confulted; but during the fury of revolutions, conquefts, and public convulfions, military force or political craft ufually decides the sontroverfy.

When a new government is eftablifhed, by whatever means, the people are commonly diffatisfied with it, and
pay obedience more from fear and neceffity, than from any idea of allegiance or of moral obligation. The prince Is watchful and jeaious, and muft carefully guard againft èvery beginning or appearance of infurrection. Time, by degrees, removes all thefe difficilties, and accuftoms the nation to regard; as their lawful or native princes, that family, which, at firft, they confidered as ufurpers or foreign conquerors. In order to found this opinion, they have no recourfe to any notion of voluntary confent or promife, which, they know, never was, in this cafe, either expected or demanded. The original eftablifhment was formed by violence, and fubmitted to from neceflity. The fubfequent adminiftration is alfo fupported by power, and acquiefced in by the people, not as a matter of choice; but of obligation. -They imagie not, that their confent gives their prince a title: But they willingly confent; becaufe they think, that, from łong poffefion, he has acquired a title, independent of their choice or inclination.

Should it be faid, that, by living under the dominion of a prince, which one might leave, every individual has given a tacit confent to his authority, and promifed him obedience ; it may be anfwered, that fuch an implied confent can only have place, where a man imagines, that the matter depends on his choice. But where he thinks (as all mankind do who are born under eftablifhed governments) that by his birth he owes allegiance to a certain prince or tertain form of government; it would be abfurd to infer a confent or choice, which he exprefsly, in this cafe, renounces and difclaims.

Can we ferioufly fay, that a poor peafant or artizan has a free choice to leave his country, when he knows no foreign language or manners, and lives from day to Vol. I,

I i
day,
day, by the fmall wages which he acquires? We may as well affert, that a man, by remaining in a veffel, freely confents to the dominion of the mafter; though he was carried on board while afleep, and muft leap into the ucean, and perifh, the moment he leaves her.

What if the prince forbid his fubjects to quit his dominions; as in Tiberius's time, it was regarded as a crime in a Romaǹ knight that he had attempted to fly to the Parthians, in order to efcape the tyranny of that emperor*? Or as the ancient Muscovites prohibited all travelling under pain of death? And did a prince obferve, that many of his fubjects were feized with the frenzy of migrating to foreign countries, he would doubtlefs, with great reafon and juftice, reftrain them, in order to prevent the depopulation of his own kingdom. Would he forfeit the allegiance of all his fubjects, by fo wife and reafonable a law ? Yet the freedom of their choice is furely, in that cafe, ravifhed frome them.

A company of men, who thould leave their native country, in order to people fome uninhabited regions might dream of recovering their native freedom; but they would foon find, that their prince ftill laid claim to them, and called them his fubjects, even in their new fettlement. And in this he would but act conformably to the common ideas of mankind.

The trueft tacit confent of this kind, that is ever obferved, is when a foreigner fettles in any country, and is beforehand acquainted with the prince, and government, and laws, to which he muft fubmit: Yet is his allegiance, though more voluntary, much lefs expected or
depended on, than that of a natural born fubject. On the contrary, his native prince ftill afferts a ciaim to him. And if he punifh not the renegade, when he reizes him in war with his new prince's commiffion; this clemency is not founded on the municipal law, which in all countries condemns the prifoner; but on the confent of princes, who have agrced to this indulgence, in order to prevent reprifals.

Did one generation of men go off the Atage at once, and another fucceed, as is the cafe with filk-worms and butterflies, the new race, if they had fenfe enough to chufe their government, which furely is never the cafe with men; might voluntarily, and by general confent, eftablifh their cwn form of civil polity, without any regard to the laws or precedents which prevailed among their anceftors. But as human fociety is in perpetual flux, one man every hour going out of the world, another coming into it, it is neceffary, in order to preferve ftability in government; that the new brood fhould conform themfelves to the eftablifhed conftitution, and nearly follow the path which their fathers, treading in the footfeps of theirs, had marked out to them. Some innovations muft neceffarily have place in every human inftitution, and it is happy where the enlightened genius of the age give thefe a direction to the fide of reafon, liberty, and juftice: But violent innovations no individual is entitled to make: They are even dangerous to be attempted by the legiflature : More ill than good is ever to be expected from them : And if hiftory affords examples to the contrary, they are not to be drawn into precedent, and are only to be regarded as proofs, that the fcience of politics affords few rules, which will not admit of fome exception, and which may not fometimes
be controuled by fortune and accident. The violent innovations in the reign of Henry VIII. proceeded from an imperious monarch, feconded by the appearance of legiflative authority: Thofe in the reign of Charles I. were derived from faction and fanaticifm; and both of them have proved happy in the iffue: But even the former were long the fource of many diforders, and fill more dangers; and if the meafures of allegiance were to be taken from the latter, a total anarchy mult have place in human fociety, and a final period at once be put to every government.

Suppofe, that an ufurper, after having banifhed his lawful prince and royal family, fhould eftablifh his dominion for ten or a dozen years in any country, and fhould preferve fo exact a difcipline in his troops, and fo regular a difpofition in his garrifons, that no infurrection had ever been raifed, or even murmur heard, againft his adminiftration: Can it be afferted, that the people, who in their hearts abhor his treafon, have tacitly confented to his authority, and promifed him allegiance, merely becaufe, from neceffity, they live under his dominion? Suppofe again their native prince refored, by means of an army, which he levies in foreign countries: They receive him with joy and exultation, and thew plainly with what reluctance they had fubmitted to any other yoke. I may now afk, upon what foundation the prince's title ftands? Not on popular confent furely: For though the people willingly acquiefce in his authority, they never imagine, that their confent made him fovereign. They conient; becaufe they apprehend him to be already, by birth, their lawful fovereign. And as to that tacit confent, which may now be inferred from their living under his dominion, this is no more than what they formerly gave to the tyrant and ufurper.

When

When we affert, that all lawful government arifes from the confent of the people, we certainly do them a great deal more honour than they deferve, or even expect and defire from us. After the Roman dominions became too unwieldy for the republic to govern them, the people, over the whole known world, were extremely grateful to Avgustus for that authority, which, by violence, he had eftablifhed over them ; and they fhewed an equal difpofition to fubmit to the fucceffor, whom he left them by his laft will and teftament. It was afterwards their misfortune, that there never was, in one family, any long regular fucceffion; but that their line of princes was continually broken, either by private affaffinations or public rebellions. The pratorion bands, on the failure of every family, fet up one emperor ; the legions in the Eaft a fecond; thofe in Germany, perhaps, a third: And the fword alone could decide the controverfy. The condition of the peopte, in that mighty monarchy, was to be lamented, not becaufe the choice of the emperor was never left to them; for that was impracticable: But becaufe they never fell under any fucceffion of mafters, who might regularly follow each other. As to the violence and wars and bloodhed, occafioned by every new fettlement; thefe were not blameable, becaufe they were inevitable.

The houfe of Lancaster ruled in this illand about fixty years; yet the partizans of the white rofe feemed daily to multiply in England. The prefent eftablifhment has taken place during a fill longer period. Have all views of right in another family been utterly extinguifhed; even though fearce any man now alive had arrived at years of difcretion, when it was expelled, or could have confented to its dominion, or have promifed it allegiance? A fufficient indication furcly of the gene-
ral fentiment of mankind on this head. For we blame not the partizans of the abdicated family, merely on account of the long time, during which they have pre-. ferved their imaginary loyalty. We blame them for adhering to a family, which, we affirm, has been juftly expelled, and which, from the moment the new fettlement took place, had forfeited all title to authority.

But would we have a more regular, at leaft a more philefophical, refutation of this principle of an original contract or popular confent ; perhaps, the following ob-fervations may fuffice.

All moral duties may be divided into two kinds. The fir $f$ are thofe, to which men are impelled by a natural inftinct or immediate propenfity, which operates on them, independent of all ideas of obligation, and of all views, either to public or private utility. Of this nature are, love of children, gratitude to benefactors, pity to the unfortunate. When we reflect on the advantage, which refults to fociety from fuch humane inftincts, we pay them the juft tribute of moral approbation and efteem : But the perfon, actuated by them, feels their power and influence, antecedent to any fuch reféction.

The fecond kind of moral duties are fuch as are not fupported by any original inftinct of nature, but are performed entirely from a fenfe, of obligation, when we. confider the neceffities of human fociety, and the impoffibility of fupporting it, if thefe duties were neglected. It is thus juffice or a regard to the property of others, fidelity or the obfervance of promifes, become obligatory, and acquire an authority over mankind. For as it is evident, that every man loves himfelf better than any other perfon, he is naturally impelled to extend his aequifitions as much as poffible; and nothing can reftrain him
him in this propenfity, but reflection and experience, by which he learns the pernicious effects of that licence, and the total diffolution of fociety which muft enfue from it. His original inclination, therefore, or inftinct, is here checked and reftrained by a fubfequent judgment or obfervation.

The cafe is precifely the fame with the political or civil duty of allogiance, as with the natural duties of juftice and fidelity. Our primary inftincts lead us, either to indulge ourfelves in unlimited freedom, or to feek dominion over others : And it is reflection only, which engages us to facrifice fuch ftrong paffions to the interefts of peace and public order. A fmall degree of experience and obfervation fuffices to teach us, that fociety cannot poffibly be maintained without the authority of magiftrates, and that this authority mult foon fall into contempt, where exact obedience is not payed to it. The obfervation of thefe general and obvious interefts is the fource of all allegiance, and of that moral obligation, which we attribute to it.

What neceffity, therefore, is there to found the duty of allegiance or obedience to magiftrates on that of fidelity or a regard to promifes, and to fuppofe, that it is the confent of each individual, which fubjects him to government ; when it appears, that both allegiance and fidelity ftand precifely on the fame foundation, and are both fubmitted to by mankind, on account of the apparent interefts and neceffities of human fociety? We are bound to obey our fovereign, it is faid; becaufe we have given a tacit promife to that purpofe. But why are we bound to obferve our promife? It muft here be afferted, that the commerce and intercourfe of mankind, which are of fuch mighty advantage, can have no fecurity where
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men pay no regard to their engagements. In like mans ner, may' it be faid, that men could not live at all in fociety, at leaft in a civilized fociety, without laws and magiftrates and judges, to prevent the encroachments of the ftrong upon the weak, of the violent upon the juft and equitable. The obligation to allegiance being of Hike force and autharity with the obligation to fidelity, we gain nothing by refolving the one into the other. The general interefts or neceffities of faciety are fufficient to eftablifh both.

If the reafon be anked of that obedience, which we are bound to pay to government, I readily anfwer, be: caufe fociety could not otherwife fub $1 / f$ : And this anfwer is clear and intelligible to all mankind. Your anfwer is; becaufe we f!pould keep our word. But befides, that no body, till trained in a philofophica! fyftem, can either comprehend or relifh this anfwer: Befides this, I fay, you find yourfelf embarraffed, when it is afked, why wa are bound to keep our word? Nor can you give any anfwer, but what wpuld, immediately, without any circuit, have accounted for our obligation to allegiance.

But to whom is allegiance due? And who is our lawfu? fovereign? This queftion is often the moft difficult of any, and liable to infinite difcuffions. When people are So happy, that they can anfwer, Our prefent jovereign, wha inberits, in a direct line, from ancefors, that bave governed. us for many ages; this anfwer admits of no reply; even though hiftorians, in tracing up to the remoteft antiquity, the origin of that royal family, may find, as commonly happens, that its firft authority was derived from ufurpation and violence, It is confeffed, that private juftice, or the abftinence from the properties of others, j6 a moft cardinal virtue: Yet reafon tells us, that there
is na property in durable objects, fuch as lands or houfes, when cárefully examined in paffing from hand to hand, but muft, in fome period, have been founded on fraud and injuftice. The neceffities of human fociety, neither in private nor public life, will allow of fuch an accurate enquiry: And there is no virtue or moral duty, but what may, with facility, be refined awray, if we indulge a falfe philofophy, in fifting and fcrutinizing it, by every captious rule of logic, in every light or pofition, in which it may be placed.

The queftions with regard to private property have filled infinite volumes of law and philofophy, if in both we add the commentators to the original text; and in the end, we may fafely pronounce, that many of the rules, there eftablifhed, are uncertain, ambiguous, and arbitrary. The like opinion may be formed with regard to the fucceffion and rights of princes and forms of government. Several cafes, no doubt, occur, efpecially in the infancy of any conftitution, which admit of no determination from the laws of juftice and equity: And our hiftorian Rapin pretends, that the controverfy between Epward the Third and Phifip de Valois was of this nature, and could be decided only by an appeal ta peaven, that is, by war and wiolence.

Who thall tell me, whether Germanicus or Drusus ought to have fucceeded to Tiberius, had he died, while they were both alive, without naming any of them for his fucceffor ? Ought the right of adoption to be received as equivalent to that of blood, in a nation, where it had the fame effect in private families, and had already, in two inftances, taken place in the public? Oughs Germanices to be eftemed the elder fon becaufe ha
was born before Drusus: or the younger, becaufe he was adopted after the birth of his brother? Ought the right of the elder to be regarded in a nation, where he had no advantage in the fucceffion of private families? Ought the Roman empire at that time to be deemed hereditary, becaufe of two examples; or ought it, even fo early, to be regarded as belonging to the ftronger or to the prefent poffeffor ${ }_{2}$ as being founded on fo recent an ufurpation?

Commodus mounted the throne after a pretty long fucceffion of excellent emperors, who had acquired their title, not by birth, or public election, but by the fictitious rite of adoption. That bloody debauchee being murdered by a confiracy fuddenly formed between his wench and her gallant, who happened at that time to be Prastorian Prafect; thefe immediately deliberated about choofing a mafter to human kind, to fpeak in the ftyle of thofe ages; and they caft their eyes on Pirtinax. Before the tyrant's death was known, the Prafect went feeretly to that fenator, who, on the appearance of the foldiers, imagined that his execution had been ordered by Commodus. He was immediately faluted emperor by the officer and his attendants; cheerfully proclaimed by the populace; unwillingly fubmitted to by the guards; formally recognized by the fenate; and paffively received by the provinces and armies of the empire. .

The difcontent of the Pratoriax bands broke out in a Gudden fedition, which occafioned the murder of that excellent prince : And the world being now without a pafter and without government, the guards thought proper to fet the empire formally to fale. Julian, the purchafer, was proslaimed by, the foldiers, recognized by the feniate, and fu'mitted to by the people; and muft
alfo have been fubmitted to by the provinces, had not the envy of the legions begotten oppofition and refftance. Pescennius Niger in Syria elected himfelf emperor, gained the tumultuary confent of his army, and was attended with the fecret good-will of the fenate and people of Rome. Albinus in Britain found an equal right to fet up his claim; but Severus, who governed Pannonia, prevailed in the end above both of them. That able politician and warrior, finding his own birth and dignity too much inferior to the imperial crown, profeffed, at firft, an intention only of revenging the death of Pertinax. He marched as general into Italy; defeated Juqian; and without our being able to fix any precife commencement even of the foldiers' confent, he was from neceffity acknowledged emperor by the fenate and people; and fully eftablifhed in his violent authority by fubduing Niger and Albinus *.

Inter bac Gordianus Cesiar (fays Capitolinus, fpeaking of another period) fublatus a militibus. Imperator \&f appellatus, quia non erat alius in prafenti. It is to be remarked, thai Gordian was a boy of fourteen years of age.

Frequent inftances of a like nature occur in the hiftory of the emperors; in that of Alexander's fucceffors; and of many other countries: Nor can any thing be more unhappy than a defpotic government of this kind ; where the fucceffion is disjointed and irregular, and mult be determined, on eyery vacancy, by force or election. In a free government, the matter is often unavoidable, and is alfo much lefs dangerous. The interefts of liberty pay there frequently lead the people, in their own de.

[^110]fence, to alter the fucceffion of the crown. : And the conftitution, being compquanded of parts, may fill maintain a fufficient ftability, by refting on the ariftocratical or democratical members, though the monarchical be altered, from time to time, in order to accommodate it to the former.

In an abfolute government, when there is no legal prince, who has a title to the throne, it may fafely be determined to belong to the firft occupant. Inftances of this kind are but too frequent, efpecially in the eaftern monarchies. When any race of princes expires, the will or deftination of the laft fovereign will be regarded as a title. Thus the edict of Lewis the XIV th, who called the baftard princes to the fucceffion in cafe of the failure of all the legitimate princes, would, in fuch an event, have fome authatity *. Thus the will of Charers the Second difpofed of the whole Spanish monarchy. The ceffion of the ancient proprietor, efpecially when joined to conqueft, is likewife deemed a good title. The general obligation, which binds is to government, is the intereft and neceffities of fociety; and this obligation is very frong. The determination of it to this or that particular prince or form of government is frequently more uncertain and dubious. Prefent poffeffion has confiderable authority in thefe cafes, and greater than in private property; becaufe of the diforders which attend all revolutions and changes of government.

We fhall only obferve, before we conclude, that, though an appeal to general opinion may juftly, in the fpeculative fciences of metaphyfics, natural philofophy, or aftronomy, be deemed unfair and inconclufive, yet

> * See NOTE [TT].
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## Of the Originar Contract.

in all queftions with regard to morals, as well as criticifm, there is really no other ftandard, by which any controverfy can ever be decided. And nothing is a clearer proof, that a theory of this kind is erroneous, than to find, that it leads to paradoxes, repugnant to the common fentiments of mankind, and to the practice and opinion of all nations and all ages. The doctrine, which founds all lawful government on an original contract, or confent of the people, is plainly of this kind; nor has the moft noted of its partizans, in profecution of it, fcrupled to affirm, that abfolute monarchy is inconffitent with civil fociety, and fo can be no form of civil government at all*; and that the fupreme power in a fate cannot take from any man, by taxes and impofitions, any part of bis property, without bis own confent or that of bis reprefentatives $t$. What authority any moral reafoning can have, which leads into opinions, fo wide of the general practice of mankind, in every place but this fingle kingdom, it is cafy to determine.

The only pafage I meet with in antiquity, where the obligation of obedience to government is afcribed to a promife, is in Plato's Crito: where Socrates refufes to efcape from prifon, becaufe he had tacitly promifed to obey the laws. Thus he builds a tory confequence of paffive obedience, on a whig foundation of the original contract.

New difcoveries are not to be expected in thefe matters. If fcarce any man, till very lately, ever imagined that government was founded on compact, it is cer-

[^111]tain, that it cannot, in general; have any fuch foundation.

The crime of rebellion among the aricients was commonly expreffed by the terms vewrepl$\zeta \varepsilon v$, novas fes moliri.
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## Of Passive Obedience.

I$\mathbf{N}$ the former effay, wre endeavour to refute the $\int$ peculative fyftems of politics advanced in this nation; as well the religious fyftem of the one party, as the philofophical of the other. We come now to examine the prattical confequences, deduced by each party, with regard to the meafures of fubmiffion due to fovereigns.

As the obligation to juftice is founded entirely on the interefts of fociety, which require mutual abftinence from property, in order to preferve peace among mankind; it is evident, that; when the execution of juftice would be attended with very pernicious confequences, that virtue muft be fufpended, and give place to public utility, in fuch extraordinary and fuch preffing emergencies. The maxim, fiat fuftitia Eo ruat Coelum, let juftice be performed, though the univerfe be deftroyed, is apparently falfe, and by facrificing the end to the means, fhews a prepofterous idea of the fubordination of duties. What governor of a town makes any fcruple of burning the fuburbs, when they facilitate the approaches of the enemy? Or what general abftains from plundering a neutral country, when the necefities of war require it, and he cannot otherwife fubfift his army? The cafe is the fame with the duty of allegiance; and common
fenfo
fenfe teaches us; that; as government binds us to obédience only on account of its tendency to public utilitys that duty muft always, in extraordinary cafes, when public ruin would evidently attend obedience, yield to the primary and ofiginal öbligation. Salus piopuli fopremá Lex, the fafety of the people is the fupreme law: This maxim is agreeable to the fentiments of mankind in all ages: Nor is any one, when he reads of the infurrections againft Nero or Philip the Second, fo infatuated with party fyftems, as not to wihh fuccefs to the enterprize, and praife the undertakers. Even our high monarchical party, in fpite of their fublime theory, are forced, in fuch cafes; to judge; and feel, and approve; in conformity to the reft of mankiid.

Refiftance, therefore; being admitted in extraordinary emergencies, the queftion can only be among good teafoners, with regard to the degree of neceffity; which can juftify refiftance, and render it lawful or commendable. And here I muft confefs; that I Chall always incline to their fide, who draw the bond of allegiance very clofe, and confider an infringement of it, as the laft refuge in defperate cafes; when the public is in the higheft danger, from violence and tyranny. For befides the mifchiefs of a civil war, which commonly attends infurrection; it is certain, that, where a difpofition to rebellion appears among any people, it is one chief caufe of tyranny in the rulers, and forces them into many violent meafures which they never would have embraced, had every one been inclined to fubmiffion and obedience: Thus the tyrannicide or affaffination, approved of by ancient maxims, inftead of keeping tyrants and ufurpers in awe, made them ten times more fierce and unrelenting ; and is now juftly, upon that account, abolifhed by the laws of nations, and univerfally condemned as a bafe
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and treacherous method of bringing to juftice thefe. difturbers of fociety.

Bcfides, we muft confider, that, as obedience is our duty in the common courfe of things, it ought chiefly to be inculcared; nor can any thing be more prepofterous than an anxious care, and folicitude in ftating all the cafes, in which refiftance may be allowed. In like manner, though a philofopher reafonably ackrowledges, in the courfe of an argument, that the rules of juftice may be difpenfed with in cafes of urgent neceffity; what fhould we think of a preacher or cafuif, who thould make it his chief ftudy to find out fuch cafes, and enforce them with all the vehemence of argument and eloquence? Would he not be better employed in inculcating the general doctrine, than in difplaying the particular exceptions, which, we are, perhaps, but too much inclined, of ourfelves, to embrace and to extend?

There are, however, two reafons, which may be pleaded in defence of that party among us, who have, with fo much induftry, propagated the maxims of refiftance ; maxims, which, it muft be confeffed, are, in general, fo pernicious, and fo deftructive of civil fociety. The firft is, that their antagonifts carrying the doctrine of obedience to fuch an extravagant height, as not only never to mention the exceptions in extraordinary cafes (which might, perhaps, be excufable), but even pofitively to exclude them; it became neceffary to infift on thefe exceptions, and defend the rights of injured truth and liberty. The fecond, and, perhaps, better reafon, is founded on the nature of the British conftitution and form of government.

It is almoft peculiar to our conftitution to eftablifh a firf magiftrate with fuch high pre-eminence and dignity,

Vot. I.
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that, though limited by the laws, he is, in a manner, fo far as regards his own perfon, above the laws, and can feither be queftioned nor punifhed for any injury or wrong, which may be committed by him. His minifters alone, or thofe who act by his commiffion, are obnoxious to juftice; and while the prince is thus allured, by the profpect of perfonal fafcty, to give the laws their free courfe, an equal fecurity is, in effect, obtained by the punifhment of leffer offenders, and at the fame time a civil war is avoided, which would be the infallible confequence, were an attack, at every turn, made directly upon the fovereign. But though the conftitution pays this falutary compliment to the prince, it can never reafonably be underftood, by that maxim, to have determined its own deftruction, or to have eftablifhed a tame fubmiffion, where he protects his minifters, perfeveres in injuftice, and ufurps the whole power of the commonwealth. This cafe, indeed, is never exprefsly put by the laws; becaufe it is impoffible for them, in their ordinary courfe, to provide a remedy for it, or eftablifh any magiftrate, with fuperior authority, to chaftife the exorbitancies of the prince. But as a right without a remedy would be an abfurdity; the remedy in this cafe, is the extraordinary one of refiftance, when affairs come to that extremity, that the conftitution can be defended by it alone. Refiftance therefore muft, of courfe, become more frequent in the British government, than in others, which are fimpler, and confift of fewer parts and movements. Where the king is an abfolute fovereign, he has little temptation to commit fuch enormous tysanny as may juftly provoke rebellion: But where he is limited, his imprudent ambition, without any great vices, may run him into that perilous fituation. This is frequently fuppofed to have been the cafe with Charles
the Firft; and if we may now fpeak truth, after animofities are ceafed, this was alfo the cafe with James the Second. Thefe were harmlefs, if not, in their private character, good men; but miftaking the nature of our conftitution, and engroffing the whole legiflative power, it became neceffary to oppofe them with fome vehemence; and even to deprive the latter formally of that authority, which he had ufed with fuch imprudence and indifcretion.

[^112]
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## Of the Coalition of Parties.

TO abolith all diftinctions of party may not be practicable, perhaps not defirable, in a free gom vernment. The only dangerous parties are fuch as entertain oppofite views with regard to the effentials of government; the fucceffion of the crown, or the more confiderable privileges belonging to the feveral members of the conftitution; where there is no room for any compromife or accommodation, and where the controverfy may appear fo momentous as to juftify even an oppofition by arms to the pretenfions of antagonifts. Of this nature was the animofity, continued for above a century paft; between the parties in England; an animofity which broke out fometimes into civil war, which occafioned violent revolutions, and which continually endangered the peace and tranquillity of the nation. But as there have appeared of late the ftrongeft fymptoms of an univerfal defire to abolifh thefe party diftinctions 3 this tendency to a coalition affords the moft agreeable profpect of future happinefs, and ought to be carefully cherifhed and promoted by every lover of his country.

There is not a more effectual method of promoting fo good an end, than to prevent all unreafonable infult and triumph of the one party over the other, to encourage $\mathrm{Kk}_{3}$ moderate
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moderate opinions, to find the proper medium in all difpute's, to perfuade each that its antagonift may poffibly be fometimes in the right, and to keep a balance in the praife and blame, which we beftow on either fide. The two former Effays, concerning the original contract and paflive obedience, are calculated for this purpofe with regard to the philofophical and practical controverfies between the parties, and tend to fhow that neither fide are in thefe refpects fo fully fupported by reafon as they endeavour to flatter themfelves. We fhall proceed to exercife the fame moderation with regard to the biforical difputes between the parties, by proving that each of them was juftified by plaufible topics; that there were on both fides wife men, who meant well to their country; and that the paft animofity between the factions had no better foundation than narrow prejudice or interefted paffion.

The popular party, who afterwards acquired the name of v:higs, might juftify, by very feecious arguments, that oppofition to the crown, from which our prefent free conftitution is derived. Though obliged to acknowledge, that precedents in favour of prerogative had uniformly taken place during many reigns before Charles the Firf, they thought, that there was no reafon for fubmitting any longer to fo dangerous an authority. Such might have been their reafoning: As the rights of mankind are for ever to be deemed facred, no prefcription of tyranny or arbitrary power can have authority fufficient to abolifh them. Liberty is a bleffing fo ineftimable, that, wherever there appears any probability of recovering it, a nation may willingly run many hazards, and ought not even to repine at the greateft effufion of blood or diffipation of treafure. All human inftitutions, and none more than government, are in continual fluctuation. Kings are fure to embrace every opportunity of 3 cxtending
extending their prerogatives: And if favourable incidents be not alfo laid hold of for extending and fecuring the privileges of the people, an univerfal defpotifm muft for ever prevail amongft mankind. The example of all the neighbouring nations proves, that it is no longer fafe to entruft with the crown the fame high prerogatives, which had formerly been exercifed during rude and fimple ages. And though the example of many late reigns may be pleaded in favour of a power in the prince fomewhat arbitrary, more remote reigns afford inftances of ftricter limitations impofed on the crown; and thofe pretenfions' of the parliament, now branded with the title of innovations, are only a recovery of the juft rights of the people.
Thefe views, far from being odious, are furely large, and generous, and noble: To their prevalence and fuccefs the kingdom owes its liberty; perhaps its learning, its induftry, commerce, and naval power: By them chiefly the English name is diftinguifhed among the fociety of nations, and afpires to a rivalfhip with that of the freeft and moft illufrious commonwealths of antiquity. But as all thefe mighty confequences could not reafonably be forefeen at the time when the conteft began, the royalifts of that age wanted not fpecious arguments on their fide, by which they could juftify their dcfence of the then eftablifhed prerogatives of the prince. We fhall frate the queftion, as it might have appeared to them at the affembling of that parliament, which, by its violent encroachments on the crown, began the civil wars.

The only rule of government, they might have faid, known and acknowledged among men, is ufe and practice : Reafon is fo uncertain a guide that it will alwajs be expofed to doubt and controverfy: Could it ever render itfelf prevalent over the people, men had always retained it as their fole rule of condutt : They had fill K $\mathrm{k}_{4}$ continued
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continued in the primitive, unconnected fate of nature, without fubmitting to political government, whoie fole bafis is, not pure reafon, but authority and precedent. Diffolve thefe ties, you break all the bonds of civil fociety, and leave every man at liberty to confult his private intereft, by thofe expedients, which his appetite, difguifed under the appearance of reafon, fhall dictate to him. The firit of innovation is in itfelf pernicious, however favourable its particular object may fometimes appear : A truth fo obvious, that the popular party themfelves are fenfible of it; and therefore cover their encroachments on the crown by the plaufible pretence of their recovering the ancient liberties of the people.

But the prefent prerogatives of the crown, allowing all the fuppofitions of that party, have been inconteft-: ably eftablifhed ever fince the acceffion of the Houfe of Tudor ; a period, which, as it now comprehends a hundred and fixty years, may be allowed fufficient to give ftability to any conftitution. Would it not have appeared ridiculous, in the reign of the Emperor Adrian, to have talked of the republican conflitution as the rule of government ; or to have fuppofed, that the former rights of the feriate, and confuls, and tribunes were ftill fubfifting?

But the prefent claims of the English monarchs are much more favourable than thofe of the Roman emperors during that age. The authority of Augustus was a plain ufurpation, grounded only on military violence, and forms fuch an epoch in the Roman hiftery, as is obvious to every reader. But if Henry VII. really, as fome pretend, enlarged the power of the crown, it was only by infenfible acquifitions, which efcaped the apprehenfion of the people, and have fcarcely been remarked even by hiftorians and politicians. The new government, if it deferve the epithet, is an imperceptible tranfition
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tranfition from the former; is entirely engrafted on it ; derives its title fully from that root; and is to be confidered only as one of thofe gradual revolutions, to which human affairs, in every nation, will be for ever fubject.

The Houfe of 'rudor, and after them that of Stuart, exercifed no prerogatives, but what had been claimed and exercifed by the Plantagenets. Not a fingle branch of their authority can be faid to be an innovation. The only difference is, that, perhaps, former kings exerted thefe powers only by intervals, and were not able, by reafon of the oppofition of their barons, to render them fo fteady a rule of adminiftration. But the fole inference from this fact is, that thofe ancient times were more turbulent and feditious; and that royal authority, the conftitution, and the laws, have happily of late gained the afcendant.

Under what pretence can the popular party now fpeak of recovering the ancient conftitution? The former controul over the kings was not placed in the commons, but in the barons: The people had no authority, and even little or no liberty; till the crown, by fupprefling thefe factious tyrants, enforced the execution of the laws, and obliged all the fubjects equally to refpect each others rights, privileges, and properties. If we muft return to the ancient barbarous and feudal conflitution; let thofe gentlemen, who now behave themfelves with fo much infolence to their fovereign, fet the firf example. Let them make court to be admitted as retainers to a neighbouring baron; and by fubmitting to flavery under him, acquire fome protection to themfelves; together with the power of exercifing rapine and oppreffion over their inferior flaves and villains. This was the condition of the commons among their remote anceftors.

But how far back muft we go, in having recourfe to ancient conflitutions and governments? There was a conftitution ftill more ancient than that to which thefe innovators affect fo much to appeal. During that period there was no magna charta: The barons themfelves poffeffed few regular, ftated privileges: And the houfe of commons probably had not an exiftence.

It is ridiculous to hear the commons, while they are affuming, by ufurpation, the whole power of government, talk of reviving ancient inftitutions. Is it not known, that, though reprefentatives received wages from their. conftituents; to be a member of the lower houfe was always confidered as a burden, and an exemption from it as a privilege? Will they perfuade us, that power, which, of all human acquifitions, is the moft coveted, and in comparifon of which even reputation and pleafure and riches are flighted, could ever be regarded as a burden by any man?

The property, acquired of late by the commons, it is faid, entitles them to more power than their anceftors enjoyed. But to what is this encreafe of their property owing, but to an encreafe of their liberty and their fecurity ? Let them therefore acknowledge, that their ans ceftors, while the crown was reftrained by the feditious barons, really enjoyed lefs liberty than they themfelves have attained, after the fovereign acquired the afcendant: And let them enjoy that liberty with moderation; and not forfeit it by new exorbitant claims, and by rendering it a pretence for endlefs innovations.

The truc rule of goverment is the prefent eftablifhed practice of the age. That has mort authority, becaufe it is recent: It is alfo beft known, for the fame reafon. Who has affured thofe tribunes, that the Plantagenets did, not exercife as high acts of authority as the Tudors?

Hiftorians,
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Hiftorians, they fay, do not mention them. But hiftorians are alfo filent with regard to the chief exertions of prerogative by the Tudors. Where any power or prerogative is fully and undoubtedly eftablifhed, the exercife of it paffes for a thing of courfe, and readily efcapes the notice of hiftory and annals. Had we no other monuments of Elizabeth's reign, than what are preferved even by Camden, the moft copious, judicious, and ex-: act of our hiftorians, we should be entirely ignorant of the moft important maxims of her government.

Was not the prefent monarchical government, in its full extent, authorized by lawyers, recommended by divines, acknowledged by politicians, acquiefced in, nay paffionately cherifhed, by the people in general ; and all this during a period of at leaft a hundred and fixty years, and till of late, without the fmalleft murmur or controverfy? This general confent furely, during fo long a time, muft be fufficient to render a conftitution legal and valid. If the origin of all power be derived, as is pretended, from the people; here is their confent in the fulleft and moft ample terms that can be defired or imagined.

But the people muft not pretend, becaufe they can, by their confent, lay the foundations of government, that therefore they are to be permitted, at their pleafure; to overthrow and fubvert them. There is no end of thefe feditious and arrogant claims. The power of the crown is now openly ftruck at: The nobility are alfo in vifible peril: The gentry will foon follow : The popular leaders, who will then affume the name of gentry, will next be expofed to danger: And the people themfelves, having become incapable of civil government, and lying under the reftraint of no authority, muft, for the fake of peace, admit, intead of their legal and mild monarchs, a fucceffion of military and defpotic tyrants.

Thefe

Thefe confequences are the more to be dreaded, as the prefent fury of the people, though gloffed over by pretenfions to civil liberty; is in reality iticited by the fanaticifm of religion; a principle the moft blind; headftrong, and ungovernable; by which human nature cath poffibly be actuated. Popular rage is dreadful, from whatever motive derived: But muft be attended with the moff pernicious confequences, when it ärifes from a principle; which difclaims all controul by human law, reafon, of authority.

Thefe are the arguments, which each party may make ure of to juftify the conduct of their predeceffors, during that great crifis. The event, if that can be admitted as a reafon, has fhown, that the arguments of the popular party were better founded; but perhaps, according to the eftablifhed maxims of lawyers and politicians, the views of the royalifts ought, before-hand, to have appeared more folid, more fafe, and more legal. But this is certain, that the greater moderation we now ernploy in reprefenting paft events; the nearer fhall we be to produce a full coalition of the parties, and an entire acquiefcence in our prefent eftablifhment. Moderation is of advantage to every eftablifhment: Nothing but zeal can overturn a fettled power : And an over-active zeal in friends is apt to beget a like firitit in antagonifs. The tranfition from a moderate oppofition againft an eftablifhment, to an entire acquiefcence in it, is eafy and infenfrble.

There are many invincible arguments, which fhould induce the malcontent party to acquiefce entirely in the prefent fettlement of the conftitution. They now find; that the fpirit of civil liberty, though at firft connected with religious fanaticifm, could purge itfelf from that pollution, and appear under a more genuine and engaging afpeet ; a friend to toleration, and an encourager of all
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the enlarged and generous fentiments that do honour to human nature. They may obferve, that the popular claims could fop at a proper period $;$ and after retrenching the high claims of prerogative, could fill maintain a due refpect to monarchy, to nobility, and to all ancient inflitutions. Above all, they muft be fenfible, that the very principle, which made the flrength of their party, and from which it derived its chief authority, has now deferted them, and gone over to their antagonifts. The plan of liberty is fettled ; its happy effects are proved by experience; a long tract of time has given it ftability; and whoever would attempt to overturn it, and to recal the paft government or abdicated family, would, befides other more criminal imputations, be expofed, in their turn, to the reproach of faction and innovation. While they perufe the hiftory of paft events, they ought to reflect, both that thofe rights of the crown are long fince annihilated; and that the tyranny, and violence, and oppreffion, to which they often gave rife, are ills, from which the eftablifhed liberty of the conflitution has now at laft happily protected the people. Thefe reflections will prove a better fecurity to our freedom and privileges, than to deny, contrary to the cleareft evidence of facts, that fuch regal powers ever had an exiftence. There is not a more effectual method of betraying a caufe, than to lay the ftrefs of the argument on a wrong place, and by difputing an untenable poft, enure the adverfaries to fuccefs and victory.
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## ESSAYXV.

## Of the Protrstant Succession.

ISuppose, that a member of parliament in the reign of King William or Queen Anne, while the eftablifhment of the Proteflant Succeffion was yet uncertain, were deliberating concerning the party he would chufe in that important queftion, and weighing, with impartiality, the advantages and difadvantages on each fide. I believe the following particulars would have entered into his confideration.

He would eafily perceive the great advantage refulting from the reftoration of the Stuart family; by which we fhould preferve the fucceffion clear and undifputed, free from a pretender, with fuch a fpecious title as that of blood, which, with the multitude, is always the claim, the ftrongeft and moft eafily comprehended. It is in vain to fay, as many have done, that the queftion with regard to governors, independent of government, is frivolous, and little worth difputing, much lefs fighting about. The generality of mankind never will enter into thefe fentiments; and it is much happier, I believe, for fociety, that they do not, but rather continue in their natural prepoffeffions. How could ftability be preferved in any 'monarchical government (which, though, perhaps, not the beft, is, and always has been, the moft common of eny), unlefs men had fo paffionate a regard for the true

## ESSAY.XV.

heir of their royal family; and even though he be weak in' underftanding, or infirm in years, gave him fo fenfible a preference above perfons the moft accomplifhed in fhining talents, or celebrated for great atchievements? Would not every popular leader put in his claim at every vacancy, or eveh without any vacancy; and the kingdom become the theatre of perpetual wars and convulfions? The condition of the Roman empire, furely, was not, in this refpect, much to be envied; nor is that of the Eafern nations, who pay little regard to the titles of their fovereign, but facrifice them, every day, to the caprice or momentary humour of the populace or foldiery. It is but a foolifh wifdom, which is fo carefully difplayed, in undervaluing princes, and placing them on a level with the meaneft of mankind. To be fure, an anatomift finds no more in the greateft monarch than in the loweft peafant or day-labourer; and a moralift may, perhaps, frequently find lefs. But what do all thefe reflections tend to? We, all of us, ftill retain thefe prejudices in favour of birth and family; and neither in our ferious occupations, nor moft carelefs amufements; can we ever get entirely rid of them. A tragedy, that fhould reprefent the adventures of failors, or porters, or even of private gentlemen, would prefently difguft us; but one that introduces kings and princes, acquires in our eyes an air of importance and dignity. Or fhould a man be able, by his fuperior wifdom, to get entirely above fuch prepoffeffions, he would foon, by means of the fame wifdom, again bring himfelf down to them, for the fake of fociety, whofe welfare he would perceive to be intimately connected with them. Far from endeavouring to undeceive the people in this particular, he would cherifh fuch fentiments of reverence to their princes; as requifite to preferve a due fubordipation in fociety. And though the
lives of twenty thoufand men be often facrificed to maintain a king in poffeffion of his throne, or preferve the right of fucceffion undifturbed, he entertains no indignation at the lofs, on pretence that every individual of thefe was, perhaps, in himfelf, as valuable as the prince he ferved. He confiders the confequences of violating the hereditary right of kings : Confequences, which may be felt for many centuries; while the lofs of feveral thoufand men brings fo little prejudice to a large kingdom, that it may. not be perceived a few years after.

The advantages of the Hanover fucceffion are of an oppofite nature, and arife from this very circumftance, that it violates hereditary right ; and places on the throne a prince, to whom birth gave no title to that dignity. It is evident, from the hiftory of this ifland, that the privileges of the people have, during near two centuries, been continually upon the encreafe, by the divifion of the. church-lands, by the alienations of the barons' eftates, by the progrefs of trade, and above all, by the happinefs of our fituation, which, for a long time, gave us fufficient fecurity, without any ftanding army or military eftablifhment. On the contrary, public liberty has, almoft in every other nation of Europe, been, during the fame period, extremely upon the decline; while the people were difgufted at the hardmips of the old feudal militia, and rather chofe to entruft their prince with mercenary armies, which he eafily turned againft themfelves. It was nothing extraordinary, therefore, that fome of our British fovereigns miftook the nature of the conftitution, at leaft the genius of the people; and as they embraced all the favourable precedents left them by their anceftors, they overlooked all thofe which were contrary, and which fuppofed a linitation in our government. They were encouraged in this miftake, by the example of all the neighbouring Vol. I. L! princes,
princes, who bearing the fame title or appellation, and being adorned with the fame enfigns of authority, nàturally led them to claim the fame powers and prerogatives. It appears from the feeeches and proclamations of. James I. and the whole train of that prince's actions, as well as his fon's, that he regarded the English government as a fimple monarchy, and never imagined that any confiderable part of his fubjects entertained a contrary idea. This opinion made thofe monarchs difcover their pretenfions, without preparing any force to fupport them; and even without referve or difguife, which are always employed by thofe who enter upon any new project, or endeavour to innovate in any government. The flattery of courtiers farther confirmed their' prejudices; and above all, that of the clergy, who from feveral paffiges of fcripture, and thefe wrefted too, had erected a regular and avowed fyftem of arbitrary. power. The only method of deftroying, at once, all thefe high claims and pretenfions, was to depart from the true hereditary line, and chufe a prince, who, being plainly a creature of the public, and receiving the crown on conditions, expreffed and avowed, found his authority eftablifhed on the fame bottom with the privileges of the people. By electing him in the royal line, we cut off all hopes of ambitious fubjects, who might, in future emergencies, diffurb the government by their cabals and pretenfions: By rendering the crown hereditary in his family, we avoided all the inconveniencies of eledive monarchy ; and by excluding the lineal heir, we fecured all our confitutional limitations, and rendered our government uniform and of a piece. The people cherih monarchy, becaure protecled by it: The monarch favours liberty, becaule created by it. And thus every advantage
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advantage is obtained by the new eftablifhments, as far as human kill and wifdom can extend itfelfs

Thefe are the feparate advantages of fixing the fucceffion, either in the houfe of Stuart; or in that of Hanover. There are alfo difadvantages in each eftablifhment, which an impartial patriot would ponder and examine, in order to form a juft judgment upon the whole.

The difadvantages of the proteftant fucceffion confift in the foreign dominions, which are poffeffed by the princes of the Hanover line, and which, it might be fuppofed, would engage us in the intrigues and wars of the continent, and lofe us, in fome meafure, the ineftimable advantage we poffefs, of being furrounded and guarded by the fea, which we command. The difadvantages of recalling the abdicated family confift chiefly in their religion, which is more prejudicial to fociety than that eftablifhed amongft us, is contrary to it, and affords no toleration, or peace, or fecurity to any other coma munion.

It appears to me, that thefe advantages and difadvantages are allowed on both fides; at leaft, by every one who is at all fufceptible of argument or reafoning. No fubject, however loyal, pretends to deny, that the difputed title and foreign dominions of the prefent toyal family are a lofs. Nor is there any partizan of the Stuarts, but will confefs, that the claim of hereditary, indefeafible right, and the Roman Catholic religion, are alfo difadvantages in that family. It belongs, therefore, to a philofopher alone, who is of neitker party, to put all the circumftances in the feale, and affign to each of them its proper poife and influence. Such a one will readily, at firf, acknowledge that all political queftions are infinitely complicated, and that there Ll2
fcarcely
feareely ever occurs, in any deliberation, a choice, which is either purely good, or purely ill. Confequences, mixed and varied, may be forefeen to flow from every meafure: And many confequences, unforefeen, do always, in fach, refult from every one. Hefitation, and referve, and furpenfe, are, therefore, the only fentiments he brings to this effay or trial. Or if he indulges any. paffion, it is that of derifion againft the ignorant multitude, who are always clamorous and dogmatical, even in the niceft queftions, of which, from want of temper, perhaps ftill more than of underftanding, they are altogether unfit judges.
'But to fay fomething more determinate on this head, the following reflections will, I hope, fhow the temper, if not the underftanding, of a philofopher.

Were we to judge merely by firft appearances, and by paft experience, we mult allow that the advantages of a parliamentary title in the houfe of Hanover are greater than thofe of an undifputed hereditary title in the houfe of Stuart; and that our fathers acted wifely in prefer-. ring the former to the latter. So long as the houfe of Stuart ruled in Great Britain, which, with fome interruption, was above eighty years, the government was kept in a continual fever, by the contention between the privileges of the people and the prerogatives of the crown. If arms were dropped, the noife of difputes continued: Or if thefe were filenced, jealoury ftill corroded the heart, and threw the nation into an unnatural ferment and diforder. And while we were thus occupied in domeftic difputes, a foreign power, dangerous to public liberty, erected itfelf in Europe, without any oppofition from us, and even fometimes with our: affifance.
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But during thefe laft fixty years, when a parliamentary eftablifhment has taken place; whatever factions may have prevailed either among the people or in public affemblies, the whole force of our conftitution has always fallen to one fide, and an uninterrupted harmony has been preferved between our princes and our parliaments. Public liberty, with internal peace and order, has flourihed almoft without interruption: Trade and manufactures, and agriculture, have encreafed.: The arts; and fciences, and philofophy, have beén cultivated, Even religious parties have been neceffitated to lay afide their mutual rancour : And the glory of the nation has fpread itfelf all over EUROPE; derived equally from our progrefs in the-arts of peace, and from valour and fuccefs. in war. So long and fo glorious a period no nation almoft can boaft of: Nor is there another inftance in the whole hiftory of mankind, that fo many millions of people have, during fuch a fpace of time, been held together, in a manner fo free, fo rational, and fo fuitable to the dignity of human nature.

But though this recent experience feems clearly to decide in fayour of the prefent eftablifhment, there are fome circumftances to be thrown into the other fcale; and it is dangerous to regulate our judginent by one event or example.

We have had two rebellions during the flourifhing period above mentioned, befides plots and confpiracies without number. And if none of thefe have produced any very fatal event, we may alcribe our efcape chiefly to the narrow genius of thofe princes who difyuted our eftabliftment ; and we may efteem ourfelves fo far fortunate. But the claims of the banifhed family, I fear, are not yet antiquated; and who can foretel, that their future attempts will produce no greater diforder?

The difputes between privilege and prerogative may eafily be compofed by laws, and votes, and conferences, and conceffions; where there is tolerable temper or prudence on both fides, or on either fide.' Among contending titles, the queftion can only be determined by the fword, and by devaftation, and by civil war.

A prince, who fills the throne with a difputed title, dares not arm his fubjects; the only methad of fecuring a people fully, boṭh againft domeftic appreffion and fareign conqueft.

Notwithftanding our riches and renown, what a critical efcape did we make, by the late peace, from dangers, which were owing not fo much to bad conduct and ill fuccefs in war, as to the pernicious practice of mortgaging our finances, and the ftill more pernicious maxim of never paying off our incumbrances? Such fatal meafures would not probably have been embraced, had it not peen to fecure a precarious eftablifhment.

But to convince us, that an hereditary title is to be embraced rather than a parliamentary one, which is not fupported by any other views or motives; a man needs only tranfport himfelf back to the æra of the reftoration, and fuppofe, that he had had a feat in that parliament which recalled the royal family, and put a period to the greateft diforders that ever arofe from the oppofite pres tenfions of prince and people. What would have been thought of one, that had propofed, at that time, to fet afide Charles II. and fettle the crown on the Duke of York or Gloucester, merely in order to exclude all high claims, like thofe of their father and grandfather? Would not fuch a one have been regarded as an extravagant projector, who loved dangerous. remedies, and could tamper and play with a government and national conflitution, like a quack with a fickly patient ?

In reality, the reafon affigned by the nation for excluding the race of Stuart, and fo many other branches of the royal family, is not on account of their hereditary title (a reafon, which would, to vulgar apprehenfions, have appeared altogether abfurd), but on account of their religion. Which leads us to compare the difadvantages above mentioned in each eftablifhment.

I confefs, that, confidering the matter in general, it were much to be wifhed, that our prince had no foreign dominions, and could confine all his attention to the government of this ifland. For not to mention fome real inconveniencies that may refult from territories on the continent, they afford fuch a handle for calumny and defamation, as is greedily feized by the people, always difpofed to think ill of their fuperiors. It muft, however, be acknowledged, that Hanover, is, perhaps, the fpot of ground in Europe the leaft inconvenient for a King of England. It lies in the heart of Germany, at a diftance from the great powers, which are our natural rivals: It is protected by the laws of the empire, as well as by the arms of its own fovereign : And it ferves only to connect us more clofely with the houfe of Aus. TRIA, our natural ally.

The religious perfuafion of the houfe of Stuart is an inconvenience of a much deeper dye, and would threaten us with much more difmal confequences. The Roman Catholic religion, with its train of priefts and friars, is more expenfive than ours: Even though unaccompanied with its natural attendants of inquifitors, and ftakes, and gibbets, it is lefs tolerating: And not content with dividing the facerdotal from the regal office (which muft be prejudicial to any ftate), it beftows the former on a foreigner, who has always a feparate intereft from that of the public, and may often have an oppofite one.
$\mathrm{L}_{1} 4$
But

But were this religion ever fo advantageous to fociety, it is contrary to that which is eftabithed among us, and which is likely to keep, poffeffion, for a long time, of the minds of the people. And though it is much to be hoped, that the progrefs of reafon will, by degrees, abate the acrimony of oppofite religions all over Europr; yet the fpirit of moderation has, as yet, made too flow advances to be entirely trufted.

Thus, upon the whole, the advantages of the rettlement in the family of Stuart, which frees us from a difputed title, feem to bear fome proportion with thofe of the fettlement in the family of Hanover, which frees us from the claims of prerogative: But at the fame time, its difadvantages, by placing on the throne a Roman Catholic, are greater than thofe of the other eftabliihment, in fettling the crown on a foreign prince: What party an impartial patriot, in the reign of K. William or Q. Anne, would have chofen amidft thefe oppofite wiews, may, perhaps, to fome appear hard to determine.

But the fettlement in the houfe of Hanover has actually taken place. The princes of that family, without intrigue, without cabal, without folicitation on their part, have been called to mount our throne, by the united voice of the whole legiflative body. They have, fince their acceffion, difplayed, in all their actions, the utmoft mildnefs, equity, and regard to the laws and conftitution. Our own minifters, our own parliaments, ourfelves have governed us; and if aught ill has befallen us, we can only blame fortune or ourfelves. What a reproach mult we become among nations, if, difgufted with a fettlement fo deliberately made, and whofe conditions have been fo religioully obferved, we fhould throw every thing again into confufion; and by our levity and rebellious difpo-
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fition, prove ourfelves totally unfit for any ftate but that of abfolute flavery and fubjection?

The greateft inconvenience, attending a difputed title, is, that it brings us in danger of civil wars and rebellions. What wife man, to avoid this inconvenience, would run directly into a civil war and rebellion ? Not to mention, that fo long poffeffion, fecured by fo many laws, muft, ere this time, in the apprehenfion of a great part of the nation, have begotten a title in the houfe of Hanover, independent of their prefent poffeffion: So that now we fhould not, even by a revolution, obtain the end of avoiding a difputed title.

No revolution made by national forces, will ever be able, without fome other great neceffity, to abolifh our debts and incumbrances, in which the intereft of fo many perfons is concerned. And a revolution made by foreign forces, is a conqueft : A calamity, with which the precarious balance of power threatens us, and which our civil diffentions are likely, above all other circumftances, to bring upon us.

```
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Ideatifarerfect Commonwealth.

IT is not with forms of government, as with other artificial contrivances; where an old engine may be rejected, if we can difcover another more accurate and commodious, or where trials may fafely be made, even though the fuccefs be doubtful. An eftablihed government has an infinite advantage, by that very circumffance of its being eftablifhed; the bulk of mankind being governed by authority, not reafon, and never attributing authority to any thing that has not the recommendation of antiquity. To tamper, therefore, in this affair, or try experiments merely upon the credit of fuppofed argument and philofophy, can never be the part of a wife magiftrate, who will bear a reverence to what carries the marks of age; and though he may attempt fome improvements for the public good, yet will he adjuft his innovations, as much as poffible, to the ancient fabric, and preferve entire the chief pillars and fupports of the conflitution.

The mathematicians in Eyrope have been much divided concerning that figure of a fhip, which is the moft commodious for failing; and Huygens, who at laft determined the controverfy, is juftly thought to have pbliged the learned, as well as commercial world; though

Columbus

Columbus had failed to America, and Sir Francis Drake made the tour of the world, without any fuch difcovery. As one form of government muft be allowed more perfect than another, independent of the manners and bumours of particular men; why may we not enquire what is the moft perfegt of all, though the common botched and inaccurate governments feem to ferve the purpofes of fociety, and though it be not fo ealy to eftablifh a new fyftem of governmerit, as to build a ceffel upon a new conftruction? The fubject is furely the moft worthy curiofity of any the wit of man can poffibly devife. And who knows, if this controverfy were fixed by the aniverfal confent of the wife and learned, but, in fome future age, an opportunity might be afforded of reducing the theory to practice, tither by a diffolution of fome old government, or by the combination of men to form a new one, in fome diftant part of the world? In all cafes, it mult be advantageous to know what is moft perfect in the kind, that we may be able'to bring any real conftitution or form of government as near it as poffible; by fuch gentle alkerations and innovations as may not give too great difturbance to fociety.

All I pretend to in the prefent effay is, to revive this fubject of fpeculation; and therefore I fhall deliver my fentiments in as few words as poffible. A long differtation on that head would not, I apprehend, be very acceptable to the public, who will be apt to regard fuch difquifitions both as ufelefs and chimerical.

All plans of government, which fuppofe great reformation in the manners of mankind, are plainly imaginary. Of this nature, are the Republic of Piato, and the Utopia of Sir Thomas More. The Oceana is the only valuable model of a commonwealth, that has yet been offered to the public.
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The chief defects of the Oceana feem ta be thefe. Firf, Its rotation is inconvenient, by throwing men, of whatever abilities, by intervals, out of public employment. Secondly, Its Agrarian is impracticable. Men will foon learn the art,' which was practifed in ancient Rome, of concealing their poffeffions under other people's name; till at laft, the abufe will become fo common, that they will throw off even the appearance of reftraint. Thirdly, The Oceana provides not a fufficient fecurity for liberty, or the redrefs of grievances. The fenate muft propofe, and the people confent ; by which means, the fenate have not only a negative upon the people, but, what is of much greater confequence, their negative goes before the votes of the people. Were the King's negative of the fame nature in the English conftitution, and could he prevent any bill from coming into parliament, he woutd be an abfolute monarch. As his negative follows the votes of the houfes, it is of little confequence: Such 2 difference is there in the manner of placing the fame thing. When a popular bill has beeu debated in parliament, is brought to maturity, all its conveniencies and inconveniencies, weighed and balanced; if afterwards it be prefented for the royal affent, few princes will venture to reject the unanimous defire of the people. But could the King crulh a difagreeable bill in embryo (as was the cafe, for fome time, in the Scottish parliament, by means of the lords of the articles), the British government would have no balance, nor would grievances ever be redreffed: And it is certain, that exorbitant power proceeds not, in any government from new laws, fo much as from neglecting to remedy the abufes, which frequently rife from the old ones. A government, fays Machiavel, muft often be brought back to its original principles. It appears then,
that, in the Oceana, the whole leginature may be faid to reft in the fenate; which Harrington would own to be an inconvenient form of government, efpecially after the Agrarian is abolifhed.

Here is a form of government, to which I cannot, in theory, difcover any confiderable objection.

Let Great Britain and Ireland, or any territory of equal extent, be divided into 100 counties, and each county into 100 parifhes, making in all 10,000 . If the country, propofed to be erected into a commonwealth be of more narrow extent, we may diminifh the number of counties; but never bring them below thirty. If it be of greater extent, it were better to enlarge the parifhes, or throw more parifhes into a county, than encreafe the number of counties.

Let all the freeholders of twenty pounds a-year in the county, and all the houfeholders worth 500 pounds in the town parifhes, meet annually in the parifh church, and chufe, by ballot, fome freeholder of the county for their member, whom we thall call the county reprefentative.

Let the 100 county reprefentatives, two days after their election, meet in the county 'town, and chufe by ballot, from their own body, ten county magiftrates, and one fenator. There are, therefore, in the whole commonwealth, 100 fenators, iloo county magiftrates, and 10,000 county reprefentatives. For we fhall beftow on all fenators the authority of county magiftrates, and on all county magiftrates the authority of county reprefentatives.

Let the fenators meet in the capital, and be endowed with the whole executive power of the commonwealth; the power of peace and war, of giving orders to generals, admirals,
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admirals, and ambaffadors, and, in fhort, all the prerogatives of a British King, except his negative.

Let the county reprefentatives meet in their particular. counties, and poffers the whole legiflative power of the commonwealth; the greater number of counties deciding the queftion; and where thefe are equal, let the fenate have the cafting vote.

Every new law muft firf be debated in the fenate; and though rejected by it, if ten fenators infift and proteft, it muft be fent down to the counties. The fenate, if they pleafe, may join to the copy of the law their reafons for receiving or rejecting it.

Becaufe it would be troublefome to affemble all the county reprefentatives for every trivial law, that may be requifite, the fenate have their choice of fending down the law either to the county magiffrates or county reprefentatives.

The magiftrates, though the law be referred to them, may, if they pleafe, call the reprefentatives, and fubmit the affair to their determination.
Whether the law be referred by the fenate to the county magiftrates or reprefentatives, a copy of it, and of the fenate's reafons, mufl be fent to every reprefentative eight days before the day appointed for the affembling, in order to deliberate concerning it. And though the determination be, by the fenate, referred to the magiftrates, if five reprefentatives of the county order the magiffrates to affemble the whole court of reprefentatives, and fubmit the affair to their determination, they muft obey.
Either the county magiffrates or reprefentatives may give, to the fenator of the county, the copy of a law to be propofed to the fenate; and if five counties concur in
the fame order, the law, though refufed by the fenate, muft come either to the county magiftrates or reprefentatives, as is contained in the order of whe five counties.

Any twenty counties, by a vote either of their magiftrates or reprefentatives, may throw any man out of all public offices for a year. Thirty counties for three years.

The fenate bas a power of throwing out any member or number of members of its own body, not to be reelected for that year. The fenate cannot throw out twice in a year the fenator of the fame county.

The power of the old fenate continues for three weeks after the annual election of the county reprefentatives. Then all the new fenators are fhut up in a conclave, like the cardinals; and by an intricate ballot, fuch as that of Venice or Malta, they chufe the following magiftrates; a protector, who reprefents the dignity of the commonwealth, and prefides in the fenate; two fecretaries of Atate; thefe fix councils, a council of ftate, a council of religion and learning, a council of trade, a council of laws, a council of war, a council of the admiralty, each council confifting of five perfons; together with fix commiffioners of the treafury and a firf commiffioner. All thefe muft be fenators. The fenate alfo names all the ambaffadors to foreign courts, who may either be fenators or not.

The fenate may continue any or all of thefe, but muft re-èlect them every year.

The protector and two fecretaries have feffion and fuffrage in the council of ftate. The bufinefs of that council is all foreign politics. The council of ftate has feffion and fuffrage in all the other councils.
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The council of religion and learning infpects the univerfities and clergy. That of trade infpects every thing that may affect commerce. That of laws infpects all the abufes of law by the inferior magiftrates, and examines what improvements may be made of the municipal law. That of war infpects the militia and its difcipline, magazines, ftores, $\varepsilon^{\circ} c$. and when the repablic is in war, examines into the proper orders for generals. The council of admiralty has the fame power with regard to the navy, together. with the nomination of the captains and all inferior officers.

None of thefe councils can give orders themfelves, except where they receive fuch powers from the fenate. In other cafes, they muft communicate every thing to the fenate.

When the fenate is under adjournment, any of the councils may affemble it before the day appointed for its meeting.

Befides thefe councils or courts, there is another called the court of competitors; which is thus conftituted. If any candidates for the office of fenator have more votes than a third of the reprefentatives, that candidate, who has moft votes, next to the fenator elected, becomes incapable for one year of all public offices, even of being a magiftrate or reprefentative : But he takes his feat in the court of competitors. Here then is a court which may fometimes confift of a hundred members, fometimes have no members at all; and by that means, be for a year abolifhed.

The court of competitors has no power in the commonwealth. It has only the infpection of public accounts, and the accufing of any man before the fenate. If the fenate acquit him; the court of competitors may, if they Vox. I. $\quad \mathrm{Mm}$ pleafe,
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pleafe, appeal to the people, either magiftrates or reprefencatives. Upon that appeal, the magiftrates or reprefentatives meet on the day appointed by the court of competitors, and chufe in each county three perfons; from which number every fenator is excluded. Thefe, to the number of 300 , meet in the capital, and bring, the perfon accufed to a new trial.

The court of competitors may propofe any law to the fenate; and if refufed, may appeal to the people, that is, to the magiftrates or reprefentatives, who examine it in their counties. Every fenator, who is thrown out of the fenate by a vote of the court, takes his feat in the court of competitors.

The fenate poffefles all the judicative authority of the houfe of Lords, that is, all the appeals from the inferior courts. It likewife appoints the Lord Chancellor, and all the officers of the law.

Every county is a kind of republic within itfelf, and the reprefentatives may make bye-laws; which have no authority 'till three months after they are voted. A copy of the law is fent to the fenate, and to every other county. The fenate, or any fingle county, may, at any time, annul any bye-law of another county.

The reprefentatives have all the authority of the BriTISH juftices of peace in trials, commitments, $\mathcal{E}^{\circ} c$.

The magiftrates have the appointment of all the officers of the revenue in each county. All caufes with regard to the revenue are carried ultimately by appeal before the magiftrates. They pafs the accompts of all the officers; but muft have their own accompts examined and paffed at the end of the year by the reprefentatives.

The

The magiftrates name rectors or minifters to all the parifhes.

The Preßyterian government is eftablifhed; and the bigheft ecclefiaftical court is an affembly or fynod of all the prefbyters of the county. The magiftrates may take any caufe from this court, and determine it them. felves.

The magiftirates may try, and depofe or fufpend any prefbyter.

The militia is eftablifhed in imitation of that of Swisserland, which being well known, we fhall not infift upon it. It will only be proper to make this addition, that an army of 20,000 men be annually drawn out by rotation, paid and encamped during fix weeks in fummer; that the duty of a camp may not be altogether unknown.

The magiftrates appoint all the colonels and downwards. The fenate all upwards. Daring war, the general appoints the colonel and downwards, and his commiffion is good for a twelvemonth. But after that, it muft be confirmed by the magiftrates of the county, to which the regiment belongs. The magiftrates may break any officer in the county regiment. And the fenate may do the fame to any officer in the fervice. If the magiftrates do not think proper to confirm the general's choice, they may appoint another officer in the place of him they reject.

All crimes are tried within the county by the magiftrates and a jury. But the fenate can ftop any trial, and bring it before themfelves.

Any county may indiet any man before the fenato for any crime.
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: The protector, the two fecretaries, the council of ftate, with any five or more that the fenate appoints, are poffeffed, on extraordinary emergencies, "of dicfatorial power for fix months.

The protector may pardon' any perfon condemned by the inferior courts.

In time of war, no officer of the army that is in the field can have any civil office in the commonwealth.

The capital, which we fhall call London, may be allowed four members in the fenate. It may therefore be divided into four counties. The reprefentatives of each of thefe chufe one fenator, and ten magiftrates. There are therefore in the city four fenators, forty-four magiftrates, and four hundred reprefentatives. The magiftrates have the fame authority as in the counties. The reprefentatives alfo have the fame authority; but they never meet in one general court: They give their votes in their particular county, or divifion of hundreds.

When they enact any bye-law, the greater number of counties or divifions determines the matter. And where thefe are equal, the magiftrates have the cafting vote.

The magiftrates chufe the mayon, Cheriff, recorder, and other officers of the city.
$\because$ In the commonwealth, no reprefentative, magiftrate, or fenator, as fuch, has any falary. The protector, fecेretaries, councils, and ambaffadors, have falaries.

The firft year in every century is fet apart for correcting all inequalities, which time may have produced in the reprefentative. This muft be done by the legiflature.
$\because$ The following political aphorifms may explain the reafon of thefe orders.

The
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The lower fort of people and fmall proprietors are good judges enough of one not very diftant from them in rank or habitation; and therefore, in their parochial meetings, will probably chufe the beft, or nearly the beft reprefentative: But they are wholly unfit for countymeetings, and for electing into the higher offices of the republic: Their ignorance gives the grandees an oppor: tunity of deceiving them.

Ten thoufand, even though they were not annually elected, are a bafis large enough for any free government. It is true, the nobles in Poland are more than ro,000, and yet thefe opprefs the people. But as power always continues there in the fame perfons and families, this makes them, in a manner, a different nation from the people. Befides the nobles are there united under a few heads of families.

All free governments muft confift of two councils, a leffer and greater; or, in other words, of a fenate and people. The people, as Harrington obferves, would want wifdom, without the fenate : The fenate, without the people, would want honefty.

A large affembly of 1000 , for inftance, to reprefent the people, if allowed to debate, would fall into diforder. If not allowed to debate, the fenate has a negative upon them, and the worft kind of negative, that before refolution.

Here therefore is an inconvenience, which no government has yet fully remedied, but which is the eafief to be remedied in the world. If the people debate, all is confurion: If they do not debate, they ean only refolve; and then the fenate carves for theny Divide the people into many feparate bodies; and then they may $M$ m 3
debate
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debate with fafety, and every inconvenience feems to be prevented.

Cardinal de Retz fays, that all numerous affemblies, however compofed, are mere mob, and fwayed in their debates by the leaft motive. This we find confirmed by daily experience. When an abfurdity ftrikes a member, he conveys it to his neighbour, and $f 0$ on, till the whole be infected. Separate this great body; and though every member be only of middling fenfe, it is not probable, that any thing but reafon can prevail over the whole. Influence and exarthple being removed, good fenfe will always get the better of bad among a number of people.

There are two things to be guarded againft in every fenate : Its combination, and its divifion. Its combination is moft dangerous. And againft this inconvenience we have provided the following remedies. I. The great dependence of the fenators on the people by annual elections; and that not by an undiftinguifhing rabble, like the English electors, but by men of fortune and education. 2. The fmall power they are allowed, They have few offices to difpofe of. Almoft all are given by the magiftrates in the counties. 3. The court of competitors, which being compofed of men that are their rivals, next to them in intereft, and uneafy in their prefent fituation, will be fure to take all advantages againft them.

The divifion of the fenate is prevented, I . By the fmallnefs of their number. 2. As faction fuppofes a combination in a feparate intereft, it is prevented by their dependence on the people. 3. They have a power of expelling any factious member. It is true, when another member of the fame firit comes from the county, they have no power of expelling him : Nor is it fit they,

Should; for that fhows the humour to be in the people, and may poffibly arife from fome ill conduct in public affairs. 4. Almoft any man, in a fenate fo regularly chofen by the people, may be fuppofed fit for any civil office. It would be proper, therefore, for the fenate to form fome general refolutions with regard to the difpofing of offices among the members : Which refolutions would not confine them in critical times, when extraordinary parts on the one hand, or extraordinary ftupidity on the other, appears in any fenator; but they would be fufficient to prevent intrigue and faction, by making the difpoial of the offices a thing of courfe, For inftance, let it be a refolution, That no man fhall enjoy any office, till he has fat four years in the fenate: That, except ambaffadors, no man thall be in office two years following: That no man thall attain the higher offices but through the lower: That no man fhall be protector twice, E'c. The fenate of Venice govern themfelves by fuch rẹfolutions.

In foreign politics the intereft of the fenate can fcarcely ever be divided from that of the people ; and therefore it is fit to make the fenate abfolute with regard to them; otherwife there could be no fecrecy or refined policy. Befides, without money no alliance can be executed; and the fenate is ftill fufficiently dependant. Not to mention, that the legiflative power being always fuperior to the executive, the magiftrates or reprefentatives may interpofe whenever they think proper,

The chief fupport of the British government is the oppofition of interefts : but that, though in the main ferviceable, breeds endlefs factions. In the foregoing plan, it does all the good without any of the harm. The competitors have no power of controlling the fonate :

They have only the power of accufing, and appealing to the people.

It is neceffiary, likewife, to prevent both combination and divifion in the thouland magiftrates. This is done fufficiently by the feparation of places and interefts.

But left that fhould not be fufficient, their dependence on the 10,000 for their elections, ferves to the fame purpofe.

Nor is that all : For the 10,000 may refume the power whenever they pleafe; and not only when they all pleafe, but when any five of a hundred pleafe, which will happen upon the very firft fufpicion of a feparate intereft.

The 10,000 are too large a body either to unite or divide, except when they meet in one place, and fall under the guidance of ambitious leaders. Not to mention their annual election, by the whole body of the people, that are of any confideration.

A fmall commonwealth is the happieft government in the world within itfelf, becaufe every thing lies under the eye of the rulers: But it may be fubdued by great force from witiout. This fcheme feems to have all the advantages both of a great and a little commonwealth.

Every county-law may be annulled either by the fenate or another county; becaufe that fhows an oppofition of intereft: In which cafe no part ought to decide for itfelf, The matter mult be referred to the whole, which will beft determine what agrees with general intereft.

As to the clergy and militia, the reafons of thefe orders are obvious. Without the dependence of the clergy on the civil magiftrates, and without a militia, it is in vain ta think that any free government will ever have fecurity or fability,

In many governments, the inferior magiftrates have no. rewards but what arife from their ambition, vanity, or public firit. The falaries of the French judges amount not to the intereft of the fums they pay for their offices. The Dutch burgo-mafters have little more immediate profit than the English juftices of peace, or the members of the houfe of commons formerly. But left any fhould furpect, that this would beget negligence in the adininiftration (which is little to be feared, confidering the natural ambition of mankind), let the magifrates have competent falaries. The fenators have accefs to fo many honourable and lucrative offices, that their attendance needs not be bought. There is little attendance required of the reprefentatives.

That the forcgoing plan of government is practicable, no one can doubt, who confiders the refemblance that it bears to the commonwealth of the United Provinces, a wife and renowned government. The alterations in the prefent fcheme feem all evidently for the better. $\mathbf{x}$. The reprefentation is more equal. 2. The unlimited power of the burgo-mafters in the towns, which forms a perfect ariftocracy in the Dutce commonwealth, is corrected by a well-tempered democracy, in giving to the people the annual election of the county reprefentatives. 3. The negative, which every province and town has upon the whole body of the Dutch republic, with regard to alliances, peace and war, and the impofition of taxes, is here removed. 4. The counties, in the prefent plan, are not fo independent of each other, nor do they form feparate bodies fo much as the feven provinces; where the jealoufy and envy of the fmaller provinces and towns againft the greater, particularly Holland and AmsterDAM, $:$ have frequently difturbed the government. - 5 . Larger powers, though of the fafeft kind, are intruftod
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to the fenate than the States-General poffers; by which means, the former may become more expeditious and fecret in their refolutions, than it is poffible for the latter.

The chief alterations that could be made on the BriTISH government, in order to bring it to the moft perfect model of limited monarchy, feem to be the following. Firft, the plan of Cromwell's parljament ought to be reftored, by making the reprefentation equal, and by allowing none to vote in the county elections who poffefs not a property of 200 pounds value. Sacondly, As fuch a houfe of Commons would be too weighty for a frail houfe of Lords, like the prefent, the Bifhops and Scoтch Peers ought to be removed: The number of the upper houfe ought to be raifed to three or four hundred : Their feats not hereditary, but during life ; They ought to have the election of their own members; and no commoner fhould be allowed to refufe a feat that was offered him. By this means the houfe of Lords would confift entirely of the men of chief credit, abilities, and intereft in the nation; and every turbulent leader in the houfe of Commons might be taken off, and connected by intereft with the houfe of Peers. Such an ariftocracy would be an excellent barrier both to the monarchy and againft it. At prefent, the balance of our government depends in fame meafure on the abilities and behaviour of the fovereign; which are variable and uncertain circumftances.

This plan of limited monarchy, however corrected, feerns ftill liable to three great inconveniences. Firf, It removes not entirely, though it may foften, the parties of court and country. Secondly, The king's perfonal character muft ftill have great influence on the government. Thirdly; The fword is in the hands of a fingle perfon,
who will always neglect to difcipline the militia, in order to have a pretence for keeping up a ftanding army.

We thall conclude this fubject, with obferving the falfehood of the common opinion, that no large ftate, fuch as France or Great Britain, could ever be modelled into a commonwealth, but that fuch a form of government can only take place in a city or fmall territory. The contrary feems probable. Though it is more difficult to form a republican government in an extenfive country than in a city; there is more facility, when once it is formed, of preferving it feady and uniform, without tumult and faction. It is not eafy, for the diftant parts of a large ftate to combine in any plan of free government; but they eafily confire in the efteem and reverence for a fingle perfon, who, by means of this popular favour, may feize the power, and forcing the more obflinate to fubmit, may eftablifh a monarchical government. On the other hand, a city readily concurs in the fame notions of government, the natural equality of property favours liberty, and the nearnefs of habitation enables the citizens mutually to affift each other. Even under abfolute princes, the fubordinate government of cities is commonly republican; while that of counties and provinces is monarchical. But thefe fame circumftances, which facilitate the erection of commonwealths in cities, render their conftitution more frail and uncertain. Democracies are turbulent. For however the people may be feparated or divided into fmall parties, either in their votes or elections; their near habitation in a city will always make the force of popular tides and currents very fenfible. Ariftocracies are better adapted for peace and order, and accordingly - were moft admired by ancient writers; but they are jealous and oppreffive. In a large government, which is modelled with mafterly fkill, there is compafs and room
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 E S S A Y XVI.enough to refine the democracy, from the lower people who may be admitted into the firft elections or firft concoction of the commonwealth, to the higher magiftrates, who direct all the movements. At the fame time, the parts are fo diftant and remote, that it is very difficult, either by intrigue, prejudice, or paffion, to hurry them into any meafures againft the public intereft.

It is needlefs to enquire, whether fuch a government would be immortal. I allow the juftnefs of the poet's exclamation on the endlefs projects of human race, Man and for cever! The world itfelf probably is not immortal. Such confuming plagues may arife as would leave even a perfect government a weak prey to its neighbours. We know not to what length enthufiafm, or other extraordinary movements of the human mind, may tranfport men, to the neglect of all order and public good. Where difference of intereft is removed, whimfical and unaccountable factions often arife, from perfonal favour or enmity. Perhaps, ruft may grow to the fprings of the moft accurate political machine, and diforder its motions. laftly, extenfive conquelts, when purfued, muft be the ruin of every free government; and of the more perfect governments fooner than of the imperfect ; becaufe of the very advantages which the former poffefs above the latter. And though fuch a fate ought to eftablifh a fundamental law againft conquefts; yet republics have ambition as well as individuals, and prefent intereft makes men forgetful of their pofterity. It is a fufficient incitement to human endeavours, that fuch a government would flourifh for many ages; without pretending to beftow, on any work of man, that immortality, which the Almighty feems to have refufed to his own productions.
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NOTE [A], p. 20.

IHAVE taken it for granted, according to the fuppofition of Machiavel, that the ancient Persians had no nobility; though there is reafon to fufpect, that the Florentine fecretary, who feems to have been better acquainted with the Roman than the Greex authors, was miftaken in this particular. The more ancient Pergians, whofe manners are defcribed by Xenophon, were a free people, and had nobility. Their oporspos were preferved even after the extending of their conquefts and the confequent change of their government. Arrian mentions them in Darius's time, Deexped. Alex.. lib. ii. Hiftorians alfo fpeak often of the perfons in command as men of family. Tygranes, who was general of the Medes under Xerxes, was of the race of Achmetens, Hbrod. lib. vii. cap. 62. Artacheas, whe directed the cutting of the canal aboutmount Athos, was of the fame family. Id.cap. 117. Megabyzus was one of the feven eminent Persians who confpired againft the Magi. His fon, Zopyrus, was in the higheft command under Darius, and delivered Babylon to him. His grandfon, Megabyzus, commanded the army, defeated at Marathon. His great-grandfon, Zopyrus, was alfo eminent, and was banifhed Persia. Herod. lib. iii. Thuc. lib.i. Rosaces, who commanded an army in Egypt under Artixerxes, was alfo defcended from one of the feven confpirators, Diod. Sic. lib. xvi. Agesilaus, in Xenophon, Hift, Griac. lib. iv, being defirous of making a mar-
riage betwixt king Сотys his ally, and the daughter of Spithridites, a Persian of rank, who had deferted to him, firf afks Cotiys what family Spithridates is of. One of the moft confiderable in Persia, fays Cotys. Arieus, when offered the fovereignty by Clearcmus and the ten thoufand Grebss, refufed it as of too low a rank, and faid, that fo many eminent Persians would never endure his rule. Id. $d e$ exped. lib. ii. Some of the families defcended from the feven Persiansabove mentioned remained during allalexander's fugceffors; and Mithridates, in Antiocuus's time, is faid by Polybius to be defcended from one of them, lib. v. cap. 43. Artabazus was efteemed; as Arrian fays, ey toos agators Inegour. lib. iii. And when Alexander married in one day so of his captains to Persian women, his intention plainly was to ally the Macedonians, with the moft eminent Perbian families. Id. lib. vii. Diodorus Siculus fays they were of the moft noble birth in Persia, lib. xvii. The government of Persia was defpotic, and conducted in many refpects, after the eaftern manner, but was not carried fo far as to extirpate all nobility, and confound all ranks and orders. It left men who were fill great, by themfelves and their family, independent of their office and commifion. And the reafon why the Macedonians kept fo eafily dominion over them was owing to other caufes eafy to be found in the hiftorians ; though it muft be owned that Machiavel's reafoning is, in itfelf, juft, however doubtful its application to the prefent cafe.
NOTE [B], p. 45. .

BY that infurence of the crown, which I would juftify, I mean only that which arifes from the offices and honours that are at the difpofal of the crown. As to private bribety, it may be confidered in the fame light as the practice of employing fpies, which is fcarcely juftifiable in a good minifter, and is infamous in a bad one: But to be a $f_{p y}$, or to be corrupted, is always infamous under all minifters, and is to be regarded as a thamelefs proftitution. Polybius juftly efteems the pecuniary influence of the fenate and cenfors to be one of the regular and conftitutional weights, which preferved the balance of the Roxax government. Lib. vi. cap. 15 .

## NOTE [C], p. 6.

ISAY, in part; for it is a vulgar error to imagine, that the ancients were as great friends to toleration as the Eng. lish or Dutch are at prefent. The laws againft external fuperfition, amongft the Romans, were as anclent as the time of the twelve tables; and the Jews as well as Christians were fometimes punifhed by them; though, in general, thefe laws were not rigoroully executed. Immediately after the conqueft of Gaul, they forbad all but the natives to be initiated into the religion of the $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{ru}} \mathrm{id}$; and this was a kind of perfecution. In about a century after this conqueft, the emperor, Claudius, quite abolifhed that fuperftition by penal laws; which would have been a very grievous perfecution, if the imitation of the Roman manners had not, before-hand, weaned the Gauls from their ancient prejudices. Suetonius in vita Claudir. Pliny aferibes the abolition of the Druidical fuperfitions to Tiberius, probably becaufe thatemperor had taken fome fteps towards reftraining them (lib, xxx. cap. i.). This is an inflance of the ufual caution and moderation of the Romans in fuch cafes; and very different from their violent and fanguinary method of treating the Cbrifians. Hence we may entertain a fufpicion, that thofe furious perfecutions of Cbrifianity were in fome meafure owing to the imprudent zeal and bigotry of the firft propagators of that fect; and Ecclefiatical hiftory affords us many reafons to confirm this furpicion.
NOTE [D], p. 1og.

THE orators formed the tafte of the Athenian people, not the people of the orators. Gorgias Leontinus was very taking with them, till they became acquainted with a better manner. His figures of feeech, fays Diodor us Sicu-
 defpifed, had a great effect upon the audience. Lib. xii. page 106. ex editione Rноd. It is in vain therefore for modern orators to plead the tafte of their hearers as an apology for their lame performances. It would be frrange prejudice in favour of antiquity, not to allow a British parliament to be naturally
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naturally fuperior in judgment and delicacy to an Athenian mob.

## NOTE [E], p. 126.

IFit be akked how we can reconcile to the foregoing principles the happinefs, riches, and good police of the Chrnese, who have always been governed by a monarch, and can fcarcely form an idea of a free government; I would anfwer, that though the Chinese government be a pure monarchy, it is not, properly fpeaking, abfolate. This proceeds from a peculiarity in the fituation of that country: They have no neighbours, except the Tartars, from whom they were, in fome meafure, fecured, at leaft feemed to be fecured, bytheir famous wall, and by the great fuperiority of their numbers. By this means, military difcipline has always been much neglected amongtt them ; and their ftanding forces are mere militia, of the worf kind; and unfit to fupprefs any general infurrection in countries fo extremely populous. The fword, therefore, may properly be faid to be always in the hands of the people, which is a fufficient reftraint upon the monarch, and obliges him to lay his mandarins or governors of provinces under the reftraint of general laws, in order to prevent thofe rebellions, which we learn from hiftory to have been fo frequent and dangerous in that government. Perhaps, a pure monarchy of this kind, were it fitted for defence againft foreign enemies, would be the beft of all governments, as having both the tranquillity attending kingly power, and the moderation and liberty of popular affemblies.

$$
\text { NOTE [F], p. } 179
$$

WERE I not afraid of appearing too philofophical, I fhould remind my reader of that famous doetrine, fuppofed to be fully proved in modern times, "That talles and "colours, and all other fenfible qualities, lie not in the " bodies, but merely in the fenfes." The cafe is the fame with beauty and deformity, virtue and vice. This doctrine, however, takes off no more from the reality of the latter qualities, than from that of the former; nor need it give any umbrage either to critics or moralifts. Though colours were allowed to lie only in the eye, would dyers or painters ever be lefs regarded or efteemed? There is a fufficient uniformity in
the fenfes and feelings of mankind, to make all thefe qualities the objects of art and reafoning, and to have the greateft influence on life and manners. And as it is certain, that the difcovery above-mentioned in natural philofophy, makes no alteration on action and conduct; why fhould a like difcovery in moral philofophy make any alteration?
NOTE [G], p. 191.

THE Sceptic, perhaps, carries the matter too far, when he limits all philofophical topics and reflections to thefe two. There feem to be others, whofe truth is undeniable, and whofe natural tendency is to tranquillize and fofter all the paffionss Philofophy greedily feizes thefe, fudies them, weighs them, commits them to the memory, and familiarizes them to the mind: And their influence on tempers, which are thoughtful, gentle, and moderate, may be confiderable. But what is their influence, you will fay, if the temper be antecedently difpofed after the fame manner as that to which they pretend to form it ? They may, at leaft, fortify that temper, and furnifh it with views, by which it may entertain and nourifh itfelf. Here are a few examples of fuch philofophical reflections.

1. Is it not certain, that every condition has concealed ills ? Then why envy any body?
2. Every one has known ills; and there is a compenfation throughout. Why not be contented with the prefent?
3. Cuftom deadens the fenfe both of the good and the ill, and levels every thing.
4. Health and humour all. The reft of little confequence, except thefe be affected.
5. How many other good things have I! Then why be vexed for one ill $P$
6. How many are happy in the condition of which I complain? How many envy me?
7. Every good muft be paid for : Fortune by labour, favour by flattery. Would I keep the price, yet have the commodity?
8. Expeet not too great happinefs in life. Human nature admits it not.
YoL. I.
$\mathrm{N} \boldsymbol{n}$
9. Propofe
10. Propofe not a happinefs too complicated. But does that depend on me? Yes: The firft choice does. Life is like a game: One may choofe the game: And paffion, by degrees, feizes the proper object.
11. Anticipate by your hopes and fancy future confolation, which time infallibly brings to every affliction.
ii. I defire to be rich. Why? That I may poffefs many fine objects; houfes, gardens, equipage; E'c. How many fine objects does nature offer to every one without expence? If enjoyed, fufficient. If not: See the effect of cuftom or of temper, which would foon take off the relifh of the riches.
12. I defire fame. Let this occur: If I act well, I fhall have the efteem of all my acquaintance. And what is all the reft to me?

Thefe reflections are fo obvious, that it is a wonder they occur not to every man : So convincing, that it is a wonder they perfuade not every man. But perhaps- they do occur to and perfuade moft men ; when they confider human life, by a general and calm furvey: But where any real, affecting incident happens; when paffion is awakened, fancy agitated, example draws, and counfel urges; the philofopher is loft in the man, and he feeks in vain for that perfuafion which before feemed fo firm and unflaken. What remedy for this inconvenience? Affift yourfelf by a frequent perafal of the entertaining moralifts: Have recourfe to the learning of $\mathrm{Plu}^{\text {u }}$ tarch, the imagination of Lucian, the eloquence of Cicbro, the wit of Seneca, the gaiety of Montaigne, the fublimity of Shaftesbury. Moral precepts, fo couched, Atrike deep, and fortify the mind againtt the illufions of paffion. But truft not altogether to external aid: By habit and ftudy , acquire that philofophical temper which both gives force to reflection, and by rendering a great part of your happinefs independent, takes off the edge from all diforderly paffions, and tranquillizes the mind. Defpife not thefe helps; but confide not too much in them neither; unlefs nature has been favourable in the temper, with which fhe has endowed you.

## NOTE [H], p. 215 .

IT is a faying of Menander, Koulòs spalaítns, ýd äv ai
 is not in the power every of God to make a polite foldier. The contrary obfervation with regard to the manners of foldiers takes place in our days. This feems to me a prefumption, that the ancients owed all their refinement and civility to books and fludy; for which, indeed, a foldier's life is not fo well calculated. Company and the world is their fphere. And if there be any politenefs to be learned from company, they will certainly have a confiderable fhare of it.

## NOTE [I], p. $215^{\circ}$

THough all mankind have a frong propenfity to religion at certain times and in certain difpofitions; yet are there few or none, who have it to that degree, and with that copftancy, which is requifite to fupport the character of this profeflion. It muft, therefore, happen, that clergymen, being drawn from the common mafs of mankind, as people are to other employments, by the views of profit, the greater part, though no atheifts or fref-chinkere, will fod it necefary, on particular occafions, to feign more devotion than they are, at that time, poffeffed of, and to maintain the appearance of fervor and ferioufnefs, even when jaded with the exercifes of their religion, or when they have their minds engaged in the common occupations of life. They muft not, like the reft of the world, give fcope to their natural movements and fentiments: They muft fet a guard over their looks and words and actions: And in order to fupport the veneration paid them by the multitude, they muft not only keep a remarkable referve, but muft promote the firit of fuperfition, by a continued grimace and hypocrify. This diffimulation often defiroys the candor and ingenaity of their temper, and makes an irreparable breach in their character.
If by chance any of them be poffeffed of a temper more furceptible of devotion than ufual, fo that he has but little occafign for hypocrify to fupport the charater of his profeffion ; it is fo natural for him to over-rate this advantage, and to think
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that it atones for every violation of morality, that frequently he is not more virtuous than the hypocrite. And though few dare openly avow thofe exploded opinions, that every thing is lawful to the Saints, and that they alone bave property in their goods; yet may we obferve, that thefe principles lurk in every bofom, and reprefent a zeal for religious obfervances as fo great a merit, that it may compenfate for many vices and enormities. This obfervation is fo common, that all prudent men are on their guard, when they meet with any extraordinary appearance of religion; though at the fame time they confefs, that there are many exceptions to this general rule, and that probity and fuperf tion, or even probity and fanaticifm, are not altogether and in every inftance incompatible.

Mof men are ambitious; bat the ambition of other men may commonly be fatisfied, by excetling in their particular profeflion, and thereby promoting the interefts of fociety. The ambition of the clergy can often be fatisfied only by promoting ignorance and fuperftition and implicit faith and pious frauds. And having got what Archimedes only wanted, (namely, another world, on which he could fix his engines) no wonder they move this world at their pleafure.

Moft men have an overweaning conceit of themfelves; but thefe have a peculiar temptation to that vice, who are regarded with fuch veneration, and are even deemed facred, by the ignorant multitude.

Moft men are apt to bear a particular regard for members of their own profeffion ; but as a lawyer, or phyfician, or merchant, does, each of them, follow out his bufinefs apart, the interefts of men of thefe profeffions are not fo clofely united as the interefts of clergymen of the fame religion; where the whole body gains by the veneration paid to their common tenets, and by the fuppreffion of antagonifts.

Few men can bear contradiction with patience; but the clergy too often proceed even to a degree of fury on this head : Becaufe all their credit and livelihood depend upon the belief; which their opinions meet with; and they alone pretend to a divine and fupernatural authority, or have any colour for reprefenting

## Notes to the First Volume.

prefenting their antagonifts as impious and prophane. The Odium T'beologicum, or Theological Hatred, is noted even to a proverb, and means that degree of rancour, which is the moft furious and implacable.

Revenge is a natural paffion to mankind ; but feems to reign with the greateft force in priefts and women: Becaufe, being deprived of the immediate exertion of anger, in violence and combat, they are apt to fancy themfelves defpifed on that account; and their pride fapports their vindictive difpofition.

Thus many of the vices of human nature are, by fixed moral caufes, inflamed in that profeffion; and though feveral individuals efcape the contagion, yet all wife governments will be on their guard againft the attempts of a fociety, who will for ever combine into one faction, and while it acts as a fociety, will for ever be actuated by ambition, pride, revenge, and a perfecuting firit.

The temper of religion is grave and ferions; and this is the character required of priefts, which confines them to ftrict rules of decency, and commonly prevents irregalarity and intemperance amongft them. The gaiety, much lefs the exceffes of pleafure, is not permitted in that body; and this virtue is, perhaps, the only one which they owe to their profeffion. In religions, indeed, founded on fpeculative principles, and where public difcourfes make a part of religious fervice, it may alfo be fuppofed that the clergy will have a confiderable fhare in the learning of the times; though it is certain that their tafte in eloquence will always be greater than theirproficiency in reafoning and philofophy. But whoeverpoffeffes the other noble virtues of humanity, meeknefs, and moderation, as very many of them, no doubt, do, is beholden for them to nature or reflection, not to the genius of his calling.

It was no bad expedienf in the old Romans, for preventing the frong effect of the priefly character, to make it a law that no one hould be received into the facerdotal office, till he was paft fifty years of age, Dion. Hal. lib. i. The living a layman till that age, it is prefumed, would be able to fix the character.

## NOTE [K], P. 216.

CESAR (de Bello Gallico, lib. i.) fays, that the Galhic horfes were very good; the German very bad. We find in lib. vii, that he was obliged to mount fome German cavalry with Gallic horfes. At prefent, no part of Europr has fo bad horfes of all kinds as France: But Germany abounds with excellent war horfes. This may beget a little furpicion, that even animals depend not on the climate ; but on the different breeds, and on the fkill and care in rearing them. The north of Englandabounds in the beft horfes of all kinds which are perhaps in the world. In the neighbouring countios, north fide of the Twerd, no good horfes of any kind are to be met with. Strabo, lib. ii. rejects, in a great meafure, the infuence of climates upon men. All is cuftom and education, fays he. It is not from nature, that the Athenians are learned, the Lacedemonians ignorant, and the Thebans too, who are ftill nearer neighbours to the former. Even the difference of animals, he adds, depends not on climate.

$$
\text { NOTE [L], p. } 219 .
$$

ASmall fect or fociety amidft a greater are commonly poon regular in their morals; becaufe they are more remarked, and the faults of individuals draw diftonour an the whole. The only exception to this rule is, when the fuperftition and prejudices of the large fociety are fo ftrong as to throw an infamy on the fmaller fociety, independent of their morals. For in that cafe, having no character eitiser to fave or gain, they become carelefs of their behaviour, except among themfelves.

## NOTE [M], p. 222.

IAm apt to fufpect the negroes to be naturally inferior to the whites. There fcarcely ever was a civilized nation of that complexion, nor even any individual eminent either in action or fpeculation. No ingenious manufactares amongt them, no arts, no fciences. On the other hand, the moft rude and barbarous of the whites, fuch as the ancient

Germans, the prefent Tartars, have fill fomething eminent about them, in their valour, form of government, or fome other particular. Such a uniform and conflant difference could not happen, in fo many countries and ages, if nature had not made an original diffinction between thefe breeds of men. Not to mention our colonies, there are Negroe flaves difperfed all over Europe, of whom none ever difcovered any fymptoms of ingenuity; though low people, without education, will ftart up amongft us, and diftinguifh themfelves in every profeffion. In Jamaica, indeed, they talk of one negroe as a man of parts and learning; but it is likely he is admired for flender accomplifhments, like a parrot, who fpeaks a few words plainly.

$$
\text { NOTE [N], p. } 235 .
$$

PAinters make no fcruple of reprefenting diftrefs and forrow as well as any other paffion : But they feem not to dwell fo much on thefe melancholy affections as the poets, who, though they copy every motion of the human breaft, yet pals quickly over the agreeable fentiments. A painter reprefents only one inftant ; and if that be paffionate enough, it is fure to affect and delight the fpectator: But nothing can furnif to the poet a variety of feenes and incidents and fentiments, except diftrefs, terror, or anxiety. Complete joy and fatisfaction is attended with fecurity, and leaves no farther room for action.

$$
\text { NOTE [O], p. } 275 .
$$

THE more ancient Romans lived in perpetual war with all their neighbours : And in old Latin, the term bofis, expreffed both a franger and an encmy. This is remarked by Cicero; but by him is afcribed to the humanity of his anceftors, who foftened, as much as pofible, the denomination of an enemy, by calling him by the fame appellation which fignified a ftranger. De Off. lib. ii. It is however much more probable, from the manners of the times, that the ferocity of thofe peopie was fo great as to make them regard all Itrangers as enemies, and call them by the fame name. It is not; befides, confiftent with the moft common maxims of palicy or of nature, that any flate fhould regard its public eneNn 4 mies
mies with a friendly eye, or preferve any fuch fentiments for them as the Roman orator would afcribe to his anceftors. Not to mention, that the early Romans really exercifed piracy, as we learn from their firf treaties with Carthage, preferved by Po九ybius, lib. iii. and confequently, like the Sallee and Algerine rovers, were actually at war with moft nations, and a ftranger and an enemy were with them almoft fynonymous.

NOTE [P], p. 300.

APrivate foldier in the Roman infantry had 2 denarius 2 day, fomewhat lefs than eightpence. The Roman emperors had commonly 25 legions in pay, which allowing 5000 men to a legion, makes 125,000 . Tacit. Ann lib. iv. It is true, there were alfo auxiliaries to the legions; but their numbers are uncertain, as well as their pay. To confider only the legionaries, the pay of the private men could not exceed $1,600,000$ pounds. Now, the parliament in the taft war commonly allowed for the fleet $2,500,0,00$. We have therefore 900,000 over for the officers and other expences of the Roman legions. There feem to have been but few officers in the Roman armies, in comparifon of what are employed in all our modern troops, except fome Swiss corps. And thefe officers had very fmall pay: A centurion, for inftance, onty double a common foldier. And as the foldiers from their pay (Tacit. Ann. lib. i.) bought their own cloaths, arms, tents, and baggage; this muft alfo diminih confiderably the other charges of the army. So little expenfive was that mighty government, and fo eafy was its yoke over the world. And, indeed, this is the more natural conclufion from the foregoing calcu-
 have been nearly in as great plenty at Rome, as it is at prefent in the richeft of the Europian kingdoms.

## NOTE.[Q], p. 305...

'THESE facts I give upon the authority of Monf. du Tox .. in his Reffections politiques, an author of reputation. Though I muft confefs, that the facts which he advances on other occafions, are often fo fufpicious, as to make his authority lefs in this matter. However, the general obfervation, that
that the augmenting of the money in France does not at firft proportionably augment the prices, is certainly juft.

By the by, this feems to be one of the beft reafons which can be given, for a gradual and univerfal encreafe of the denomination of money, though it has been entirely overlooked in all thofe volumes which have been written on that queftion by $\mathrm{Mg}-$ lon, Du Tot, and Paris de Verney. Were all our money, for inflance, recoined, and a penny's worth of filver taken from every fhilling, the new fhilling would probably purchare every thing that could have been bought by the old ; the prices of every thing would thereby be infenfibly diminifhed; foreign trade enlivened; and domeflic induftry, by the circulation of a great number of pounds and flillings, would receive fome encreafe and encouragement. In executing fuch a project, it would be better to make the new fhilling pafs for 24 halfpence, in order to preferve the illufion, and make it be taken for the fame. And as a recoinage of our filver begins to be fequifite, by the continual wearing of our hiilings and finpences, it may be doubtful, whether we ought to imitate the example in King William's reign, when the ciipt money was raifed to the old flandard.

## NOTE [R], p. 333.

IT muft carefully be remarked, that throughout this dif. courfe, wherever I fpeak of the level of money, I mean always its proportional level to the commodities, labour, induftry, and fkill, which is in the feveral ftates. And I affert, that where thefe advantages are double, triple, quadruple, to what they are in the neighbouring ftates, the money infallibly will alfo be double, triple, quadruple: The only circumftance that can obftruct the exactnefs of thefe proportions, is the expence of tranfporting the commodities from one place to another; and this expence is fometimes unequal. Thus the corn, cattle, cheefe, batter, of Deriyshire, cannot draw the money of London, fo much as the manufactures of London draw the money of Derbyshire. But this objection is only 7 feeming one: For fo far as the tranfport of commodities is expenfive, fo far is the communication between the places ob:fructed and imperfect.

## -NOTE [S], p. 384.

1Have heard it has been computed, that all the creditors of the public, natives, and foreigners, amount only to 17,000 . Thefe make a figure at prefent on their income; but in cafe. of a public bankruptcy, would, in an inftant, become the loweft, as well as the moft wretched of the people. The dignity and authority of the landed gentry and nobility is much better rooted; and would render the contention very unequal, if ever we come to that extremity. One would incline to aflign to this event a very near period, fuch as half a century, had not our fathers' prophecies of this kind been already found fallacious, by the duration of our public credit fo'much beyond all reafonable expectation. When the aftrologers in France were every year foretelling the death of Henry IV. Thefe fellows, fays he, muft be rigbt at laff. We fhall, therefore, be more cautious than to affign any precife date; and fhall content ourfelves with pointing out the event in general.

$$
\text { NOTE [T], p. } 398 .
$$

COlumelea fays, lib. iii. cap. 8. that in EGypt and Africa the bearing of twins was frequent, and even cuftomary; gemini partus familiares, ac pene folennes funt. If this was true, there is a phyfical difference both in countries and ages. For travellers make no fuch remarks on thefe countries at prefent. On the contrary, we are apt to fuppofe the northern nations more prolific. As thofe two countries were provinces of the Roman empire, it is difficult, though not altogether abfurd, to fuppofe that fuch a man as Corumblea might be mifaken with regard to them.

## NOTE [U], p. 404.

$\mathcal{E}^{\text {PIST. 122. The inhuman fports exhibited at Rome, }}$ may jufly be confidered too as an effect of the people's contempt for flaves, and was alfo a great caufe of the genersat inhumanity of their princes and rulers. Who can read the accounts of the amphitheatrical entertainments 'without horror? Or who is furprifed, that the emperors fhould treat that people in the fame way the people treated theit inferiors?

One's humanity is apt to renew the barbarous wifh of CAligula, that the people had but one neck: A man could almoit be pleafed, by a fingle blow, to put an end to fuch a race of monfters. You may thank God, fays the author above cited, (epiff. 7.) addrefing himfelf to the Roman people, that you have a mafter (to wit the mild and merciful Nero) who is incapable of learning cruelty from your example. This was fpoke in the beginning of his reign: But he fitted them very well afterwards; and, no doubt, was confiderably improved by the fight of the barbarous objects, to which he had, from his infancy, been accuftomed.

NOTE [X], p. 407.

A$S$ fervus was the name of the genus, and verna of the species, without any correlative, this forms a frong prefumption, that the latter were by far the leaft numerous. It is an univerfal obfervation which we may form upon language, that where two related parts of a whole bear any proportion to each other, in numbers, rank or confideration, there are always correlative terms invented, which anfwer to both the parts, and exprefs their mutual relation. If they bear no proportion to each other, the term is only invented for the lefs, and marks its diftinclion from the whole. Thus man and woman, mafer and fervant, fatber and fon, prince and fubject, franger and citizen, are correlative terms. But the words feaman, carpenter, fimith, tailor, \&c. have no correfpondent terms, which exprefs thofe who are no feamen, no carpenters, fre. Languages differ very much with regard to the particular words where this diftinction obtains; and may thence afford very ftrong inferences, concerning the manners and cuftoms of different nations. The military government of the Roman emperors had exalted the foldiery fo high, that they balanced all the other orders of the ftate: Hence miles and pagamus became relative terms; a thing, till then, unknown to ancient, and fill fo to modern languages. Modern fuperfition exalted the clergy fo high, that they overbalanced the whole fate: Hence clergy and laity are terms oppofed in all modern languages; and in thefe alone. And from the fame principles $I$ infer, that if the number of flaves bought
by the Romans from foreign countries, had not extremely exceeded thofe which were bred at home, verna would have had a correlative, which would have expreffed the former fpecies of flaves. But thefe, it would feem, compofed the main body of the ancient flaves, and the latter were but a few exceptions.

## NOTE [Y], p. 410.

" TON temerè ancillx ejus rei caufa comparantur ut " pariant." Digef. lib. v. tit. 3. dé hared. petit. lex 27. The following texts are to the fame purpofe, "Spa"d donem morbofum non effe, neque vitiofum, verius mihi " videtur ; fed fanum effe, ficuti illum qui unum tefticulum " habet, qui etiam generare poteft." Digef. lib. ii. tit. 1 , de adilitio edicto, lex 6. § 2. "Sin autem quis ita fpado fit, "c ut tam neceffaria pars corporis penitus abfit, morbofus eft." Id. lex 7. His impotence, it feems, was only regarded fo far as his health or life might be affected by it. In other refpects, he was full as valuable. The fame reafoning is enaployed with regard to female flaves. "Quaritur de ea muliere qua fem"c per mortuos parit, an morbofa fit ? et ait Sabinus, fi vulve "vitio hoc contingit, morbofam effe." Id. kex 14. It had even been doubted, whether a woman pregnant was morbid or vitiated; and it is determined, that fhe is found, not on account of the value of her offspring, but becaufe it is the natural part or office of women to bear children. "Si mulier " prognans venerit, inter omnes convenit fanam eam effe, " Maximum enim ac precipuum munus fæminarum accipere "f ac tueri cenceptum. Puerperam quoque fanam effe; fi ". modo nihil extrinfecus accedit, quod corpus ejus in aliquam ": valetudinem immitteret. De fterili Coelius diftinguere "، Trebatium dicit, ut fi natura fterilis fit, fana fit ; fi vitio " corporis, contra." Iq.

$$
\text { NOTE [Z], p. } 416 .
$$

THE practice of feaving great fums of money to friends, though one had near relations, was common in Greece, as well as Rome; as we may gather from Lucian. This practice prevails much lefs in modern times; and Ben Jonson's Volpone is therefore almoft entirely extracted from ancient authors, and fuits better the manners of thofe times.

It may juftly be thought, that the liberty of divorces in Rome was another difcouragement to marriage. Such a practice prevents not quarrels from bumour, but rather encreafes them; and occafions alfo thofe from intereft, which are much more dangerous and deftructive. See farther on this head, Part I. Eflay XVIII. Perhaps too the unnatural lufts of the ancients ought to be taken into confideration, as of fome moment.

NOTE [AA], p. 420.

PLIN. lib. xviii. cap. 3. The fame author, in cap. 6. fays, Verumque fatentibus latifundia perdidere It aliam ; jam vero et provincias. Sex domi Jemifem Aprice epo folébant, cum interfecit eos Nero princeps. In this view the barbarous butchery committed by the firf Romanemperors, was not, perhaps, fo deffructive to the public as we may imagine. Thefe never ceafed till they had extinguifhed all the illugrious families, which had enjoyed the plunder of the world, during the latter ages of the republic. The new, nobles who arofe in their place, were lefs fplendid, as we learn from Thacit. Ann. lib. iii. cap. 55 .

NOTE [BB], p. 426.

WE fhall mention from Diodorus Siculus alone a few maffacres, which paffed in the courre of fixty years, during the moft fhining age of Greece.. There were banifhed from Sybaris 500 of the nobles and their partizans; lib. xii. p. 77.ex edit. Rhodomanni., Of Chinns, 600 citizens banifhed ; lib. xiii. p. 189. At Ephesus, 340 killed, 1000 banifhed ; lib. xiii. p. 223. Of Cyrenians, 500 nobles killed, all the reft banifhed; lib. xiv. p. 263. The Corin. thianskilled 120, banifhed 500 ; lib. xiv. p. 304. Phee:bidas the Spartan banifhed 300 Befotians; lib. xy. p.342. Upon the fafl of the Laceidemonians, Democracics were reftored in many cities, and fevere vengeance taken of the nobles, after the Greek manner. But matters did not end there. For the banifhed nobles, returning in many places, butchered their adverfaries at Phiala, in Corintin in Megara, in Phliasia. In this laft place they killed

300 of the people; but thefe again revolting, killed above 600 of the nobles, and banifhed the reft ; lib. xv. p. 357 . In Arcadia 1400 banifhed, befides many killed. The banifhed retired to Sparta and to Paliantium: The later were delivered up to their countrymen, and all killed; lib. xv. p. 373. Of the banißhed from Argos and Thebes, there were 509 in the Spartan army ; id. p. 374. Hêre is a detail of the moft remarkable of Agathocles's cruelties from the fame author. The people before his ufurpation had banifhed 600 nobles; lib. xix. p. 655. Afterwards that tyrant, in concurrence with the people, killed 4000 nobles, and banifhed 6000 ; id. p. 647 . He killed 4000 people at Gela; id. p. 741. By Agathocles's brother 8000 banifhed from Syracuse; lib. xx. p. 757. The inhabitants of exgeta, to the number of 40,000 , were killed, man, woman, and child ; and with tortures, for the fake of their money; id. p 802. All the relations, to wit, father, brother, children, grandfather, of his Libyan army, killed; id. p. 803. He killed 7000 exiles after capitulation; id. p. 816. It is to be remarked, that Agathocles was a man of great fenfe and courage, and is not to be fufpected of wanton cruelty, contrary to the maxims of his age.

## NOTE [CC], p. 428.

IN order to recommend his client to the favour of the people, he enumerates all the fums he had expended. When $\chi$ agryos, 30 minas : Upon a chorus of men 20 minas:
 $\chi \boldsymbol{\chi}^{\omega} \omega, 3$ minas ; Seven times trierarch, where he fpent 6 talents: Taxes, once 30 minas, another time 40 ; rouvacaag $x \omega$,

 minas; apxetevoos, 30 minas: In the whole ten talents 38 minas. An immenfe fum for an Athinian fortune, and what alone would be efteemed great riches, Orat. 20. It is true, he fays, the law did not oblige him abfolutely to be at so mach expence, not above a fourth. But without the fakour of the people, no body was fo much as fafe; and this was the only way to gain it. See farther, orat. 24. de pop. Aatu. In
another place, he introduces a fpeaker, who fays that he had fpent his whole fortune, and an immenfe one, eighty talents, for the people. Orat. 25. de prob. Evandri. The petomon, or ftrangers, find, fays he, if they do not contribute largely enough to the people's fancy, that they have reafon to repent it. Orat. 30. contra Phil. You may fee with what care D.mosthenes difplays his expences of this nature, when he pleads for himfelf de corona; and how he exaggerates Midias's ftinginefs in this particular, in his accufation of that criminal. All this, by the by, is a mark of a very iniquitoas judicature: And yet the Athenians va!ued themfelves on having the moft legal and regular adminiftration of any people in Greece.

$$
\text { N O TE [DD], p. } 429 .
$$

THE authorities above cited, are all hiftorians, orators, and philofophers, whofe teftimony is unqueftioned. It is dangerous to rely upon writers who deal in ridicule and fatire. What will pofterity, for inftance, infer from this pafo fage of Dr. Swift : "I told him, that in the kingdom of "Tribnia (Britain) by the natives called Langdon " (London) where I had fojourned fome time in my'travels, " the bulk of the people confift, in a manner, wholly of "c difcoverers, witneffes, informers, accufers, profecators, " evidences, fwearers, together with their feveral fubfervient " and fubaltern inftruments, all under the colours, the con"duct, and pay of minifters of fate and their deputies. "The plots in that kingdom are ufually the workmanhip " of thofe perfons," E'c. Gulliver's travels. Such a reprefentation might fuit the government of Athens; not that of England, which is remarkable, even in modern times, for humanity, juftice, and liberty. Yet the Doctor's fatire, though carried to extremes, as is ufual with him, even beyond other fatirical writers, did not altogether want an object. The Bifhop of Rochester, who was his friend, and of the fame party, had been banifhed a little before by bill of attainder, with great juftice, but without fuch proof as was legal, or according to the flrict forms of common law.

## NOTE [EE], p. 438.

IN general, there is more candour and fincerity in ancient hifforians, but lefs exactnefs and care, than in the moderns. Our fpeculative factions, efpecially thofe of religion, throw fuch an illufion over our minds, that men feem to regard impartiality to their adverfaries and to heretics, as a vice or weaknefs: But the commonnefs of books, by means of printing, has obliged modern hiftorians to be more careful in avoiding contradictions and incongruities. Diodorus Siculus is a good writer, but it is with pain I fee his narration contradit, in fo many particulars, the two moft authentic pieces of all Greek hiftory, to wit, Xenophon's expedition, and Demosthenes's orations. Plutarchand Aprian feem fcarce ever to have read Cicero's epiftles.

$$
\text { NOTE [FF], p. } 440 .
$$

PLINY, lib. vii. cap. 25. fays, that Crsiar ufed to boaft, that there had fallen in battle againft him one million one hundred and ninety-two thoufand men, befides thofe who perifhed in the civil wars. It is not probable, that that conqueror could ever pretend to be fo exact in his computation. But allowing the fact, it is likely, that the Helvetif, Germans, and Britons, whom he flaughtered, would amount to near a half of the number.

## N OTE [GG], p. 444.

WE are to obferve, that when Dionysius Halycarnassmus fays, that if we regard the ancient walls of Rome, the extent of that city will not appear greater than that of Athens; he muft mean the Acropolis and high town only. No ancient author ever feaks of the PYR本UM, Phalerus, and Munychia, as the fame with Athens: Much lefs can it be fuppofed, that Dionysius would confider the matter in that light, after the walls of Cimon and Pericles were deftroyed, and Athens was entirely feparated from thefe other towns. This obfervation deftroys all Vossivs's reafonings, and introduces common fenfe into thefe calculations.

## NOTE [HH], p. 447.

DEMOST. contra Lept. The Athenians brought yearly from Pontus 400,000. m dimni or bufhels of corn, as appeared from the cuftom-houfe books. And this was the greater part of their importation of corn. This by the by is a ftrong proof that there is fome great miftake in the foregoing paffage of Athenetus. For Attica itfelf was fo barren of corn, that it produced not enough even tomaintain the peafants. Tit. Liv. lib. xliii. cap. 6. And 400,000 medimni would fcarcely feed 100,000 men during a twelvemonth. Lucian, in his navigium five vota, fays, that a fhip, which, by the dimenfions he gives, feems to have been about the fize of our third rates, carried as much corn as would maintain all Atrica for a twelvemonth. But perhaps Athens was decayed at that time; and befides, it is not fafe to truft to fack loofe rhetorical calculations.

$$
\text { NOTE [II], p. } 447 .
$$

DIOD. Sic. lib. xvii. When Alexander attacked Thebes, we may fafely conclude, that almoft all the inhabitants were prefent. Whoever is acquainted with the fpirit of the Greeks, efpecially of the Thebans, will never fufpect, that any of them would defert their country, when it was reduced to fuch extreme peril and diftrefs. As AlexANDER took the town by ftorm, all thofe who bore arms were put to the fword without mercy; and they amounted only to 6000 men. Among thefe were fome ftrangers and manumitted flaves. The captives, confifting of old men, women, children, and flaves, were fold, and they amounted to 30,000 . We may therefore conclude that the free citizens in Thebes, of both fexes and all ages, were near 24,000 ; the frrangers and laves about 12,000 . Thefe laft, we may obferve, were fomewhat fewer in proportion than at Athens; as is reaforable to imagine from this circumftance, that Athens was a town of more trade to fupport flaves, and of more entertain_ ment to allure ftrangers. It is alfo to be remarked, that thirty-fix thoufand was the whole number of people, both in the city of Thebes, and the neighbouring territory: A very moderate number, it muft be confeffed; and this com-

Vol. I. 0 o putation,
putation, being founded on facts which appear indifputable, muft have great weight in the prefent controverfy. The above-mentioned number of Rhodians too were all the inhabitants of the inland, who were free, and able to bear arms.

## NOTE [KK], p. 451.

ST R'AB O, lib. v. fays, that the emperor Augustus prohibited the raifing houfes higher than feventy feet. In another paffage, lib. xvi. he feeaks of the houfes of Rome as remarkably high. See alfo to the fame purpofe Vitruvius, lib. ii. cap. 8. Aristides the fophift, in his oration ens Pumni, fays, that Rome confifted of cities on the top of cities; and that if one were to fpread it out, and unfold it, it would cover the whole furface of Italy. Where an author indulges himfelf in fuch extravagant declamations, and gives fo much into the hyperbolical fyle, one knows not how far he muft be reduced. But this reafoning feems natural : If Rome was built in fo fcattered a manner as Dionysius fays, and ran fo much into the country, there muft have been very few frcets where the houfes were raifed fo high. It is only for want of room, that any body builds in that inconvenient manner. /

## NOTE [LL], p. $45^{1 .}$

LIB. ii. epif. 16. lib. v. epift. 6. It is true, Pliny there defcribes a country-houfe: But fince that was the idea which the ancients formed of a magnificent and convenient building, the great men would certainly build the fame way in town. " In laxitatem ruris excurrunt," fays Seneca of the rich and voluptuous, epift. 114. Valerius Maximus, lib. iv. cap. 4. fpeaking of Cincinnatus's field of four acres, fays, "Angufte fe habitare nunc putat, cujus domus " tantum patet quantum Cinciinnati rura patuerant." To the fame purpofe fee lib. xxxvi. cap. 15. alfalib. xviii. cap. 2.

$$
\text { NOTE [MM], p. } 451 .
$$

" $\mathrm{M}^{0}$OENIA ejus (Rомж) collegere ambitu imperatoribus, cenforibufque Vespasianis, A. U. C. 828. " paf. xiii. MCC. complexa montes feptem, ipfa dividitur " in regiones quatuordecim, compita earum 265. Ejufdem " Spatii
(in vita Severi) that the five-mile fone in via Lavicana was out of the city. (7.) Olympiodor us and Pudifus Victor fix the number of houfes in Rome to be betwixt forty and fifty thoufand. (8.) The very extravagance of the confequences drawn by this critic, as well as Iipsius, if they be neceffary, deftroys the foundation on which they are grounded: That Rome contained fourteen milions of inhabitants; while the whole kingdom of France contains only five, according to his computation, $\mathrm{E}^{\circ}$.

Tbe only objection to the fenfe which we have afixed above to the paffage of Piny, feems to lie in this, That Pliny, after mentioning the thirty-feven gates of Rome, affigns only a reafon for fuppreffing the feven old ones, and fays nothing of the eighteen gates, the ftreets leading from which terminated, according to my opinion, before they reached the Forum. But as Pliny was writing to the Romans, who perfectly knew the difpofition of the flreets, it is not frange he fhould take a circumftance for granted, which was fo familiar to every body. Perhaps too, many of thefe gates led to wharfs upon the river.

## NOTE [NN], p. 453.

QUintus Curtius fays, its walls were ten miles in circumference, when founded by Alexander; lib.iv.cap. 8. Strabo, who had travelled to Alexandria, as well as Diodorus Siculus, fays it was fcarce four miles long, and in moft places about a mile broad; lib. xvii. Piiny fays it refembled a Macedonian caffock, fretching out in the corners; lib. v. cap. 10. Notwithftanding this bulk of Alexandria, which feems but moderate, Diodorus Siculus, fpeaking of its circuit as drawn by Alexander (which it never exceeded, as we learn from Ammianus Marcellinus, lib. xxii. cap. 16.), fays it was $\mu \eta \gamma i \theta=$ is di $\alpha$ ¢egona, extremely great, ibid. The reafon which he affigns for its furpafing all cities in the world (for he excepts not Rome) is, that it contained 300,000 free inhabitants. He alfo mentions the revenues of the kings, to wit, 6000 talents, as another circumflance to the fame purpofe: No fuch mighty fum in our eyes,
erea
even though we make allowance for the different value of money. Whit Strabo fays of the neighbouring country, means only that it was well peopled, oкxy/avx $\alpha x \lambda \omega \leqslant$. Might not one afirm, without any great hyperbole, that the whole banks of the river from Gravesend' to Windsor are one city? This is even more than Strabo fays of the banks of the lake Merotis, and of the canal to Canopus. It is a vulgar faying in Italy, that the king of Sardinia has but one town in Piedmont; for it is alla town. Agrippa in Josephus de bello Judaic. lib. ii. cap. 16. to make his audience comprehend the exceflive greatnefs of Alexandria: which he endeavcurs to magnify, defcribes only the compafs of the city as drawn by Alexander: A clear proof that the bulk of the inhabitants were lodged there, and that the neighbouring country was no more than what might be expected about all great towns, very well cultivated, and well peopled. .

$$
\text { NOTE [OO], p. } 454 .
$$

HE fays (in Nerone, cap. 30.) that a portico or piazza of it was 3000 feet long; " tanta laxitas ut porticus " triplices mittiarias haberet." He cannot mean three miles. For the whole extent of the houre from the Palatine to the Esquiline was not near fo great. So when Vopisc. in Aureliano mentions a portico in Sallust's gardens, which he calls porticus milliarenfis, it muft be underfood of a thoufand feet. So alfo Horace :
" Nulla decempedis
" Metata privatis opacam
"Porticus excipiebat Arcton." Lib. ii. ode 15.
So alfo in lib. i. fatyr. 8.
" Mille pedes in fronte, trecentos cippus in agrum
" Hic dabat."
NOTE [PP], p. 464.

IT appears from Casar's account, that the Gauls had no domeflic flaves, who formed a different order from the Plebes. The whole common people were indeed a kind of
" fpatii menfura, currente a milliario in capite Rom. Fori
" ftatuto, ad fingulas portas, qux funt hodie numero 37,
" ita ut duodecim portx femel numerentur, pratereanturque
"c ex veteribus feptem, qux effe defierunt, efficit paffuum per
" directum 30,775 . 'Ad extrema vero te乞torum cum caftris
" pratoriis ab eodem Milliario, per vicos omnium viarum,
" menfura collegit paulo amplius feptuaginta millia paffuem.
"Quo fi quis altitudinem tectorum addat, dignam profecto,
" xflimationem concipiat, fateaturque nullius urbis magni-
"f tudinem in toto orbe potuiffe ei comparari." Plin. lib.
iii. cap. 5 .

All the beft manufcripts of Pliny read the paffage as here cited, and fix the compafs of the walls of Rome to be thirteen miles. The queftion is, What $\mathrm{Pliny}^{\text {means by }} 30,775$ paces, and how that number was formed? The manner in which I conceive it, is this. Rome was a femicircular arca of thirteen miles circumference. The Forum, and conlequently the Milliarium, we know, was fituated on the banks of the Trber, and near the center of the circle, or upon the diameter of the femicircular area. Though there were thirty-feven gates to Rome, yet only twelve of them had ftraight ftreets, leading from them to the Milliarium. Pliny, therefore, having affigned the circumference of Romb, and knowing that that alone was not fufficient to give us a juft notion of its furface, ufes this farther method. He fuppofes all the ftreets, leading from the Milliarium to the twelve gates, to be laid together into one ftraight line, and fuppofes we run along that line, fo as to count each gate once: In which cafe, he fays, that the whole line is 30,775 paces: Or, in other words, that each ftreet or radius of the femicircular area is upon an average two miles and a half; and the whole length of Romi is five miles, and its breadth about half as much, befides the fcattered fuburbs.

Pere Hardouin underfands this paffage in the fame manner; with regard to the laying together the feveral ftreets of Rome into one line, in order to compofe 30,77 , paces: But then he fuppofes, that freets led from the Milliarium to every gate, and that no ftreet exceeded 800 paces in length. But
(1.) a femicircular area, whofe radius was only 800 paces, could never have a circumference near thirteen miles, the compafs of Rome as affigned by Pliny. A radius of two miles and a half forms very nearly that circumference. (2.) There is an abfurdity in fuppofing a city fo built as to have ftreets running to its center from every gate in its circumference. Thefe freets muft interfere as they approach. (3.) This diminifhes too much from the greatnefs of ancient Rome, and reduces that city below even Bristol or Rotterdam.

The fenfe which Vossivs in his Obfervationes ruaric puts - on this paffage of Pirny, errs widely in the other extreme. One manufcript of no authority, inftead of thirteen miles, has afligned thirty miles for the compafs of the walls of Rome. And Vossius underfands this only of the curvilinear part of the circumference; fuppofing, that as the Tyber formed the diameter, there were no walls built on that fide. But (1.) this reading is allowed to be contrary to almof all the manufcripts. (2.) Why fhould Pliny, a concife writer, repeat the compafs of the walls of Rome in two fucceffive fentences? (3.) Why repeat it with fo fenfible a variation? (4.) What is the meaning of Pilny's mentioning twice the Milliarium, if a line was meafured that had no dependence on the Milliarium? (5.) Aurblian's wall is faid by Vopiscus to have been drawn laxiore ambitu, and to have comprehended all the buildings and fuburbs on the north fide of the Tyber; yetits compals was only fifty miles; and even here critics fufpect fome miftake or corruption in the text ; fince the walls, which remain, and which are fuppofed to be the fame with Aurefian's, exceed not twelve miles. It is not probable, that Rome would diminifh from Augustus to Aurbifan. It remained fill the capital of the fame empire; and none of the civil wars in that long period, except the tumults on the death of Maximus and balbinus, ever affected the city. Caracalla is faid by Aurblius Victor to have encreafed Rome. (6.) There are no remains of ancient buildings, which mark any fuch greatnefs of Rome. Vossius's reply to this objection feems abfurd. That the rubbih would fink fixty or feventy feet under ground. It appears from Spartian

Athenfus, (lib.i. cap. 25.) who fourihed during the reign of the Antonines, that the town Mareia, near Alexandria, which was formerly a large city, had dwindled into a village. This is not, properly fpeaking, a contradiEtion. Suidas (August.) fays, that the Emperor Augustus, having numbered the whole Roman empire, found it contained only $4,101,017$ men ( $x$ orges $)$. There is here furely fome great miftake, either in the author or tranfcriber. But this authority, feeble as it is, may be fufficient to counterbalance the exaggerated accounts of Herodotus and Diodorus Siculus with regard to more early times.

$$
\text { NOTE [RR], p. } 467 .
$$

LIB. ii. cap. 6z. It may perhaps be imagined, that Polybius, being dependent on Rome, would naturally extol the Roman dominion. But, in the firf place, Polybius, though one fees fometimes inftances of his caution; difcovers no fymptoms of flattery. Secondly, This opinion is only delivered in a fingle ftroke, by the by, while he is intent upon another fubject; and it is allowed, if there be any fufpicion of an author's infincerity, that thefe oblique propofitions difcover his real opinion better than his more formal and direटt affertions.

## NOTE [SS], p. 46.

IMuft confefs that that difcourfe of Plutarch, concerning the filence of the oracles, is in general of fo odd a texture and fo unlike his other productions, that one is at a lofs what judgment to form of it. It is written in dialogue, which is a method of compofition that $\mathrm{P}_{\text {lutarch commonly but little }}$ affects. The perfonages he introduces advance very wild, abfurd, and contradictory opinions, more like the vifionary fyftems or ravings of Plato than the plain fenfe of Plutarch. There runs alfo through the whole an air of fupertition and credulity, which refembles very little the firit that appears in other philofophical compoficions of that author. For it is
remarkable, that, though Plutarch be an hiftorian as fupertitious as Herodotus or Livy, yet there is fcarcely, in all antiquity, a philofopher lefs fuperfitious, excepting $\mathrm{C}_{1}-$ cero and Lucian. I muft therefore confefs, that a paffage of Plutarch, cited from this difcourfe, has much lefs authority with me, than if it had been found in moft of his other compofitions.

There is only one other difcourfe of Plutarch liable to like objections, to wit, that concerning thofe whofe punifbment is delayed by the Deity. It is alfo writ in dialogue, contains like fuperftitious, wild vifions, and feems to have been chiefly compofed in rivallhip to Plato, particularly his laft book de republica.

And here I cannot but obferve, that Monf. Fontenelle, a writer eminent for candor, feems to have departed a little from his ufual character, when he endeavours to throw a ridicule upon Plutarch on account of paffages to be met with in this dialogue concerning oracles. The abfurdities here put into the mouths of the feveral perfonages are not to be afcribed to Plutazerr. He makes them refute each other; and, in general, he feems to intend the ridiculing of thofe very opinions, which Fontenelle would ridicule him for maintain-ing.- See Hiftoire des oracles.

$$
\text { NOTE [TT], p. } 492 .
$$

IT is remarkable, that, in the remonftrance of the duke of Bourbon and the legitimate princes, againft this deftination of Lours the XIVth, the doctrine of the original contraet is infifted on, even in that abfolute government. The French nation, fay they, chufing Hugh Capet and his pofterity to rule over them and their pofterity, where the former line fails, there is a tacit right referved to chufe a new royal family; and this right is invaded by calling the baftard princes to the throne, without the confent of the nation. But the Comte de Boulainviliiers, who wrote in defence of the baftard princes, ridicules this notion of an original contract,
naves to the nobility, as the people of Poland are at this day : And a nebleman of Gaul had fometimes ten thoufand dependents of this kind. Nor can we doubt, that the armies were compofed of the people as well as of the nobility. The fighting men amongft the Helvetir were the fourth pait of the inhabitants; a clear proof that all the males of military age bore arms. See Cexsar de bello Gall. lib. i.

We may remark, that the numbers in Cesar's commentaries can be more depended on than thofe of any other ancient author, becaufe of the Greek tranflation, which fill remains, 'and which checks the Latin original.

## NOTE [QQ], p. 466.

THE inhabitants of Marseilles lof not their fuperiority over the $\mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{AULS}}$ in commerce and the mechanic arts, till the Roman dominion turned the latter from arms to agriculture and civil life. See Strabo, lib. iv. That author, in feveral places, repeats the obfervation concerning the improvement arifing from the Roman arts and civility: And he lived at the time when the change was new, and would be more fenfible. So alfo Pliny: "Quis enim non, commu" nicato orbe terrarum, majeftate Romani imperii, profe" ciffe vitam putet, commercio rerum ac focietate fefte pacis, " omniaque etiam, qua occulta antea fuerant, in promifcuo " uíu facta. Lib. xiv. proœm. Numine deûm eleça (fpeak" ing of Italy) que coelum ipfum clarius faceret, fparfa " congregaret imperia, ritufque molliret, \& tot populorum " difcordes, ferafque linguas fermonis commercio contrahe" ret ad colloquia, \& humanitatem homini daret; breviter" que, una cunctarum gentium in'toto orbe patria fieret;" lib. ii. cap. 5. Nothing can be flronger to this purpofe than the following paffage from Tertuliian, who lived about the age of Severus. "Certè quidem ipfe orbis in promptu " èft, cultior de die \& inftructior priftino. Omnia jam pervia, " omnia nota, omnia negotiofa. Solitudines famofas retro "f fundi amœenifimi obliteraverunt, filvas arva domuerunt, " feras
"feras pecora fugaverunt; arenæ feruntur, faxa panguntur ${ }_{2}$ " paludes eliquantur, tantæ urbes, quantæ non cafæ quon" dam. Jam nec infulx horrent, nec fcopuliterrent ; ubique " domus, ubique populus, ubique refpublica, ubique vita. " Sunmum teftimonium frequentix humanæ, onerof fumus
" mundo, vix nobis elementa fufficiunt; \& neceffitates-arc" tiores, et querela apud omnes, dum jam nos natura non "fuftinet." De anima, cap. 30. The air of rhetoric and declamation which appears in this paffage, diminifhes fomewhat from its authority, but does not entirely deftroy it. The fame remark may be extended to the following pafage of Aristides the fophift, who lived in the age of Adrian, "The whole world," fays he, addrefing himfelf to the Romans, " feems to keep one holiday; and mankind, laying " afide the fword which they formerly wore, now betake ; themfelves to feafting and to joy. The cities, forgetting " their ancient animofities, preferve only one emulation, " which fhall embellifh itfelf mof by every art and ornament ; " Theatres every where arife, amphitheatres, porticoes, aque" ducts, temples, fchools, academies; and one may fafely " pronounce, that the finking world has been again raifed by "'your aufpicious empire. Nor have cities alone received an " increafe of ornament and beauty; but the whole earth, like " a garden or paradife, is cultivated and adorned: Info" much, that fuch of mankind as are placed out of the limits " of your empire (who are but few) feem to merit our fym" pathy and compafion."
It is remarkable, that though Diodorus Siculus makes the inhabitants of 廨ypt, when conquered by the Romans, amount only to three millions; yet Joseph. de bello fud. lib. ii. cap. 16. fays, that its inhabitants, excluding thofe of Alfxandria, were feven millions and a half, in the reign of Nrro: And he exprefsly fays, that he drew this account from the books of the Roman publicans, who levied the polltax. Strabo, lib. xvii. praifes the fuperior police of the Romans with regard to the finances of $\mathbb{E g y p t}$, above that of its former monarchs: And no part of adminiftration is more effential to the happigeff of a people. Yet we read in

Athenaus,
tract, efpecially when applied to Hugh Capet; who mounted the throne, fays he, by the fame arts, which have ever been employed by all conquerors and ufurpers. He got his title, indeed, recognized by the flates after he had put himfelf in poffeffion: But is this a choice or contract? The Comte de Boulainvilliers, we may obferve, was a noted republican; but being a man of learning, and very converfant in hiftory, he knew that the people were never almoft confulted in thefe revolutions and new eftablifhments, and that time alone beftowed right and authority on what was commonly at firft founded on force and violence. See Etat de la France, Vol. III.

END OE THE FIRST VOLUME.


## 656169 Wol. I.


[^0]:    * Monf. Fonteneile, Pluralité des Mondes. Soir 6.

[^1]:    * For forms of government let fools conteft, Whate'er is beft adminifter'd is beft. when

[^2]:    - Ann. lib. 1. cap. 2.
    $\dagger$ Suet. in vita Domit.
    1 Egregium refumenial libertatitenipus, $\rho$ ipf fácrentes, quam inops TtatiA, quam intellis urband pl.bs, nibil validum in exerciiibus, nif quod externumt cogilearent. Tacit. Ann. lib. 3.

[^3]:    - Effempio veramente raro, © da Filofofi intante loro imaginate $\mathcal{E}^{\circ}$ vedute Refubliche mai non trovato, vedere dentro ad un medefimo cercbio, fia medefini Cittadini, la uiberta, © la tirannide, la vita civile \& la corotta, la giuffitia É lia liuenza; percbe quello ordine folo mantiere quella citta piena di cofumi anticbi छo venerabili. E s'egli auverife (cbe col tempor in ogni moto auverrà ' que SAN Grorgio tutta quel la città occupafé, farrebbe quella una Republica pix dalla. Yinetiana memorabile, Della Hift. Florentinè, lib. 8.

[^4]:    - T. Livil, lib. 40. cap. 43.
    + Id. lib. 8. cap. 18.
    $\ddagger$ \&'Aigle contre L'Aigle, Romains contre Romains, Combetans feulement pour le cboix de tyrans. Corneirie.
    $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ pretence

[^5]:    - Difertation on parties, Letter 10.

[^6]:    * See Differtation on Parties, throughout.

[^7]:    iantes cum conjugibus ac.liberis Romam venit: Ea multitudo vefle mutatmp O' Specie reorum, tribus circuir, genibus fe omnium advolvens. Plus itaque mifee. ricordia ad feene ueniam impetrandam, quam caufa ad crimen furgandum, valuit. Tribus ommes, prater Polliam, antiquârunt legem. Poletefententia fuit, puberes verberatos necari; liberos conjugefque fub corona lege belli venire: Mce, moriamque cjus ira TUSCULANIs in peene sam atrocis auctores manfibe ad patrum atatem confat; nee quemquam ferme ex Polyia tribu candidatum Papipiangerre folitum, T. Livis, lib. 8. The Castilaniand Nicolloti are tiwo mobbim factions in $V_{\mathbf{x N}} \mathbf{c x}$, who frequently box together, and then lay afide their quarrels prefently.

[^8]:    * Judæi fibi ipfi reges impofuere; q̣oi mobìlitate vulgi expulfi, refumpta, per arma dominatione; fugas civium, urbium everfiones, fratrum, conjugum, parentum neces, aliaque folita regibus aufi, fuperftitionem fovebant; quia honor facerda:ii firmamentum potentix affumebatur. TAcIT. bift. lib. $\mathrm{v}_{0}$

[^9]:    - The $\mathrm{C}_{\text {minnse }}$ Literati have no priefto or ecclefiaftical eftablifhment.

[^10]:    - Xenophon mentions it; but with a doubt if it be of any advantage
     totally excludes it from his imaginary republic, De legibus, lib, iv.

[^11]:    * Dr. Swirt.

[^12]:    
    
    
     nosor.

[^13]:    * The crigival is; Quod fi haec non ad cives Romanos, noo ad aliquos amicos noftre civitatis, non ad eos qui populi Romani nomen audîfient; Senique, fin noa ad homine3, verùm ad beftias; aut etiam, ut longius prc-
    frediars

[^14]:    grediar, fir in aliqua defertiffima folitudine, ad faxa \& ad fcopulos haec congueri \& deplerare vellem, tamen omnia muta atque inanima, tanta \& lam indigna rerum atrocitate commoverentur.

    * Ubi dolor? Ubi ardor animi, qui etiam ex infantium ingeniis elicere yoces \& querelas folet? nulla perturbatio animi, nulla corporis: frons non percuffa, non femur ; pedis (quod minimum eft) nulla fupplofio. Itaque tantum abfuit ut inflammare noftros animos; fomnum ifto loco vix teneb马m².

    Cịcreo de Clatis Oratoribus.

[^15]:    - Quintil. lib. vi. cap. i.
    t Longinus. cap. is.

[^16]:    * The firf of the Athinians, who compofed and wrote his fpeeches, was Pericies, a man of bufinefs and a man of fenfe, if ever there
     Suidas in insix入ns.

    YoL, I: I mind.

[^17]:    * Eft Deus in nobis; agitante calefcimus illo: Impetus hic, lacra femina mentis habet.

    Ovid, Fif. ite, i.
    generals,

[^18]:    * See NOTE [E].

[^19]:    - Ceft la politeffe duun Suisaz En Hollandecivilité。

    K 2
    Roussiat.
    French.

[^20]:    * It is needlefs to cite Cicero or Pliny on this head: They are tod much noted: But one is a little furprized to find Arriax, a very grave, judicious writer, interrupt the thread of his narration all of a fudden, to tell his readers that he himfelf is as eminent among the Grexxs for eloquence, as Alexanier was for arms. Lib. j .
    $\dagger$ This poet (See lib. iv. $1165_{5}$.) recommends a very extraordinary cure for love, and what one expects not to meet with in fo elegant and philofophica! ' 2 poem. It feems to have been the original of fome of Dr.'Swirt's images Thie elegant Catuleve and $P_{\text {bader }}$ us fall under the fame cenfure.

[^21]:    - Att. Non mihi videtur ad beate vivendum fatis effe virtutem. MARA At hercule Bruto meo videtur; cujus ego judicium, pace tua dixerim, longè antepone tro, Tusc. Quaft. lib, vo
    $\dagger$ Lib. xvii.
    $\ddagger$ In vita Flamin.

[^22]:    * Piut. in vita Fiamin.
    $\dagger$ lbid.
    $\ddagger$ Tacit. Anda lib, iii. cap. 64.

[^23]:    - In the Self Tormontor of Terince, Cinias, whenever he comes to town, inftead of waiting on his miftrefs, fends for her to come to him.
    † Lord Smaftesbury, feelis Moralifs:
    K 4
    duty

[^24]:    * The frequent mention in ancient authors of that ill-bred cuftom of the mafter of the family's eating better bread or drinking better wine at table, than he afforded his guefts, is but ani ndifferent mark of the civility of thofe ages. See Juvenal, fat. 5. Piniflib. xiv. cip. 13. Alfo Pininil Epif. Lucian de mercede conductis, Saturnalia, \&ec. There is fcarcely any part of Europi at prefent fo uncivilized as to admit of fuch a cultom.

[^25]:    * See Relation of tbree Embaffies, by the Eafl of Carilisle.

[^26]:    - Or, Tbe man of elegance and pleafure. The intention of this and the three following Effays is not fo much to explain accurately the fentiments of the ancient fects of philofophy, as to deliver the fentiments of fects, that naturally form themfelves in the world, and entertain different ideas of human life and of happinefs. I have given each of them the name of the philofophical feet, to which it bears the greateft affinity.

[^27]:    - Or the man of aftion and virtuen.

[^28]:    - Or, the man of contemplation, and pbilofopbisal devotion.
    fwelling

[^29]:    - De exizio.

[^30]:    $\dagger$ Memoires de la cour d'Espacne, par Madame d'Aunoy.

[^31]:    * Dr. Berkley : Minute Philofopher.

[^32]:    * Sir Wil blan Tempie's Account of the Netherlandt.

[^33]:    - Lib. v. The fame authot afcribes taciturnity to that people; a new proof thet national charaGers may alter very much. Taciturnity, as-a mational character, impl'es unfociablenefs. Arystotix, in his Politics, book ii. cap. 2. fays, that the Gavis are the only warlibe nation, who are meflizent of wometr.

[^34]:    - Babyeonit maximè in vinum, $\mathfrak{f o}$ quac ebrietatom fequuntur, effufi funto Quint. Cer. lib, v. cap. zo
    +Pivt. Symp. lib.i. queft. 4.

[^35]:    - Reflexions far la poëtique, § $3^{6}$.

[^36]:    - Illud vero perquam rarum ac memoria dignum, etiam fuprema opera artificum, imperfectafque tabulas, ficut, IRIN ARTzTIDIs, TYNDARIDAs

[^37]:    * Monf. Melon, in his political effay on commerce, afferts, that even at prefent, if you divide France into 20 parts, 16 are labourers or peafante; two e:ly artizans ; one belonging to the law, church, and m:litary ; and one merchants, financiers, and bourgeois. This calcalation is certainly very erroneous. In France, Encland, and indeed moft parts of Europe, half of the inhabitants live in cities; and even of thofe who live in the country, a great number are artizans, perhaps abore a third.

[^38]:    - Thocydides, lib. vii.
    + Diod. Sic. lib. vii. This account, I own, is fomewhat fufpicious, not to fay worfe; chiefly becaufe this army was not compofed of citizens, but of mercenary forces.
    \& Titi Livir, lib, vii. cap. 24. "Adeo in qua laboramus," fays he, " Sola crevimus, divitias luxuriamque."

[^39]:    * The infription on the Prace-de.Vendome fays 440,000 .

[^40]:    * This is the cafe with the bank of Amstirdam.

[^41]:    

[^42]:    * See NOTE [Q].

    Vol. I.
    X
    facturer

[^43]:    - The Jtalians gave to the Emperor Maximilian, the nickname of Pocci-danari. None of the entergrifet of that prince ever fucceeded, for want of money.

[^44]:    - Lib. ii. cap. 1g.
    $\dagger$ Plin, lib, xxxiii, cap. 1 .

[^45]:    * There is another caufe, though more limited in its operation, which checks the wrong balance of trade, to every particular nation to which the kingdom trades. When we import more goods than we export, the exchange turns againft us, and this becomes a new encouragement to export; as much as the charge of carriage and infurance of the money which becomes dve would amount to. For the exchange cap never rife but a little higher than that famp.

[^46]:    * Xenoph. Hiat. Grec. libe vi. \& vil.

    RACUSE

[^47]:    * Thucyd, lib. viii. $\quad \dagger$ Diod. Sic. lib. $x$ x.

[^48]:    A a 2 monatchs.

[^49]:    * Lib. ii. cap. ${ }^{51}$.
    , + It was obferved by fome, as appears by the feeech of Agesilaus of Naupactum, in the general congrefs of Greice. See Polyz, lib. v. cap. 1c4.
    $\ddagger$ Titi Livit, lib. xxiii. cap. 33.

[^50]:    * If the Roman empire was of advantage, it could only proceed from this, that mankind were generally in a very diforderly, uncivilized condition, before its eftablifhment.

[^51]:    - Epif. ad Att. lib, ix. ep. in.
    + Account ot the Netusuiands, chap. 6.

[^52]:    - equy V. $\quad$ A Acib. t. I Lib. ifio.

    H: Plut in pira Aiez. He makes thefe treafures amount 2080,000 talents, or about is millions ferl. Quintus Curtius (lib. v. cap. 2.) fays, that Aiexander foundia Susiabove 50,000 talentr.

    Straboj lit. it.
    Vox. I. B 8 feixed

[^53]:    - His harangue for it is fill extant $;$ eape Eupmeopiac.
    + Pio Ctimionontif.

[^54]:    * Plutarchus in vita decem.oratorym. Demostrines gives a different account of this law. Contra Aristogiton. orat. II. He fays, that its purport was, to render the a $\pi \mu \mu \mathrm{s} \pi \pi / \tau i \mu n$, or to reftore the privilege of bearing offices in thofe who had been declared incapable. Perhaps thefe were buth claufes of the fame law.
    t The fenate of the Bean was on!y a left numerous mob, chofen by lot from among the people; and their authority was not great.

    C c 3 attending

[^55]:    - InCtisiphontem. It is remarkable, that the firf ftep after the diffolution of the Democracy by $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{ritin}}$ ias and the Thirty, was to annul the
    
     pag. 297. ex edit. ALdi. And he accounts for it, from the fame principles we.here reafon upon.

[^56]:    + Plut.in vila Pelop.
    $\ddagger$ Dewost. Oifntb. 1. 2.

[^57]:    * Demost. contra Lept.
    $\dagger$ Demost. contra Aristocratem.
    $\ddagger$ Effay on the freedom of wit and humour, part 3. §2.

[^58]:    * Lettres Pze sanze, See alfo L'Efprit de Loix, livo xxiiig cap. 17, 18s (2)

[^59]:    - This too is a good reaton why the fmall-pox does pot depopirlefe caunio
    tries fo much as may at firt fight be inagined. Where there is room for more people, they will always arife, even without the affiftance of naturali-sation-bille. It is ramerked by Dow Genonrmo dx Ustakiza chat sha provinces of $S_{P, A}$ IN, which fend moft people to the INDIEA, are moft gen pulous. which proceeds from their fuperior riches.

[^60]:    Vof. I,
    D d
    nature:

[^61]:    * Suetonius in vita Ciaudit. + Piut. in vitaCatonis.
    $\pm$ Lib. i. cap. 6.
    || Id. lib. xi. cap. 1.
    $\$$ Amor. lib. i. eleg. 6.
    Il Sueton. de claris rbetor. So alfo the ancient poet, Fanitoris tintin. tite impedimenta audio.

    Dd2 extorted

[^62]:    * In Oniterem orat. z.
    t The fame practice was very common in Rome; but Cicero foems not to think this evidence fo certain as the teftimony of free-citizens. Pro Calis.

[^63]:    * We may here obferve, that if domeftic flavery really encreafed popu-- Jopfneff, it would be an exception to the general rule, that the happinefs of any fociety and its populoufnefs are neceffary attendants. A mafter, from humour or interef, may make his flayes very unhappy, yet be careful, from intereft, to eacreafe their number. Their marriage is not a matter of shoice with them, more than any other aetion of their life,

[^64]:    * Ten thoufand naves in a day have often been fold for the ufe of the Romanis, at Delusin Cifictia. Strafo, lib. xivo.

[^65]:    * Columelia, lib. i. frocem. et cap. 2. et 7. Varro, lib. iii. cap. i. Hurat. lib. ii. od. 1g. Tacit.annal. lib. iii. cap. 54. Sueton. in rita Auc. cap. xlii. Plin. lib. xviii. cap. 13.
    $\dagger$ Minere indies plebe ingenua, fays Tacitus, ann. lib. xxiv. cap. 7.


    ## $\ddagger$ See NOTE [X].

    || Verna is ufed by Roman writersas a word equivalent to fcurra, on arcount of the petulance and impudence of thofe faves. Mart. lib. i. ep. 42. Horace alfo mentions the verne procaces; and Petronius, cap. 24. vernula urbanitas. SXNECA, de provid. cap. I. vernularum licentia.
    § It is computed in the West Indies, that a fock of Raves grow worfe five per cent. every year, unlefs new naves be bought to recruit them. They are not able to keep up their number, even in thofe warm countries, where cloaths and provifions are fo eafily got. How much more muft this happen in Europian countries, and in or near great cities? I Thall add, that, from the experience of our planters, flavery is as little advantageous to the mafter as to the tlave, wherever hired fervants can be procured. A man is obliged to sloath and feed his fave; and he does no more for his fervant : The price of the firft purchafe is, therefore, fo much bofs to him: not to mention, shat the fear of punifhmept will never draw fo much labour from a flave, as the dread of being curned off, and not getting another fervice, will from a freeman.

[^66]:    * Corn. Nepos in vita Attici. We may remark, that Atticus's eftate lay chiefly in Epirus, which, being a remote, defolate place, would render it profitable for him to rear llaves there.
    

[^67]:    * In Ampbobum orat. 1.
    $\dagger \times \lambda$ s.owoin, makers of thofe beds which the ançients lay upon at meals. $\ddagger$ In vila Catonieq

[^68]:    $\dagger$ Tacit. ann. lib. xiv. cap. 43 .
    $\ddagger$ The flaves in the great houfes had little rooms affigned them, called selle. Whence the name of cell was transferred to the monks room in a convent. See farther on this head, Just. Lipsius, Saturn. i. cap. 14. Thefe form frong prefumptions againt the marriage and piopagation of the family faves.

[^69]:    * Opera et Dies, lib. ii. 1. 24. alfo 1. 220.
    $\dagger$ Staabo, lib. piii. $\ddagger$ De ratione redituum.
    || See Cato de ré rufica, cap. $5^{6}$. Donatus in Phormion, f. 1. g. Seneces epift. 80.
    \$ Dere ruf. cap. 10, .1x.

[^70]:    * Lib. i. cap. 18.
    $\dagger$ lib. i. cap. 17. $\ddagger$ lib. i. cap. 18.
    \#Lib, xuxiii. cap, 1, So bikewife Tacitug, anmal, lib, xiv, cap. 44.

[^71]:    * Lib. ii. cap. 10.
    $\dagger$ Patoris duri eft hic filius, ille bubulci. Joven. fat. 11. 151.
    $\ddagger$ Lib. i. cap. 8. $\quad \|^{\prime}$ De bel. civ. lib. i.
    § In vita Tir. \& C. Graccir.
    IT To the fame purpofe is that paffage of the elder $\operatorname{SanicA}$, ex controverfia 5 o lib. v. "Arata quondam populis sura, lingulornm ergafulorum funt; " latiufque

[^72]:    * Tacitus blamesit. De monib.Germ.
    t Defraterno amore. Sxnecia alfo app:ores of the expofiag of fickls infirm children. Deira, libo i, cap. 15.
    $\ddagger$ SExT, Exy. lib, iii, cap. 24:

[^73]:    * De amore prolis.
    + See NOTE [Z].

[^74]:    Vol. I.
    E
    all

[^75]:    * Doexp. Cyr. lib. vii.
    + Demost. de falfa leg. He callsit a confiderable fum.
    \$ Trucyd. lib. iif || Lib. vi. cap. 37.

[^76]:    - Tit. Liv. lib. xli. cap. 7. 13. É alibi pafin.
    $\dagger$ Appian. Debell. civ. lib.iv.
    $\dagger$ Cesar gave the centurions ten times the gratuity of the common foldiers. De bello Gallico, lib. viii. In the Rhodian cartel, mentioned afterwards, no diftinction in the ranfom was made oa account of ranke ia the army.

[^77]:    *The ancient foldiers, being free citizens, above the loweft rank, were all married. Our modern foldiers are either forced to live unmarried, or their marriages turn to Imall account towards the encreafe of mankind. A circumfance which ought, perhaps; to be taken into confideration, as of fone confequence in favour of the ancients.

[^78]:    * Hift. lib. ii. cap. 44 .
    † As AgyDus, mentioned byi Livy, lib. Exxi. cap. 17, 18. and Fọyb. lib. xvi. Aa alfo the Xanthiane, Appian. de bello civil. lib. iv.

[^79]:    - In vita Arati.
    $\ddagger$ Dios. Sycui, lib, xx.
    $\dagger$ Instr. lib. ii. cap. 6.

[^80]:    * Lysias, who was himfelf of the popular faction, and very narrowly efcaped from the thirty tyrants, fays, that the Democracy was as violent 2 government as the Oligarchy. Orat. 24. de faztu popul.
    † Ciciro, Pailiz. 1.
    I As orat. 11. contra Eratost. orat. 12. coztra Agorat. orat. 15. gro Mantith.

[^81]:    - Appian.de bel.civ. lib. ii,
    $\dagger$ See C压sar's fpeech de bell. Catil.
    $\ddagger$ Orat. 24. And in orat. 29. he mentions the factious fpirit of the $\dot{p} 0$ pular affemblies as the only caufe why thefe illegal punifhments should difpleafe.

[^82]:    * Lib. iii. $\quad+\operatorname{Plut}$ de virt. $\mathcal{E}^{\circ}$ fort. AIzx.
    $\ddagger$ Diod. Sic. lib. xviii, xix.
    \| Tit. Liv. xxxio xxxiii. xxxiv.
    $\$$ Diod. Sic. lib. xiv. Isocrates fays there were only 5000 banifhed. He makes the number of thofe killed amount to 1500. Areor. 压schinis contra Ctisiph. affigns precifely the fame number. Sineca (de ivanq. anim. cap. v) fays 13000.

    > Diod. Sic. libo xv.
    > $+\dagger$ See NOTE [BB].
    

[^83]:    - Pag. 885. ex edit. Levnclavg
    $\dagger$ Orat. 2g. is Nicoss.

[^84]:    - Plutarchusin vitaSozon. † Diod. Sic. lib. xviii. $\ddagger 13$. ibid. Id. ibid.

[^85]:    * Lib. ii. There were $80 c o$ killed during the fiege; and the captives amounted to 30,000. Diodorve Sieviub, lib. xvii. Pays only 13,000: But he accounts for this fmall number, by faying that the Tyrians had rent away before-hand part of their wives and children to Carthage,
    $\dagger$ Lib. $v_{0}$ he makes the number of the citizens amount to 30,000 .
    $\ddagger$ Ib. V. VOrat. 33.adverf. DisGIT.
    $\$$ Contra Afree. p. 25.ex edit. Aldi.

[^86]:    * Id. p. 19. † Id.ibid.
    $\ddagger$ ld. ibid.and 生schines contra Ctisiphe.
    § Epif. ad Atтic. lib. iv. epift, 15.
    1 Contra Virr. erat. 3 -
    If See Effay IV.
    ** Lib. vii.
    Vol. I.
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[^87]:    * 牛iflamprid. in vita Hefiogabecap. 26.

[^88]:    $\dagger$ Lit. xii. $\ddagger$ Lib. vis.
    $\|$ Dogeneskarrtius (in wita Empedoclis) fays, that AgrigenIUM contained only 800,000 inhabitants.

[^89]:    * The country that fuppiied this number, was not above a third of Italy, viz. the Pope's dominions, Tuscany, and a part of the kingdom of Naples: Eut perhaps in thofe carly times there were very few flaves, excert in Rome, or the great cities.
    $\dagger$ Lib. ii. $\ddagger$ Celtica.
    \| Plutarch (in vita Cas.) makes the numberthat Cesar fought with amount to three millions; Julian (in Ciesaribus) totwo.
    § Lib. ii. cap. 47.

[^90]:    * Diod. SIc.lib. ii,
    + Pigtarch in vita Dionys.

[^91]:    * Demosthenes affigne 20,000; contra Aristag.
    + Lib. v. $I$ Lib. viii.
    Lib. ii. Diodonvi Siculue's account perfectly agrees, lib. xii.

[^92]:    * Polyb. lib. ii.
    $\ddagger$ Lysias, orat. 34.
    $\dagger$ Potyb. lib. ix. cap. 20.
    \| Vopiscusin vita Aurie.
    § De rep. Laced. This paffige is not eafily reconciled with that of Piutarchabuve, who faye, that Srarta had gooocitizens.

[^93]:    - Porys. lib. ix, cap. $20 . \quad \dagger$ Diod. Sic. lib. xiiii.
    $\ddagger$ legat. §In Achaicis.
    || Tit. Liv. lib. xxiv. cap.gi. Plato in Ceitone.
    $\pi$ Lib. vii.
    ** Lib. vii.
    tt Tif. Liv, lib, xiv. capo $34 \cdot$
    Vol. I.
    G g
    Justin

[^94]:    * Lib. ix, cap. 5 -
    $t$ Lib, iv.

[^95]:    - Lib. x. $\quad$ Satyr. iii. . 1.269 ; 270.
    $\ddagger$ See NOTE [KK]. § See NOTE [LL].
    \| Vitauv.lio. v. cap. if. Tacit. annal. lib. ai. cap. 3. Suitone in vita Octav. cap. j2, \&ce.

    II See NOTE [MM].
    ** Ex monument. Ancyr.

[^96]:    - Licinius apud Salluff. biff. frag. lib. iii.

[^97]:    * In vita Neronis.
    + Sueton. Aug. cap. 42.
    $\ddagger$ Lib. iv. cap. 5 .
    § Lib. xvii.
    $\|$ See NOTE [NN].
    4 Lib. xvii.
    -* He fays anevespor, not wronsrat, which laft expreffion muft have been undertood of citizens alone, and grown men.

[^98]:    * Lib. iv. cap. f. maans roneas. Poiltian interprets it " gedibua ( ${ }^{\prime}$ majoribus etiam reliqua urbe."
    + See NOTE [OO].
    I Plinivs, lib. xxxvi. cap. 15. "Bis vidimus urbem totam cingi *6 domibus principum, CaIx ąc Nixoxie."
    SLib. ii, cap. is. In Afrekian, cap. 48.

[^99]:    * Lib. ix. cap. 10. His expreffion is argewwos, not wodırns; iabbabitant, pot citizen.
    $\dagger$ Lib, vi, cap, 2\%. $\ddagger$ Lib. xvii.

[^100]:    * Such were Arexandeia, Antioch, Carthage, Ephesus, Lrons, E'c. in the Romanempire. Such are even Budrdiaux, Trorouse, Dijon, Rennes, Rouin, Aix, Goc. in Franci; Dublin, Edingurgh, York, in the Baitism dominiona.

[^101]:    - Lib. iii.
    + The warm fouthern colonies alfo becope more healthful: And it is remarkable, that in the SPANism hiftories of the firt difcovery and conqueft of thefe countries, they appear to have been very healthful; being then well peopled and cultivated. No account of the ficknefs or decay of Conters or Pizaraós fmallarmies.
    $\ddagger$ Lib. i. cap. i.
    IU He feems to hate lived about the time of the younger Arricanus; lib. i, cap, 1.

[^102]:    * Xenopb. Exp. lib. vii. Polyb. lib. iv. cap. 45.
    $\dagger$ Ovid. paffin, E゚c. Strabo, lib, vii. $\quad \ddagger$ Poljb. lib.ii. cap. 18.

[^103]:    - De Bello Gallice, lib. vis.
    $\ddagger$ Lib. vii.

    > t De Maribus Germe
    > $\|$ Lib. iii. cap. 47.

[^104]:    - Cresar de Bello Gallico, lib. xvi. Strabo, lib. tii. faye, the Gaver were not much more improved than the Girmans.
    $\dagger$ Celt. pars 1.
    $\ddagger$ lib. v .
    § Ancient Gaui was more extenfive than modern Francr.
    \| Cresar de Bello Gallico, lib. vi.
    ** Lib. iv.
    5
    4 Id. ibid.
    t† $D_{c}$ Bello Gallice, lib. iin.

[^105]:    - See NOTE [PP].
    $\dagger$ De Bello Gallico, lib, ís $\ddagger$ Titi Lieli, lib, xuxiv, cap، 19. $\$$ In virs Mariio

[^106]:    - De Bello Hifp.
    †.Voll. Paterc. lib. $\mathrm{ii}_{\mathrm{i}}$ §go.
    $\ddagger$ Lib. iii.
    $\oint$ Lib. xliv.
    (16 Nect numarb Infpaces, nec robore Gallos, nec calliditate Peenos; nec " artibus Greecos, nee denique hac ipfo hujus gentis, ac terrae domeftice " ativoque fenfu, Italos ipfos ac Latinos-_fuperavimus." De baru/p. re/p. cap. 9. The diforderg of $\mathrm{SPAIN}_{\mathrm{N}}$, feem to have been almoft proverbial: "Nec impacatos a rergn horrebis Iberes.". Virg. Georg, lib. iii. The 18eri are here plainly taken, by a poetical figure, for robbers in general.

    Il Varro de re rufica, lib, ii. prafo. Columizía préfo Stritom. Atcust. cap. "4z.
    r.Vol. I. H h that

[^107]:    - Though the obfervacions of L'abbe du Bop fiould te adanited, tikt Itaiy is now wargier than in former fimes, the cenfequence may not be neceflary, that it is more popolows of better cultivated. If the other cooturies of Eurios were more lavage and woody the cold winde that blew from whem, might affett the ctimate of ITAITT.
    t See NOTE [QR].
    $\ddagger$ L'Efprit de Loix, liv, xeiiji chap. 1g. S De Orat. Defictue. .

[^108]:    - See NOTE [RR].

    4 Annal. lib. i. cap. 2.
    千 Lib. viii. and ix.
    Pletarcu. De bis qui fero a Numine puriunemir.

[^109]:    - Lib. ii, cap. 3 3.

[^110]:    * Herodian, lib, ii,

[^111]:    *See Locri on Government, chap. vii. § go.
    $\dagger$ Id, chap, xi. $\oint 138$ 839, 140 .

[^112]:    $K_{k}$

