This is a reproduction of a library book that was digitized by Google as part of an ongoing effort to preserve the information in books and make it universally accessible.





https://books.google.com



3,

RB 2? 026416

LETTER

TO THE

Reverend Mr TOPLADY,

Occasioned by his late LETTER

TOTHE

Reverend Mr WESLEY.

By THOMAS OLIVERS.

,

LONDON, Printed:
And Sold by E. CABE in Ave-Mary Lane.

He Marshall



EFEFEFEFEFEFEFEF

Α

LETTER, &c.

SIR,

dated March 26, 1770, was put into my hand, with a request that I would make some remarks upon it. I know not why this request was made to me; unless it is because thinking men judged you un-

worthy the notice of a superior person.

At first, I thought you deserved no notice at all; confidering with what almost unparalleled contempt, you, a man of yesterday; and of no character in the world, have treated Mr Wesley; a Gentleman, whose literary accomplishments, as well as indefatigable labours, are hardly to be equalled: To say nothing of his age and usefulness, which certainly will have some weight with every modest young man.

But

But when I confider, how few are able to judge of the merits of a cause, by hearing one fide only; and with how many, a warm affertion, a meer dogmatism, will have all the weight of a demonstration; when I consider, that many of your well-meaning readers may be of this number, and that, if no answer be given, they may conclude, by your affurance, that you are unanswerable; I judge it may be worth while to lay before you and them a few brief animadversions.

But, as I cannot prevail with myself to throw off all good manners; and to expose that measure of common tenie I am possessed of, to the contempt of every candid reader; much less to disclaim the meekness and gentleness of CHRIST; I shall not pretend to treat you according to your deferts; Was I to do this, I must embellish almost every page, with such slowers as you feem peculiarly to admire: I should at every turn call you, "Jesuit"-"Pope"-"Bellwether"-"KNAVE!" A "Theological coward" - a "Religious gambler" a "LYING SOPHISTER!" I should compare you to an "Oyster-woman" - a "Porter" - a "Carman" - a "Chimney-sweeper"— to a "Clumsey bungling anatomist"- to an " Infane person rolling himself in the mud"- to a " Lurking, fly affassin!" I should tell you of your "Low, ferpentine cunning" - of your "Dirty subterfuges"-of your "mean malicious impotence!" I should represent you, as a man equally void of "Honour and integrity;" and strongly infinuate that you are a "PERJURED" villain! Then, when I had thus hectored and bullied you till I was weary; I should talk of transmitting you " To Virginia or Maryland, if " not to TYBURN!

Performances of this kind may appear wonderfully pretty to a beardless Batchelor of Arts, just stept, piping hot, out of an University. But that you, the Reverend Mr Toplady, Vicar of Bradbembury, and Chaplain to a Right Honourable Lord! should imagine such productions are fit to occupy the press; or that they in any degree deserve the attention of the public, is really surprizing. From the various titles which you bear, and

from your seeming contempt of every thing mean and dirty; we might naturally expect, that scurrility, and personal abuse, would be deemed by you, only as the excrement; of the prosi; and that you would pronounce all such scribblers, as use them, to be mere nuisances in

the republic of letters.

As this remark is the result of cool deliberation, you may be assured I shall not address you, as you have Mr Wesley: No; if Michael the Archangel durst not bring a railing accusation against the Devil; much less dare I against you. But though I may not be scurrilous, I may be very plain, both in pointing out wherein you have spoken amis; and in making such resections thereon, as occasion may require.

You say, page 2. "Though you," Mr Wesley, " are meither mentioned, nor alluded to, throughout the whole book; yet it could hardly be imagined, that a treatise, apparently tending to lay the ax to the root of those pernicious dostrines, which, for more than thirty years past, you have endeavoured to palm on your credulous followers, with all the sophistry of a Jesuit, and the authority of a Pope, should long pass without some censure from a restless Arminian, who has so eagerly endeavoured to distinguish himself as the bell-wether of his deluded thousands."

If we strip this paragraph of its ornaments, all which

it means is,

Though you, Mr Wesley, are not mentioned in it, yet it could hardly be imagined, that a Treatise, confuting your favourite doctrines, should pass without some

censure from you.

Now, what need was there of saying more? Would not the meaning have been as compleat, if you had not added those embellishments, "Credulous followers,—" the sophistry of a Jesuit,—the authority of a Pope?" Would any proper idea have been lost, if you had not called Mr Westey a "Restless Arminian,"— or the bell-wether of his deluded thousands?" Does this language give us so just an idea of any thing, as of the malevolence

malevolence and impetuosity of your Spirit; and of the 'sfmallness of your acquaintance with the rules of common decency?

However, you are right in this, It could not well be imagined, such a Treatise should pass without some censure from Mr Wesley. The reason is obvious. The doctrines which you call pernicious, he calls the truths of God; by the preaching of which, he has been instrumental in turning thousands from the error of their Way. This, the most partial eye has seen; the most prejudiced heart believed; and the most malignant tongue, sperhaps not even your own excepted,) has been forced to acknowledge.

Of those awakened by his means, besides multitudes who have gone back into the world, or joined with other denominations, and beside thousands who are gone to Paradise; there are, at this time, near thirty thousand under his care. As he finds that these are the envy, not only of the Devil and the world, but likewise of many good, but mistaken men; he thinks himself obliged, by every facred tie, to defend them, to the utmost of his power. Hence it is that he hath published so many desensive pieces, in particular against Dr Gill, Count Zinzendorf, Sandiman, Cudworth and You: whose Antinomianism appears to him to be subversive of the whole power and practice of Religion.

But what seems to give particular umbrage to you is, that Mr Weses has not viewed you in that important light which you think you deserve, and which you seem earnessly to desire. Hence you complain, that "In the month of March, 1770, out sneaks a printed paper (consisting of one sheet, solded into twelve pages, and price one penny)"—Was ever before such an affront put upon a man of eminence! But why sneaks, Sir? Could you sind no word a little more polite! but politeness is not your taste.

What feems to be emphatically criminal is, that this fneaking paper confifts only "Of one sheet, folded into "twelve pages, and price one penny." I suppose you intend a climax here. Then the first degree of criminality, that this paper confists only of one sheet; is

an alarming circumstance! The second, that it is folded into twelve pages, is doubtless an huge aggravation of its guilt! The third, and which is worst of all is, it

is price one penny!

This evil, however, to do you justice, you have been careful to avoid. For, first, your paper consists of four balf (beets; and these are folded into thirty-two pages; price fixpence! But then, Sir, all the praise of this does not belong to you. To do every man justice, you must give a large measure of it to those you employed as scavengers, in collecting dirt, for you to throw in Mr Wesley's face. The printer, likewise, must have his share, for employing large types and thick scaleboards on the occasion; and for giving us plenty of margin for our money: By this means you have been able to fill an octavo page, with eleven lines less, and in each line, eight or ten letters less, than Mr Wesley has in one of his pages in twelves. Had it not been for the liberal assistance of such auxiliaries, you might have found fome difficulty in furnishing us with balf a speet, folded into fix pages, for our fixpence.

Ibid. You ask, "Why did you not make your abridgment truly public?" He has made it as truly public as he does his writings in general. No; you fay, " Itaprivately crept abroad from the Foundery." - Crept abroad! nay furely it walked, if it did not run; and that pretty swiftly too; for, within a few weeks after its publication, it was in every part of England, Woles, Scotland and Ireland. " It was fold indeed," you fay, " but it was fold under the rose." It was fold in the same manner his other writings are. " It was carefully " circulated in the dark." It was not. Sir. a defect either in your veracity or information. " fuch conduct," you say, page 3. " I can discover " much of the Jefuit, but nothing of the Saint." If I durit adopt the language of Billingsgate and you, perhaps, I might tell you what I discover in this illiberal remark. But, at present, I shall only observe, that it is very strange you should unchristian Mr Wesley for the manner of publishing a pamphlet. You go on, "I

" had, to this hour, remained unapprized of the secret fab, but for the information received from some of superior integrity to yourself." How secret? When it was, in a few weeks, published over a great part of

the three kingdoms?

" I will put Christianity quite out of the question, " and suppose it to have no kind of influence. But " should you not act as a man of common bonour?" Ah, poor Mr Wesley! what a case will you be in, before Mr Toplady has done with you? He has already divested you of common grace, and common bonour; it will be wellif he leaves you in possession of common sense! But to return; pray, Mr Toplady, what honour is it that YOU. want; or that you really think you deserve? You have been treated with the same honour, which has satisfied some of the greatest writers in the kingdom; and surely, Sir, you have not the vanity to defire more! "Come " forth openly, Sir, in future," you fay, "like an ho-" nest, generous Assailant; and, from this moment " forward, disdain to act the ignoble part of a lurking, " fly affaffin." Come forth openly? How openly do. you defire? He has already appeared against you, over a g eat part of the three kingdoms; Do you want him to found the alarm over the four quarters of the globe? As to the advice you give him concerning altering his plan of operations, "from this moment," I would obferve; that it is pity you were not in being about fifty years ago, to have instructed him in the art of attacking a formidable enemy; when, perhaps, he was almost as great a novice as you and I are at prefent. But, as this was not the case, I fear it is now too late, and that your labour will be loft. Mr Wesley is now an old man, and old men are not easily persuaded to adopt new measures; therefore, if he has not, to "this mo-" ment," learned how to publish an abridgment of a poor pamphlet, I fear he never will.

Ibid. You ask, "Why did you not abridge me faithfully and fairly?" He has abridged you, just in such manner, as he thinks you deserve. For, first, he looks on most of your propositions as little better than

felf-evident absurdaties: and the arguments with which you have endeavoured to support them, as exceeding frivolous. In the second place, he thinks that you are totally deficient in that modesty, which ought to be one of the chief ornaments of your years: In other words; that you are quite too dogmatical in treating a subject of this abstructe nature: a subject, which has caused so many persons of the greatest learning, and deepest experience, (and with whom, you are not worthy to be named) to despair of seeing it fully investigated on this side eternity.

Now, I appeal to any man. if such writers ought to be treated with that regard which is due (only) to candour and modelty? In general, contemptuous silence is all they can claim. Nevertheless, sometimes, it may be worth while to point out their errors. And, if burlesque or irony may ever be used, it is toward such self-important novices. What wonder, then, that Mr Wesley, instead of putting on a coat-of-mail, and drawing out heavy artillery, for you; has thought it better, both for his own credit, and for your improvement, to take the ferala, to correct your pert forwardness?

This he has done, in an abridgment of your performance; in which, he has prefented the reader with your propositions, just as they are; only, in a few instances, he has added two or three words, to take off a little of the false colouring, by which you endeavour to hide their deformity: and, in the conclusion, he has summed up the whole as you sught to have done; but,

which, you were asbamed of doing.

Ibid. You ask again, "Why must you lard your "ridiculous compendium with additions and interpolations of your own?" Why? To make you, who profess to be an absolute Predestinarian, speak without disguise the language of absolute Predestination: But what are these additions and interpolations? You tell us, page 4. "A false colouring must be superinduced. "by inserting a sentence or two now and them of your own. After which, you close the mostly piece.

A 5

" with an entire paragraph, forged, every word of is,

" by yourfelf."

Here we have two things laid to Mr Wesley's charge. The fift, that he has inferted a sentence or two now and then of his own; besides that paragraph with which he concludes. The second, that by this means, a false colouring is superinduced. Now, Sir, I nor only deny both these propositions, but will demonstrate that they are absolutely false.

And first, That he has inserted a sentence or two now and then of his own; befides that paragraph with which he concludes. All these additions I have now before me, written, with Mr Wesley's own hand,

on the margin of the pamphlet he abridged.

Before I shew what these are, it must be observed. that all which he has written on the margin, cannot be taken into the account. For, first, there is, now and then, a word, or a letter, which was necessary to connect the sentences. Secondly, there is a remark in your 83d page, where Mr Wesley asks, " Is this a pa-" rallel case?" which no one will look upon as an addition, or an interpolation. Nor, thirdly, is the last paragraph to be reckoned among them; because youtell us, that " after" those sentences, " this paragraph " concludes the motly piece." What, therefore, we are to inquire for, is, A SENTENCE OR TWO, of Mr Welley's own, now and then inferted; besides these odd words and letters; besides that, which is, properly, a remark of his own; and besides that paragraph, which concludes the piece.

Now, Sir, where are we to look for this SENTENCE or Two added NOW AND THEN? I have not found them yet. Nor can I find so much as a single SENTENCE, from one end of the abridgment to the other. It is true, in page 45. Mr Wesley has inserted the word, chiefly, instead of the word, only; and in p. 80. where you had said, "He is a tyrant who governs contrary to law," he has said, to "justice and mercy." And, ence more, in p. 87. he has added, not a sentence

OR Two; but a fingle clause, confishing of the five monofyllables following, " which they could not help."

And do you call this, "Inferting a fentence or two " of his own now and then!" I befeech you, dear Sir, do not speak another word about misrepresentation, falsbood or injustice. Had Mr W. given you such an advantage as this, mercy upon him! How you would have believed over him!

The second part of your objection is, that "by these additions and interpolations, a false colouring is sure perinduced:" The contrary of which I am next to demonstrate.

And first, as to the change of the word only, for the word chiefly, I cannot see any false colouring which is fuperinduced thereby. For though you fay, "Divine " pre-ordination has for its object, all things that are " created—from the highest angel—to the minutest 44 atom;" yet your meaning is, that it chiefly refers to This is evident angels and men, as Mr W. has faid. from the following words: "However, the antient of fathers only make use of the word predestination, as it refers to angels and men: and, it is used by the " Apostle Paul, in a more limited sense still, so as by " it he means that branch of it, which respects God's election and defignation of his people to eternal life." Certainly your meaning is, that though predefination has for its object all things that are created; yet, according to the antient fathers, and the Apostle Paul, it

word predefination chiefly refers to angels and men.

Nor is there any injury done to your general argument, by the change of this word. So far from it, that I think Mr W. has done you fingular fervice, by leaving out what, to many, would be a ftrong objection. You had been labouring to prove, that although the word predefination chiefly refers to angels and men; yet, it has all things for its object. Now, Sir, I conceive that the following words give up a confiderable part of the proof of this; "The antient fathers only make use of the word predefination, as it refers to angels and men;—and it is used, by the Apostle Paul, in a "more

"more limited sense still; to mean only that branch of it which respects God's election and designation of his people to eternal life." Sir, I apprehend you have acknowledged too much. For if the Apostle Paul, and the antient sathers, make use of the word predestination only as it refers to angels and men, is it not presumption for the followers of that Apostle, and the children of those fathers, to make use of it as referring to all things? Moreover, does it not follow, that all who thus make use of it, have no authority from antiquity for so doing; besides that of the Stoicks and of some other HEATHENS? I therefore think you ought to be thankful to Mr W. for the service he has done you in this instance.

Nor is this all; for your very diction is mended by passing through Mr W.'s hand.—You had said, "How-" ever the antient sathers only make use of the word predestination, as it refers to angels and men," According to this construction, you may be understood to mean, that the antient sathers, and none besides, have thus used the word. Had Mr W. wrote this sentence at large, I am persuaded he would have said, However, the antient sathers make use of the word predestination only as it refers to angels and men: or, as it is in the abridgment, "All beings whatsoever, from the highest "angel, to the meanest reptile, are the objects of Goo's eternal decrees. However, it chiefly refers to "angels and men."

Seeing then your meaning is preferved, your argument ftrengthened, and your language amended; you have no reason to complain of a false colouring being

superinduced.

The next place where there is any alteration, is in your definition of a tyrant, page 80. "He is a tyrant, you fay, "in the common acceptation of the word, "who—being originally a lawful prince, abuses his power, and governs contrary to law." Mr W. instead of saying, Contrary to law; has said, "Contrary to justice and mercy."

That '

That your account of a tyrant is abfurd, appears from hence; it supposes, 1. That there can be no such things as tyrannical laws. 2. That those persons who are, almost, universally allowed to be abominable tyrants, (such as Nero, Domitian, Lewis the Fourteenth, and our own bloody Mary) were no tyrants at all; since they had law for all their infernal proceedings. Excuse a little digression, I will shew more particularly, r. That a person may govern according to law, and yet be a great tyrant. And, 2. That he may govern contrary to law, and yet be no tyrant.

And, 1. A person may govern according to law, and yet be a great tyrant. Suppose, for instance; a King to enact a law, That all persons, who were born in his dominions, should be laid under an irresistible necessity of committing high treason; and that in consequence of committing this, a very great majority of them should be put to a cruel death. Suppose again, That in consequence of this decree, all the thousands afterwards born, are born under this necessity, in consequence thereof commit this treason, and in consequence of committing it, a very great majority of them are actually burnt alive. Would not you, would not all the world look upon such an one as a most abominable tyrant? First, for making

fuch a law? And, Secondly, for executing it?

Transfer this to the King of heaven; and suppose he decreed, from all eternity, That the whole human racesshall come into the world under an irresistible necessity of siming; and that in consequence of siming, a very great majority of them shall suffer the torments of the damned for ever. Suppose again, that in virtue of this decree, all are born under an irresistible necessity of sinning; that in consequence of this necessity, all do actually sin; and in consequence of this fin, a great majority of them are cast into a lake of sire; is it possible, without consounding all distinction between good and evil, to look upon such a God, as any other than a most dreadful tyrant? And are we not constrained to behold him in this light, first, for making such a decree; and, secondly, for executing it?

2. A Person may govern contrary to law, and yet be no Perhaps there is not a Prince upon earth, who is, himself, subject to law, and who, in the general, governs according to it; but, in various infances, acts or governs contrary to the laws he is subject to. For instance, were not some of the first steps which Henry the Eighth took, towards the Reformation, contrary to those laws by which Popery was established? And were not some of the first steps which Queen Elizabeth took, in restoring the Reformation, contrary to those laws of Mary, by which she had suppressed it? Yet, who will fay that these were tyrants in those particulars? Or what if any Heathen, or Mahometan Prince, was to take some steps towards abolishing their present superstitions, and establishing Christianity in their stead, (which certainly would be contrary to their present laws) would you, would any Christian, deem such an one a tyrant on that account? You therefore fee how absurd it is to say, "He is a tyrant who governs con-" trary to law."

As to the account of a tyrant, which Mr W. has given; I would observe, first, that it is firially TRUE and PROPER. And, secondly, that no false colouring is superinduced thereby. The truth of this last propofition appears from hence; you have been labouring to prove that Gop, in the decree of reprobation, "did " not act an unjust, tyrannical or cruel part." In endeavouring to acquit him of the charge of tyranny, you have faid, that he "does not act contrary to law." Now, I insist on it, that Mr W. has done your business more effectually, by supposing you to say, "Contrary to justice and mercy." Because acting, either contrary to law, or according to it, is no proof of a tyrant, as is shewn above; but acting contrary to justice and mercy, is a demonstration of it. And that no false colouring it, upon the whole, superinduced, appears from hence; that what Mr W. has here supposed you to say; you yourself have insisted on, and that largely too. both in the preceeding and following words. Therefore.

fore, in this instance, you have no cause to complain

of misrepresentation.

The third place where an addition is inserted, is in page 87. where you have been endeavouring to reconcile the doctrine of reprobation, with the doctrine of a suture judgment.—On this you observe, that "Christ" will then properly sit as a Judge; and openly publish and solemnly ratisfy his everlasting decrees, by receiving the elect, body and soul, into-glory, and by passing sentence on the non-elect (not for their having done what they could not help, but) for their wilful ignous rance of divine things, and their obstinate unbelief; for their omissions of moral duty, and for their results iniquity and transgression,"—" which," says Mr W. "they could not help."

Observe, i. That this addittion cannot be called "a false co'ouring;" because, strictly speaking, it is no colouring at all. For as you have declared, two lines above, (very absurdly, on your supposition,) that the sentence will be passed on the reprodute, "not for their having done what they could not help;" and as Mr W. has left this clause standing in the abridgment, every body must see, at first view, that the last clause is Mr W.'s; and that it is added by way of burlesque on

" their having done what they could not help;" and as Mr W. has left this clause standing in the abridgment, every body must see, at first view, that the last clause is Mr W.'s; and that it is added by way of burlefque on your aftonishing inconsistency. Observe, 2. That suppose we allow some colouring to be superinduced, yet it cannot be a false one; seeing it is not only that, which you, As a Predestinarian, OUGHT to make use of, but what, in effect, you HAVE made use of, in various parts of your translation. the following passages serve as a specimen. "Bishop " Hopkins did not go a jot too far in afferting," that "! NOT A DUST PLIES ON A BRATEN ROAD, BUT GOD " RAISETH IT, CONDUCTS its uncertain motion, and, " by his particular care, conveys it to the certain " place he had BEFORE APPOINTED for it: nor shall " the most sierce and tempestuous wind hurry it any " farther." Preface, page 4. Again, page 5. " We " should have our hearts well established in the firm 44 and unwavering belief of this truth; that WHATSO- er ever comes to pass, BE IT GOOD OR EVIL. We may look up to the hand and disposal of all, to Gop-" page 25. "God worketh all things in all men; even "WICKEDNESS IN THE WICKED." page 50. "He," man, " fell in consequence of the divine decree." Pref. D. 13. "WHATEVER, therefore, comes to país, comes to pass as a part of the original plan." Observ. p. 7. " Whatever comes to pais, comes to pais BY VIRTUB of this absolute omnipotent will of God." page 14. "WHATEVER things come to pais, come to pais NE-" CESSARILY." Ibid. " WHATEVER MAN DOES, HE " DOES NECESSARILY." p. 15. "ALL THINGS turn out according to divine predefination; not only the " works we do outwardly, but even the thoughts we " think inwardly." p. 20. " WHATSOEVER GOD hath " determined, concerning every individual person and " thing, shall furely and INFALLIBLY be accomplished " in and upon-them."

Page 76. "They who are not faved from fin, must unavoidably perish: but the reprobate are not saved from fin; for they have neither will nor power to fave themselves; and Gop, though he certainly can, yet will not save them: therefore their perdition is UNAVOIDABLE."

Now, Sir, if all this be as you fay, then let all men judge if "Christ will not pass sentence on the "non-elect for that ignorance and unbelief; for those omissions of moral duties; and for those repeated iniquities and transgressions," which, (as Mr W.

fays) THEY COULD NOT HELP?

But this clause, you may say, is directly contrary to what you have expressly declared but two lines above: wire. "That Chrast will pass sentence on the non-elect, "not for having done what they could not help." I allow it. But how you will reconcile this declaration and many more with the MAIN PROPOSITION, afferted and defended by you, I know not. Let the following inflances serve as a specimen of the whole.

ALL

page 2.

WHATEVER comes to pass, comesto pais necessarily. p. 14. WHATSOEVER man does, he does NECESSARILY, ibid.

Whatfoever comes to país, BE IT-GOOD OR EVIL. we may look up to the hand and disposal of ALL, to God, In respect of Gon. there is NOTHING casual or contingent in the world. He APPOINTS ALL the vicifitudes of things, ibid.

God may, in some sense, be faid to avill the being and commission of fin, p. 13.

God worketh ALL things in all men. even wicked-· ness in the wicked, p.25.

ALL events are in the It by no means follows, hand of God. Not a dust that they (the creatures) do FLIES ON A BEATEN ROAD, not act freely and fpendabut God RAISETH it, CON- neoully, or that the evil they DUCTS its uncertain mo- commit is to be charged on tion; and, by his PARTI- GOD, p. 7. The condem-CULAR care, CONVEYS it to nation of the reprobate is the certain place he had BE- UNAVOIDABLE; yet the ne-FORE APPOINTED for it : cessity of it is so far from nor shall the most fierce and making them mere machines, tempestuous wind harry it or involuntary agents, that it ANY FARTHER, Preface. does not, IN THE LEAST. Whatever he knows to be INTERPERE with the rafuture, shall necessarily and tional freedom of their will; infallibly come to pass, nor serve to render them less inexcusable, p. 77.

> Gon's free and voluntary permission of fin, lays as man under any forcible or compultive NECESSITY Of committing it, p. 13.

If men do not believe his word, nor observe his precepts, the fault is in THEMSELVES: Their own unbelief and disobedience are NOT owing to any ill infused into them by Gon; but to the vitiofity of their depraved nature and perverseness of their own will. page ζ.

Sin, as fin, is the abominable thing that his foul hateth, page 13.

God is the creator of the wicked, but not of THEIR WICKEDNESS, p. 74.

and doth not in the leaft detract from the boliness and recitude of God, p. 14.

WHATSOEVER comes to país, comes to país BY VIR-TUE of this ABSOLUTE OM-NIPOTENT WILL OF GOD, p. 7. His will, and the execution of it, are IRREsistible, Preface, p. 13.

The purpose or decree of Goo, fignifies his everlasting appointment of some men to life, and of others to death: which appointment flows ENTIRELY from bis own free and sovereign will, page 19.

The WILL of GoD is the all THINGS, page 7. ONLY reason that can be affigned why the Deity does cause it is his own free pleafure, p. q. The sole CAUSE of the former, and the per- their iniquities, p. 74. dition of the latter, p. 11.

To fay that he willeth \ He cannot, confiftently with the PURITY of his nature, the glory of his attributes, and the truth of his declarations, be himself THE AUTHOR of fin, p. 74.

> GOD does NOT FORCE them into fin, p. 71. consequence of their natural depravity, they are VOLUN-TARILY biaffed and inclined to evil-and without ANY OTHER efficiency lay violent hands on their own foul, page 76.

> He does not condemn any of those (the reprobate) MERELY because be bath not chofen them, but because THEY HAVE SINNED against HIM, page 74.

The reprobate shall unprimary and supreme cause of dergo this punishment justly and on account of their fins. Sin is the meritorious and immediate cause of any this, or amits that, is, be- man's damnation, p. 49. Again, the condemnation of the ungodly—is not unwhy some are saved, and just, seeing it is for fin, and others perish, proceeds from only for fin. None are, or his WILLING the falvation will be punished, but for

No free-will of the creature can resist the will of Gop: - the human will cannot refift him, so as to make him do more or less than is his pleasure to do, The WILL of GOD, which is the first cause of all things, should seem to lay a kind of necessity upon our wills, p. 8. We can enly do what God from eternity willed and foreknew we should, p. 15. We are expressly told, that thefe PERSONS (the reprobates, spoken of by Esaias) could not believe, un novante, they were not able; it was out of their power, p. 95.

Man is not excusable for neglecting God's will of command. Pharaob was faulty, and therefore jufily punishable for not obeying Gop's revealed will:-Abrabam would bave committed fin, had he refused to facrifice Isaac. - Herod, Pontius Pilate, and the reprobate Jews, were justly condemned for putting CHRIST to death. - Judas is jufily punished for perfidiously and wickedly betraying Christ, He (CHRIST) will then properly fit as a Judge paffing fentence on the nonelect (not for having done what they could not help, but) for their wilful ignorance of divine things, and their obstinate unbelief; for their omissions of moral duty, and for their repeated iniquities and transgressions, page 87.

I might go on; but to tell you the truth, I am tired with transcribing your absurdities and contradictions. But I must not leave this head without giving you a word of friendly admonition. Dear Sir, let us never again hear you complain of "inconsistencies and contradictions; of jarring principles (ever at intestine war with each other) or of incoherence in our religious systems." Whoever else may cry out against these, I pray you, for modesty sake! to be quite silent.—"Fro, to apply your own remark) "Your scheme of doctrine reminds me of the "feet of a certain visionary image, which, as the sacred penman acquaints us, seemed to be composed of ires.

er iron and clay. Heterogeneous materials may be put .st together, but will never incorporate with each other. Somewhat like the necromantic foup in the tragedy of MACBETH; your doctrines," Mr Toplady, " may be if stirred into a chaotic jumble, but witchcraft itself " would strive in vain to bring them into coalition."

But what gives you most offence of all is this; " You (Mr W.) "close the motly piece, with an entire para-"graph, forged, every word of it, by yourself," p. 4. What this paragraph is, you tell him: " Your con-" cluding paragraph, which you have the effrontery " to palm on the world as mine, runs thus: " The " fum of all is this: One in twenty (suppose) of man-" kind are elected; nineteen in twenty are reprobated. " The elect shall be faved, do what they will; the reor probate shall be damned, do what they can. Rea-" der, believe this, or be damned! Witness my hand, " A_ T_."

The Words, I acknowledge, are Mr W's. But " Words " (you yourself, have told us) are only so far waluable, as they are the vehicles of meaning. And by parity of reason, they are only so far buriful to any one. Now Sir, I undertake to demonstrate, that there is no meaning in these words, which is not purely your own; and which you have not plainly expressed in many parts of your tract.

This paragraph, confifts of three particulars: 1. A. supposed proportion; as to number, in which the elect and reprobate stand to each other; "One in twenty " ([uppo/e) of mankind are elected; nineteen out of " twenty are reprobated." 2. The terms on which both these are treated, with respect to their everlasting state; "The elect shall be faved, do what they will; " the reprobate shall be damned, do what they can." 3. The necessity of believing this, "Reader, believe this, or be damned! Witness my hand, A-T-." And, 1. Here is a supposed proportion, as to number, in which the elect and reprobate stand to each other: 44 One in twenty (suppose) of mankind are elected; " nineteen.

Preface to Zanchins, p. 174.

" nineteen out of twenty reprobated." That there expressions are not intended to ascertain the precise number of the elect and reprobate, is evident. The words are, "One in twenty, suppose." As if he had faid, " Let us, for argument sake, suppose a certain number, " for an uncertain." All therefore, that he here fuppoles you to fay is, that a very small proportion of mankind are elected, and, of consequence, a great proportion reprobated.—And that he hath not mifrepresented you in this, appears from your own words: It is but too certain, that, in the scriptures, are such 44 awful passages as these; Broad is the way, and wide " is the gate which leadeth unto destruction, and many " there be that go in thereat: while on the other hand. " Strait is the gate, and narrow is the way, that leadeth " unto life, and few there be that find it. --- MANY " are called, but PEW chosen. - Fear not, little flock, " it is your Father's good pleasure to give you the kingdom. There is a remnant, according to the election of grace ." Here, Sir, you have not only acknowledged all that Mr W. has supposed you to say, but have also proved it from scripture.

2. We have, in this paragraph, the terms on which both the elect and reprobate shall be treated, with respect to their everlating states: "The elect shall be faved, do what they will; the reprobate shall be

" damned, do what they can"

ļ

That what Mr W. has here supposed you to say, is no missepresentation of your real meaning, appears from what you yourself have said in various parts of your production. I shall consider this matter, 1. As it respects the elect and reprobates in general; 2. As it re-

spects each of them in particular.

And, I. As it respects the elect and reprobates in general. "Whatever he foreknows, shall necessarily and membership come to pass. For his knowledge can more be frustrated, or his wisdom be deceived, than he can cease to be God," p. 2. "The will of God, respecting the salvation and condemnation of men."

[·] Letter to Mr W. p. 19.

men, is never contrary to itself; he immutably wills st the falvation of the elect, and vice versa: nor can 46 he ever deviate from his own will in any instance " whatever, so that that should be done, which he es willeth not; or that not be brought to pass, which " he willeth," p. 4. "No free-will of the creature er can resist the will of GoD; for man cannot so will, or nill, as to obstruct the divine determination, or " overcome the divine power.-It cannot be questioned 4 but God does all things, and ever did, according to 46 his own purpose: the buman will cannot resist him, so as to make him do more or less than it is his pleasure " to do," p. 7. " The fole cause, why some men are " faved, and others perish, proceeds from bis willing 44 the salvation of the former, and the perdition of the " latter," p. 11. " It (the purpose or decree of Gon) si fignifies his everlasting appointment of some men to life, " and of others unto death: which appointment flows " entirely from bis own free and sovereign will," p. 19. "His eternal predestination of men and things must be " immutable as bimself, and, so far from being reversi sible, can never admit of the least variation," p. 20. "His counsels and defigns stand firm and immoveable, and can neither admit of alteration in themselves, nor 41 of bindrance in their execution," p. 21. "Gop will es certainly fave all, whom he wills should be saved; of he will as furely condemn all, whom he wills " should be condemned; for he is the Judge of the whole earth, whose decree shall stand, and from " whose sentence there is no appeal," p. 49. "We " affert, that the number of the elect, and also of the er reprobate, is so fixt and determinate, that neither CAN " be augmented or diminished," p. 55. "The number. " as well of the elect, as of the reprobate, is fixt and " certain," ibid. "Gon does indeed precisely know to of A MAN, who are, and who are not, the objects of his " electing favour," p. 56. "If, between the elect and se reprobate, there was not a great gulph fixed, so that " neither can be otherwise than they are, then the will of God (which is the alone canse why some are chosen

and others are not) would be rendered of no effect,"
p. 57. "God's eternal love of fome men, and hatred
of others, is immutable, and cannot be reversed," p. 58.
Now God does, and will do, nothing but in conseending quence of his own decree," p. 72. "As the future
faith and good works of the elect were not the cause
of their being chosen; so neither were the sturre sins
of the reproduct the cause of their being past by: but
to both the choice of the former, and the decretive
omission of the latter, were owing, merely and entirely,
to the sovereign will and determinating pleasure of
God," p. 73.—Thus much for the elect and reprobate in general. Let us now consider each of these in
particular.

And, 1. With respect to the elect. Mr W. has supposed you to say, these shall be saved do what they will. That this is no misrepresentation of your meaning, abun-

dantly appears from the following passages.

"The absolute will of God is the original spring and " efficient cause of his peoples salvation," p. 16. "Since " this absolute will of God is both immutable and omniof potent; we infer, that the falvation of every one of 46 the elect is most infallibly certain, and can by no means " be prevented," p. 17. Predestination, as relating to the elect, is, "That eternal, unconditional, particular, " and irreverfible act of the divine will, whereby God " determined within himself to deliver a certain number " of Adam's degenerate offspring, out of that finful and miserable estate, into which, by his primitive transgression, they were to fall," p. 46. "Those, " who are ordained unto eternal life, were not so ordained on account of any worthiness foreseen in them, or of any good works to be wrought by them, nor " yet, for their future faith: but purely and folely, of " free sovereign grace, and according to the mere pleafure of God," p. 58. " Not one of the elect can " perish, but they must all necessarily be saved," p. 62. "We do not speak at all improperly, when we say, " that the falvation of his people is necessary and cer-" tain," ibid. "Their safety and salvation must be,

in the strictest sense of the word, necessary," ibid. This stedfast and inevitable purpose of God cannot be reversed nor disannulled by any creature whatever," p. 63.

Now, Sir, let every impartial man judge, if the plain meaning of these passages is not this, " That

the elect shall be saved do what they will?"

2. With regard to the reprobate. Mr W. supposes you to fay, that these shall be damned do what they And that this is no mifrepresentation of your meaning, the following passages demonstrate. "The " determinating will of God, being omnipotent, can-" not be obstructed or made void; it follows, that he " never did, nor does he now, will that every indivi-"dual of mankind should be saved," p. 40. " ther is it possible, in the very-nature of the thing, that 44 they (the reprobate) should be elected to salvation. or ever obtain it, who Gop foreknew should perish or then the divine act of preterition would be change-44 able, wavering and precarious; the divine foreknow-" ledge would be deceived; and the divine will im-" peded. All which is impossible," p. 54. would his word be true, with regard to the non-elect, 4' if it was possible for them to be saved," p. 56. "The condemnation of the reprobate is necessary and irrees fiftible," p. 75.

Now, if the plain meaning of these words is not, That the reprobate shall be damned do what they can,

words have no meaning at all.

We come, 3. To confider the necessity of believing this; "Reader, believe this or be damned: Witness "my hand, A—T—." That you have said this, in effect, in many parts of your pamphlet, the following quotations will abundantly evidence. "Without predictions, there can be no Providence; and without of Providence, there can be no God or." Does it not follow of course, that unless we believe in predestination, we cannot believe in a Providence; and that if we do not believe in a Providence, we cannot believe in a God;

Preface, p. 16.

Gon; and may I not add, that unless we believe in a Gon, we cannot be saved? In other words, "He that does not believe in a Providence and in a Gon, if shall be damned; But he that does not believe in Predestination, does not believe in a Providence or a Gon: Therefore, he that does not believe in Predestination, shall be damned."

Again, "Deny this, (that the will of God is the cause of all things) and you, at one stroke, destroy his immutability and independency," p. 9. "Add to this, that it evidently militates against the majesty, omnipotence, and supremacy of God, to suppose he can will any thing in vain," p. 11. "To sav that God absolutely nills the being and commission of sin—is to represent the Deity as a weak and impotent being,"

p. 14.

Now, Sir, if to destroy the immutability and independence of God; to militate against his majesty, omnipotence and supremacy; and to represent him as a weak and impotent Being, are crimes which deserve damnation, and which will be punished with it; and if they who deny that the will of God is the cause of all things, and who say that he nills the being and commission of sin, are guilty of this crime; it must follow that they deserve damnation, and that, if they continue in such an error, they will be punished with it. I therefore leave it to any man to determine, if by attributing such evils unto us, as effectually consigned us over to damnation, you have not faid in effect, though not in so many words, it believe this or be damned!"

Again; Those who object to your doctrine, are "Men of corrupt minds," p. 19. But men of corrupt minds, we all know, shall be damned. Therefore, if we would not be men of corrupt minds, and so be damned, we must not object to your doctrine; or in other words, we must "believe it or be damned."

Again, "It is not in man's own power to believe "himself such, (one of the elect) upon just grounds,

B "till

it till he is enabled from above. But the elect shall be so enabled: they shall believe themselves to be what indeed they are; as for the rest, who are not endued with faith, they shall perish; raging and blaspheming as you do now: (so you represent Luther speaking to Erasmus), p. 99. Here, you say, I. That all who have true faith believe themselves to be unconditionally elected. 2. That those who do not believe this, have no true faith. 3. They shall perish, raging and blaspheming like Erasmus! So that here you have spoke out, "Believe this, or be damned."

Again, "The doctrine of predeftination is not only " uleful, but absolutely necessary to be taught," ibid. "Without it we cannot form just and becoming ideas of "Gop," ibid. "Deny predestination, and you deny "-the adorable perfections of Goo," p. 105 " The " grace of Gop cannot be maintained without it," ibid. Without the doctrine of predestination, we cannot " enjoy a lively fight and experience of Gon's special love " and mercy towards us in CHRIST JESUS," p. Y11. "Without taking predestination into the account, ge-" nuine morality and the performance of truly good works, " will fuffer, starve, and die away. The fuel of boly " affection-can only be cherished, maintained and increased in the heart, by the sense and apprehension of "Gon's predestinating love," p. 114. " Absolute re-" fignation can only flow from an absolute belief of. " and an absolute acquiescence in, Goo's absolute " providence, founded on absolute predestination,"

Now if it be so, that the doctrine of predestination is absolutely necessary to be taught, because without it we cannot form just and becoming ideas of God; because without it, we must deny his adorable persessions; because without it, we cannot maintain the grace of God; because without it we cannot enjoy a lively sight and experience of God's special love; because without it, genuine morality cannot be performed, and good works will suffer, starve and die away; because without it, the

the fuel of holy affection cannot be cheristed, or maintained in the heart; and because without it, there can be no absolute resignation; nothing can be plainer than the consequence, we must "Believe this, or be "DAMNED."

I have now gone through each of the particulars contained in this paragraph, added by Mr W.; and have produced it from under your band, Mr A—T—. That the fum of all is this: One in twenty (suppose) of mankind are elected; nineteen in twenty are re- probated. The elect shall be faved, do what they will; the reprobate shall be damned, do what they can. Reader, believe this, or be damned!

From all that has been faid on this head, we learn how to answer your question, p. 5. "Have you quoted me fairly?" I answer, Yes: and, for the truth of

this answer, I appeal to the preceding account.

And now what becomes of your decent reflections? -" In almost any other case, you say, "a similar " forgery would transmit the criminal to Virginia or " Maryland, if not to Tyburn," p. 6. I hope you are not forry that this case will not do it. You proceed, "If such an opponent can be deemed an bonest man, where shall we find a knave?" How elegant is this! O, Sir, what a pattern of patience and meekness are you! Have you not given us too much reason to apply your own words to yourself? " Is it THUS," Mr Toplady, that " you " contend for victory? Are THESE the weapons of " your warfare? Is THIS bearing down those who " differ from you with meekness? Do you call THIS " binding with cords of love? Away, for shame, with " fuch" - fourtility; and suppress such illiberal overflowings of a rancorous mind.

As the next paragraph is very extraordinary, and may shew how much your veracity is to be depended

on, I shall set it down at large.

"And why should you, of all people in the world, be so angry with the dostrines of grace? Forget not the days and months that are past. Remember,

"that it once depended on the tofs of a shilling, whether you yourself should be a Calvinist or an Arminian.
"Tails fell uppermost, and you resolved to be an
"Universalist. It was an happy throw: for it consigned you over to the tents of Arminius. It saved
the from the company of a man, who has bind of

" us from the company of a man, who, by a kind of religious gambling, peculiarly his own, risqued his faith on the most contemptible of all lots: and was capable of tossing up for his creed, as porters, or chairmen, toss up for a balf-penny," p. 7.

Mr W. totally denies this story, and every part of it. I therefore call upon you to prove it. Till this is done, the story of the folding shall stand, as a splindid and lasting monument of your being a wilful liar: not

only the lover, but the maker of a lie.

Again; You say, p. 8. "But, even fince this me"morable epocha" (an epocha that never existed) "you
"have, by no means, proved yourself that fleady Ar"minian, you would have the world believe." He
desires not the world to believe that he was, or was not
any Arminian at all. But as to the doctrines which he
teaches, sew, very sew, have been more fleady therein
sor between thirty and forty years.

You proceed. " Proteus like, you disdain to be " shackled and circumscribed by any form. There 44 are times when you differ as much from your pre-" ceding felf, as you do at all times from the rest of " mankind." Does he at all times differ from the rest Then how comes it to pass, that at of mankind? one time he agrees with Donatus; at another with Pelagius; and at a third with Arminius! " Possessed." you fay, p. 9. " of more than ferpentine elability, you cast your flough, not only once a year, but, almost, once an hour." This, Sir, is by no means to be admitted without evidence. And therefore, if you can prove what you have here so confidently afferted, I call upon you to do it; or I shall set this down as another inflance of your total want of veracity. You still go on. "Hence, your innumerable inconsistencies, and

" flagrant self contradictions; the jarring of your prin-" ciples (ever at intelline war with each other) and " the incoherence of your religious system." The preceding account demonstrates with what propriety you make this remark. Reconcile the inconsistencies, and felf-contradictions, which are found in your own-TWO OF THREE poor pampblets; and I shall undertake to reconcile all the contradictions, that you shall find, in an bundred volumes of Mr W.'s " Evangelical truth " knows nothing of this barlequin affemblage. It is " not, like Joseph's coat, of many colours; -- but is " invariably fimple, uniform, and harmonious." -This is certainly true: And therefore, according to this rule, your doctrine is not evangelical truth: feeing, as I have demonstrated, it is not invariably simple, uniform and barmonious. But as to Mr W. whatever you may do, you have not yet proved that his doctrine is not invariably fimple, uniform, and harmonious; and, therefore, you have not yet proved that it is not evanpelical truth.

Again; "On one occasion," you say, "you had-" the candour to own your levity, as to points of " faith. I am acquainted with a very respectable per-" fon (Mr J. D.) who, many years ago, taking the freedom to tell you, that " your prejudices, l.ke " armed men, stood, with their swords ready drawn, "to-hew down every truth as fast as it presented it-" felf to your mind;" you had the unufual bonefly" -Honefly! UNUSUAL bonefly! I'can scarce forbear saying, Thou novice! Thou bot-beaded novice! be ashamed of calling Mr W. a KNAVE, at every turn !- But, to return; "You had," you tell him, "the unufual ho-" nefty to answer, Ah! Sir! if you knew how dis-" treffed I have been what doctrines I should em-" brace, and how I am toffed about from system to system, " you would think me the most open to conviction, " and the least liable to prejudice, of any man you " ever knew." This whole story is of a piece with the other. Mr W. denies every tittle of it: and I call B 3 upon

upon you and Mr J. D. (if fuch an one exists) either to prove it, or to stand convict of making a lie. "Why "should you," you ask, "who have been so remark- ably tossed about; take upon you to revile those who have been enabled to stand fast?" p. 10. I appeal to the many thousands who have known Mr W. from the beginning, if all this noise concerning his being "so remarkably tossed about;" and concerning his so manifold softings from softem to softem," is not an absolute stander. These know, that the system he set out with, about forty years ago, he has, in general, continued in to the present hour.

The eleventh page contains a most magnificent challenge: "Commence the siege," you say, "and wel"come. Open your trenches, and plant your batteries. Bring forth your strong arguments, and play
them off with vigor: I publicly profess, and subfcribe my name to it, that if I cannot beat you back,
I will freely capitulate, and own myself conquered."
Dear, Sir, what a very Hercules you are!—But, Sir,
I wish you may not sorget the frog in the sable.

I wish you may not forget the frog in the fable.
You go on; "This should you attempt to do, in a

"manner worthy of a Scholar and a Divine; I shall have no objection (if life and health continue) to "measuring swords, or breaking a pike with you." What? Mr W. measuring swords, or breaking a pike with you! Indeed, Sir, you must first go to Jericho; and tarry there till your beard grows. Then, should Mr W. be alive, and have nothing else to do, perhaps, he may condescend to some such thing. But in the mean time, the most you have to expess or fear, is the rod or the ferula.

Again, you say. p. 12. "I have no notion of encountering a windmill, in lieu of a giant." Is it possible, Mr Toplady, that you can be so vain, as to imagine you are capable of encountering giants? But you go on. If, therefore, you come against me (as now) with straws, instead of artilley; and with chaff, in the room of ammunition; I shall disdain to give you

" battle: I shall only laugh at you from the ramparts." Dread Sir, how big you talk! What a desperado in your own eyes! Clad with impenetrable brais; armed with deadly steel; and fortified in the castle of your own importance! With killing flames in your eyes; and the found of flaughter thundering from your tongue! You stand on your battlements ready to encounter the most gigantic foe! What wonder, then, that you, the first-born of Mars! should disdain to give battle to such a pigmy as Mr W.! Nay, would it not be too great condescension for you to laugh at bim, from your ram-

O Mr Toplady! how are you changed from what you were a little while ago, when a student in the college of Dublin! Then you thought it an honour, if a las preacher belonging to Mr W. would permit you to fit at his feet for instruction. But now you are become such a literary hero, that, you say of Mr W. him seif, "I would no more enter into a formal controversy, " wish such a scribbler, than I would contend for the " wall with a chimney-sweeper." What an honour to Dr G. that he has been able, in so short a time, so throughly to compleat a most humble admirer! and to make him, (from his heart) so great an admirer, not of the Anabaptist! but of the church of England!

As to what you have faid, most elegant Sir! (p. 13.) of "the Mouse-not cheap, at a penny;" and that you are VERY apprehensive-" the Rat-will be far too dear " at a groat;" I have nothing to fay: and therefore, I shall leave the decision of this important matter to your

own learned disquisition.

Ibid. " Hitherto," you fay, "your treatment of " Zanchius resembles that of some clumsy, bungling " Anatomist: who, in the diffection of an animal, " dwells much on the larger and more obvious parti-" culars; but quite omits the nerves, the muscles, and " the most interesting parts of the complicated ma-" chine." It, rather, resembles the Anatomist, who by shewing the head and the heels of an Ass; convinces us, that he is not diffecting an Elephant. "Thus,"

you fay, "in your piddling extract, you only give a few of the larger outlines; without at all entering " into the spirit of the subject, or so much as producing " (so far from attempting to refute) any of the turning on which the argument depends." This, Sir, is not true: For he has, 1. Given us the definitions of your terms. 2. All your propositions. 3. Many of your explications. And, 4. Not a small quantity of that which you call, proof. And in doing this, he has done quite sufficient. Any one, by reading his extract, will easily discern, " the spirit of the subject," (yea, and of its author too!) - and "the turning points on " which the argument depends:" viz. That "God " is the author of fin !-That whatever is, is right *.-" That a small remnant shall be irresistibly saved-But, " as to all the rest, that God has, unchangeably, irresif-" tibly, and unconditionally

" Confign'd their unborn fouls to hell,

"And damn'd them from their mother's womb."

Yea, damned them, from all eternity! Now, was Mr Westey with great formality, and deep deliberation, to sit down to weigh arguments brought in support of such positions; and, more especially, when they are brought with all your meekness and candour! with all your fear and trembling! and with all your deference to superior age and learning! In such a case, his conduct would have the same degree of propriety, as if he were very gravely, to consider arguments brought to prove that your head and shoulders reach the moon: or, (what is equally impossible to be believed) that, you are a meek and humble man.

Page 14. "When I advert," you fay, "to the unight and indecent manner, in which you attacked the
late excellent Mr Hervey; above all, when I confider how daringly free you have made with the Scriptures themselves, both in your Commentaries and in

" your Alterations of the Text itself; I cease to wonder

^{*} Preface, p. 14.

" at the audacious licentiousness of your pen, respecting " me." As to the controversy between Mr W. and Mr Hervey, read Mr Sellon's answer to those scurrilous Letters; and then judge whether Mr W. or Mr Hervey had reason to complain.

But you say, he has "made daringly free with the "Scriptures both in his Commentaries, and in his "Alterations of the text itself." As to his Commentaries, all candid persons allow, that they are wrote with modesty and self-dissidence: And as to the Text itself he hasmade no other alterations but what all critics and commentators are allowed to do: That is, he has now and then endeavoured to bring the translation a little nearer the original. If this is making daringly free with the scripture, then you yourself are not clear: as your alterations of 1 Tim. iv. 10. in your translation, p. 32. and of Mark xvi. 15. p. 91. and of Mattb. xx. 23. p. 93. and of John xii. 40. and of Asi iv. 28. and chap. xiii. 48. p. 95. and of 1 Pet. ii. 8, 9. p. 97. and of 2 Pet. i. 10. and several other places, demonstrate.

Page 15. You ask, "Which of our antient divines " have you not evaporated and spoiled? And made " them speak a language, when dead; which they " would have started from, with horror, when alive?" Pray, Sir, which of them has he so treated? I know of none. If you do, point out their names: and shew us, fairly, wherein he has made them speak a language when dead; which they did not speak when living. It is true, in collecting his CHRISTIAN LIBRARY, from the writings of antient divines, which he intended to be a Repository of Practical Divinity only, he has passed by, as foreign to his plan, every thing of a controverfial In consequence, he has passed by every thing about unconditional Election and Reprobation. as these are points which have so often exhibitated your spirits; as the idea of a few only going to heaven, has fo often been a cordial to your mind; and as the glorious view of myriads upon myriads, going irrefistibly to eternal death, has so often overwhelmed you with joy and transport! it stings you to the quick! it cuts you

to the heart! to think that such soul-reviving doctrines

should, on any occasion, be omitted.

Ibid. "I wish you to keep your hands," you say, "from literary picking and stealing." Picking and stealing! Good Sir! suppress your scars! whoever else is in danger, you are not. When you have produced any thing worth stealing, we will give you leave to be apprehensive of danger; and to admonish Mr W. As to what he has already done, in your case, be not so vain as once to imagine, that it was done in a way of stealing from you. Indeed, Sir, it was not. Mr W. took up your performance, only to expose it to view; that the beholder might laugh at, and shun it.

Page 16. "On n oft occasions," you say. " you " are too prone to let up your own infallible judgment, as the very lapis lydius of right and wrong. · Hence the firebrands, arrows and death, which ve you hurl at those who presume to vary from the " oracles you dictate." I know of no firebrands, arrows and death, which he hurls, but what every faithful shepherd ought to hurl, in the defence of his sheep. It is true, when either himself, his people, or his doctrine, are assaulted; he, often, thinks it his duty to make some desence. And, as in doing this, he has fometimes to do with persons, like you, who are wifer in their own eyes, than seven men who can render a reafon; after taking care to avoid falshood, rudeness and scurrility, he frequently treats them without much ceremony. But to fay that he is severe, censorious, or inflammatory, either in his conversation, preaching, or writing, is as great an untruth, as if I was to fay, that you are the most gentle and patient man in the world.

But you proceed. "Hence, particularly, your il"liberal and malevolent spleen against the Protestant
"Dissenters." This is as false as it is invidious. It
defy you to prove the least degree of it. The passage
you have produced out of his Preservative, proves no
such thing. All it proves is that Mr W approves of
some things in the church of England, more than of some
things among the Dissenters; and that he is desirous

every Methodist preacher should do the same *. And what wonder if he prefers the doctrines and discipline of the church of England, in general, to that of the Diffenters; seeing he was born and bred in that church, and ordained a Minister of it? Yet there are not many Ministers in the church of England, who have not said ten times more against the Diffenters than Mr W. has done; and, on the other hand, are there not many Ministers among the Diffenters, who have not said ten times more against the Church?

The passage from Mr W's Letter to a Roman Catholic, which you contrast with the above passage, respecting the Dissenters, in order to demonstrate, "How much more politely, not to say cordially, this Gentleman shakes hands with the Papists," is a sad proof of your want of candour. I would ask here; 1. Do you, or do you not, infinuate that Mr W. approves of, and esteems the Papists more than the Protestant Dissenters; 2. Do you, or do you not, know in your conscience, that it is not so? Yet 3. Do you not want the world in general, and the Dissenters in particular, to believe otherwise? O Truth! O Candour! what a friend have you in Mr Toplady?

But you fay, " Far be it from me, to charge Mr W. with a fondness for all the grosser parts of Popery." A fondness, do you say, for all the groffer parts of Popery! Pray Sir, by what medium do you prove, that he bas, or ever bad, a fondness for any of the grosser parts of Popery? or for any part of it, as it stands opposed to Protestantism? Dear Mr Toplady, you will give me leave, with all freedom to ask; Is this an Instance of your being a Fool or a Knave? Is it through ignorance that you talk about "the partition between that church and him being fomewhat thinner than of might be wished?" If this is the case, then what a learned Divine you are? But if you know better, and yet talk thus; (which I fear is the case) then, what a worthy faint are you! As

^{*} See Mr W.'s Prefervative, p. 244.

As to bigotry, I acknowledge, you have faid many good things. But what pity there is not somebody else to fay them for you? For according to the accounts. of friends and enemies, you are already in your little way, one of the greatest bigots of the age. Nay, jo great a bigot for Predestination are you; that, to my knowledge, even bigoted Predestinarians cannot bear you. It is therefore intolerable to hear you say, page 17. · Candour, benevolence, and forbearance, become " smothered and extinguished," by bigotry; unless you had immediately added, "Gop be merciful to me " a finner!" But right or wrong, you will go on. "Bi-" gotry-by limiting the extent of moderation and mu-" tual good will, tears up charity by the very roots." MODERATION! GOOD-WILL! CHARITY! How deeply you are concerned for these, let all who have heard your Sermons, and feen your Writings declare. " In short," you fay, " Eigotry is the very effence of Popery." I doubt that: I am inclined to think, that it is only a property of it. " And, too often," you fay, "leads its votaries, before they are aware, into the bosom " of that pretended church." Then I befeech you, dear Sir. to take care in time.

Page 18. "You have, obliquely, given me a sneer-" ing lecture upou modesty, self-diffidence, and tenderness " to opponents." He has, and a very scasonable lecture too, if you did but know it. " Why do you re-" prefent me as telling my readers, that they must, " upon pain of damnation, believe, that only one perof fon in twenty is e'ected?" He has not represented you as faying fo. His Words are, "One in twenty (suppose) of mankind are elected." By adding the word, only, and by leaving out the word, suppose, you have quite perverted his meaning. Again, you alk, "Why do you introduce me, as enjoining them to believe " under the same penalty, that the electshall be saved. " do what they WILL, and the reprobate damned, do "what they CAN?" Why? Because you have said this, in various expressions, perhaps not less than an bundred times over.

Ibid. "I believe and preach, that the chosen and ransomed of the Lord are appointed to falvation through fantification of the Spirit and belief of the truth." Very true. But do you not mean, that, both in the appointment to salvation, and in the sanctification of the Spirit and belief of the truth, they are wrought upon as mere machines? Do you not mean that all this is wrought in them, irressibily? If so, it follows, that they are appointed, first, to obtain salvation; and, secondly, to obtain the sancification of the Spirit and belief of the truth, "do what they will." If this is not your meaning, tell us, if you can, what it is; or else acknowledge, that you are BAWLING with your head in a BAG.

" And, with regard to the reprobate," you fay, " you believe and preach, that they will be condemn. " ed, not for doing what they can in a moral way, " but for not doing what they can: for not believing " the gospel report; and for not ordering their conver-" fation according to it." They will be condemned. you fay, for not doing what they can! If " what seever comes to pass, comes to pass necessarily;" if whatever man does, be does necessarily . I would be glad to know what they can do, otherwise than what they actually do? If it is not "possible, in the very nature of the " thing, that they should be elected to salvation, or ever obtain it +; " CAN they do any thing fo as to be elected to falvation, or ever obtain it? Again; If " the decrees of election and reprobation are immu-" table and irreversible | ;" CAN the reprobate do any thing so as to reverse the decree of reprobation? Once more: If "the condemnation of the reprobate is nee ceffary and inevitable §;" CAN they do any thing fo as to escape it? If they can do any thing of this kind; if they can do any thing besides what they are irresistibly compelled to do; be fo kind, good Sir, as to tell us sobat it is?

Why

Translation of Zanchius, p. 14.

[†] Ibid. 54. | Ibid. 56. | Page 75.

Why you say, "They are condemned for not believing the gospel report; and for not ordering their con"versation according to it." So now the gordian knot is untied! The reprobate, for whom Christ did not die, are condemned, for not believing that he did die for them! The persons who have no lot or part in the gospel report, are condemned for not believing that they have! Why then, according to you, they are condemned for not believing a lie!

Again, The reprobate "will be condemned — for not believing the gospel report; and for not order"ing their conversation according to it." That is, the reprobate, who are under an irressible necessity of not believing the gospel report; and who are under the same necessity of not ordering their conversation according to it; are condemned BECAUSE they do not thus believe and order their conversation, notwithstanding this irressibile necessity to the contrary! Exquisitely CLEAR!

Page 19. "Let me ask you," you say, "when, or "where I ever presumed to ascertain the number of "God's elect?" And let me ask you where, or when Mr W. said you did? "The Book of Life is not in "jour keeping, nor in mine." Perhaps it is not the worse for Mr W. that it is not in yours: if it was, I fear there would be need of an irressible decree to keep his name in it.

Page 20. "Declarations of this tremendous nature" (that Few shall be saved)—"should—bring you on "your knees before God, with your hand upon your breast, and this cry in your lips." If all in heaven and in earth, were to spend a million of ages on their knees before God, this, according to your notion, would not add one soul to the number of those who shall be saved; seeing it could not reverse the irresistible decree. And as to our praying that God may shew us "to which class we belong;" this is, on your supposition, a very dangerous prayer: For if not, only, nineteen out of twenty are reprobated; but, as some think,

think, ninety-nine out of an bundred; then it is ninetynine to one we shall get such an answer to our prayer, as will cause us to choose strangling rather than life: it will be ninety-nine to one, but we shall see that we belong to the class of reprobates, and so we shall be tormented before our time. Prayer, therefore, in such a case, and on such a supposition, is not only useless, but also the most dangerous employment in the world.

Ibid. "Should the holy Spirit vouchfafe to lead you thus far;" (namely, as to have "that faith "which is of divine operation) you will, then, no longer object, that the elect shall be faved, do what they will." Most charitable Sir! Do you not here strongly intimate that, as yet, Mr W. is not possessed of the faith of divine operation? — That the holy Spirit has not yet vouchfafed to lead him thus far? O Sir! if the tree is to be known by its fruits; and if faith is to be known (not by bawling about opinions, but) by its aworks; how doubtful is it whether the holy Spirit has led you thus far?

Permit me to give a short account from an eye and ear-witness: the truth of which, thousands can attest. This account, with a little alteration, is as follows: "With respect to Mr W.—his very enemies must allow. " that his labours are very extraordinary. His cata-" logue gives an account of near an HUNDRED AND " seventy different pieces, which he has published, in about thirty years: a fingle article of which is,
A Christian Library of FIFTY VOLUMES. Two " others are Explanatory Notes on the OLD and NEW "TESTAMENT, in four large quarto volumes. public Discourses, confishing of Sermons and Exhor-" tations, are about twenty in a week; upwards of a "THOUSAND in a year. The number of Letters which 46 he writes, is confiderably greater. Vifiting the Sick, " and the Diffressed, is another constant part of his " employment. His travelling, chiefly on borfe-back, " cannot be much lefs than five thousand miles every " year .- And thus he has laboured, not only for a " few weeks or months, but for above thirty years to"gether: and that steadily and constantly too, both by night and by day; notwithstanding all the discurrences he has met with. And, what is still

more remarkable, though he is now drawing near

"to the age of Seventy; he is so far from diminishing, or from abating any of his labours, that he is conti-

" nually increasing them."

Now, while he is thus spending his time and strength, for the welfare of mankind; while he is travelling both by sea and by land, with florms and tempests bleak in bis teeth; how easy is it, for any Gentleman, (possessing all the comforts of life) to sit in an easy chair, and animadvert, with great solemnity! on his conduct *! How easy is it for the Reverend Mr Toplady, Vicar of Broad Hembury, to sit at his sire-side; and, very magnificently, to represent Mr W. as

a downright reprobate!

That you have done this, the passage before us evinces. I shall lay it before the reader, with a short paraphrase, that he may judge for himself. "the Holy Spirit"—in any future period—" vouch-" fafe to lead you thus far"-that is, give you (what he has not yet given you) "the faith of divine operation-you will then no longer be ready to object"like an unbeliever, as you now are, " that the elect shall " be faved, do what they will; for you will"—then "know by heartfelt experience"-what, for want of that experience, you cannot now know-" that the converted elect"-of which number you are not-" are and cannot but be, ambitious to perform all those " good works, in which God hath ordained them to "walk." Now, if this does not exclude Mr W. from the number of believers, I know not what can.

I cannot dismiss these words without observing, that your divinity is no less remarkable here than your candour. For, you say, "the converted elect are, and "must be ambitious, to perform all the good works, in "which God hath ordained them to walk." Your meaning certainly is, that the converted elect are so powerfully

• Reply, &c. p. 19.

powerfully influenced by an internal principle of grace, as thereby, to be necessarily constrained to the performance of these works. This is what all predestinarians say on the head.

But if so, if they are thus necessarily confirmined to perform all those good works, whence is it that all the converted elect do not perform all those works, at all times, and on all occasions? Whence was it, in particular, that Noab was not restrained from drunkenness: and Lot from incest; and David from murder and adultery; and Peter from denying his master? And whence was it that you, Mr Toplady, (who, to be fure! are one of the converted elect,) were not restrained from cardplaying, and also from telling the Rev. Mr E- that you saw no harm therein? And once more, Whence was it that this grace did not restrain you from all that felf-fufficiency, pertness, impatience, and scurrility, which you have so remarkably displayed, in your Epistle to Mr W.? Will you say, "that all this is owing to a principle of corruption; which principle, remaining in the converted elect, necessitates them to fall fhort in every part of their obedience?" If so; then I shall be glad to know how these two primciples, essentially opposite to each other, act in conjunction with each other; the one in constraining the converted elect, "To se perform all those good Works," and the ether in constraining them to fall short in every branch of that performance? I shall be glad to know the precise manner how these opposite principles act in conjunction, in necessitating the converted elect, both to fland and fall at one and the same time!

Again; If the converted elect are under such a necessity of "performing all those good works, in which "Good hath ordained them to walk;" I am at a loss to understand the propriety of St Paul's words to Titus: I will, that thou offirm constantly, that they which have believed in Good might be careful to maintain good works? Now, if they which have believed in Goo, will and must, from an internal constraint, maintain good works; what need is there of their being careful to maintain them?

or what need that Titus should assire this constantly? or what need that Paul should will, or desire it of him? If they "cannot but be ambitious to perform all those "good works?" Paul might have saved himself, and Titus, yea, and the converted elect too, an unnecessary trouble.—To make use of many external means, and to employ much labour therein towards the performance of those works, which, from another cause, namely, from inherent principles, are unavoidable; is, as unnecessary, as to use many external means, and to employ much labour, to cause light and heat to proceed from the sun; or to cause a body to tend to the center: or, which is nearly the same, to cause Mr Toplady to be vain and scurrilous!

Nor can I see that, on your supposition, there is any need of nineteen out of twenty parts of the word of God. For if the converted elect will, spontaneously, and must of necessity, "do all those good works;" What need is there of exhortations, commands, warnings, threatnings? If an inward principle of grace makes the believer an involuntary agent, in what he does; or, rather, a kind of machine; I can see no more propriety in exhorting or commanding him to obedience, than there would be, if I was to exhort or

command this pen to write!

Come, then, you great master of investigation! and clear this point of all its difficulties. Open your magazine of casuifical divinity, and shew us bow it is that this necessity of performing all the will of Gop, which the converted elect are supposed to be under, is confistent with that other necessity, they are supposed to be under, of not performing it. Then shew us, secondly, how this internal necessity is consident with the necessity of that external endeavour, which the converted elect were to be careful to perform; and with the necessity, which Titus was under, of affirming it CONSTANTLY; and with the necessity which St Paul was under, of defiring, and requesting this of him. And, thirdly, shew us, how it is confistent with the necessity, or propriety. either of giving or receiving commands, warnings, threatnings.

threatnings, exhortations, or the like! If you can, clear up all these difficulties, and reconcile these contradic-

tions, you will be a workman indeed.

Ibid. "Your pretended fear of Antinomianism, like " your real fear of the comet, which was expected to 4 have appeared, a few years back, is perfectly idle " and chimerical." Whatever his fear, concerning the comet was (another piece of history which wants proof); it is certainly well grounded concerning the danger of Antinomianism. For if arl rous, (from which the term Antinomianism is derived) signifies to be against the law; and if this, (according to the common acceptation of the words in the Christian theology) fignifies to be against the performance of the Christian law, as it is a law; then his fear of Antinomianism being introduced by your doctrine, is neither " idle nor " chimerical:" because it is certain that your doctrine, and Antinomianism, are inseparable. To make this appear, let me ask, 1. As to that which is commonly called the law of Christ, do you believe that it is a law, firictly and properly? Do you believe that it has all the effential properties of a law? That is, that it is enjoined by CHRIST, as a law-giver; that the obedience required of us to it, is, properly, the obedience of a Subject to a law; that this obedience is enforced by the fanctions of rewards and punishments, as fanctions of a law? Is not this the way that the law of CHRIST ought to be infifted on, if you would infift on it, as it is a law? If so, do you, and all Predestinarians (who are confishent with themselves) thus represent it? Do you not, rather, exclaim against this as legality? Do you not fet yourself, might and main, against the notion of ohedience, as it is a DUTY required by CHRIST of us; and, as enforced by promifes of rewards, and threatnings of punishments? If so; then you are plainly against the law; in other words, you are an Antinomian.

But you may fay, (what is commonly faid) that although you do not represent the duties of the gospel, under the notion of the duties of a law; and though you

you do not represent the performance of those duties under the notion of legal performances; yet you effectually secure the performance of them by such a representation of the grace of God, as will not fail to beget love; which love will constrain to universal obedience. Let it be granted, for the present, that you do represent the grace of God as begetting love; and that this love does, in a way of gratitude, constrain the believer to perform all the duties of the gospel; yet, this does in no wife acquit you from the charge of Antinomianism. To obey a person we love, because we love him, and choose to obey him; and to obey a person because he has regal authority over us, and because it is our duty to obey him, are quite different things. Were you to obey the King of England, because you love him and choose to obey him, without regarding his regal capacity, or the laws by which he demands your obedience; especially, if you exclaimed against obeying him on these accounts, your love to his person, and the obedience resulting therefrom, would not acquit you from the charge of being arli vous, against the laws of England; or, in other words, it would not acquit you of the charge of being a civil or political Antinomian. In like manner, if you obey the King of heaven, because you love him, and choose to obey him; without regarding his regal capacity, or the laws by which he requires your obedience; especially, if you exclaim against obeying him on the last accounts, your love to his person, and the obedience resulting therefrom, will not acquit you of the charge of being against the law of heaven: or, in other words, it will not excuse you from the charge of theological Antinomianism.

But you may again fay, (what is also commonly said)
"that, of all the men in the world, Predestinarians
"cannot be called Antinomians, because in their doctrine of imputed righteousness, they insist on the abfolute necessity of fulfilling the law, and that perfectly; and, likewise, that this was done, personally,
by Christ, and, in him, by us, to whom it is imputed."

Predestinarians exclaim against a personal sussiling of the law, yet they cannot he called Antinomians; seeing they insist on a persect sussiling of it in Christ! Is it not associated, that such a quibble should ever be thought on, to evade the charge of Antinomianism! A quibble which gives up the whole point, which allows the whole indictment? For, does not all the world know, that by an Antinomian is always meant; a person who denies the necessity of a personal sulfilling of the law, by a believer; because Christ is supposed to have done it for him? "Christ kept the Law: "therefore I need not."

From what has been faid, we learn, 1. That confident Predestination and Antinomianism, are the very same thing. 2. That Mr W.'s sear of it, in you and your friends is not "idle or chimerical." 3. That your remark, p. 22. "Mr W.'s Tenet of sinless perfection, "leads directly to the grossest Antinomianism," is truly

idle and chimerical.

With regard to this last point; I would observe, that by Perfection he means, "the loving God with "all our heart, and our neighbour as ourselves;" the being "holy in all manner of conversation, as he who hath called us is holy, and the doing this because God hath commanded it." Now, Sir, I beg to know how this tenet leads directly, to Antinomianism? Nay, how it leads, either directly or indirectly thereto? If this cannot be done, will it not be a great argument of your being either very wife or else, very bones!!

Your stories concerning I know not what Lady, and concerning some of Mr W.'s quondam sollowers, if true which I much doubt, are no proof that his doctrine of persection, leads to Antinomianism: They only prove that this, as well as any other scriptural doctrine, may

be abused.

Page 23. "You formed a scheme," you tell Mr W. of collecting as many perfect ones as you could, to live together under one roof. A number of those flowers were accordingly transplanted from your nur-

se, fery-beds, to the bot-bouse. And a hot-house it soon of proved. For, would we believe it? The sinless peose ple quarrelled, in a short time, at so violent a rate, that you found yourself forced to disband the select regiment." This story is very circumstantially related, and yet is wholly salse in every circumstance. No such thing was ever done: no such thing was ever attempted: no such thing was ever designed. If you can prove the contrary, do it; or else, I six this upon you, That you are a publisher of lies. Prove this point then by substantial evidence, or else, lie under this odium for ever.

Ibid. You observe, "That how few soever they (the " elect) may appear and really be in a fingle generastion, and as balanced with the many unrighteous 44 among whom they live below; yet, when the whole " number of the Redeemer's jewels is made up-they " will amount to an exceeding great multitude which no " man can number." After this, you say, p. 24. "Go " now, Sir, and dazzle the credulous with your mock victory over the supposed reprobation of nineteen in " twenty." Do not quibble, Mr Toplady, do not play upon words. Every one, who has a fingle grain of common sense, sees, that by these words, "nineteen " in twenty" (suppose) Mr W. only meant what you have just now allowed; viz. that the elect are but few, " in a fingle generation, and as balanced with the many "unrighteous among whom they live."

"Go on," you fay, "to chalk hideous figures on "your wainfcot; and enjoy the glorious triumph of battering your knuckles in fighting them. But fa"ther no more of your hideous figures on me." Mighty pretty! But you acknowledge, at leaft, that the figures which reprefent the eternal, unchangeable, and unconditional, reprobation of nineteen out of twenty; that is, of a number which is very great, when compared to the few elect, in each generation, are "hideous figures." Such they are indeed! But is it not really aftonishing, that you should disown them? You write a whole chapter on reprobation; you vehemently insist on it all over your Tract! And, after all, are so case-bardened,

as to exclaim against Mr W. for "fathering it upon you." With inimitable considence you say, "Do hot dress up scare-crows of your own, and then as fect to run away from them as mine."—Scare-crows to you say! verily these doctrines are enough to scare even to distraction all in beaven, and earth, and bell. "But do not then affect to run away from them as mine." Whose are they then? yours most certainly; and he who runs away from them the somest and the fastes, is un-

questionably the wifest man.

Bid. "I do not expect," you fay, "to be treated, by " Mr John Wesley, with the meek ness and candour of a Christian; but I wish him, for his own reputation's is fake, to write and act with the honesty of an Hea-" then." O the meekness of your spirit! O the candour with which you write! And " you wish him, for his " own reputation fake"-(How deeply are you concerned For his reputation! and how fincere are your wishes on this account)- " to write and act, with the honesty " of an Heathen!" But do you feriously believe he has the honesty of an Heathen? if not, how can he write and act with that he has not? Dear Mr Toplady, let me for once indulge a wish: and that is, That you may know what manner of spirit you are of! Then you will be deeply ashamed of that unparalleled superciliousness. which you have so largely displayed on this and other occasions.

Ibld. "You would choose," you say, "to be deemed a Minister of the National Church." He does; and he is, and has long been a Minister of it: As such, whenever he has an opportunity of serving it, he gladly embraces it, so far as he can with a good conscience. Can any one do more than this? Do you Mr Toplady, do as much? "Why then," you ask, "do you decry "her doctrines, and, as far as in you lies, sap her discipline?" He does not decry her doctrines so much as you do. To make this appear, let any one, I. Take a view of the doctrines of the National Church, as a regular and well-connected system contained in all her Articles, in all her Homilies, in all her Rubrics, and

in all her forms of Worship, and as it has been underflood and explained by the clergy in general, ever since the Reformation. 2. Let him take a view of Mr W's doctrine as contained in his Appeals and Sermons, and of yours, as contained in your translation of Zanchius. Then, 3. Let him compare both with the doctrines of the Church, and he will see which of you decries them most.

Your calling his doctrine of the intermediate flate of departed fouls, a "new-fangled doctrine," shews what a new fangled divine you are. For, as we are told by Mr Sellon, "Thus thought the pious and learned Bishop Bull; thus thought the learned and pious Dr Doddridge; and thus thought, says the learned Dr

"Whitby, all the ancient Christians "."

Your note at the bottom of p. 25. is a farther proof of your great candour and impartiality. " In MrW.'s " first Edition of his Notes on the New Testament," -are the two following affertions,-" Enoch and Elijah are " not in heaven, but only in paradife. Note on John " iii. 13. Enoch and Elijab entered at once into the highest " degree of glory without first waiting in paradise." Note on Rev. xix. 20. When it is observed, first, that many of his notes are borrowed from different authors, fecondly that at the time he wrote on the New Testament, he was daily employed about many other things; (not to speak of his ill state of health at that time, being supposed to be in a galloping consumption) no man of fense will wonder that such a mistake should pass unnoticed. But Mr Toplady, how will you reconcile it with justice and candour, that you have not given the least intimation of this mistake being rectified in the other editions! O blufb, if you can blush! And no more complain of misrepresentation and injustice! .

Ibid. "That you, likewise do not overslow with zeal for the discipline of the Church of England, is manisest, not only from the numerous and intricate regulations with which you setter your Societies, but from the measures you so lately pursued, when a certain the measures you so lately pursued, when a certain the second setters are the second setters.

^{*} Answer to Hervey's Eleven Letters, p. 101.

"tain foreign Mendicant was in England, who went by the name of Erasmus, and third himself Bishop of Arcadia."

Whereinsoever Mr W. may vary from the discipline of the Church, it is not through choice but necessity. The Church doors were first thrown in his face, before he took a single irregular step. And it is observable, that the reasons why the Church doors were shut against him were, i. The multitudes who came to hear him. 2. His adhering to her doctrines and appointments, more rigidly than most Clergymen now alive.

As to the "Numerous, and intricate regulations, "with which, you say, he fetters his societies;" they are only a few plain, simple rules concerning avoiding evil, doing good, and using the means of grace, plainly deduced from Scripture; and what you and every Clergyman in England, may take the benefit of, if you

please.

As to Erasmus, there is no room to doubt of his being a Bishop. Dr J— wrote to the Patriarch of Smyrna, who gave it under his hand, that Erasmus was Bishop of Arcadia in Crete. And that he is the identical person, was proved, from the testimony of several Gentlemen, who had seen him in Turkey.

Page 26. "With regard to this person," you say, " I shall take the liberty of putting one or two plain " questions to you. Did you, or did you not, get "him to ordain several of your lay-preachers, according to the Greek ritual?" He got him to ordain Dr I-, but did not defire him to do it according to the Greek ritual, in particular, as you unfairly infinuate. 2. Did these lay preachers of yours, or did they not, both dress and officiate, as Clergymen of the Church of England? The Doctor did dress, but, strictly speaking. did not officiate as a Clergyman of the Church of Eng. land: He rather officiated as an affiftant to Mr W. in preaching and administering the Lord's supper in his Societies. " Putting matters at the best," you say, " they could only be Ministers of the Greek Church. " which could give them no legal right to act as Mi-" nifters of the Church of England." You are fadly mistaken. mistaken. Whoever is episcopally ordained, is a Minister of the Church universal, and as such has a right to officiate in any part of the globe. This all Episcopalians, who understand their own Doctrines, know. Hence it is that the Church of England frequently employs, without re-ordination, priests ordained even by

Pop sh Bishops.

You go on, "Did you not repeatedly declare, that " their ordination was, to all intents and purposes, as " valid as your own?" Yes. And this is no more than what any Bishop in England will acknowledge, even of a popish priest. 3. "Did you, or did you not firongly " press this supposed Greek Bishop to consecrate you a "Bishop at large?"-I have authority to answer, No. never at all. But suppose he had? Where would have been the blame? Mr W. is connected with a number of persons, who have given every proof which the nature of the thing allows, that they have an inward call to preach the Gospel. Both he, and they would be glad if they had an outward call too. But no Bishop in England will give it them. What wonder then, if he was to endeavour to procure it by any other innocent means?

Page 27. "4. In all this, did you, or did you not, palpably violate a certain oath, which you have re"peatedly taken? I mean, the oath of Supremacy."
No. For Erajmus never pretended to any supremacy over the King of England, neither did Mr W. acknowledge any other power in him, than what every Bishop

in England acknowledges to be in the Pope.

After giving a short abstract from the oath of supremacy, you ask, "Is not the conferring of Orders an act of the highest ecclesiastical power and authority?" It is not any degree of that power and authority intended in the Oath of Supremacy. If it was, no priest of the church of Rome, till re-ordained, could be received into our church, without a breach of that oath. You ask, again, "And was not this man a foreigner?" Yes. But he was no foreigner who claimed any supremacy over the King of England. "And were not the steps

"you took a positive acknowledgment of a foreign pow"er and jurisdiction?" They were no acknowledgment of any such power or jurisdiction as is intended in the Oath of Supremacy. "And was not such an acknow"ment a breach of oath?" No.

Ibid. You advise him, to "pretend no longer to love the Church of England." He has given far stronger proof of his love to it, than ever you did: and to this day has kept as close to her side as he could,

with a good conscience.

lbid. "You may think, perhaps, that I make too free, in expostulating with you so plainly." Whatever he may think, he cannot wonder. For he knows every animal must act according to his nature. Hence he expects a Lion to roar, and a Dog to bark, and a

Man of your spirit to be scurrilous.

Page 28. "How can Mr W. who, on all occa"fions makes fo very free with others; be angry with
"joung translators, for copying—fo very remarkable
an example?" I defy you to find, in all his writings, that he has taken a piffifth part of that free
dom with others, that you, (to your honour be it spoken!)
have taken with him: No; to fet Religion out of the
question, there is more of the Gentleman, and of the
man of same, in Mr W. than to throw such sensels,
shameles, tow abuse, in the face of any man.

Ibid. You complain, "I am told, that the evangelical "Clergy are leaving no ftone unturned to raise John "Calvin's ghost, in all quarters of the land." Evangelical Clergy! why not Stoical Clergy? Seeing you say (and I suppose your brethren are of the same mind) "I have no objection to being called a Stoic, so you but prefix the word Christian to it "." That these are striving to raise John Calvin's ghost, is certain. And a ghost it is, to be sure! though less formidable, yet a thousand times more frightful than even the Witch of Endor. You proceed: "If you think the doctrines " of that blessed Resormer formidable as a ghost; " you are welcome to do all you can towards laying them." But what CAN be do, if you, with all your can theological

Preface to Zanchius, p. 18.

theological magic, stand in his way? That you are determined to do this, appears from what follows; "The press is open, and you never had a fairer oper portunity of trying your strength on John Calvin, than at present." What? has Mr W. never had a fairer opportunity of trying his strength, than with Mr Toplady! Is it possible you can be so vain, as to imagine this? What an argument of your assonishing self-sufficiency!

Itid You ask, "Who raised the ghost of John "Goodwin, the Arminian Regicide; and of Ibomas "Grantbam, the Arminian Baptist?"—Sir, as your magic armour is on, and as you think yourself a match for the most formidable spectres; try your strength on Goodwin and Grantbam, particularly on the former. And if you, with the help of ALL your social brethren, lay that single ghost; I, even I, will promise to lay all the ghosts which you shall raise these seven years.

Again, you ask, "Who raised the ghost of Monsieur " De Renty, the French Papist? and of many other " Romish enthusiasts; by translating them into English, " for the edification of Protestant readers?" There is no Protestant reader who fears God (especially, if his tafte is not vitiated by fuch Antinomian jargon as yours) but may be greatly edified, by reading the Life of Monsieur De Renty, yea, and of Gregory Lopez too: Though they were mistaken in several things, as Mr W. has shewn; yet, their entire deadness to the world. and the unreserved dedication of their ALL to God, is worthy the imitation of any Protestant .- But before I dismiss this head, let me ask, Why could you not mention, at least, one of these names, without adding a term of reproach? What is this owing to? Is it, because the A cuf r of the brettren has so filled your heart with his venom, that, as fure as you open your lips, it flies abroad on all who fland in your way?

Page 29. "Should you take any notice of this letter you fay, I have three requests to make."—Mr W. take notice of this letter! Believe me, Sir, you are in no danger. If you do not know how to treat Mr W. he

knows

knows how to treat such gentlemen as you. But what are your requests? why.

" 1. Do not print clandestinely.

" 2. Do not quote unfairly.

" 3. Do not answer evasively."

As to what is past, I have demonstrated, that he has acted according to your request. And as to what is to come, you need not trouble your head about it.

Ibid. "Canvass the points of doctrine," you sav, " wherein we differ, as firictly as you can." Believe me, Sir, Mr W. will canvass no doctrine with such a

foul-mouthed Doctor as you.

Ibid. "O that you may-no longer profitute your time and talents to the wiredrawing of chicanery, " and the circulation of error!"—Every one who is acquainted with Mr W. knows that none can charge him with the prostitution of time. There are few divines who do one half of Mr W.'s work: Perhaps you do not as much in a year, as he does in a month. In the few controversial pieces which he has published, (and they are but few when compared to his other tracts) he is fo far from wiredrawing any point, that he rather inclines to the other extreme. Hence Mr Herver fo often complained of the brevity of his remarks; and hence it is that you exclaim against his " printed paper (confisting of one sheet, folded into swelve pages, and " price one penny.")

I cannot dismiss this point without observing, that although you feem most devoutly to pray, that he may on no longer profitute his time and talents to the wire-" drawing of chicanery;" yet your real desite is, that he may thus profitute them still longer. Hence it is that, in this letter, you have fent him so many challenges to meet you in the field; and that you have taken for much pains to provoke him to accept of them: And hence it was that you declared, at a house in Westminster, where you and I are well acquainted, that, "if " possible, you would drag Mr W. out to light." O Sir! the next time you pass Westminster bridge, cast your eyes on the watermen; and while you behold them

rozving -

rowing one way, and looking the other, remember this

part of your devotion.

With the same sincerity you pray, that he may "no "longer profitute his time and talents in the circulation of error." If the doctrines which he circulates, are errors, they have been happy errors to thousands; and such as you yourself once embraced with all your heart. It is not many years since you was such an Universalist (yea, and Perfectionist too!) that a certain gentleman could scarce persuade you to give Mr Whitefield so much as a single hearing. But by a fresh wind of doctrine the weather-cock is now turned from the east to the west.—How cased in brass then must your front be, to tell Mr W. of "thisting from system to system;" seeing it is not Mr W. who has done this, but you, Mr Toplady.

Ibid. "I am not insensible of your parts," you say. This is well. But how came you to acknowledge this? Was it to let the world know, that you are able to soil a man of parts? Or was it only for the sake of another opportunity of calling Mr W. a knave, as you do in the sollowing words; "Alas! what is distinguished ed ability, if not wedded to integrity?" Now, Sirssuppose any man was thus, in a great variety of covert language, to call you a knave? Would you scruple to pronounce him an abusive scoundred, if, on demand, he refused to speak out, so as to enable you to vindicate your character in a court of justice? Are you, Mr Toplady, willing to do this by Mr W? To give him an opportunity of vindicating his character in Westminster-hall? If not, let every impartial man determine, what title you

deserve.

You conclude. "No less just than ingenious, is "the remark of a learned and noble writer: The "riches of the mind, like those of fortune, may be employed so perversely, as to become a nuisance." and pest, instead of an ornament and support to so ciety." That this remark is just, you have given a recent instance. For, raw as you are, you are not you of understanding. But, alas! how have you employed

ployed it? is it not in the very manner you complain of? To set this in a clear light, let us sum up the whole affair between Mr W and you.

Scarce had the flame between Papits and Protestants, abased, before that about the Decrees broke out. For more than a century, this war was carried on, with such warmth as was very hurtful to the church of God. From a conviction of this, moderate men moved for a truce; this was followed by a cessation of arms:

and in a short time, a general peace ensued.

In this state of Tranquillity were the Protestant churches, when you emerged out of obscurity. you was a student in the College of Dublin, God was pleased, by a lay preacher, to bring you to the knowledge of yourfelf, fo far as you have been brought. You then was an uncommon admirer, both of Mr W. and the Methodifis. But a certain man leaving the Methodififociety, and turning Anabaptist, you became one of his humble admirers, and close adherents. Notwithstanding this, as you was intended for the service of the established Church, you applied for holy orders: but not being able to obtain them in your own country. you came over to England in quest of better fortunes You had not been long in England, before you fearched out, and got acquainted with Dr Gill, of whom in a short time, you became such an admirer, as to prefer him to all the Divines in the Kingdom.

Thus the foundation of your theological learning being said by a mungrel baptiss in Ireland, and the same compleated, by a baptist Doctor here, you became a most sincere and most sealous minister of the national Church; yea, and an Honourable Chaplain to a Right

Honourable Lord!

Being now possessed of all treasures, classical, theological and honorary! one of the first things you did, was to search among the rubbish of contention; where you found an old worm-eaten Tract on eternal, unchangeable, and unconditional election and reprobation: written in Latin, about two hundred Years ago. This wonderful performance you translated, and sent into

into the world, with all the recommendations in your power. First, you introduced it with a Preface of eighteen pages. To this you added an account of the Author. This was followed by a Differtation on the divine attributes, confishing of forty pages. Then came the five tremendous chapters of Zanchius! Retrenched and enlarged in the translation, you say, "from a de-" fire you had of rendering this treatise as compleat as you could." Then, you bring up the rear by an Appendix concerning the Fate of the Heathers." Zanch. p. 125.

The dangerous tendency, of this unscriptural beatbenis doctrine, together, with the uncommon dogmaticalness, with which you have recommended it; moved
Mr W. to publish a short Abridgment of it, that every
man might see, what commodities you deal in, and with
what candour. Which he has done so briefly, as to
reduce the price from two soillings to one penny! This
treatment of a performance from which you expected

fo much applause; not only made you look

"Pale as a young dramatic author, when "O'er darling lines fell Cibber wav'd his pen,"

But awakened all your indignation. In consequence of this, out comes a fixpenny letter, in which you roar like a bear berest of her whelps.—That the reader may see, at one view, what spirit you are of, as a Christian, and what taste you have, as a writer, I shall present him with a collection of part of your elegancies, as

they stand in your epistle.

Page 2. You call him a "Sophister, a Pope," a "Jesuit," a "Bell-wether." Page 3. Void of "com-"mon honour, a lurking sly assassin." Page 4. He is guilty of "audacity and falshood, hardly to be paral-"leled." Void of "Honour, veracity and justice." Page 6. He is guilty of "Effrontery, and forgery," Deserving to be transmitted "to Virginia or Maryland, "if not to Tyburn!" "he is of a narrow sectarian spi-"rit," of "low serpentine cunning." Page 7. He uses

Preface to Zanchius, p. 6,

uses "dirty subtersuges," "a lying sophister!" sunk beneath the level of an Oyster-woman!" a "Theological coward, a religious gambler." Page 9. He is "possessed of more than serpentine elability." Page 12. with "such a scribler, you would not contend, any more than you would contend for the wall with a "thimney-sweeper." Page 13. He resembles "a "clumsey bungling anatomist." Page 15. He is an old plagiary." Page 16. He is possessed of "Illiberal and malevolent spleen against the Protestant Dissenters." Page 24. a Person, by whom you do not expect "to be treated with the meekness and can"dour of a Christian;" yet you wish him "to write "and act with the honesty of an heathen." Page 27. He has "palpably violated a certain oath." Page 30. He is become "a nuisance and pest—to society!"

Now, Sir, I am inclined to think that if you were to go through all Christendom, and search all the magazines of scurrility, pertness, dogmaticalness, impationce, arrogance, &c. &c. you would scarce find such another quantity of these commodities, source together instance, of such a novice, addressing such an epistle to such a person as Mr W. a person of such distinguished learning and worth, that nothing but the repreach of Christ, could prevent his being caressed by the bright-

est geniuses, and first characters in the land.

And is this the way, Mr Toplady, that you treat learning, genius, and great ability? Is this the way that you, a stripling, who have not half put on your armour, treat a veteran, who has stood the brunt of so many campaigns? Is this the way that you benour boary bairs when found in the way rightcoufness? Is it Thus that you put on, as the elect of God, bowels of mercies, humbleness of mind, brotherly kindness, gentleness, and long-suffering! Away, away, for shame! away, and—but stop! I remember, and pity your youth; and would sain hope that you are not quite incurable. I would sain persuade myself, that I, almost, discover (at a very great distance!) something which, perhaps, is capable

of being improved: Therefore, come back, and receive a few words of admonition.

And, 1. As all hopes of future improvement must be built on the foundation of repentance for what is past, I advise you to humble yourself, before God and man, for the great insult you have offered to one of the greatest men of the age: and for the pride and haughtiness which you have evidenced on several late occasions; particularly, at the Lock Chapel, in the case of the man in the Mewse; and, in the case of Mr S. Curate of Bethnal-Gran. These things, Sir, must be repeated of: and you will repent of them some or later. You may, wow, while you are full of blood and spirits, distain these words; but remember, there is a time coming when your heart and stess ball fail; and I sincerely wish you may not only think of them then; but also repent of

them fincerely.

2. If you desire to be of use in your generation, do not labour to promote contention, and wain jangling. Remember, he that does this, is proud, knowing nothing, but deting about queftions and STRIFE OF WORDS, whereof cometh envy, firife, railings, evil furmifings, perverse difputings of men of CORRUPT minds, and DESTITUTE OF THE TRUTH *. O Timothy, (O TOPLADY!) keep that which is committed to thy trust; AVOIDING profane and Dain BABBLINGS, and oppositions of science, fally so called; which some professing, (and you among the rest) have erred from the faith +. O, Sir, if you desire to be a everkman that needeth not to be ashamed; set life and death before the people, and bid them choose life that they may live; bid them strive to enter in at the strait gate; be CAREFUL to maintain good works; and to endure to the end. O, Sir! be as earnest in commending yourfelf THUS to every man's conscience in the fight of Got, as you have lately been, in recommending unconditional decrees; and you will soon see the difference. For, instead of being infrumental in sowing the seeds of discord among men; you shall be an happy instrument of **Saving**

^{*} I Tim, vi. 3-5.

faving them: yea, and of faving yourself, with those that hear you.

3. But if, after all, you will again found the trumpet of contention; if you will again set fail in the sea of strife; expect that some lover of your soul, some friend of human kind will follow you, in his humble bark to shew you your folly and danger. In this case, I advise you, not to endeavour to blow him up at a SINGLE BLAST; not to endeavour to fend him, at once, like a stone, to the bottom. I advise you not to sy in his face like a vulture! not to LEAP upon him, like a Lion or a BEAR, as you have done on Mr W. and others. In this part of my admonition, I confess, I am somewhat selfish. For if you should prevail with your exalted Self, to take any flotice of my present performance, I am very apprehensive of danger. Perhaps. indeed, I shall have the good fortune, only to hear that you tell your friends, (or at the most, to see a note, in some future page, wherein you will tell the world) that such a filly scribbler as THIS, is not worthy of YOUR notice. But, GREAT Sir! If you should condescend fo far as to take this Tract effectually to pieces, I befeech you, do not tell the world that there is not one finale word, either of truth or sense in it! Do not tell the world, that I am the greatest KNAVE, and FOOL, that ever was, or ever will be born! I intreat you, in behalf of myself and others, who have presumed to animadvert on you; yea, and in behalf of all who ever may prefume to do it, that you will not kick us about like so many foot-balls! that you will not trample us under your magnificent feet, as you do the mire of the street! Remember, the servant of the Lord must not Brive, as YOU HAVE DONE; but be GENTLE unto ALL men, apt to teach, PATIENT, in MEEKNESS infructing these that oppose themselver. O, then, consider HIM who ENDURED such contradiction of finners against himself: and LEARN of bim to be MEEK and LOWLY of beart !

And, in order to this end, I advise you, 4thly, Not to talk so Big, and look so important, as you have often done, on some late occasions. Remember, you

are but a young man. Remember, you are but a poor Batchelor of Arts; do not, then, stick yourself up by the fide of the gravest Divines, and of the greatest Doctors in the land. Do not think that you are in your place, when you stand, either on, or NEAR, the same level with those. It has the appearance of great vanity. of infufferable pride, for you to challenge into the field those who have both fought and conquered before you were born. And it is no less pride and folly, for you to tell an EQUAL, that if ever he should rise so far as to become a respectable opponent; then, perhaps, you may think him worth your notice! O, Sir! were you that humble, modest man you ought to be; you would think, and speak in a quite different manner: you would believe, and acknowledge too, that when the Curate of Betbual-Green becomes a respectable opponent, he will be no fit opponent for you: that when he becomes a man of eminence, you will be as much beneath his notice; as you now, in your vanity, think him beneath yours. Be admonished, therefore, to pray for HUMILITY; that you may no longer think of your-felf more highly than you ought to think; always remembering, that God resisteth the proud; but giveth GRACE to the bumble.

I am,

Your Servant for Christ's fake,

THOMAS OLIVERS.

Jan. 5, 1771.



